NI 43-101 TECHNICAL REPORT



ESN PROJECT WHITE PINE COUNTY, NEVADA, USA

Prepared for

ISM Resources Corp. (A Subsidiary of Ameriwest Lithium Inc.)

Effective Date: March 1, 2022

Independent Qualified Person: Bradley C. Peek, MSc., CPG

Contents

С	ontent	s ii			
1	Summary 1				
	1.2 Introduction				
	1.3 R	eliance on Other Experts 1			
	1.4 Pr	operty Description and Location			
	1.5 A	ccessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography			
	1.6 H	istory			
	1.7	Geologic Setting and Mineralization			
	1.8	Deposit Types			
	1.9	Exploration			
	1.10	Drilling			
	1.11	Sample Preparation, Analyses and Security			
	1.12	Data Verification			
	1.13 Mineral Processing and Metallurgical Testing				
	1.14 Mineral Resource Estimates				
	1.23	Adjacent Properties			
	1.24	Other Relevant Data and Information5			
	1.25	Interpretation and Conclusions			
	1.26	Recommendations			
2	Inti	oduction7			
3	Rel	iance on Other Experts			
4	Pro	perty Description and Location			
5	Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography				
6	History17				
7	Geo	blogic Setting and Mineralization			
	7.1	Regional Geology			
	7.2 Local Geology				
8	Deposit Types				
9	Exploration				

9.	0.1 Rock Samples Collected by the Author				
9.	2 Enzyme Leach Survey				
9.	9.3 Enzyme Leach – Main Elements				
	9.3.1 Gold				
	9.3.2	Chlorine	39		
	9.3.3	Antimony	40		
	9.3.4	Bromine	41		
	9.3.5	Iodine	42		
	9.3.6	Vanadium	43		
	9.3.7	Molybdenum	44		
	9.3.8	Tellurium	45		
	9.3.9	Mercury	46		
9.	4 Mic	aceous and Argillic Alteration Indicators	47		
	9.4.1	Chrome	47		
	9.4.2	Titanium	48		
	9.4.3	Zirconium	49		
	9.4.4	Hafnium	50		
	9.4.5	Niobium	51		
	9.4.6	Tantalum	52		
	9.4.7	Tin	53		
9.	5 Uni	valent (K-Rich) Alteration Patterns	54		
	9.5.1	Potassium	54		
	9.5.2	Rubidium	55		
	9.5.3	Cesium	56		
10	Drilling		57		
11	Sample	Preparation, Analyses and Security	58		
12	Data Verification				
13	Mineral Processing and Metallurgical Testing				
14	Mineral	Resource Estimates	51		
23	Adjacent Properties				
24	Other Relevant Data and Information				
25	Interpretation and Conclusions				

26 Rec	26 Recommendations		
26.1	Phase I Recommendations	67	
26.2	Phase II Recommendations	67	
27 Ref	erences	68	

List of Figures

Figure 3.1 - Claim corner witnessed by the author on August 29,2020.	. 9
Figure 4.1 - Property location map within Nevada	
Figure 4.2 – Google Earth image showing the ESN claims, private land and BLM/USFS	
boundary.	12
Figure 5.1 – Monthly high and low temperatures and rainfall for Ely, Nevada	15
Figure 6.1 – Aerial photo showing the distribution of historic drill holes	19
Figure 6.2 - Map of the northern area of the ESN claims with significant drill intercepts (from	
Friberg, 2019)	20
Figure 6.3 - Significant assay values from the Rae Wallace Mining Co. rock sampling (from	
Friberg, 2019).	21
Figure 7.1 - Generalized stratigraphic column for the eastern Great Basin (from Lawrence, et al	
2007)	
Figure 7.2 - Time sequence of major tectonic events of the eastern Great Basin (from Lawrence	э,
et al, 2007).	
Figure 7.3 - Physiographic map of White Pine County (Hose, et al, 1976)	25
Figure 7.4 - Geology in the vicinity of the ESN Project (from Hose, et al, 1976, Plate 1)	
Figure 7.5 - Jasperoid outcrop in the Chainman Shale.	
Figure 7.6 - Jasperoid breccia within the Chainman Shale	
Figure 7.7 - Geologic map of the Project area (from Friberg, 2019)	
Figure 8.1 - U. S. gold production, June 2018-June 2020 (Sheaffer and Simmons, 2020)	
Figure 8.2 - Major gold mine trends in northern Nevada in relation to the ESN Project (from	
Friberg, 2019).	33
Figure 9.1 - Locations of enzyme leach sample sties.	
Figure 9.2 - Enzyme Leach Au data contours	
Figure 9.3 - Enzyme Leach Chlorine data contours.	
Figure 9.3 - Enzyme Leach Chlorine data contours	
Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours.	40
Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours	40 41
Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours	40 41 42
Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours.	40 41 42 43
 Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours. Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours. 	40 41 42 43 44
 Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours. Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours. Figure 9.9 - Enzyme Leach Tellurium data contours. 	40 41 42 43 44 45
 Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours. Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours. Figure 9.9 - Enzyme Leach Tellurium data contours. Figure 9.10 - Enzyme Leach Mercury data contours. 	40 41 42 43 44 45 46
 Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours. Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours. Figure 9.9 - Enzyme Leach Tellurium data contours. Figure 9.10 - Enzyme Leach Mercury data contours. Figure 9.11 - Enzyme Leach Chrome data contours. 	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47
 Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours. Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours. Figure 9.9 - Enzyme Leach Tellurium data contours. Figure 9.10 - Enzyme Leach Mercury data contours. Figure 9.11 - Enzyme Leach Chrome data contours. Figure 9.12 - Enzyme Leach Titanium data contours. 	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48
 Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours. Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours. Figure 9.9 - Enzyme Leach Tellurium data contours. Figure 9.10 - Enzyme Leach Mercury data contours. Figure 9.11 - Enzyme Leach Chrome data contours. Figure 9.12 - Enzyme Leach Titanium data contours. Figure 9.13 - Enzyme Leach Zirconium data contours. 	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49
 Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours. Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours. Figure 9.9 - Enzyme Leach Tellurium data contours. Figure 9.10 - Enzyme Leach Mercury data contours. Figure 9.11 - Enzyme Leach Chrome data contours. Figure 9.12 - Enzyme Leach Titanium data contours. 	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
 Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours. Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours. Figure 9.9 - Enzyme Leach Tellurium data contours. Figure 9.10 - Enzyme Leach Mercury data contours. Figure 9.11 - Enzyme Leach Chrome data contours. Figure 9.12 - Enzyme Leach Titanium data contours. Figure 9.13 - Enzyme Leach Hafnium data contours. 	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51
 Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours. Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours. Figure 9.9 - Enzyme Leach Tellurium data contours. Figure 9.10 - Enzyme Leach Mercury data contours. Figure 9.11 - Enzyme Leach Chrome data contours. Figure 9.12 - Enzyme Leach Titanium data contours. Figure 9.13 - Enzyme Leach Africanium data contours. Figure 9.14 - Enzyme Leach Hafnium data contours. 	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52
Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours. Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours. Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours. Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours. Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours. Figure 9.9 - Enzyme Leach Tellurium data contours. Figure 9.10 - Enzyme Leach Mercury data contours. Figure 9.11 - Enzyme Leach Chrome data contours. Figure 9.12 - Enzyme Leach Titanium data contours. Figure 9.13 - Enzyme Leach Zirconium data contours. Figure 9.14 - Enzyme Leach Hafnium data contours. Figure 9.15 - Enzyme Leach Niobium data contours. Figure 9.16 - Enzyme Leach Tantalum data contours.	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53

Figure 9.20 - Enzyme Leach Cesium data contours.	56
Figure 23.1 - Google Earth image with the locations of adjacent gold properties relative to ES	SN.
	62
Figure 25.1 - Proposed targets for future exploration (from Friberg, 2019)	65
Figure 25.2 - Highest priority areas from Enzyme Leach Survey.	66

List of Tables

Table 2.1 - Abbreviations and Acronyms Used in Report.	7
Table 4.1 - Claims with BLM NV numbers.	13
Table 6.1 - Summary of previous exploration programs on the ESN property.	17
Table 9.1 - Locations of sample collected by the author in August 2020.	35
Table 9.2 - Selected results from samples collected by the author in August 2020.	35

1 Summary

1.2 Introduction

This Technical Report is prepared for ISM Resources Corp. (ISM, ISM Resources or the Company) (A Subsidiary of Ameriwest Lithium Inc.) (Ameriwest). Ameriwest is a publicly traded Canadian corporation with corporate offices in Vancouver, BC, Canada (CSE: AWLI, OTC: AWLIF, FSE: 5HVO).

The ESN property is an early stage gold exploration property that has been the subject of several exploration programs, including some drilling projects, for approximately 40 years.

1.3 Reliance on Other Experts

Maps of the mining claim locations were supplied by the property owner. The author verified the presence and location of many of the claim stakes and location documents on the ground. No title search of the property has been conducted by the author, nor by any other entity, to the author's knowledge.

Results of the Enzyme Leach soil survey were supplied to the author by Robert Friberg, a principal in Trend Resources LLC, the original owner of the ESN mining claims. The samples were collected by Mr. Friberg and by Locke Goldsmith, P.Eng. Both are Qualified Persons as defined by NI 43-101.

1.4 Property Description and Location

The property is located in White Pine County, Nevada approximately 35 miles (57 kilometers) west of the town of Ely, Nevada, the White Pine County Seat. The property position consists of a total of 33 unpatented lode claims. The claims cover an area of approximately 660 acres (267 hectares). The claims are staked on U. S. Government land administered by the U. S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the U. S. Forest Service (USFS).

On November 10, 2020, Ameriwest Lithium Inc. ("Ameriwest") acquired the rights under a Mining Lease and Option to Purchase Agreement between Trend Resources LLC ("Trend") and Emigrant Springs Gold Corporation ("Emigrant") dated August 3, 2020, as amended on October 31, 2020 (the "Option Agreement") pursuant to which Trend granted Ameriwest an option (the "Option") to acquire the mineral claims relating to the Emigrant Springs (ESN) project (the "Property"). The rights and obligations of Emigrant under the Option Agreement was assigned to Ameriwest pursuant to an assignment and novation agreement dated November 10, 2020. On February 4, 2022, the Option Agreement was amended and Ameriwest exercised the Option, acquiring the Property. ISM, which is a subsidiary of Ameriwest, acquired the Property pursuant to an asset transfer agreement with Ameriwest dated February 18, 2022. The Property remains subject to a 2% NSR to be paid to Trend and 2% NSR to be paid to Emigrant should the property reach production.

1.5 Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography

The ESN claim block falls between elevations of 6700 and 7300 feet (2045 and 2225 meters) above sea level. The topography is moderately rugged consisting of outcrops of the Paleozoic sediments and jasperoids along with the outwash slopes away from them. The area can partly be traversed by 4-wheel drive vehicles where roads to drill sites or to the Emigrant Spring have been built, but often with some difficulty. There are no maintained roads crossing the property, however the northeast edge of the claim block lies within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile (±0.7km) of a county-maintained gravel road. Relatively mild climatic conditions allow for field work to continue throughout most of the year.

1.6 History

There have been several phases of mineral exploration on the ESN property. The table below is a summary of the exploration efforts as they are presented in the reports and other materials presented to the author by Trend Resources. None of this information has been verified by the author except for the presence of several drillsites found from locations described in the materials.

Year	Entity	Work Performed	Source	Comments
1979- 1980	Earth Resources Co.	Geologic mapping, rock chip and soil sampling	Earth Res sampling & geologic map	2 Cross Sections, map
1980- 1983	Houston Int'l Minerals Corp.	Geologic mapping, rock sampling, soil sampling & drilled 19 RC holes	HIMCO & Bear Creek geologic maps	Drill hole location data from maps but no attitude, depth or assay data for drill holes
1983- 1984	Bear Creek Mining Co. Geologic mapping, rock sampling, drilled 3 or 4 rotary holes in 1983 and 1 rotary hole to 865' in 1984		Bear Creek geologic map and invoice from Eklund Drilling	Location data from maps but no assay data for drill holes
1989	189 USMX RC Drilling - Somewhere between 6 and 29 holes		Indicated on Homestake geologic map. Collar data in Homestake spreadsheet	Collar info but no assays
1995- 1996	5- Homestake Geologic mapping, rock sampling, geophysics (3.5 miles CSAMT) and 7 RC		Sterling and Dilles, 1996 Homestake report	Location data, geologic logs and assay data for 1996 drill holes. No assays for 1995 drilling. No CSAMT results.
2008- 2009	Rae Wallace Mining Co.	Geologic mapping, more than 100 rock samples	Map, rock sample assays (Mough/Friberg)	

Homestake had three phases of drilling. The first was in October 1995 with at least 6 RC holes (ES-3 through ES-9). For this first phase, logs are available but with no assays. They also drilled 27 RC holes in April-May and July-August 1996 totaling 17,180 feet (Sterling and Dilles, 1996). There are assay and stratigraphic information available for the 1996 drill holes.

Significant gold assays from the Homestake drilling (from Sterling and Dilles, 1996) are shown below:

NNV 4 15' of 0.012 opt @ 160'-175'

NNV 14	15' of 0.042 opt @ 355'-370' (includes 5' @ 0.10 opt)
	5' of 0.026 opt @ 610'-615'
NNV 15	5' of 0.014 opt @ 435'-440'
NNV 17	55' of 0.020 opt @ 130'-185' (interval interpolated for 11 samples, including 5
	with no recovery)
	5'of 0.011 opt @ 385'-390'
NNV 21	5' of 0.010 opt @ 320'-325'
NNV 23	5' of 0.013 opt @ 390'-395'
	15' of 0.026 opt @ 645'-660'
NNV 24	15' of 0.018 opt @ 365'-380'
NNV 27	10' of 0.015 opt (a) 440'-450'

1.7 Geologic Setting and Mineralization

The ESN Project is located on the western edge of the White Pine Mountains in the White Pine Mining District which are in the eastern sector of the Great Basin in east-central Nevada. The White Pine Mountains are one of the many mountain ranges that have been uplifted along north-striking steeply dipping normal faults formed during extension that formed the Great Basin Physiographic Province.

This region was subjected to east to west compression during the Sevier and Laramide orogenies in the Cretaceous and early Tertiary. This compression resulted in the formation of broadly north-trending folds and thrust faults (Pennington, et al, 2012). Mesozoic compression is marked by thrust displacements generally confined to specific units. Extension beginning in the middle Tertiary has affected much of southwestern North America, resulting in the Basin and Range style of physiography.

The majority of the property is concealed by a veneer of Recent alluvium, with outcropping Paleozoic sediments exposed over about one-third of the area. The bedrock units include the Devils Gate Limestone, Pilot Shale, Joanna Limestone, and Chainman Shale. Each of these units is an important host for mineralization in the region. These units are present in an upright stratigraphic section, cut by steep normal faults. Scattered erosional windows exposing bedrock indicate the overlying alluvium is relatively thin. No exposures of igneous rock have been observed (Mough, 2010).

The dominant structural element on the Project is a regional thrust, localized within the Joanna Formation. Strong normal faults trending N-S and NE control topography on the Project but are not directly exposed. These N-S elements appear to control alteration in the central portion of the Project, while the Joanna thrust has controlled stratiform silicification throughout the district (Mough, 2010).

Alteration and mineralization are widespread in the Project area and take a variety of styles, largely influenced by rock type. Jasperoidal silicification is the most conspicuous alteration. The jasperoids are present as two separate events and are pervasively developed in the highly fractured Joana Limestone.

1.8 Deposit Types

The ESN Project is envisioned to have potential for a Carlin-type gold deposit. Carlin-type gold deposits are epigenetic, disseminated, auriferous pyrite (marcasite or arsenopyrite) deposits characterized by carbonate dissolution, argillic alteration, sulfidation, and silicification of typically calcareous sedimentary rocks (Hofstra and Cline, 2000). The deposits occur in clusters or along trends and exhibit both structural and stratigraphic controls (Cline, et al, 2005). The ESN Project occurs at the intersection of the Battle Mountain and Bald Mountain trends.

The ESN Project shares many of the features that have been used to define Carlin-type gold deposits. Among them are:

- Occurrence along NNE trending high angle faults
- Low angle thrust fault(s)
- Occurrence in Paleozoic sedimentary rocks
- Alteration types
 - Decalcification
 - o Argillic
 - Silicification / Jasperoid
 - Oxidation
- Stratigraphic and structural controls to mineralization
- Anomalous values of As, Sb, Hg
- Mineralization in an anticlinal structure
- Mineralization primarily in Joana Limestone but also in Chainman Formation

1.9 Exploration

ISM Resources has performed an Enzyme Leach geochemical soil survey on the property. The Enzyme Leach survey samples were collected in June 2021 by Robert Friberg, a principal in Trend Resources, the company that owns the ESN claims, and by Locke Goldsmith, P.Eng. a contract geologist. Both are Qualified Persons. A total of 138 soil samples were collected across the ESN property on east-west lines, spaced 750 feet apart. Samples were taken at a 300-foot spacing along the lines. The samples were processed by Skyline Laboratories, Tucson, Arizona. Results of the survey indicate 3 main areas of the claims that should be targeted for future exploration efforts.

1.10 Drilling

ISM Resources has not yet performed any drilling on the subject property. Historic drilling programs are described in Section 6 – History.

1.11 Sample Preparation, Analyses and Security

Samples thus far collected by ISM include 7 surface rock samples collected by the author during the site visit to the property in August of 2020 and 138 Enzyme Leach samples collected in June

of 2021. The rock samples were kept in the author's possession until submittal to ALS Laboratories.

Soil material for the Enzyme Leach survey was collected in sample tubes provided by Skyline Laboratories to hermetically seal the sample. The samples were kept in the samplers' possession or under lock and key at all times. The samples were kept cool to prevent sample degradation prior to the samples' submittal to Skyline, an ISO certified lab, for analysis.

1.12 Data Verification

Most of the data for this ESN Technical report were supplied to the author from the files of Trend Resources LLC, the owner of the property. Much of the data came in the form of old reports, the content of which could not be verified. There have been no limitations on his verification of any of the data presented in this report. The author is of the opinion that all data presented in this report.

1.13 Mineral Processing and Metallurgical Testing

No metallurgical testing is known to have been performed on samples from the ESN Project.

1.14 Mineral Resource Estimates

Insufficient data have been generated for the ESN Project to undertake a mineral resource estimate.

1.23 Adjacent Properties

There are several nearby Carlin-type gold deposits that have similar features to the ESN Project. These are the Green Springs deposit approximately 10 miles to the south of ESN, the Easy Junior/Gold Rock deposit 11 miles southwest of ESN, the Pan Mine 11 miles to the west and the Griffon deposit 18 miles to the southeast. Green Springs, Easy Junior/Gold Rock and Griffon have all had past production and are actively being explored at this time. The Pan mine is currently in production, having produced +45,000 ounces of gold in 2020.

The adjacent properties have all been described as having analogous styles of mineralization, are preferentially deposited in the same stratigraphic horizons and have the same alteration patterns and pathfinder elements as the ESN Project. All except the Griffon deposit had these descriptions in NI 43-101 compliant reports (Dufrense, et al, 2020) (Hulse, et al, 2015) (Pennington, et al, 2017) (Russel, 2015). However, the author has not been able to verify the information and the information is not necessarily indicative of the mineralization found at the ESN Project.

1.24 Other Relevant Data and Information

No other relevant data or information is known to exist that would make the report more understandable and not misleading.

1.25 Interpretation and Conclusions

The ESN Project has undergone several past exploration programs by various companies. From the information supplied to the author, it is indicated that at least 50 reverse circulation drill holes have been drilled and several hundred rock and soil samples have been collected and

analyzed. Unfortunately, much of the data from these programs have been lost and none of the information has been verified by the author, except that several of the old drill sites were located on the ground. Prominent similarities to Carlin-type gold deposits and to the nearby properties with gold production have been found to be present at ESN, as verified by the author's rock sampling and by the enzyme leach sampling. Indications are that the ESN Project has the potential to host one or more Carlin-type mineral deposits. It is the author's opinion that further exploration at ESN is definitely warranted.

From the Enzyme Leach survey, three target zones have been indicated from what is currently known from past exploration programs. These areas should be the focus of future exploration expenditures.

1.26 Recommendations

Geophysical surveys and additional Enzyme Leach soil sampling is recommended for the next exploration phase. Initially a gravity survey is recommended. Gravity is a relatively inexpensive method that is expected to continue to point to general areas of interest. It will also help to define which geophysical method is to be attempted next.

Following the gravity survey and depending on its findings, either a Controlled Source Audiofrequency Magnetotellurics (CSAMT) or an Induce Polarization (IP) survey should be conducted. These methods will extend the knowledge of the subsurface geology an provide targets for drilling.

The first round of Enzyme Leach sampling provided additional data to target future exploration. In the next exploration phase, additional Enzyme Leach soil sampling is recommended. Approximately the same number of samples as the first survey should be collected and should extend the coverage toward the south.

The cost of the sampling and geophysical programs is expected to be approximately \$150,000.

The second recommendation is to drill the areas indicated by the Enzyme Leach soil sampling and the geophysical methods. This phase is dependent on the results of the sampling and geophysical phases. The average hole depth would be approximately 700 feet (215 meters) for a total program of 3500 feet (1100 meters). The cost of the drilling portion of the program is estimated to be US\$300,000.

2 Introduction

This Technical Report is prepared for ISM Resources Corp. (ISM or the Company) (A Subsidiary of Ameriwest Lithium Inc.) (Ameriwest). Ameriwest is a publicly traded Canadian corporation with corporate offices in Vancouver, BC, Canada (CSE: AWLI, OTC: AWLIF, FSE: 5HVO).

The ESN property is an early stage gold exploration property that has been the subject of several exploration programs, including some drilling projects, for approximately 40 years.

The majority of information contained in this report was supplied by the property owner, Trend Resources LLC. Other information has been taken from various sources and, when possible, verified by the author. These other sources include:

- Published literature
- Unpublished reports
- U. S. Bureau of Land Management MLRS website for verification of claim status
- Websites and NI 43-101 reports of competitor companies

Sources are referenced in the text of this document, where appropriate.

The author made a site visit to the ESN property, that is the subject of this report on August 29-31, 2020. During the visit, the author collected samples for assay and for hand specimen, observed the geology and took photographs.

DIM	
BLM	U. S. Bureau of Land Management
Ag	Chemical symbol for silver
As	Chemical symbol for arsenic
Au	Chemical symbol for gold
g/t	Grams per metric tonne
Hg	Chemical symbol for mercury
km	Kilometer
m	Meter
oz/t	Troy ounces per short ton
NSR	Net Smelter Return
PEA	Preliminary Economic Assessment
ppb	Parts per billion
ppm	Parts per million
RC	Reverse circulation
Sb	Chemical symbol for antimony
USFS	U. S. Forest Service

Table 2.1 - Abbreviations and Acronyms Used in Report.

3 Reliance on Other Experts

Maps of the mining claim locations were supplied by the property owner. The author verified the presence and location of many of the claim stakes and location documents on the ground (Figure 3.1). No title search of the property has been conducted by the author, nor by any other entity, to the author's knowledge.

Results of the Enzyme Leach soil survey were supplied to the author by Robert Friberg, a principal in Trend Resources LLC, the original owner of the ESN mining claims. The samples were collected by Mr. Friberg and by Locke Goldsmith, P.Eng. Both are Qualified Persons as defined by NI 43-101.

Other than this, all sections of the report are the sole responsibility of author Bradley C. Peek, MSc., CPG.



Figure 3.1 - Claim corner witnessed by the author on August 29,2020.

4 Property Description and Location

The property is located in White Pine County, Nevada approximately 35 miles (57 kilometers) west of the town of Ely, Nevada, the White Pine County Seat (Figure 4.1). The property position consists of a total of 33 unpatented lode claims. The claims cover an area of approximately 660 acres (267 hectares). The claims are staked on U. S. Government land administered by the U. S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the U. S. Forest Service (USFS). Each claim covers an area of roughly 20 acres (8.1 hectares). The claims are in one contiguous group. These claims are located in portions of Sections 27, 33 and 34 of Township T17N, R57E and Section 4, T16N, R57E, Mt. Diablo Principal Meridian (Figure 4.2). There are two parcels of private property in the area of the claims. These are shown in blue in Figure 4.2. The ESN claims overlap both of the parcels of private ownership.



Figure 4.1 - Property location map within Nevada.

Page 11




Figure 4.2 – Google Earth image showing the ESN claims, private land and BLM/USFS boundary.

Page 12

All 33 unpatented lode claims were staked by Trend Resources LLC of Reno, Nevada. Table 4.1 is a listing of the claim names and BLM NV numbers for the claims that have been recorded with the BLM. A check of BLM's MLRS website showed that the claims with NV numbers are listed as active through the 2022 mining claim assessment year.

Claim	Claim No.	Claim No.	BLM No.	BLM No.
Туре	From	То	From	То
Lode	ESN 1	ESN 1	NV105217692	NV105217692
Lode	ESN 2	ESN 2	NV101544141	NV101544141
Lode	ESN 3	ESN 3	NV105217693	NV105217693
Lode	ESN 4	ESN 4	NV101544142	NV101544142
Lode	ESN 5	ESN 5	NV105217694	NV105217694
Lode	ESN 6	ESN 6	NV101544143	NV101544143
Lode	ESN 7	ESN 15	NV101545449	NV101545457
Lode	ESN 16	ESN 17	NV105217695	NV105217696
Lode	ESN 18	ESN 22	NV101545458	NV101545462
Lode	ESN 23	ESN 24	NV105217697	NV105217698
Lode	ESN 25	ESN 30	NV105217699	NV105217703
Lode	ESN 31	ESN 33	NV105217908	NV105217910

Table 4.1 - Claims with BLM NV numbers.

On November 10, 2020, Ameriwest Lithium Inc. ("Ameriwest") acquired the rights under a Mining Lease and Option to Purchase Agreement between Trend Resources LLC ("Trend") and Emigrant Springs Gold Corporation ("Emigrant") dated August 3, 2020, as amended on October 31, 2020 (the "Option Agreement") pursuant to which Trend granted Ameriwest an option (the "Option") to acquire the mineral claims relating to the Emigrant Springs (ESN) project (the "Property"). The rights and obligations of Emigrant under the Option Agreement was assigned to Ameriwest pursuant to an assignment and novation agreement dated November 10, 2020. On February 4, 2022, the Option Agreement was amended and Ameriwest exercised the Option, acquiring the Property. ISM, which is a subsidiary of Ameriwest, acquired the Property pursuant to an asset transfer agreement with Ameriwest dated February 18, 2022. The Property remains subject to a 2% NSR to be paid to Trend and 2% NSR to be paid to Emigrant should the property reach production.

All claims are located on unencumbered (except for the two 2% NSR royalties stated above) U. S. Government land managed by the BLM and USFS. Annual holding costs for the claims are \$165 per claim per year to the BLM, due August 31st. There is also a \$12 per claim annual Intent to Hold / Annual Labor fee to be paid to White Pine County each year. There is no set expiration of the claims as long as these payments are made annually.

Currently, there are no known significant factors or risks that may affect access, title or the right or ability to perform work on the ISM claim area.

The land under claim contains no buildings or other structures. There are no known mineralized zones on or below the surface of the staked land, other than those defined by the exploration efforts described in this report. To the author's knowledge there are no environmental liabilities associated with the property position, nor any mine workings (other than one caved adit) or development of any sort.


5 Accessibility, Climate, Local Resources, Infrastructure and Physiography


The ESN claim block falls between elevations of 6700 and 7300 feet (2045 and 2225 meters) above sea level. The topography is moderately rugged consisting of outcrops of the Paleozoic sediments and jasperoids along with the outwash slopes away from them. The area can partly be traversed by 4-wheel drive vehicles where roads to drill sites or to the Emigrant Spring have been built, but often with some difficulty. There are no maintained roads crossing the property, however the northeast edge of the claim block lies within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile (±0.7km) of a county-maintained gravel road.

The lower side of the property is covered with sage brush while the majority of the property, above approximately 6800 feet (2075m), is pinion-juniper forest. The area lies in the eastern rain shadow of the Sierra Nevada and is high desert. Ely, the nearest town of any size and the seat of White Pine County has a population of 3,924 persons and an average annual precipitation of 9.76 inches (248 mm). In July, the hottest month, it has an average high temperature of $87.6^{\circ}F$ ($30.9^{\circ}C$) and an average low temperature of $48.1^{\circ}F$ ($8.9^{\circ}C$). In December, the coldest month, it has an average high temperature of $39.5^{\circ}F$ ($4.2^{\circ}C$) and an average low of $11.0^{\circ}F$ ($-11.7^{\circ}C$) (Source: Wikipedia.org).

Ely, Nevada is located about 35 miles (57 km) east of the property. Figure 5.1 below is a graphic representation of the Ely average monthly temperatures and rainfall (Source: usclimatedata.com).

The relatively mild climatic conditions allow for field work to continue throughout most of the year.





Page 15

The property can be accessed from Ely by driving northwest on U. S. Highway 50 for 45 miles (72 kilometers) and then south on the county-maintained gravel road for 7 miles (11 kilometers). From the county road the northwest edge of the claim block is approximately 0.5 miles (0.7km) on 3 different two-track unmaintained dirt roads.

Power is believed to be available from the Mt. Hamilton mine area, approximately 3 miles (4.5km) to the south of the ESN claim block. Northern Nevada is the most mining-friendly jurisdiction in the U. S. with numerous mines currently operating in the region.

6 History

There have been several phases of mineral exploration on the ESN property. Some of the early programs referred to the prospect as "Emigrant Springs" due to the location of Emigrant Spring adjacent to the property. This should not be confused with the Emigrant (sometimes referred to as "Emigrant Springs") deposit on the south end of the Carlin Trend in the Rain Subdistrict.

Table 6.1 is a summary of the exploration efforts as they are known to the author. The original owner of the property, Trend Resources LLC, was able to acquire some of the data from previous company's programs, but unfortunately much of the data have been lost or have not yet been located.

The information in Table 6.1 and the following explanation came primarily from the Trend Resources files. Several hand-drawn and hand-drafted maps that show the locations of the geology, rock and soil samples and drill hole locations are expressed in methods that were in use at the time they were generated. None of the information has been verified by the author, except that some of the old drillsites were found by the author during the site visit.

Year	Entity	Work Performed	Source	Comments
1979- 1980	Earth Resources Co.	Geologic mapping, rock chip and soil sampling	Earth Res sampling & geologic map	2 Cross Sections, map
1980- 1983	Houston Int'l Minerals Corp.	Geologic mapping, rock sampling, soil sampling & drilled 19 RC holes	HIMCO & Bear Creek geologic maps	Drill hole location data from maps but no attitude, depth or assay data for drill holes
1983- 1984	Bear Creek Mining Co.	Geologic mapping, rock sampling, drilled 3 or 4 rotary holes in 1983 and 1 rotary hole to 865' in 1984	Bear Creek geologic map and invoice from Eklund Drilling	Location data from maps but no assay data for drill holes
1989	USMX	RC Drilling - Somewhere between 6 and 29 holes	Indicated on Homestake geologic map. Collar data in Homestake spreadsheet	Collar info but no assays
1995- 1996	Homestake Mining Co.	Geologic mapping, rock sampling, geophysics (3.5 miles CSAMT) and 7 RC holes in 1995 (?) and 17,180 feet of RC drilling in 27 holes in 1996	Sterling and Dilles, 1996 Homestake report	Location data, geologic logs and assay data for 1996 drill holes. No assays for 1995 drilling. No CSAMT results.
2008- 2009	Rae Wallace Mining Co.	Geologic mapping, more than 100 rock samples	Map, rock sample assays (Mough/Friberg)	

Table 6.1 - Summary of previous exploration programs on the ESN property.

Earth Resources was the first company known to have staked claims over the property. In 1980 - 1983, Houston International Minerals Corporation (HIMCO) acquired Earth Resources and conducted drilling on the south part of the Project. Their drilling (14(?) RC holes) was apparently all vertical and was concentrated near prominent jasperoids. No results from the drilling are known. A geologic map of the area was also produced.

USMX drilled at least 9 holes in 1989 in the east-central part of the Project, in an area where jasperoids in the Chainman Shale have returned anomalous gold values. Drilling results for this round of drilling are also unknown.

Homestake Mining Company had the most extensive exploration program on the property, which they designated the "North Newark Valley" project. Homestake had two periods of drilling. The first was in October 1995 with at least six reverse circulation holes. The original hand-written logs for the 6 holes are available (Holes ES-3, ES-5 thru ES-9) but no assays have been located. These 6 holes totaled 1,760 feet (536 meters).

Homestake also drilled 27 RC holes in April-May and July-August 1996 totaling 17,180 feet (Sterling and Dilles, 1996). Unfortunately, these are the only drill holes for which there are assays and stratigraphic information. The original hand-written drill hole logs are available for the NNV holes, along with the assay certificates for most of them from Chemex Laboratories. Maps from the Homestake files indicate that and additional 20+ drill holes were proposed for the project but were apparently never drilled.

Significant gold assays from the Homestake drilling (from Sterling and Dilles, 1996) are shown below:

NNV 4	15' of 0.012 opt @ 160'-175'
NNV 14	15' of 0.042 opt @ 355'-370' (includes 5' @ 0.10 opt)
	5' of 0.026 opt @ 610'-615'
NNV 15	5' of 0.014 opt @ 435'-440'
NNV 17	55' of 0.020 opt @ 130'-185' (interval interpolated for 11 samples, including 5
	with no recovery)
	5'of 0.011 opt @ 385'-390'
NNV 21	5' of 0.010 opt @ 320'-325'
NNV 23	5' of 0.013 opt @ 390'-395'
	15' of 0.026 opt @ 645'-660'
NNV 24	15' of 0.018 opt @ 365'-380'
NNV 27	10' of 0.015 opt @ 440'-450'

Figure 6.1 shows the locations of all of the known drill holes on the property. The locations were taken from various hand-drawn and drafted maps by company personnel. The image shows the distribution of historic drill holes as it is currently known. "HMC" = Homestake Mining Co. As stated previously, none of the information has been verified by the author with the exception of the presence of some of the drillsites represented on the maps.




Figure 6.1 – Aerial photo showing the distribution of historic drill holes.

Page 19

Figure 6.2 is a graphical representation of the significant drill intercepts from the Homestake 1996 program in the northern area of the claim block. It is evident that this area has significant potential for the discovery of a Carlin-type mineral deposit.

Rae Wallace Mining Company worked the property in 2008 and 2009. During that time, they collected more than 100 rock samples. The results of that sampling were made available and indicate significantly anomalous gold values up to 5.7 g/t Au. Figure 6.3 illustrates the higher-grade results from the Rae Wallace rock sampling.




Figure 6.2 - Map of the northern area of the ESN claims with significant drill intercepts (from Friberg, 2019)

Page 20




Figure 6.3 - Significant assay values from the Rae Wallace Mining Co. rock sampling (from *Friberg, 2019*).

7 Geologic Setting and Mineralization

7.1 Regional Geology

The ESN Project is located on the western edge of the White Pine Mountains in the White Pine Mining District which are in the eastern sector of the Great Basin in east-central Nevada. The White Pine Mountains are one of the many mountain ranges that have been uplifted along north-striking steeply dipping normal faults formed during extension that formed the Great Basin Physiographic Province. This region was subjected to east to west compression during the Sevier and Laramide orogenies in the Cretaceous and early Tertiary. This compression resulted in the formation of broadly north-trending folds and thrust faults (Pennington, et al, 2012). Mesozoic compression is marked by thrust displacements generally confined to specific units. Extension beginning in the middle Tertiary has affected much of southwestern North America, resulting in the Basin and Range style of physiography that is present from southern Oregon to central Mexico. Cambrian through Pennsylvanian strata are dominated by carbonates, with lesser siliciclastics in a section with several important regional unconformities.

Late Mesozoic felsic intrusive centers are restricted to district scale features and frequently are sites of mineralization (i.e., Mt. Hamilton, Bald Mtn.). Tertiary volcanics and sediments were developed in local fields and basins and regional units are not seen.

Figure 7.1 shows the generalized stratigraphic column of the eastern Great Basin from Lawrence, et al (2007). The portion of the graphic that would be most pertinent to the ESN Project would be the central portion of the diagram for Eastern Nevada and the Mississippian and Devonian rock units.




Figure 7.1 - Generalized stratigraphic column for the eastern Great Basin (from Lawrence, et al, 2007).




Figure 7.2 - Time sequence of major tectonic events of the eastern Great Basin (from Lawrence, et al, 2007).

Large scale extension has broken the region into elongate fault blocks forming mountain ranges and alluvium filled valleys – Basin and Range topography. The White Pine Mountains are one of the many mountain ranges that have been uplifted along north-striking steeply dipping normal faults (Pennington, et al, 2012) (Mough, 2010). Figure 7.3 is a map of White Pine County, Nevada showing the typical Basin and Range topography (from Hose, et al, 1976).




Figure 7.3 - Physiographic map of White Pine County (Hose, et al, 1976)

Geologic mapping in the vicinity of the Project area by the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology is shown in Figure 7.4. The key to the formation names on the map is on the following page.




Figure 7.4 - Geology in the vicinity of the ESN Project (from Hose, et al, 1976, Plate 1).

Key to Figure 7.4 Sedimentary Rocks Quaternary Qs Sedimentary Rocks Tertiary Tys Younger sedimentary and volcanic rocks Tov Older ash-flow tuffs Permian-Pennsylvanian ₽₽ Riepe Spring Limestone of Steele (1960) and Ely Limestone Mississippian Md Diamond Peak Formation in part of the White Pine Range and west Mississippian-Devonian MD Chainman Shale, Joana Limestone, and Pilot Shale Devonian Du Guilmette Limestone and Simonson and Sevy Dolomites, undivided Dd **Devils Gate Limestone** Ds Simonson and Sevy Dolomites Cambrian €u Upper Part €m Middle Part Igneous Rocks Cretaceous

Ki

Intrusive rocks

7.2 Local Geology

The majority of the property is concealed by a veneer of Recent alluvium, with outcropping Paleozoic sediments exposed over about one-third of the area; these rocks include the Devils Gate Limestone, Pilot Shale, Joanna Limestone, and Chainman Shale. Each of these units is an important host for mineralization in the region. These units are present in an upright stratigraphic section, cut by steep normal faults. Scattered erosional windows exposing bedrock indicate the overlying alluvium is relatively thin. No exposures of igneous rock have been observed (Mough, 2010).

Stratigraphy

The oldest exposed unit is the Devonian Devils Gate Limestone, a regionally extensive unit equivalent to the Guilmette Limestone. This is a grey micritic limestone, thick to massive bedded, weathering to bold cliffs and outcrops. Total thickness in the region is about 350 m. Generally, it has low permeability, but forms a host rock to historic silver deposits in the Mt. Hamilton district and the nearby Pan gold deposit. Exploration to date has shown it to be a footwall to gold mineralization (Mough, 2010).

Conformably overlying the Devils Gate is the Pilot Shale of Devonian-Mississippian age, a tan to olive silty shale-siltstone, locally dolomitic. It is a laminated to thin bedded, platy weathering unit forming rounded slopes; thickness averages 60 m. Locally some sandstone is present at and near the base. This is an important host to regional gold deposits. The Pilot Shale in the White Pine Mining district is believed to be to be 150 - 200 feet (45 - 60 meters) thick by Humphrey (1960).

Overlying this conformably(?) is the Joana Limestone of Mississippian age. A critical regional structure and alteration obscure detailed contact relations. This is a silty, micritic limestone, thin to medium bedded, with abundant crinoid fossils and commonly with a basal quartz arenite bed. Thickness is variable, averaging about 70 meters but locally attenuated or repeated by thrusting. This unit forms a host rock to several local gold deposits. Humphrey (1960) stated that the Joana is between 150 and 200 feet (45 and 75 meters) thick in the White Pine Mining District.

Conformably overlying the Joana is the Chainman Shale of Mississippian age. The unit includes grey to black, organic rich shale, shaly limestone and limestone, sandstone and sandy shale. Generally, a section of limestone and limy beds is present near the base, with shale forming most of the formation; sandstone increases up-section. The thickness of the Chainman "exceeds 500 feet" (150 meters) (Humphrey, 1960).

A section of boulder to cobble-size alluvium, derived from lithologies exposed in the adjacent ridges, mantles much of the lower elevations. A maximum thickness of 40 m is indicated (Mough, 2010).

Structure:

The dominant structural element on the Project is a regional thrust, localized within the Joanna Formation. Variable, differential motion on this thrust has both attenuated and thickened the Joanna but does not appear to have significantly affected other units. By inference, this motion is

east-directed and of Mesozoic age. Strong normal faults trending N-S and NE control topography on the Project but are not directly exposed. Weaker NW trending faults are also present. No detailed structural work has been attempted on the Project. These N-S elements appear to control alteration in the central portion of the Project, while the Joanna thrust has controlled stratiform silicification throughout the district (Mough, 2010).

Alteration/Mineralization:

Alteration and mineralization are widespread in the Project area and take a variety of styles, largely influenced by rock type. Jasperoidal silicification is the most conspicuous alteration. The jasperoids are present as two separate events and are pervasively developed in the highly fractured Joana Limestone. They almost everywhere accompanied by strong hematite and limonite staining. The jasperoid forms bold cliffs and bluffs in the southern portion of the Project. Locally, the jasperoid is overprinted by younger jasperoid breccia and fault fill. Some of the jasperoids are marked by drusy quartz and less common small white quartz veins.



Figure 7.5 - Jasperoid outcrop in the Chainman Shale.

Strong hematite-limonite-geothite impregnations and flooding are found locally. They are subgossanous to massive with variable silica and are generally confined to the Chainman Shale, although locally are also present on structures within the Joana. Bold red-brown and maroon fracture coatings and weathered surfaces are common in the sandier portions of the Chainman and may reflect the continental derivation of these sediments or oxidation sometimes associated with Carlin-type alteration. Limy beds in the Chainman are weakly bleached to strongly jasperoidal near structures.



Figure 7.6 - Jasperoid breccia within the Chainman Shale.

Pervasive weak bleaching is present in the Pilot Shale, producing a uniform light tan-pink weakly leached appearing float. Significant silicification is confined to within a few meters of the basal contact. The Devils Gate Limestone hosts weak local calcite veining and rare pods and breccias of jasperoid with a few bleached beds. Carbon remobilization, while certainly present, is disorderly and variable. Traces of barite have been seen as an apparent late mineral stage but are rare (Mough, 2010).

At least 4 companies produced geologic maps of the ESN Project area: Houston International Minerals, Bear Creek Mining Company, Homestake Mining Company and Rae Wallace Mining Company. The most recent attempt was from the Rae Wallace era and is presented below as Figure 7.7 (from Friberg, 2019). Formational abbreviations used in Figure 7.7 are as follows:

- Qa Quaternary Alluvium J – Jasperoid KTss – Cretaceous-Tertiary Sandstone Mch – Mississippian Chainman Shale Mj – Mississippian Joana Limestone Mp – Mississippian Pilot Shale
- Ddg Devonian Devils Gate Limestone




Figure 7.7 - Geologic map of the Project area (from Friberg, 2019).

8 Deposit Types

The ESN Project is envisioned to have potential for a Carlin-type gold deposit. Since their discovery in the 1960s, Carlin-type deposits have made northern Nevada one of the leading gold producing areas of the world and by far the leading gold producing state with around 75% of the U. S. production (Sheaffer and Simmons, 2020).




Figure 8.1 - U. S. gold production, June 2018-June 2020 (Sheaffer and Simmons, 2020).

Carlin-type gold deposits are epigenetic, disseminated, auriferous pyrite (marcasite or arsenopyrite) deposits characterized by carbonate dissolution, argillic alteration, sulfidation, and silicification of typically calcareous sedimentary rocks (Hofstra and Cline, 2000). Forty years of mining and numerous studies have provided a detailed geologic picture of the deposits, yet a comprehensive and widely accepted genetic model remains elusive. The genesis of the deposits has been difficult to determine owing to difficulties in identifying and analyzing the fine-grained, volumetrically minor, and common ore and gangue minerals, and because of postore weathering and oxidation. In addition, other approximately contemporaneous precious metal deposits have overprinted, or are overprinted by, Carlin-type mineralization. The deposits occur in clusters or along trends and exhibit both structural and stratigraphic controls (Cline, et al, 2005). In recent years, many gold mines in northern Nevada have had success drilling beneath the open pit reserves to intersect the high grade feeder structures of their deposits. The ESN Project occurs at the intersection of the Battle Mountain and Bald Mountain trends (Figure 8.2).




Figure 8.2 - Major gold mine trends in northern Nevada in relation to the ESN Project (from Friberg, 2019).

Page 33

A multitude of scholarly papers have been written over the years which compare and contrast the attributes of the various Carlin-type deposits in northern and central Nevada. The arguments for and against the various characteristics as they apply to the ESN Project are beyond the scope of this report, especially since so little is known of the ESN project's finer aspects. Nonetheless, the ESN Project shares many of the features that have been used to define Carlin-type gold deposits. Among them are:

- Occurrence along NNE trending high angle faults
- Low angle thrust fault(s)
- Occurrence in Paleozoic sedimentary rocks
- Alteration types
 - Decalcification
 - o Argillic
 - Silicification / Jasperoid
 - Oxidation
- Stratigraphic and structural controls to mineralization
- Anomalous values of As, Sb, Hg
- Mineralization in an anticlinal structure
- Mineralization primarily in Joana Limestone but also in Chainman Formation

9 Exploration

9.1 Rock Samples Collected by the Author

During the author's site visit to the ESN property in August 2020, seven rock samples were collected and analyzed, primarily to confirm that there is a mineralized system present at the ESN property. The locations of the samples were recorded using a handheld Garmin GPS.

			UTM	I NAD83	ELEVATION
SAMPLE ID	Latitude	Longitude	Easting	Northing	(m)
ESN 320502	39.29657397	-115.55396	624694	4350684	2127
ESN 320503	39.29763101	-115.55500	624602	4350800	2111
ESN 320504	39.29764400	-115.55502	624601	4350801	2109
ESN 320505	39.29795397	-115.55447	624648	4350837	2111
ESN 320506	39.29880104	-115.55430	624661	4350931	2106
ESN 320507	39.29881596	-115.55419	624671	4350933	2105
ESN 320508	39.29765197	-115.55482	624618	4350803	2111

 Table 9.1 - Locations of sample collected by the author in August 2020.

The samples were shipped to ALS Laboratories in Reno, Nevada, where they were analyzed for 51 elements. Results for some of the more important elements are shown in Table 9.2.

Sample ID	Ag ppm	As ppm	Au ppm	Cr ppm	Cs ppm	Cu ppm	Hg ppm	Mo ppm	Sb ppm	Te ppm	Zn ppm
ESN 320502	0.06	222	0.24	22	0.43	15.7	0.11	3.30	7.43	1.24	383
ESN 320503	0.86	109	0.14	37	0.58	21.0	0.90	3.68	25.6	3.32	383
ESN 320504	0.05	341	< 0.02	20	0.36	23.2	0.06	11.65	17.4	0.11	1600
ESN 320505	0.07	311	< 0.02	17	1.14	22.2	1.09	5.81	48.3	0.69	629
ESN 320506	0.68	260	0.03	8	0.46	12.9	0.70	4.94	20.5	2.61	373
ESN 320507	0.80	305	0.30	18	0.29	46.1	0.31	3.78	12.6	1.70	316
ESN 320508	0.05	190	< 0.02	35	0.99	27.0	0.33	6.63	15.6	0.15	404

 Table 9.2 - Selected results from samples collected by the author in August 2020.

The results confirm that there is definitely a mineralizing system beneath the ESN property that is expressing itself at surface.

9.2 Enzyme Leach Survey

An Enzyme Leach survey was performed over the claims in 2021. The samples were collected in June by Robert Friberg, a principal in Trend Resources, the company that owns the ESN claims, and by Locke Goldsmith, P.Eng. a contract geologist. Both are Qualified Persons. A total of 138 soil samples were collected across the ESN property on east-west lines, spaced 750

feet apart. Samples were taken at a 300-foot spacing along the lines. Figure 9.1 shows the locations of the sample sites within the ESN claim group.

The Enzyme Leach method uses a weak leaching solution to selective dissolve the amorphous MnO₂ coatings on surface grains. MnO₂ acts as a 'collector' of a number of elements which are then analytically read in the ppb and ppt range by ICP-Mass Spectrometry.

The samples were taken in accordance with instructions as outlined by Skyline Assayers & Laboratories in Tucson, Arizona. Skyline analyzed these samples by an enzyme process which detects elemental values that have ionically mobilized to the surface. Dr. Robert Clark, geochemist and owner of Skyline Labs and the patented Enzyme Leach process, produced contours of the elements and authored a report explaining the significance of the results. Skyline is an ISO certified and accredited laboratory.

The contoured data for each element and a discussion of each element's significance are displayed below.




Figure 9.1 - Locations of enzyme leach sample sties.

9.3 Enzyme Leach – Main Elements

9.3.1 Gold

Gold can form highs over subsurface enrichments. Gold can also form highs where the oxidizing conditions are most intense. In Figure 9.2, the gold-colored dots are probably a central high and the magenta dots show other Au trends.




Figure 9.2 - Enzyme Leach Au data contours.

9.3.2 Chlorine

Chlorine is an indicator of strongly oxidizing conditions in the subsurface, due to the oxidation of minerals. The blue dots show a nested halo pattern, which indicates a broad area of both chemical oxidation and reduction in the subsurface. A discrete small halo around site ESN-77 may indicate a mineralized area in the subsurface




Figure 9.3 - Enzyme Leach Chlorine data contours.

9.3.3 Antimony

Antimony often forms halos around the cores of mineralized systems. Note the halo centered on site ESN-75 and 76.




Figure 9.4 - Enzyme Leach Antimony data contours.

9.3.4 Bromine

Bromine often forms halos around mineralized areas in the subsurface. This small Br halo is centered on site ESN-77.




Figure 9.5 - Enzyme Leach Bromine data contours.

9.3.5 Iodine

Iodine, much like Cl and Br, is an indicator of oxidation of reduced (often mineralized) zones in the subsurface.




Figure 9.6 - Enzyme Leach Iodine data contours.

9.3.6 Vanadium

Vanadium is another element that forms patterns near and around mineralized zones in the subsurface.




Figure 9.7 - Enzyme Leach Vanadium data contours.

9.3.7 Molybdenum

Molybdenum often forms partial-to-full halos around or near mineralized zones in the subsurface. Note the haloed area going from ESN-77 to ESN-98. The magenta dots show the Au trends, and the gold dots show the central Au halo.




Figure 9.8 - Enzyme Leach Molybdenum data contours.

9.3.8 Tellurium

Tellurium is often concentrated in the lower temperature outer margins of a mineralized system. The magenta dots show the Au trends, and the gold dots show the central Au halo.




Figure 9.9 - Enzyme Leach Tellurium data contours.

9.3.9 Mercury

Mercury highs are often over the areas of greatest Hg mineral enrichment. The magenta dots show the Au trends, and the gold dots show the central Au halo.




Figure 9.10 - Enzyme Leach Mercury data contours.

9.4 Micaceous and Argillic Alteration Indicators

High-field-strength elements often are indicators of alteration patterns. Chrome is sometimes found highly enriched in micas in gold mineralized systems. Illite-smectite clays in argillic alteration zones can host a variety of trace metals in their mineral lattice. In hydrothermal alteration systems, these clays often are enriched in Ti, Cr, Zr, Nb, Hf, and Ta. Trace metals like Sn can also be enriched in these alteration clays. The patterns of these trace elements can often be used to map argillic alteration as well as to show zoning within the altered areas due to relative distributions of these elements. The following images are the contoured data of the high-field-strength elements.

9.4.1 Chrome

The strong Cr highs in the southern part of the area, probably show areas of Cr-rich micas. These would be found nearer and around the center of the mineralized system.




Figure 9.11 - Enzyme Leach Chrome data contours.

9.4.2 Titanium

Titanium is often found to be a good indicator of argillic alteration around Nevada gold deposits. Note the area with the strongest indications of alteration is in the southern part of the project area.




Figure 9.12 - Enzyme Leach Titanium data contours.

9.4.3 Zirconium

Zirconium is often found to be a good indicator of argillic alteration around Nevada gold deposits. Due to geochemical similarities, the areas of Zr enrichment in alteration clays strongly correlate with the Hafnium distribution. Note the area with the strongest indications of alteration is in the southern part of the project area.




Figure 9.13 - Enzyme Leach Zirconium data contours.

9.4.4 Hafnium

Hafnium is often found to be a good indicator of argillic alteration around Nevada gold deposits. Due to geochemical similarities, the areas of Hf enrichment in alteration clays strongly correlate with the Zr distribution. The main difference is that Hf is highest toward the center of the system. Note the area with the strongest indications of alteration is in the southern part of the project area.




Figure 9.14 - Enzyme Leach Hafnium data contours.

9.4.5 Niobium

Niobium is often found to be a good indicator of argillic alteration around Nevada gold deposits. Nb tends to be more strongly enriched in alteration clays further away from the center of the alteration system.




Figure 9.15 - Enzyme Leach Niobium data contours.

9.4.6 Tantalum

Tantalum is often found to be a good indicator of argillic alteration around Nevada gold deposits.




Figure 9.16 - Enzyme Leach Tantalum data contours.

9.4.7 Tin

Tin can be an indicator of copper sulfide minerals, where it is commonly found in hydrothermal mineralized systems. Tin can also substitute into the mineral lattice of the illite-smectite series of alteration clays. Thus, it can often be an indicator of hydrothermal argillic alteration. The high Sn values in the southern part of the area, could be an indicator of both of these occurrences.




Figure 9.17 - Enzyme Leach Tin data contours.

9.5 Univalent (K-Rich) Alteration Patterns

9.5.1 Potassium

Potassium patterns can show (among other things) alteration zones where K is enriched in feldspars, micas, and argillic alteration clays.




Figure 9.18 - Enzyme Leach Potassium data contours.

9.5.2 Rubidium

Rubidium is a univalent alkali metal, just like K, only it is a heavier element with a larger ionic radius. Therefore, it is geochemically very similar to K. In alteration minerals, Rb follows K, only it tends to have the highest values nearer the center of the mineralized system.




Figure 9.19 - Enzyme Leach Rubidium data contours.

9.5.3 Cesium

Cesium is a univalent alkali metal, just like K and Rb, only it is a heavier element with a larger ionic radius than K or Rb. Therefore, it is geochemically very similar to K and Rb. Cesium is commonly enriched in hydrothermal systems that have a component of magmatic water. In alteration minerals, Cs follows K and Rb, only it usually is much more enriched nearer the center of the mineralized system.




Figure 9.20 - Enzyme Leach Cesium data contours.

10 Drilling

ISM Resources has not yet performed any drilling on the subject property. Historic drilling programs are described in Section 6 – History.

11 Sample Preparation, Analyses and Security

No samples have been collected by ISM aside from the 7 surface rock samples collected by the author during the site visit to the property in August of 2020 and 138 enzyme leach samples collected in June of 2021.

The samples collected by the author were kept in his possession until shipment to ALS Laboratories in Reno, Nevada via the U. S. Postal Service.

The sample preparation method requested from ALS was PREP-31, which is described as: "Crush to 70% less than 2mm, riffle split off 250g, pulverize split to better than 85% passing 75 microns." The method of analysis requested was ME-MS41, which is a 51-element method described as: "Aqua Regia with ICP-MS Finish." The gold determination by this method is semi-quantitative but the author was mainly interested in determining if gold and other pathfinder elements are present. No QA/QC samples were included with the batch of samples because of the early stage of the project and the fact that none of the analyses will be used for resource estimation.

The Enzyme Leach samples were collected by Locke Goldsmith, P.Eng, and Robert Friberg, both Qualified Persons. Soil material was collected in sample tubes provided by Skyline Laboratories to hermetically seal the sample. The samples were kept in the samplers' possession or under lock and key at all times until they were shipped to the lab by a courier service. The samples were kept cool to prevent sample degradation prior to the samples submittal to Skyline, an ISO certified lab, for analysis.

The Enzyme Leach method uses a weak leaching solution to selective dissolve the amorphous MnO₂ coatings on surface grains. MnO₂ acts as a 'collector' of a number of elements which are then analytically read in the ppb and ppt range by ICP-Mass Spectrometry.

12 Data Verification

Most of the data for the ESN NI 43-101 report were supplied to the author from the files of Trend Resources LLC, the original owner of the property. Much of the data came in the form of old reports, the content of which could not be verified. Additional exploration work would be needed to verify this data.

Data from most (17 of 27 drill holes) of the Homestake Mining Company 1996 drill holes included copies of the original assay certificates dated May of 1996 from Chemex Labs, Inc. in Sparks, Nevada. These original assay data were cross-checked against those used in figures in this report. Because of the existence of original assay data which could be cross-checked, these data are considered by the author to be valid.

Data resulting from the Enzyme Leach survey were also supplied by Trend Resources, who forwarded the original data supplied by Skyline Labs. These data were spot-checked with the plots used in the contoured figures used in Section 9 of the Technical Report and found to be accurate. An invoice from Skyline Laboratories was also supplied to the author with charges for the 138 soil sample analyses using the Enhanced Enzyme Leach extraction + ICP/MS analysis method. The author concludes that the soil survey and analyses were performed as indicated and have been verified.

The author has not verified the resources or reserves reported on the nearby properties mentioned in this report. The author is of the opinion that all data presented in this report are adequate for the purposes of this report.

13 Mineral Processing and Metallurgical Testing

No metallurgical testing is known to have been performed on samples from the ESN Project. However, during the 1996 drilling by Homestake Mining Company, laboratory certificates from Chemex Labs in Sparks, Nevada had a column for "Au CN oz/T". Apparently, Homestake had Chemex analyze samples that tested above 0.01 ounces Au per short ton using a "Cold 30g CN leach, direct AA" method. In all, 20 samples were tested in this manner. When the cyanide leach method for the 20 samples was compared with the fire assay + atomic absorption (FA+AA) method used for those samples, the average recovery using cold cyanide was approximately 75%. This recovery figure should not be relied upon since the testing is not an actual metallurgical test which would be performed under more stringent conditions and the author has not conducted testing to verify the results but it is data that can be compared to future metallurgical work.

14 Mineral Resource Estimates

Insufficient data have been generated for the ESN Project to undertake a mineral resource estimate. To the author's knowledge, no historical mineral resource estimates have been undertaken.

23 Adjacent Properties

There are several nearby Carlin-type gold deposits that have similar features to the ESN Project. These are the Green Springs deposit approximately 10 miles to the south of ESN, the Easy Junior/Gold Rock deposit 11 miles southwest of ESN, the Pan Mine 11 miles to the west and the Griffon deposit 18 miles to the southeast. Figure 23.1 shows the location of these deposits in relation to ESN. Green Springs, Easy Junior/Gold Rock and Griffon have all had past production and are actively being explored at this time (<u>https://questex.ca/projects/nevada-properties/green-springs/</u>)(Fioregold.com) (Fremontgold.net). The Pan mine is currently in production, having produced +45,000 ounces of gold in 2019 and is expected to increase output in 2021 (Fioregold.com). An October 25, 2021 news release by Calibre Mining Corp. advised that Calibre has acquired Fiore Gold. The acquisition includes the Pan mine.



Figure 23.1 - Google Earth image with the locations of adjacent gold properties relative to ESN.

The adjacent properties have all been described as having analogous styles of mineralization, are preferentially deposited in the same stratigraphic horizons and have the same alteration patterns and pathfinder elements as the ESN Project. All except the Griffon deposit had these descriptions in NI 43-101 compliant reports (Dufrense, et al, 2020) (Hulse, et al, 2015) (Pennington, et al, 2017) (Russel, 2015). However, the author has not been able to verify the information and the information is not necessarily indicative of the mineralization found at the ESN Project.

24 Other Relevant Data and Information

No other relevant data or information is known to exist that would make the report more understandable and not misleading.

25 Interpretation and Conclusions

The ESN Project has undergone several past exploration programs by various companies. From the information supplied to the author, it is indicated that at least 50 reverse circulation drill holes have been drilled and several hundred rock and soil samples have been collected and analyzed. Unfortunately, much of the data from these programs have been lost and none of the information has been verified by the author, except that several of the old drill sites were located on the ground. Prominent similarities to Carlin-type gold deposits and to the nearby properties with gold production have been found to be present at ESN, as verified by the author's rock sampling and by the enzyme leach sampling. Such results require follow-up exploration.

Indications are that the ESN Project has the potential to host one or more Carlin-type mineral deposits. The literature is replete with examples of other Carlin-type deposits that have undergone many episodes of exploration before a mineable deposit was discovered. It is the author's opinion that further exploration at ESN is definitely warranted.

Figure 25.1 shows the target zones that have been indicated from what is currently known from past exploration programs. These areas should be the focus of future exploration expenditures.




Figure 25.1 - Proposed targets for future exploration (from Friberg, 2019).

New information supplied by the Enzyme Leach survey conducted in 2021 has helped pinpoint future drill targets. The results of the survey identified 3 main areas with geochemical signatures indicative of Carlin-type gold deposits. The areas are:

- Area 1 Around Samples 64-66
- Area 2 The Sample 75-78/95-98 Area
- Area 3 Around Samples 8-11

The map in Figure 25.2 outlines the 3 areas.




Figure 25.2 - Highest priority areas from Enzyme Leach Survey.

26 Recommendations

26.1 Phase I Recommendations

Geophysical surveys and additional Enzyme Leach soil sampling is recommended for the next exploration phase. Initially a gravity survey is recommended. Gravity is a relatively inexpensive method that is expected to continue to point to general areas of interest. It will also help to define which geophysical method is to be attempted next.

Following the gravity survey and depending on its findings, either a Controlled Source Audiofrequency Magnetotellurics (CSAMT) or an Induce Polarization (IP) survey should be conducted. These methods will extend the knowledge of the subsurface geology and provide targets for drilling.

The first round of Enzyme Leach sampling provided additional data to target future exploration. In the next exploration phase, additional Enzyme Leach soil sampling is recommended. Approximately the same number of samples as the first survey should be collected and should extend the coverage toward the south.

The cost of the sampling and geophysical programs is expected to be approximately \$150,000.

26.2 Phase II Recommendations

The second recommendation is to drill the areas indicated by the Enzyme Leach soil sampling and the geophysical methods. This phase is dependent on the results of the sampling and geophysical phases. The average hole depth would be approximately 700 feet (215 meters) for a total program of 3500 feet (1100 meters). The cost of the drilling portion of the program is estimated to be US\$300,000.

27 References

Cline, Jean S., Hofstra, Albert H., Muntean, John L., Tosdal, Richard M. and Hickey, Kenneth A., 2005, Carlin-Type Gold Deposits in Nevada: Critical Geologic Characteristics and Viable Models: Econ. Geol. 100th Anniversary Volume, pp. 451-484.

Dilles, P. A., 1996, Final Report, South Newark Valley Project: Homestake Mining Company Internal Report, 7p.

Dufresne, Michael B., Sparks, Gregory B., Shoemaker, Sam J., Black, Warren E. and Nicholls, Steven J., 2020, Technical Report on the Preliminary Economic Assessment of the Gold Rock Project, White Pine County, Nevada, USA: Report prepared by Apex Geoscience Ltd. and John T. Boyd Company for Fiore Gold Ltd.

Friberg, Robert S., 2019, ESN Project: Internal company PowerPoint presentation for Trend Resources LLC.

Hose, Richard K., Blake, M. C., Jr. and Smith, Roscoe M., Geology and Mineral Resources of White Pine County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 85.

Hofstra, Albert H. and Cline, Jean S., 2000, Characteristics and Models for Carlin-Type Gold Deposits: SEG Reviews, Vol. 13, p. 163-220.

Hulse, Donald E., Sobering, J. Gordon, Black, Zachary J. and Malhotra, Deepak, 2015, NI 43-101 Technical Report, 2015 Feasibility Study for the Pan Gold Project, White Pine County, Nevada: Prepared by Gustavson Associates LLC. for Midway Gold Corp.

Humphrey, Fred L., 1960, Geology of the White Pine Mining District, White Pine County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Bulletin 57.

Lawrence, Anna O., Roberts, Laura N. R. and Potter, Christopher J., 2007, Chapter 2, Geologic Assessment of Undiscovered Oil and Gas in the Paleozoic-Tertiary Composite Total Petroleum System of the Eastern Great Basin, Nevada and Utah: U. S. Geological Survey Digital Data Series DDS-69-L.

Mough, Dave, 2010, ES Report: Internal company report, Rae Wallace Mining Company, 4p.

Pennington, J., DeLong, Richard, Daviess, Frank, Osborne, Herb, Poeck, Joanna, Hartley, Kent, Levy, Mike and Nikirk, Evan, 2012, NI 43-101 Technical Report on Resources and Reserves, Mt. Hamilton Gold Project, Centennial Deposit, White Pine County, Nevada: Report prepared by SRK Consulting for Mt. Hamilton LLC, Solitario Exploration & Royalty Corp. and Ely Gold Minerals Inc.

Pennington, J., Hartley, Kent, Smith, Justin, Malhotra, Deepak, Sawyer, Valerie and Miller, Brooke J., 2017, NI 43-101 Updated Technical Report, Pan Gold Project, White Pine County, Nevada: Report prepared by SRK Consulting for GRP Minerals Corp. and Fiore Exploration Ltd. Russel, R. H., 2005, Technical Report for the Green Springs Exploration Property in White Pine County, Nevada, USA, prepared for Palladon Ventures Ltd.

Sheaffer, Kristin N., Simmons, Kristi, J., 2020, Gold, U. S. Geological Survey Mineral Industry Surveys for June 2020.

Sterling, D and Dilles, P., 1996, Newark Valley Exploration Project, White Pine County, Nevada: Homestake Mining Company Internal Report, 6 p.

Certificate of the Author

I, Bradley C. Peek, MSc., CPG do hereby certify that:

- 1. I am currently employed as a Consulting Geologist at 438 Stage Coach Lane, New Castle, Colorado 81647, USA
- 2. This certificate applies to the Technical Report titled "NI 43-101 Technical Report, ESN Project, White Pine County, Nevada, USA" with the effective date March 1, 2022 (Technical Report).
- 3. I graduated in 1970 from the University of Nebraska with Bachelor of Science degree in Geology and in 1975 from the University of Alaska with Master of Science degree in Geology.
- 4. I am a member in good standing with the Society of Economic Geologists and the American Institute of Professional Geologists (Certified Professional Geologist #11299).
- 5. I have continuously practiced my profession for 50 years in the areas of mineral exploration and geology. I have explored for copper, lead, zinc, silver and gold in 10 states of the USA and 8 foreign countries. I have more than 6 years' experience generating open pit resource estimates for approximately 20 mineral deposits for precious and base metals and lithium using GEMCOM and Rockworks software.
- 6. I visited the ESN gold property on August 29-31, 2020.
- 7. I authored the report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report, ESN Project, White Pine County, Nevada, USA" with the effective date March 1, 2022, including the conclusions reached and the recommendations made, with the exception of those portions indicated under the heading, "Reliance on Other Experts".
- I am independent of ISM Resources Corp., applying all of the tests in Section 5.1.1, Part 1.5 of NI 43-101.
- 9. I have had no prior involvement with the property that is the subject of the Technical Report other than that which is stated in this report.
- 10. I have read the definition of "qualified person" set out in National Instrument 43-101 (NI 43-101) and certify that by reason of my education, professional affiliation, and past relevant work experience, I fulfill the requirement to be an independent qualified person for the purposes of this NI 43-101 report.
- 11. As of the effective date of the Technical Report, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, this Technical Report contains all of the scientific and technical information that is required to be disclosed to make the Technical Report not misleading.
- 12. I consent to the filing of the Technical Report with any stock exchange and other regulatory authority and any publication by them of the Technical Report for regulatory purposes, including electronic publication in the public company files on their websites accessible by the public.

Dated: March 1, 2022

"Bradley C. Peek"

Bradley C. Peek, MSc., CPG

Date and Signature Page

The report herein, entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report, ESN Project, White Pine County, Nevada, USA" has an effective date of March 1, 2022.

"Bradley C. Peek"

Bradley C. Peek, MSc., CPG



Consent of Qualified Person:

To: Securities Regulatory Authority

I, Bradley C. Peek, do hereby consent to the public filing of the technical report entitled "NI 43-101 Technical Report, ESN Project, White Pine County, Nevada, USA" with the effective date of March 1, 2022 (the "Technical Report") by ISM Resources Corp. (the "Issuer"), and I acknowledge that the Technical Report will become part of the Issuer's public record. I also consent to the use of extracts from, or a summary of, the technical report.

Signed

"Bradley C. Peek"

Dated

March 1, 2022 .