

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended **January 31, 2017**

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number **333-161157**

**PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS
INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

British Columbia

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)

N/A

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**1275 West 6th Avenue, Vancouver, British
Columbia**

(Address of principal executive offices)

V6H 1A6

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(604) 805-7783**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

N/A

Name of Each Exchange On Which
Registered

N/A

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

N/A
(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the last 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant on July 31, 2016 was \$7,883,142.00 based on a \$0.15 average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

75,647,114 common shares as of April 28, 2017

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 1. Business	3
Item 1A. Risk Factors	21
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	29
Item 2. Properties	29
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	29
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	29

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	30
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	31
Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	31
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	37
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	F-1
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	38
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	38
Item 9B. Other Information	39
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	40
Item 11. Executive Compensation	44
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	47
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	48
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	49
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	50

PART I

Item 1. Business

This annual report of Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc. for the year ended January 31, 2017 contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which are intended to be covered by the safe harbors created thereby. To the extent that such statements are not recitations of historical fact, such statements constitute forward looking statements which, by definition involve risks and uncertainties. In particular, statements under the Sections; Description of Business, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contain forward looking statements. Where in any forward looking statements, the Company expresses an expectation or belief as to future results or events, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis, but there can be no assurance that the statement of expectation or belief will result or be achieved or accomplished.

The following are factors that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from those anticipated, and include but are not limited to: general economic, financial and business conditions; changes in and compliance with governmental regulations; changes in tax laws; and the cost and effects of legal proceedings.

You should not rely on forward looking statements in this annual report. This annual report contains forward looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. We use words such as “anticipates,” “believes,” “plans,” “expects,” “future,” “intends,” and similar expressions to identify these forward-looking statements. Prospective investors should not place undue reliance on these forward looking statements, which apply only as of the date of this annual report. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States, we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results.

Our financial statements are stated in U.S. Dollars (US\$) and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

In this annual report, unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts are expressed in US dollars and all references to “common shares” refer to the common shares in our capital stock.

As used in this annual report, the terms “we”, “us”, “our” and “our company” mean Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc., unless otherwise indicated.

General Overview

We are a development stage pharmaceutical company. We were incorporated in the Province of British Columbia, Canada under the name “649186 B.C. Ltd.”, on June 10, 2002. On September 9, 2003, we changed our name to “Xerxes Health Corp.” and on June 26, 2007, we changed our name to “Neurokine Pharmaceuticals Inc.”.

Effective June 4, 2014, we filed with the British Columbia Registrar of Companies a Form 11, Notice of Alteration, wherein we increased our authorized share capital from 500,000,000 common shares without par value to an unlimited number of common shares without par value. The increase of authorized capital was approved by our stockholders at the annual and special meeting held on June 3, 2014.

On September 26, 2014, our company held a special meeting of stockholders to approve the removal of our company's Pre-Existing Company Provisions, the cancellation of our current Articles and the adoption of new Articles and to approve a reverse stock split on the basis of up to one new common stock for every 100 old common stock.

Effective October 8, 2014, we filed with the British Columbia Registrar of Companies a Form 11, Notice of Alteration, wherein we removed our Pre-Existing Company Provisions.

Effective April 7, 2015, we filed with the British Columbia Registrar of Companies a Form 11, Notice of Alteration, wherein we changed our name to "Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc.".

Effective at the opening of trading on April 20, 2015, as approved by FINRA, our company effected a reverse stock split of our issued and outstanding common shares on the basis of 10 old common stock for 1 new common stock.

On November 20, 2015, we completed the acquisition of IndUS Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“IndUS”), a Delaware corporation, pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger and Acquisition Agreement dated as of November 4, 2015 among our company, Pivot Pharma U.S. Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary, IndUS and Sindu Research Laboratories Pvt Ltd. As consideration for the purchase, we issued 4,512,500 shares of common stock on November 23, 2015 and 237,500 shares of common stock on December 4, 2015. We will also be granting 41,833 stock options pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger. As part of the acquisition, we appointed Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi as our new Chief Executive Officer and Director.

[Table of Contents](#)

IndUS is an emerging United States-India cross-border pharmaceutical company located in the Greater Boston area, which is engaged in conducting research and development activities for advancing novel therapeutics in the areas of oncology, infectious diseases and diabetes.

Our principal executive office is located at 1275 West 6th Avenue, Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6H 1A6, with another office at 25 Olympia Avenue, Suite K-300, Woburn, MA 01801, USA. Our telephone number is (978) 973-5271.

Our Current Business

We are a development stage biopharmaceutical company engaged in the development and commercialization of therapeutic pharmaceutical products, focused on the strategy of identifying new therapeutic treatments to address unmet medical needs in women's health including but not limited to urological and/or gynecological disturbances; and advancing novel anticancer drug candidates to provide new treatment options for metastatic cancers in women that do not have adequate treatment options or have poor response to existing treatment options due to inherent or acquired mutations. Our research and development activities are focused on i) advancing novel drug candidates for the treatment of women's cancers including, but not limited to metastatic endometrial cancer and triple-negative breast cancer, which have limited treatment options; and ii) leveraging novel drug delivery treatment options to allow 'targeted' delivery of drugs to address women's health needs in urological and/or gynecological indications, and iii) opportunistically in-licensing later-stage drug candidates to augment our drug pipeline. Where appropriate, we intend to depart from these strategies to opportunistically acquire additional novel treatment options to address unmet or under-served medical needs in women's health.

Our business model currently includes the following activities:

- identifying novel drug delivery technologies that will allow targeted drug delivery for drugs;
- securing and developing intellectual property rights to such products;
- conducting appropriate laboratory tests and clinical trials;
- advancing novel drug candidates to treat women's cancers from our acquisition of IndUS to support Investigational New Drug application to allow first-in-human trials;
- opportunistically acquiring later-stage drug candidates that provide new treatment options to address unmet medical needs in women's health in cancer and lower urinary tract symptoms; and
- establishing partnerships with large and specialty pharmaceutical companies and/or biotechnology companies to collaboratively develop and/or commercialize our products.

□

One of our areas of focus includes developing therapeutic applications for existing drugs using novel delivery technologies for the treatment of diseases and conditions specific to cancer and/or urological disturbances in women. The diseases and conditions that are the subject of our research and development program include addressing resistant cancers affecting women's health and developing new treatment options using novel drugs and/or novel delivery approaches to address oncological and urological conditions such as various gynecological and breast cancers as well as lower urinary tract symptoms such as overactive bladder. Our current pipeline addresses the therapeutic areas of cancer and lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS):

□

- Metastatic endometrial cancer (PVT-005)
- Triple-negative breast cancer (PVT-006)

PVT-005 and PVT-006 are novel and patented anticancer small molecule drug candidates acquired through the acquisition of IndUS. These molecules are novel DNA damage response inhibitors and belong to the chemical class of pyrrolobenzodiazepine dimers (PBDs). These molecules have shown preclinical activity in cancers that have mutations in their tumor suppression and/or DNA repair abilities and have shown 'synthetic lethality' when dosed as monotherapy in such resistant cancers and/or in combination with standard-of-care drugs that are used in chemotherapeutic regimens for such patients. PVT-005 and PVT-006 have shown excellent activity in tumor cells that have genetic or epigenetic mutations in DNA mismatch repair (mlh1, MSH2), tumor suppression functions

(p53, PTEN) and/or homologous recombination (HR) functions. They have shown significant synergies with platinum-based drugs such as cisplatin, and other drugs like topoisomerase II and I inhibitors (doxorubicin and camptothecin, respectively) and receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) inhibitors – all or some of which are part of standard-of-care chemotherapeutic regimens to treat ovarian, breast, colorectal, non-small cell lung and other cancers that affect women’s health.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our research and development strategy is focused on developing novel treatment options to address various unmet medical needs in women’s health, including but not limited to urological issues and breast and gynecological cancers such as metastatic endometrial or triple-negative breast cancer that have inherent or acquired mutations rendering them resistant to existing treatment options and represent orphan drug designation opportunities.

Our management has prioritized the development of the two novel and patented anticancer drug candidates (PVT-005 and PVT-006) focused on the treatment of metastatic endometrial cancer and/or basal-like triple-negative breast cancers (BL-TNBC) in women. These two indications affect approximately 50,000 and 40,000 women in the United States, respectively, and potentially represent orphan drug indications, that have limited treatment options. PVT-005 and PVT-006 will be added to standard chemotherapeutic regimens that are used to treat these cancers and as such are intended to augment the regimen and - provide additional benefit to patients that are either refractory or have relapsed following chemotherapy. Furthermore, due to the novel mechanism of action of these novel PBD candidates, the patients are segmented according to their DNA repair mutations, thus potentially allowing a more “personalized” medical treatment option for these patients. We will also evaluate novel drug delivery treatment options to allow more targeted and specific delivery options for its novel anticancer drug portfolio and/or for existing drugs that may be amenable to targeted delivery, thus providing a superior benefit; risk opportunity for these patients.

To date, we have concentrated our research and development (R&D) activities on development of our novel anticancer drug candidates (PVT-005 and PVT-006) for the treatment of metastatic endometrial and/or basal-like triple-negative breast cancers. We have outsourced all research and development work to third parties, including clinical trial planning, laboratory services, data management, statistical services and report writing. We anticipate that we will continue to rely on third parties to satisfy our research and development requirements until such time as it becomes cost effective to hire employees to satisfy those requirements. We have not carried on any research and development activities since January 2009 and our ability to continue our research and development activities depends on securing additional financing.

Our planned research and development for the next 12 months will focus on development activities for PVT-005 and PVT-006 to support the filing of an Investigational New Drug application to initiate first-in-human clinical trials as well as explore novel delivery and/or new therapeutic options for various drugs to address unmet medical needs in urological and/or gynecological disturbances in women.

We will also be required to complete additional steps in order to market and sell any of our products to the public. Our determination of which specific additional steps we will need to complete before any of our products become marketable may vary depending on the results of the clinical trials and studies mentioned above. The following table sets out the various steps we anticipate we must complete in order to carry out our business plan for our planned products. Completion times have been indicated where estimable, as has any progress made to date.

Anticipated Steps	PVT-005	PVT-006
Intellectual Property	Patents issued in the US and other countries on composition of matter, methods of use for treatment of cancers and process of manufacture of the drugs.	Patents issued in the US and other countries on composition of matter, methods of use for treatment of cancers and process of manufacture of the drugs.

Secure Rights to Drugs	Acquired	Acquired
Pre-Clinical Testing	Significant nonclinical toxicity studies required for both drugs	Significant nonclinical toxicity studies required for both drugs
Secure Investigational New Drug (“IND”) Approval or Equivalent	Targeted IND filing in the 2 nd half of 2017	Targeted IND filing in the 2 nd half of 2017
Phase I Clinical Trials	Conducted in cancer patients	Conducted in cancer patients
Phase II Clinical Trials	Required in selected cancer patients	Required in selected cancer patients
Phase III Clinical Trials	Required	Required
Submit New Drug Application or Equivalent and Obtain Marketing Approval	Required	Required
Finance Marketing and Manufacturing of Approved Drug or Secure Marketing and Manufacturing Partner	Required	Required

[Table of Contents](#)

Our Research and Development Strategy

Our experienced management team has implemented a business-minded and cost-conscious approach to product research and development by focusing on development of novel therapies to address unmet needs in women’s health. Our research and development strategy will develop novel delivery options for new and/or existing drugs to address needs in women’s health as well as advance some of its patented and proprietary novel anticancer drugs in gynecological and/or breast cancers through its recent acquisition of IndUS.

In order for a drug to be successful, it must be both efficacious and acceptably safe. Before a drug may be commercially marketed, it must be scrutinized and approved by applicable health authorities (such as the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) in the United States) in each country or jurisdiction where it is sought to be sold. In pharmaceutical research and development, clinical trials are conducted to allow safety and efficacy data to be collected for new drugs or devices. Health authorities then scrutinize the clinical trial results and determine, based on the results, whether a drug may be sold to the public. Similarly, clinical trials may only take place once satisfactory information has been gathered on the quality of the product and its non-clinical safety, and approval to conduct the trials has been granted by the health authority in the country where the trial is scheduled to take place.

Clinical trials involving new drugs are commonly classified into four phases. Each phase of the drug approval process is treated as a separate clinical trial. The drug-development process will normally proceed through all four phases over many years. If the drug successfully passes through Phases I, II and III, it will usually be approved by the national regulatory authority for use in the general population. Phase IV trials are ‘post-approval’ studies. Due to the considerable cost that may be required to complete a full series of clinical trials, the burden of paying for all the necessary people and services is usually borne by the sponsor, who may be the pharmaceutical or biotechnology company that developed the drug that is the subject of the study. Since the diversity of roles may exceed the resources of the sponsor, clinical trials are often managed by outsourced partners such as contract research organizations. Furthermore, approval rates for new drugs at each clinical trial stage are prohibitively low, which may require the sponsor to finance additional trials or abandon the drug under development altogether.

Our research and development strategy includes the development of novel anticancer drugs targeting subsets of women’s cancer patients that have metastatic endometrial, triple-negative breast and/or ovarian cancer, to explore the opportunity of securing an orphan drug designation (intended for patient populations <200,000 in the US). Since

our anticancer portfolio has novel drugs that will require the conduct of nonclinical and clinical studies for new molecular entities (NMEs); we will also use targeted delivery options for approved (generic) drugs to avoid the higher cost of repeating one or more pre-clinical or clinical, safety, pharmacokinetic or other tests by applying novel drug delivery approaches to get targeted delivery of drugs and get a quicker time to market by leveraging a US regulatory pathway termed 505b2 applications. In doing so, a company may reduce the time required to complete the necessary research and development activities, which can typically take in excess of ten years, by more than half, as well as reduce the corresponding development costs.

Our recent acquisition of Greater Boston-based IndUS has provided us with a portfolio of novel, patented and proprietary, novel anticancer drug candidates from multiple chemical classes of molecules referred to as pyrrolbenzodiazepine dimers (PBDs). These molecules have shown excellent anticancer potential during their initial biological testing conducted at the National Cancer Institute in Bethesda, MD. Subsequent to their initial biological evaluation, chemical scale-up and formulation studies were conducted to evaluate their pharmacokinetics in rats and two novel and patented pyrrolbenzodiazepine dimers were prioritized for advancement through preclinical studies to support first-in-human studies. PVT-005 and PVT-006 provide novel treatment options in combination with existing chemotherapeutic regimens to address unmet medical needs in women's cancers. Our initial focus for PVT-005 is in patients with metastatic endometrial cancer, which harbors microsatellite (genomic) instability in DNA replication and repair pathways that render the cancer resistant to many existing chemotherapy options. It is estimated that approximately 43,000 women in the United States have metastatic endometrial cancer that would become eligible for new therapy options following their initial treatments and PVT-005 will be added to the standard chemotherapeutic regimen(s) that will be used to treat metastatic endometrial cancer. Similarly, PVT-006, a novel and patented pyrrolbenzodiazepine dimer, distinct from PVT-005, has been identified as a lead candidate to address unmet medical needs of women with triple-negative breast cancer. Triple-negative breast cancer is a very aggressive form of breast cancer that affects younger women, predominantly of African-American descent. It is estimated that approximately 170,000 women in the United States have triple-negative breast cancer. Five different molecular subtypes of triple-negative breast cancer have been identified and the basal-like subtype of triple-negative breast cancer (BL-TNBC) affects at least 40,000 women in the United States. PVT-006 is more likely to be effective in combination with existing anticancer agents, in basal-like triple-negative breast cancer subtype due to their mutations in DNA repair and replication pathways, which PVT-006 targets as its mechanism of action.

Preclinical safety studies will be conducted over the next 12 months to advance at least one of these candidates to an IND-stage to allow initiation of clinical studies in these highly unmet medical needs in women's cancer.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our Product Development Initiatives

Our product development initiatives will address unmet medical needs in metastatic endometrial, triple-negative breast and/or ovarian cancers.

Metastatic Endometrial Cancer

Endometrial cancer is the most common gynecological cancer in women and accounts for 6% of the cancers in women. An estimated 43,470 cases of endometrial cancers were diagnosed in 2010 and 7950 deaths were associated with this cancer that year. Endometrial carcinoma is divided into several histological categories based on cell type with endometrioid being the most common, which accounts for 75-80% of the cases. Other aggressive pathological variants with a high risk of metastatic disease include papillary serous carcinoma (<10%), clear cell carcinoma (4%), squamous cell carcinoma (<1%), mixed (10%) and undifferentiated types.

Local and distal recurrences continue to remain a high risk for patients after they undergo surgery to remove the primary endometrial carcinoma. Median time to recurrence is 2-3 years with 75-80% of the recurrence being extra-pelvic. A small minority of patients with recurrent or advanced stage disease with solitary metastatic lesions may be

amenable to radiation treatment with or without surgery. Prognosis is poor for the remainder of women presenting with metastatic endometrial cancer with a median survival period of only 12 months. The mainstay of treatment for metastatic endometrial carcinoma remains systemic hormonal therapy or cytotoxic chemotherapy. Inactivating mutations of PTEN, a tumor suppressor gene, are found in 40-60% of endometrial cancers. Loss of PTEN function results in constitutive activation of Akt which in turn upregulates mTOR activity resulting in cell proliferation.

Hormonal therapy is well tolerated in women with low-grade disease and those who are positive for estrogen receptor (ER+) and progesterone receptor (PR+). Hormonal therapy includes agents such as progestins, which have an anti-estrogenic effect on the endometrium and produce marked changes in the glands and stroma. Within the glandular epithelium of the endometrium, progesterone acts as an antagonist to the estrogen-mediated cell proliferation and also inhibits ER gene expression and increases degradation of ER. Overall response rates following treatment with hormonal therapy using agents like medroxyprogesterone acetate or megestol acetate has been reported to be only 11-16% and progression-free survival (PFS) is only 4-6 months. Low histologic grade coupled with expression of PR and an extended period between initial diagnosis and occurrence of metastatic disease have been shown to have better response rates to progestin therapy. Response rates for PR+ endometrial cancer has been reported to be 37% compared to just 8% for PR-negative (PR-) endometrial carcinoma when treated with hormonal therapy. Selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) may also be used to treat low grade endometrial cancer. Tamoxifen, which is used to both, prevent and treat breast cancer, has been shown to increase the incidence of endometrial cancer. However, combination regimens with tamoxifen and progestins have been shown to have some benefit in low histologic grade endometrial carcinoma. The use of aromatase inhibitors such as anastrozole and letrozole, for the treatment of advanced endometrial cancer in post-menopausal women, has shown poor response rates (<10%) with no correlation to the hormonal status. The use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists such as goserelin acetate also shown poor response rates and were deemed insufficient to treat metastatic endometrial cancer.

Cytotoxic chemotherapy is the mainstay for treatment of advanced metastatic endometrial cancer. However, response rates are modest with progression-free survival (PFS) times of 4-6 months and overall survival of only approximately 12 months. The most active classes of chemotherapy agents used for treating metastatic endometrial cancers are anthracyclines (doxorubicin, epirubicin), platinum compounds (cisplatin, carboplatin) and taxanes (paclitaxel, docetaxel) which produce response rates of approximately 20% as single agents. Combination chemotherapy with multiple agents improves overall response rates to 33-57% depending on the combination. Overall survival also improved slightly (approximately 15 months), but systemic gastrointestinal and myelotoxicity (Grade 3 and Grade 4) increased significantly in patients receiving such combination regimens. Inhibitors of mTOR activity such as temsirolimus in combination with inhibitors of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and anti-angiogenic agents suppressing vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) are being evaluated for the treatment of metastatic endometrial cancer.

[Table of Contents](#)

Since novel Pivot compounds such as PVT-005 show “synthetic lethality” with chemotherapy agents such as cisplatin in tumors that have loss of tumor suppressor function in p53 or PTEN, such combinations would provide novel chemotherapy options for the treatment of metastatic endometrial cancers.

Triple-Negative Breast Cancers

Triple-negative breast cancers (TNBC) are defined as tumors that lack the expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and HER2. Approximately 12-17% of women with breast cancer have TNBC, representing approximately 170,000 breast cancer patients. These patients have a relatively poor outcome and cannot be treated with endocrine therapies or agents targeted to epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 (HER2). A close cousin of TNBC is basal-like breast cancer (BLBC) which is characterized by absence or low level expression of ER and absence of HER2 expression. Many tumors may meet the definition of both TNBC and BLBC. Both TNBC and BLBC occur more commonly in young women, particularly Black and Hispanic women, when compared to women from other racial and ethnic backgrounds. Approximately 75% of women with TNBC or BLBC

have a mutation in BRCA1, a breast cancer susceptibility gene. Because TNBC is a heterogeneous disease, many pathological and immunohistochemical sub-classification systems have been proposed to provide greater homogeneity within TNBC subtypes.

Recently five molecular subtypes have been proposed for TNBC to allow improved clinical development strategies to stratify TNBC patients. These include: 1) basal-like TNBC characterized predominantly by mutations in DNA damage repair deficiency and some growth factor pathway expression; 2) mesenchymal-like TNBC (ML-TNBC) with epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and cancer stem cell (CSC) features; 3) immune-associated TNBC (I-TNBC); 4) luminal/apocrine TNBC (LA-TNBC) with androgen receptor (AR) overexpression; and 5) HER2-enriched TNBC (HER2e-TNBC).

The predominant grouping of TNBC is basal-like (BL-TNBC) which constitutes between 25-80% of all TNBC. Within the basal-like subtype, there are two subgroups and basal-like 1 (BL1-TNBC) is enriched in cell cycle related genes and DNA-damage repair pathways. Nearly 25% of breast cancers harbor a mutation in DNA repair, mainly in homologous recombination (HR) when double-stranded (DS) breakage occurs – which appears similar to the genetic deficiencies of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation carriers. Alterations in DNA-damage response or repair mechanisms usually lead to genomic (microsatellite) instability and carcinogenesis. In addition to DNA-damage response deficiencies, 85% of BL-TNBC patients have mutations in p53 which results in a loss of tumor suppression.

Since TNBC are aggressive tumors, chemotherapy regimens include targeting DNA repair complex (like platinum agents and taxanes), p53 (like taxanes), cell proliferation (like anthracyclines) and targeted therapy. BL-TNBC appears to have good response rates to chemotherapy regimens containing platinum agents (such as cisplatin and carboplatin). In addition to administration in the adjuvant setting (after surgery), several studies have evaluated the combination chemotherapeutic regimens in neoadjuvant setting. For instance, cisplatin as a single agent in the neoadjuvant setting gives a pathological response rate of nearly 22%; which improves to 28% when cisplatin is administered in combination with paclitaxel; and further improves to 65% when a triple combination of cisplatin:paclitaxel:epirubicin is administered to TNBC patients in the neoadjuvant setting. However, response rates in metastatic TNBC are lower for single- or double agent chemotherapy in TNBC, and it remains a high unmet medical need.

Given the molecular subtype of BL-TNBC, using agents that target DNA-damage repair deficiencies appears to be a good strategy to combine novel drugs with platinum containing regimens to improve response rates. For instance, combination of platinum drugs with novel agents targeting poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP), have been evaluated in TNBC patients. Chemotherapy regimens combining novel pyrrolobenzodiazepine dimers (PBDs) from Pivot (PVT-006) with cisplatin or carboplatin to target BL-TNBC will offer novel therapeutic regimens to improve response rates and progression-free survival (PFS) in metastatic BL-TNBC patients.

[Table of Contents](#)

Metastatic Ovarian Cancer

Ovarian cancer is the most lethal gynecological cancers and is the fifth leading cause of deaths in women in the United States. It is usually diagnosed at a late stage and has a 5-year survival rate of approximately 30%. The majority of ovarian cancers are diagnosed after they have spread into the peritoneal cavity and the major cause of ovarian cancer-associated mortality is believed to be due to therapy-resistant metastatic disease. It is estimated that 21,290 new cases of ovarian cancer were diagnosed in 2015 and 14,180 ovarian cancer patients died that year.

Current treatment strategies for advanced ovarian cancer consist of aggressive surgery (referred to as cytoreduction or tumor debulking). In order to clear the tumor from the pelvis, the surgery often involves *en bloc* resection of the ovarian tumor, reproductive organs and the sigmoid colon, and primary bowel reanastomoses. The surgical goal is to remove as much tumor burden as possible, since cytoreduction has been associated with improved survival. Post-operatively, most ovarian cancer patients will receive chemotherapy with platinum- (usually carboplatin) and

taxane- (usually paclitaxel) containing regimens. While these agents are administered intravenously, there is some evidence that administration of these regimens through the intraperitoneal route improves overall survival benefit in such patients.

The World Health Organization (WHO) has categorized ovarian cancers into four subtypes based on their histology: 1) serous-papillary ovarian carcinoma which resembles the papillary architecture of the fallopian tubes; 2) endometrioid carcinoma which is often associated with endometriosis and resembles endometrioid carcinoma of the uterus; 3) mucinous carcinoma resemble either the endocervical glands or gastrointestinal epithelium; and 4) clear cell carcinoma of the ovary which is a rare subtype and shares morphological features of both, serous and endometrioid carcinomas.

In the last few years, there have been some more genetic insights into various ovarian cancers and two molecular subtypes of ovarian cancers have been identified. Type 1 ovarian cancers affect younger patients and are comprised of low-grade serous-papillary and endometrioid carcinomas and have low grade resistance to carboplatin-taxol chemotherapy regimen, but have indolent disease and tend to have a median survival of 82 months. Type 2 ovarian cancer is most prevalent in post-menopausal women, and it is initially very sensitive to platinum-containing chemotherapy regimens, but median survival is only 30 months. Type 1 serous-papillary ovarian tumors typically have mutations in BRAF, KRAS, ERBB2 and have microsatellite (genomic) instability. Type 1 endometrioid, mucinous and clear cell ovarian cancers have similar mutations to the serous-papillary ovarian carcinoma and in addition have additional mutations in β -catenin and PTEN. The most frequent (50-80%) mutations in high-grade (Type 2) serous ovarian cancers involve the tumor suppressor gene, p53. Other important genetic changes in high-grade serous tumors include changes in BRCA1 and BRCA2 and amplification of AKT2 serine/threonine kinase and PI3K mutations.

The biological behavior of ovarian carcinomas and its confinement to the peritoneal cavity provides us with an opportunity to develop novel chemotherapeutic combination regimens using novel Pivot pyrrolobenzodiazepine dimers (PBDs) in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel, especially via the intraperitoneal route to minimize systemic toxicity. Furthermore, due to the genetic similarity of abdominal ovarian cancers, it provides us an opportunity to shrink all types of metastatic ovarian tumors with such novel combination regimens.

Clinical Trial Phases

The following section describes the most common phases of clinical drug trials with reference to the clinical trial requirements that we anticipate will be required for each of our planned products.

[Table of Contents](#)

Pre-Clinical Trials

Pre-clinical trials involve *in vitro* (test tube) and *in vivo* (animal) experiments using wide-ranging doses of the study drug to obtain preliminary efficacy, toxicity and pharmacokinetic information. Such tests assist pharmaceutical companies in deciding whether a drug candidate possesses scientific merit for further development as an investigational new drug. In addition, formulation and dosage regimen work studies will need to be conducted.

Phase 0

Phase 0 is a recent designation for exploratory, first-in-human trials conducted in accordance with the FDA's 2006 Guidance on Exploratory Investigational New Drug. These trials are generally used for novel anticancer drugs.

Distinctive features of Phase 0 trials include the administration of single subtherapeutic doses (doses not intended to treat diseases) of the study drug to a small number of subjects (10-15) to gather preliminary data on the agent's pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics.

Phase I

Phase I trials are the first stage of drug testing in human subjects. Normally, a small group of healthy volunteers (20-50) will be selected. This phase includes trials designed to assess the safety, tolerability and effects of the drug in relation to the human body, including how it is absorbed, distributed, metabolized and eliminated by the body. These trials are often conducted in an inpatient clinic, where the subject can be observed by full-time staff. The subject who receives the drug is usually observed until several half-lives of the drug have passed.

Phase I trials also normally include dose-ranging (or dose escalation) studies so that the appropriate dose for therapeutic use can be found. The tested range of doses will usually be a fraction of any dose that causes harm in animal testing.

Phase I trials most often include healthy volunteers; however, real patients are used in some circumstances, such as when patients have an end-stage disease and lack other treatment options. This exception to the rule most often occurs in oncology (cancer) and HIV drug trials. Volunteers are paid an inconvenience fee for the time they spend in the volunteer center. Pay ranges from a small amount of money for a short period of residence to a larger amount of up to approximately \$6,000 depending on the length of the volunteer's participation in the trial.

Phase II

Once the initial safety of a study drug has been confirmed in Phase I trials, Phase II trials are performed on larger groups (20-300) and are designed to assess how well the drug works, as well as to continue Phase I safety assessments using a larger group of volunteers and patients. When the development process for a new drug fails, this usually occurs during Phase II trials when the drug is discovered not to work as planned or to have toxic effects.

Phase II studies are sometimes divided into Phase IIA and Phase IIB. Phase IIA is specifically designed to assess dosing requirements (how much of the drug should be given), while Phase IIB is specifically designed to study efficacy (how well the drug works at the prescribed dose(s)).

Some trials combine Phase I and Phase II, and test both efficacy and toxicity.

All of our planned anticancer products will need to undergo Phase II clinical trials. Completion of these trials is subject to our ability to obtain adequate financing.

[Table of Contents](#)

We anticipate initiating and completing at least one Phase II trial of either PVT-005 or PVT-006 at a cost of approximately \$8.4 million over a 12 month period. It is our goal to begin our trial six months after the completion of the required financing; however, we will not establish a firm start date until we raise sufficient financing, which there is no guarantee that we will be able to do. The trial protocol for our Phase II trial has been developed with input from our clinical advisors.

Phase III

Phase III studies are randomized controlled multi-center trials on large patient groups (300-3,000 or more, depending upon the disease or medical condition studied), and are intended to definitively assess the effectiveness of the drug as compared to the current "gold standard" treatment. Because of their size and comparatively long duration, Phase III trials are the most expensive, time-consuming and difficult trials to design and run, especially in therapies for chronic medical conditions.

It is common practice that certain Phase III trials may continue while a regulatory submission is pending at the appropriate regulatory agency. This allows patients to continue to receive possibly lifesaving drugs until the drug can be obtained commercially. Other reasons for performing additional trials at this stage may include "label

expansion” (to show the drug is suitable for additional types of patients/diseases beyond the original use for which the drug was approved for marketing), obtaining additional safety data, or to support marketing claims for the drug. Studies in this phase are categorized by some companies as “Phase IIIB studies”.

While not required in all cases, it is typically expected that at least two successful Phase III trials will be necessary to demonstrate a drug’s safety and efficacy in order to obtain approval from appropriate regulatory agencies, such as the FDA in the United States, the Therapeutic Goods Administration in Australia or the European Medicines Agency in the European Union, for example.

Once a drug has proved satisfactory after Phase III trials, the trial results are usually combined into a large document containing a comprehensive description of the methods and results of human and animal studies, manufacturing procedures, formulation details and shelf life. This collection of information makes up the regulatory submission that is provided for review to the appropriate regulatory authorities in different countries. They review each submission, and, it is hoped, give sponsors approval to market the particular drug.

Most drugs undergoing Phase III clinical trials can be marketed under FDA norms with proper recommendations and guidelines, but the drugs must be recalled immediately from the market if any adverse effects are reported. While most pharmaceutical companies refrain from this practice, it is not abnormal to see many drugs undergoing Phase III clinical trials in the market.

We anticipate that all of our current planned products will require us to undertake the conduct of Phase III clinical trials; however, we lack sufficient information to estimate the costs or timeframe required to complete any Phase III clinical trials at this time. Our ability to pursue Phase III trials will be subject to our ability to obtain adequate financing and successfully complete earlier trials phases for the products in question.

Phase IV

A Phase IV trial is also known as a post-marketing surveillance trial. Phase IV trials involve safety surveillance (pharmacovigilance) and ongoing technical support of a drug after it receives permission to be sold. Phase IV studies may be required by regulatory authorities or may be undertaken by a sponsoring company for competitive or other reasons (for example, the drug may not have been tested for interactions with other drugs, or on certain population groups such as pregnant women, who are unlikely to subject themselves to trials). The safety surveillance is designed to detect any rare or long-term adverse effects over a much larger patient population and longer time period than was possible during the Phase I through III clinical trials. Harmful effects discovered by Phase IV trials may result in a drug no longer being sold or being restricted to certain uses.

We are unable to accurately anticipate at this time whether our current planned products will require us to undertake Phase IV clinical trials. Similarly, we are unable to accurately anticipate at this time what the costs or timeframe to complete those trials might be. Our ability to pursue any Phase IV trials which may be required of us or which we may undertake voluntarily will be subject to our ability to adequately finance those trials and to successfully complete Phase III trials.

[Table of Contents](#)

Markets for Our Planned Products

	Estimated Sales
Metastatic Endometrial Cancer (PVT-005)	> \$250MM
Triple-negative breast cancer (PVT-006)	> \$300MM

Sales are conservatively estimated for US only, using the estimates of incidence and prevalence of the oncology indication, and assuming modest pricing and market penetration.

Research and Development

We have not spent any amount on research and development expenses for the last two fiscal years. From our inception on June 10, 2002 to January 31, 2017 we spent \$282,715 on research and development activities. We anticipate that we will incur approximately \$8.4 million in research and development expenses over the next 12 months; however this may change if we are unsuccessful in obtaining sufficient additional financing.

Intellectual Property

We own the common law trademark rights in our corporate name and logo as well as the trademark for “INDUS PHARMACEUTICALS”. With the exception of the trademark for IndUS, we have not registered any of our trademark rights for protection. We have also secured exclusive worldwide licensing rights and title in and to the following patents through our acquisition of IndUS Pharmaceuticals:

- United States Patent Application No. 09/822782 (filed on March 30, 2001) and Patent No. 6362331 for the process for the preparation of antitumor agents.
- United States Patent Application No. 10/396103 (filed on March 25, 2003) and Patent No. 6683073 for pyrimidine linked pyrrolo[2,1-C][1,4] benzodiazepines as potential antitumor agents.
- United States Patent Application No. 10/396129 (filed on March 25, 2003) and Patent No. 6800622 for pyrene-linked pyrrolo[2,1-C][1,4] benzodiazepine hybrids useful as anti-cancer agents.
- United States Patent Application No. 10/401782 (filed on March 31, 2003) and Patent No. 6884799 for non-crossed linking pyrrolo [2,1-C][1,4] benzodiazepine and process thereof.
- United States Patent Application No. 10/401754 (filed on March 31, 2003) and Patent No. 7015215 for pyrrolo[2,1-C][1,4] benzodiazepines compounds and process thereof.

□

Manufacturing

We have limited experience in, and do not own facilities for, manufacturing any products or product candidates. We utilize contract manufacturers to produce clinical supplies of our products and our investigational drugs are not commercially available (PVT-005 and PVT-006). Although we intend to continue to rely on contract manufacturers to produce our products for both clinical and commercial supplies, we will oversee the production of those products and do not anticipate relying on any particular contract manufacturer exclusively.

If we obtain FDA approval or marketing application approval outside the United States for any of our product candidates, we plan to rely on contract manufacturers to produce sufficient quantities for large-scale commercialization. These contract manufacturers will be subject to extensive government regulations. Regulatory authorities in the markets that we intend to serve require that drugs be manufactured, packaged and labeled in conformity with current Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) as set by the FDA. In this regard, we plan to engage only contract manufacturers who have the capability to manufacture drug products in compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practices in bulk quantities for commercialization. We also intend to safeguard our intellectual property when working with contract manufacturers by working only with manufacturers who in our estimation have a strong track record of safeguarding confidential information and who are willing to enter into agreements with us that impose upon them strict intellectual property protection measures.

We currently have no sales or distribution capabilities and limited marketing capabilities. In order to commercialize our products, we must develop sales, marketing and distribution capabilities or make arrangements with other parties to perform these services for us.

Upon marketing approval of PVT-005 and/or PVT-006 (or any of our anticancer products) from the FDA or other regulatory authorities, we plan to build our own U.S. oncology sales force to market our products directly to oncology centers and physicians in the United States focused in medical oncology. We believe that we can best serve this market with a focused, specialty sales force.

Outside of the United States, and subject to obtaining marketing approval in the applicable countries, we intend to engage sales, marketing and distribution partners in Canada, Europe, Asia and Latin America.

Competition

If any of our products receive marketing approval, they may compete against, and may be used in combination with, well-established products that are currently used for the treatment of their respective indications. By the time we are able to commercialize a product candidate, the competition and potential competition may be greater and more direct. Several companies are focusing on new compounds, most of which are in pre-clinical or early phases of development.

We expect to compete with others on, among other things, the safety and efficacy of our products. Competing successfully will depend on our continued ability to attract and retain skilled and experienced personnel; to identify, secure the rights to and develop pharmaceutical products and compounds; and to exploit these products and compounds commercially before others are able to develop competing products. In addition, our ability to compete may be affected because insurers and other third-party payors in some cases seek to encourage the use of generic products making branded products less attractive to buyers from a cost perspective.

Patents and Proprietary Rights

Our success will depend in part on our ability to protect our products and product candidates by obtaining and maintaining a strong proprietary position both in the United States and in other countries. To develop and maintain our proprietary position, we will rely on patent protection, regulatory protection, trade secrets, know-how, continuing technological innovations and licensing opportunities.

It is our policy to require our employees, consultants, contractors, or scientific and other advisors, to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of employment or consulting relationships with us. These agreements provide that all confidential information developed or made known to the individual during the course of the individual's relationship with us is to be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties except in specific circumstances. These agreements provide that all inventions related to our business that are conceived by the individual during the course of our relationship shall be our exclusive property. There can be no assurance, however, that these agreements will provide meaningful protection or adequate remedies for our trade secrets in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information.

Subsidiaries

We own 100% of the outstanding common stock of IndUS Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Employees and Consultants

As of April 28, 2017, we have employment contracts with our chief executive officer, chief business officer and chief financial officer. We currently engage independent contractors in the areas of legal and auditing services. We

plan to engage independent contractors in the areas of preclinical toxicity studies and clinical trial execution and data management.

Government Regulations

In this section and throughout this annual report, the term “FDA” means the United States Food and Drug Administration.

Our current and future operations and research and development activities are or will be subject to various laws and regulations in the countries in which we conduct or plan to conduct our business, including but not limited to the United States, Canada, India, United Kingdom and potentially other member countries from the European Union. These laws and regulations govern the research, development, sale and marketing of pharmaceuticals, taxes, labor standards, occupational health and safety, toxic substances, chemical products and materials, waste management and other matters relating to the pharmaceutical industry. We may require permits, registrations or other authorizations to maintain our operations and to carry out our future research and development activities, and these permits, registrations or authorizations will be subject to revocation, modification and renewal.

Governmental authorities have the power to enforce compliance with lease conditions, regulatory requirements and the provisions of required permits, registrations or other authorizations, and violators may be subject to civil and criminal penalties including fines, injunctions, or both. The failure to obtain or maintain a required permit may also result in the imposition of civil and criminal penalties, and third parties may have the right to sue to enforce compliance.

We expect to be able to comply with all applicable laws and regulations and do not believe that such compliance will have a material adverse effect on our competitive position. We have obtained and intend to obtain all permits, licenses and approvals required by all applicable regulatory agencies to maintain our current operations and to carry out our future research and development activities. We are not aware of any material violations of permits, licenses or approvals issued with respect to our operations, and we believe that we will continue to comply with all applicable laws and regulations.

Pharmaceutical Regulatory Regimes

Regulation by governmental authorities in the United States and other countries is a significant factor in the development, manufacture and marketing of pharmaceuticals. All of our product candidates will require regulatory approval by governmental agencies prior to commercialization. In particular, our drug candidates are subject to rigorous pre-clinical testing and subsequent clinical trials and other premarketing approval requirements of the FDA and regulatory authorities in other countries. Various federal, state and foreign statutes and regulations govern or affect the manufacturing, safety, labeling, stability, record-keeping and marketing of pharmaceutical products. The lengthy process of seeking required approvals and the continuing need for compliance with applicable statutes and regulations require the expenditure of substantial resources. When we obtain regulatory approval for any of our product candidates, the approval may be limited in scope, which may significantly limit the indicated uses for which our product candidates may be marketed, promoted and advertised. Further, approved pharmaceuticals and manufacturers are subject to ongoing review and previously unknown problems may be discovered that may result in restrictions on the manufacture, sale or use of approved pharmaceuticals or their withdrawal from the market.

[Table of Contents](#)

Pre-Clinical Studies

Before testing any compounds with potential therapeutic value in human subjects in the United States, stringent governmental requirements for pre-clinical data must be satisfied. Pre-clinical testing includes both *in vitro* and *in vivo* laboratory evaluation and characterization of the safety and efficacy of a drug and its formulation. Pre-clinical testing results obtained from these studies, including tests in several animal species, are submitted to the FDA as

part of an Investigational New Drug Application and are reviewed by the FDA prior to the commencement of human clinical trials. These pre-clinical data must provide an adequate basis for evaluating both the safety and the scientific rationale for initial trials in human volunteers.

Clinical Trials

If a company wants to conduct clinical trials in the United States to test a new drug in humans, an Investigational New Drug (IND) Application must be prepared and submitted to the FDA. The IND Application becomes effective, if not rejected or put on clinical hold by the FDA, within 30 days of filing the application. In addition, an Institutional Review Board must review and approve the trial protocol and monitor the trial on an ongoing basis. The FDA may, at any time during the 30-day review period or at any time thereafter, impose a clinical hold on proposed or ongoing clinical trials. If the FDA imposes a clinical hold, clinical trials may commence or recommence without FDA authorization, and then only under terms authorized by the FDA. The IND Application process can result in substantial delay and expense.

New Drug Application

After completion of clinical trials, if there is substantial evidence that the drug is both safe and effective, a New Drug Application (NDA) is prepared and submitted for the FDA to review. The New Drug Application must contain all of the essential information on the drug gathered to that date, including data from pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, and the content and format of a New Drug Application must conform with all FDA regulations and guidelines. Accordingly, the preparation and submission of a New Drug Application is an expensive and major undertaking for a sponsor.

The FDA reviews all New Drug Applications submitted before it accepts them for filing and may request additional information from the sponsor rather than accepting a New Drug Application for filing. In such an event, the New Drug Application must be submitted with the additional information and, again, is subject to review before filing. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth review of the New Drug Application. By law, the FDA has 180 days in which to review the New Drug Application and respond to the applicant. The review process is often significantly extended by the FDA through requests for additional information and clarification. The FDA may refer the application to an appropriate advisory committee, typically a panel of clinicians, for review, evaluation and a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved and the scope of any approval. The FDA is not bound by the recommendation, but gives great weight to it. If the FDA evaluations of both the New Drug Application and the manufacturing facilities are favorable, the FDA may issue either an approval letter or an approvable letter, which usually contains a number of conditions that must be satisfied in order to secure final approval. If the FDA's evaluation of the New Drug Application submission or manufacturing facility is not favorable, the FDA may refuse to approve the New Drug Application or issue a not approvable letter.

Fast Track Designation and Priority Review

The FDA's fast track program is intended to facilitate the development and expedite the review of drugs that are intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition for which there is no effective treatment and which demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs for their condition. Under the fast track program, the sponsor of a new drug may request the FDA to designate the drug for a specific indication as a fast track product at any time during the clinical development of the product. The FDA must determine if the product qualifies for fast track designation within 60 days of receipt of the sponsor's request.

For a product candidate where fast track designation is obtained, the FDA may initiate review of sections of a New Drug Application before the application is complete. This rolling review is available if the applicant provides a schedule for the submission of the remaining information and pays applicable user fees. However, the time period specified in the Prescription Drug User Fees Act, which governs the time period goals the FDA has committed to reviewing a New Drug Application, does not begin until the complete application is submitted. Additionally, the fast track designation may be withdrawn by the FDA if the FDA believes that the designation is no longer supported by data emerging in the clinical trial process.

[Table of Contents](#)

In some cases, the FDA may designate a product for priority review. A product is eligible for priority review, or review within a targeted six-month time frame from the time a New Drug Application is accepted for filing, if the product provides a significant improvement compared to marketed products in the treatment, diagnosis or prevention of a disease. A fast-track designated product generally meets the FDA's criteria for priority review. We cannot guarantee that any of our products will receive a priority review designation, or if a priority designation is received, that review or approval will be faster than conventional FDA procedures.

When appropriate, we intend to seek fast track designations for our products. We cannot predict the ultimate impact, if any, of the fast track process on the timing or likelihood of FDA approval on any of our potential products. Importantly, fast track designation does not result in the elimination or waiver of any pre-clinical or clinical trial requirements.

Orphan Drug Designation

The FDA may grant orphan drug designation to drugs intended to treat a rare disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States. Orphan drug designation must be requested before submitting a New Drug Application. If the FDA grants orphan drug designation, the generic identity of the therapeutic agent and its potential orphan use are disclosed publicly by the FDA. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the regulatory review and approval process. If a product that has orphan drug designation subsequently receives FDA approval for the indication for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan exclusivity, which means the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same indication, except in very limited circumstances, for up to seven years after receiving FDA approval.

When appropriate, we intend to seek orphan status for certain indications that may be treated with our products. It is our intent to file for orphan disease status for our novel pyrrolbenzodiazepine dimer (PBD) drugs that are intended to treat metastatic endometrial, ovarian and/or triple-negative breast cancers. We cannot predict the ultimate impact, if any, of orphan status on the timing or likelihood of FDA approval on any of our products.

The Hatch-Waxman Act

Under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, known as the Hatch-Waxman Act, newly approved drugs may benefit from a statutory period of non-patent marketing exclusivity in the United States. The Hatch-Waxman Act provides five years of marketing exclusivity to the first applicant to gain approval of a New Drug Application under Section 505(b) of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act for a new chemical entity. A drug qualifies as a new chemical entity if the FDA has not previously approved any other drug containing the same active ingredient. The Hatch-Waxman Act provides data exclusivity by prohibiting abbreviated New Drug Applications, and the submission of section 505(b)(2) applications, which are marketing applications where the applicant does not own or have a legal right of reference to all the data required for approval, by another company for another version of such drug during the exclusive period. Protection under the Hatch-Waxman Act will not prevent the filing or approval of a full New Drug Application for the same active ingredient, although the applicant would be required to conduct its own adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to demonstrate safety and effectiveness.

Other Regulatory Requirements

Any products we manufacture or distribute under FDA approvals are subject to pervasive and continuing regulation by the FDA, including record-keeping requirements and reporting of adverse experiences with the products. Drug manufacturers and their subcontractors are required to register with the FDA and, where appropriate, state agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and state agencies for compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMP, regulations which impose procedural and documentation requirements upon us and each third-party manufacturer we utilize.

The FDA closely regulates the marketing and promotion of drugs. A company can make only those claims relating to safety and efficacy that are approved by the FDA. Failure to comply with these requirements can result in adverse publicity, warning letters, corrective advertising and potential civil and criminal penalties. Physicians may prescribe legally available drugs for uses that are not described in the product's labeling and that differ from those tested by us and approved by the FDA. Such off-label uses are common across medical specialties. Physicians may believe that such off-label uses are the best treatment for many patients in varied circumstances. The FDA does not regulate the behavior of physicians in their choice of treatments. The FDA does, however, restrict manufacturers from communicating on the subject of off-label use.

[Table of Contents](#)

The FDA's policies may change and additional government regulations may be enacted which could prevent or delay regulatory approval of our programs or our future product candidates, or such approval of new indications for our future products. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of adverse governmental regulations that might arise from future legislative or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad European Union.

Clinical Trials

Similar to the United States, the various phases of pre-clinical and clinical research in the European Union are subject to significant regulatory controls. The regulatory controls on clinical research in the European Union are now largely harmonized following the implementation of the Clinical Trials Directive 2001/20/EC, or CTD. Compliance with the national implementations of the CTD has been mandatory since May 1, 2004. However, variations in member state regimes continue to exist, particularly in the small number of member states that have yet to implement the CTD fully.

All member states currently require regulatory and independent ethics committee approval of interventional clinical trials. European regulators and ethics committees also require the submission of adverse event reports during a study and a copy of the final study report.

Marketing Authorization

In the European Union, approval of new medicinal products can be obtained through the mutual recognition procedure or the centralized procedure. The mutual recognition procedure entails initial assessment by the national authorities of a single member state and subsequent review by national authorities in other member states based on the initial assessment. The centralized procedure requires the submission of a single Marketing Authorization Application (a "MAA") to the European Medicines Agency (the "EMA") leading to an approval that is valid in all European Union member states. It is required for certain medicinal products, such as biotechnology products and certain new chemical entities, and is optional, or available at the EMA's discretion, for other new chemical entities or innovative medicinal products with novel characteristics.

Under the centralized procedure, a MAA is submitted to the EMA. Two European Union member states are appointed to conduct an initial evaluation of each MAA. These countries each prepare an assessment report, which are then used as the basis of a scientific opinion of the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use. If this opinion is favorable, it is sent to the European Commission which drafts a decision. After consulting with the member states, the European Commission adopts a decision and grants a marketing authorization, which is valid throughout the European Union and confers the same rights and obligations in each of the member states as a marketing authorization granted by that member state.

The European Union expanded its membership by ten states in May 2004. Two more countries joined on January 1, 2007. Several other European countries outside of the European Union, particularly those intending to accede to the European Union, accept European Union review and approval as a basis for their own national approval.

Advertising

In the European Union, the promotion of prescription medicines is subject to intense regulation and control, including a prohibition on direct-to-consumer advertising. Some jurisdictions require that all promotional materials for prescription medicines be subjected to either prior internal or regulatory review or approval.

[Table of Contents](#)

Data Exclusivity

For an MAA filed after October 30, 2005, European Union regulators offer eight years of data exclusivity during which generic drug manufacturers cannot file abridged applications. This is followed by two years of market exclusivity during which generic MAAs may be reviewed and approved but during which generic drug manufacturers cannot launch products. The manner in which these new exclusivity provisions will be applied in practice remains far from clear and there can be no assurance that our programs or our other current or future product candidates will qualify for such exclusivity.

Other Regulatory Requirements

If a marketing authorization is granted for our products in the European Union, the holder of the marketing authorization will be subject to ongoing regulatory obligations. A holder of a marketing authorization for our products is legally obliged to fulfill a number of obligations by virtue of its status as a Marketing Authorization Holder (a “MAH”). While the associated legal responsibility and liability cannot be delegated, the MAH can delegate the performance of related tasks to third parties, provided that this delegation is appropriately documented. A MAH can therefore either ensure that it has adequate resources, policies and procedures to fulfill its responsibilities, or can delegate the performance of some or all of its obligations to others, such as distributors or marketing partners.

The obligations of a MAH include:

- **Manufacturing and Batch Release:** MAHs should guarantee that all manufacturing operations comply with relevant laws and regulations, applicable good manufacturing practices, the product specifications and manufacturing conditions set out in the marketing authorization and that each batch of product is subject to appropriate release formalities.
- **Pharmacovigilance:** MAHs are obliged to monitor the safety of products post-approval and to submit to the regulators safety reports on an expedited and periodic basis. There is an obligation to notify regulators of any other information that may affect the risk benefit ratio for the product.
- **Advertising and Promotion:** MAHs remain responsible for all advertising and promotion of their products in the relevant jurisdiction, including promotional activities by other companies or individuals on their behalf. Some jurisdictions require that a MAH subject all promotional materials to either prior internal or regulatory review and approval.
- **Medical Affairs/Scientific Service:** MAHs are required to have a function responsible for disseminating scientific and medical information on their medicinal products, predominantly to healthcare professionals, but also to regulators and patients.
- **Legal Representation and Distributor Issues:** MAHs are responsible for regulatory actions or inactions of their distributors and agents, including the failure of distributors to provide a MAH with safety data within a timeframe that allows the MAH to fulfill its reporting obligations.
- **Preparation, Filing and Maintenance of the Application and Subsequent Marketing Authorization:** MAHs have general obligations to maintain appropriate records, to comply with the marketing authorization’s

terms and conditions, to submit renewal applications and to pay all appropriate fees to the authorities. There are also general reporting obligations, such as an obligation to inform regulators of any information that may lead to the modification of the marketing authorization dossier or product labeling, and of any action to suspend, revoke or withdraw an approval or to prohibit or suspend the marketing of a product.

□

We may hold marketing authorizations for our products in our own name, or appoint an affiliate or a collaboration partner to hold the marketing authorization on our behalf. Any failure by a MAH to comply with these obligations may result in regulatory action against the MAH and its approvals and ultimately threaten our ability to commercialize our products.

[Table of Contents](#)

Approvals Outside of the United States and the European Union

We will also be subject to a wide variety of foreign regulations governing the development, manufacture and marketing of our products. Whether or not FDA approval or European marketing authorization has been obtained, approval of a product by the comparable regulatory authorities of other foreign countries must still be obtained prior to manufacturing or marketing the product in those countries. The approval process varies from country to country and the time needed to secure approval may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval or a European marketing authorization. We cannot assure you that clinical trials conducted in one country will be accepted by other countries or that approval in one country will result in approval in any other country.

Third-Party Reimbursement and Pricing Controls

General:

In the United States and elsewhere, patients' access to pharmaceutical products depends in significant part on the coverage and reimbursement of a product or service by third-party payors, such as government programs, private insurance plans and employers. Third-party payors increasingly are challenging the prices charged for medical products and services. It will be time consuming and expensive for us to go through the process of seeking reimbursement from Medicare, Medicaid and private payors. We may be unable to achieve reimbursement from some payors because they may not consider our products to be "reasonable and necessary" or cost-effective. Furthermore, it is possible that even if payors are willing to reimburse patients for our products, the reimbursement levels may not be sufficient to allow us to sell our products on a competitive and profitable basis.

In many foreign markets, including the countries in the European Union, the pricing of pharmaceutical products is subject to direct governmental control and to drug reimbursement programs with varying price control mechanisms. In the European Union, governments influence the price of pharmaceutical products through their pricing and reimbursement rules and the control of national health care systems that fund a large part of the cost of such products to consumers. The approach taken varies from member state to member state: some jurisdictions operate positive and/or negative list systems under which products may only be marketed once a reimbursement price has been agreed, and other member states allow companies to fix their own prices for medicines, but monitor and control company profits. The downward pressure on health care costs in general, particularly prescription drugs, has become very intense. As a result, increasingly high barriers are being erected to the entry of new products, as exemplified by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence in the United Kingdom which evaluates the data supporting new medicines and passes reimbursement recommendations to the government. In addition, in some countries cross-border imports from low-priced markets (parallel imports) exert a commercial pressure on pricing within a country.

In the United States, there have been, and we expect that there will continue to be, a number of federal and state proposals to implement means by which the government can negotiate lower drug prices for Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries. While we cannot predict whether such legislative bills will become law, their enactment could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Medicare:

In the following section, all references to “CMS” refer to the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

We expect that in the United States, some or a majority of the patients who are treated with our products will be Medicare beneficiaries. The CMS is the agency within the Department of Health and Human Services that administers both Medicare and Medicaid. Two aspects of Medicare reimbursement will be relevant to our products: the availability of reimbursement for physician services for administration of our products and the availability of reimbursement for our products themselves.

[Table of Contents](#)

The CMS has asserted the authority of Medicare not to cover particular products or services if it determines that they are not “reasonable and necessary” for Medicare beneficiaries. The CMS may create a national coverage determination (a “NCD”) for a product, which establishes on a nationwide basis the indications that will be covered and the frequency limits for administration of the product. However, for most new drugs that are eligible for payment, the CMS does not create a NCD. We do not know whether we will seek or obtain a NCD for any of our current or potential products or whether any NCD we obtain will contain favorable coverage terms. As mentioned above, if Medicare coverage for our products is available, the CMS may decide to provide reimbursement through one of two avenues: Part B coverage for physician-administered drugs, or Part D coverage for outpatient prescription drugs. Under Part B coverage, Medicare reimburses purchasers of drugs that meet three statutory requirements:

- the product is reasonable and necessary;
- the product is not usually self-administered and as such is incidental to a physician’s service in the office setting; and
- the administering physician bills Medicare directly for the product.

□

If there is not a national coverage decision, the local Medicare contractors that are responsible for administering the Part B program on a regional basis may have the discretion to decline coverage and reimbursement for a drug or to issue a local coverage decision (an “LCD”). These policies can include both coverage criteria for the drug and frequency limits for the administration of the drug. The local contractors in different areas of the country may determine that our products should be treated like most topical patches and may deny coverage under Part B or, even if they allow coverage, may establish varying coverage criteria and frequency limits for any product. Furthermore, obtaining LCDs in the various regions can be a time-consuming and expensive process.

Medicare payment for physician services related to the administration of any of our products, if any, will most likely be determined according to a prospectively set payment rate, determined by a procedure code established by the American Medical Association. These codes, called Current Procedural Terminology (“CPT”) codes, describe the procedure performed and can be specific or more general in nature. We believe that although there are existing CPT codes that could be used, a specific code for the administration of each of our products would be preferable. We plan to apply for a specific CPT code. If, at launch, a specific CPT code is not available, local Medicare contractors will advise which existing CPT code should be used for services related to the administration of our products.

The CMS has been considering changes to Medicare reimbursement that could result in lower payments for physician-administered drugs, and Congress may also consider legislation that would mandate lower reimbursement levels. A reduction in reimbursement levels could materially and adversely affect our revenue.

The CMS may determine that any of our products do not qualify for Part B coverage and should instead be covered under the Part D outpatient prescription drug benefit. Because, unlike Part B, Part D coverage reimburses patients only for the drug itself and does not provide reimbursement for the physician’s administration services (though a physician can bill for service under Part B and it is possible that the CMS will provide such coverage for the

administration of any of our products, even if the product in question is covered under Part D), physicians may not consider our products as attractive a treatment option if they are reimbursed under Part D instead of Part B. In addition, under Part D, there are multiple types of plans and numerous plan sponsors, each with its own formulary and product access requirements. While the CMS evaluates Part D plans' proposed formularies for potentially discriminatory practices, the plans have considerable discretion in establishing formularies, establishing tiered co-pay structures and placing prior authorization and other restrictions on the use of specific products. Moreover, Part D plan sponsors are permitted and encouraged to negotiate rebates with manufacturers. Revenue for our products will be substantially affected by their respective formulary status on Part D plans and the rebates that Part D plan sponsors are able to negotiate.

Medicaid:

Most State Medicaid programs have established preferred drug lists, and the process, criteria and timeframe for obtaining placement on the preferred drug list vary from state to state. A federal law establishes minimum rebates that a manufacturer must pay for Medicaid utilization of a product, and many states have established supplemental rebate programs as a condition for including a drug product on a preferred drug list. Submitting a preferred drug list application to each state will be a time-consuming and expensive process, and it is not clear how many or which state programs will accept the applications. Review times for these applications can vary from weeks to 14 months or more.

[Table of Contents](#)

Private Insurance Reimbursement:

Commercial insurers usually offer two types of benefits: medical benefits and pharmacy benefits. In most private insurance plans, physician-administered drugs are provided under the medical benefit. If private insurers decide to cover any of our products, they will reimburse for the drug(s) and its administration in a variety of ways, depending on the insurance plan's revenue targets, employer and benefit manager input and the contract negotiated with their physicians. Like Medicare and Medicaid, commercial insurers have the authority to place coverage and utilization limits on physician-administered drugs. Many private insurers tend to adopt reimbursement methodologies for a product similar to those adopted by Medicare. Revenue for our products may be materially and adversely affected if private payors make unfavorable reimbursement decisions or delay making favorable reimbursement decisions.

REPORTS TO SECURITY HOLDERS

We are required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission and our filings are available to the public over the internet at the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. The public may read and copy any materials filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission at the Securities and Exchange Commission's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street N.E. Washington D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1-800-732-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and formation statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

There is substantial doubt as to whether we will continue operations. If we discontinue operations, you could lose your investment.

Our financial statements have been prepared on the going concern basis, which assumes that we will be able to realize our assets and discharge our liabilities in the normal course of business. However, as at January 31, 2017, we

have not earned any revenues and had a deficit of \$20,597,753. We anticipate that we will incur increased expenses without realizing sufficient revenues (if any) to offset those expenses and we therefore expect to incur significant losses for the foreseeable future. Our ability to continue our operations is dependent on obtaining additional financing and generating future revenues, and no assurance can be given that we will successfully be able to do so. Accordingly, our auditor has indicated in our financial statements that these factors raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Importantly, the inclusion in our financial statements of a going concern opinion may negatively impact our ability to raise future financing and achieve future revenue. The threat of our ability to continue as a going concern will be removed only when, in the opinion of our auditor, our revenues have reached a level that is able to sustain our business operations.

If we are unable to obtain additional financing from outside sources and eventually generate enough revenues, we may be forced to sell a portion or all of our assets, or curtail or discontinue our operations. If any of these happens, you could lose all or part of your investment. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments to our recorded assets or liabilities that might be necessary if we become unable to continue as a going concern.

We have incurred operating losses in each year since our inception and expect to continue to incur substantial and increasing losses for the foreseeable future. If we cannot generate sufficient revenues to operate profitably, we may suspend or cease our operations.

We have not generated any revenue since our inception on June 10, 2002 and we have incurred operating and net losses in each year of our existence. We experienced a net loss of \$6,278,207 for the year ended January 31, 2017, compared to a net loss of \$10,307,065 for the year ended January 31, 2016. We expect to incur substantial and increasing losses for the foreseeable future as we develop, seek regulatory approval for and commercialize our product candidates and pursue our other research and development activities. If our products are not successful in clinical trials, does not gain regulatory approval or does not achieve market acceptance, we may never generate any revenue. We also cannot assure you that we will be profitable even if we successfully commercialize our products. If we fail to generate sufficient revenues to operate profitably, or if we are unable to fund our continuing losses, you could lose all or part of your investment.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our business is to research and develop new therapies to treat unmet needs in women's health focusing on oncology and urology. Our current product pipeline includes novel treatments for oncology and we also intend to develop novel delivery technologies to allow targeted delivery of existing drugs for a faster time-to-market.

We will require substantial additional funds to complete our research and development activities, and if such funds are not available we may need to significantly curtail or cease our operations.

We will require substantial funds to research, develop, test and protect our product candidates, and to manufacture and market any such candidates that may be approved for commercial sale. Based on our current cash levels, we do not have sufficient cash to meet our planned day-to-day operating needs through January 2018, including our planned research and development activities. We raised \$379,718 through private placement of convertible debentures during the year ended January 31, 2017. Based on our planned research and development activities, we anticipate that we will require additional funds of approximately \$1.5 million to meet our planned day-to-day operating needs for the next 12 months. If we do not raise sufficient funds, our plan of operation will be delayed until such time as we raise sufficient funds, provided we are able to do so. Further, the cost of carrying out our operating activities and research and development activities is not fixed, and our cash levels may at any time prove to be insufficient to finance them. Our financing needs may change substantially because a number of factors which are difficult to predict or which may be outside of our control. These include increased competition, the costs of licensing existing drugs and protecting rights to our proprietary technology, the resources required to complete pre-clinical and clinical studies, and the length and results of the regulatory approval process.

We may not succeed in raising the additional funds that we require because such funds may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. We intend to seek additional funding through strategic alliances or through public or private sales of our equity securities, and we may also obtain equipment leases and pursue opportunities to obtain debt financing in the future. If we are unable to obtain sufficient funding on a timely basis, we may be forced to significantly curtail or cease our operations.

Our inability to complete our research and development projects in a timely manner could have a material adverse effect of our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

If our research and development (R&D) projects are not completed in a timely fashion, our company could experience:

- substantial additional cost for the conduct of IND supporting R&D activities;
- additional competition in the oncology indications affecting women's health;
- additional delay in obtaining requisite regulatory approvals; and
- delay in obtaining future inflow of cash from financial or partnership activities, any of which could have a material adverse effect of our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

□ Any products that we may develop will be required to undergo a time-consuming, costly and burdensome pre-market approval process, and if we are unable to obtain regulatory approval for our products we may never become profitable.

Any products that we may develop will be subject to extensive governmental regulations relating to development activities, conduct of clinical trials, manufacturing and commercialization. In the United States, for example, the prospective therapeutic products that we intend to develop and market are regulated by the FDA under its new drug development and review process. Before such therapeutic products can be marketed, we must obtain clearance from the FDA by submitting an investigational new drug application, then by successfully completing human testing under three phases of clinical trials, and finally by submitting a new drug application.

[Table of Contents](#)

The time required to obtain approvals for our prospective therapeutic products from the FDA and other agencies in foreign locales with similar processes is unpredictable. We expect to be able to accelerate the approval process and to increase the chances of approval by using existing and approved drugs as the basis for our own technology. However, we cannot guarantee that our expectations will be realized, and there is no assurance that we will ever receive regulatory approval to use our proprietary substances, methods and processes. If we do not obtain such regulatory approval, we may never become profitable.

We may not commence clinical testing for any of our prospective therapeutic products and the commercial value of any clinical study that we may conduct will depend significantly upon our choice of indication and our patient population selection. If we are unable to commence clinical testing or if we make a poor choice in terms of clinical strategy, we may never achieve revenues.

In order to commence clinical testing we must successfully complete and obtain positive scientific results from pre-clinical studies and, in the case of an existing drug that we are re-profiling for a new indication, adopt existing pre-clinical or early stage clinical studies to our own research. If we successfully complete any clinical study of our own, the commercial value of any such study will significantly depend upon our choice of indication and our patient population selection for that indication.

Our clinical trials for each drug may fail to adequately demonstrate the safety and efficacy of that candidate, which could force us to abandon our product development plans for that drug candidate.

Before obtaining regulatory approval for the commercial sale of any of our product candidates, we must demonstrate through lengthy, complex and expensive pre-clinical testing and clinical trials that each product is both safe and effective for use in each target indication. Clinical trial results are inherently difficult to predict, and the results we have obtained or may obtain from third-party trials or from our own trials may not be indicative of results from future trials. We may also suffer significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials even after obtaining promising results in earlier studies.

Although we intend to modify any of our protocols in ongoing studies to address any setbacks, there can be no assurance that these modifications will be adequate or that these or other factors will not have a negative effect on the results of our clinical trials. This could significantly disrupt our efforts to obtain regulatory approvals and commercialize our product candidates. Furthermore, we may voluntarily suspend or terminate our clinical trials if at any time we believe that they present an unacceptable safety risk to patients, either in the form of undesirable side effects or otherwise. If we cannot show that our product candidates are both safe and effective in clinical trials, we may be forced to abandon our business plan.

We will rely on third parties to conduct our formulation development, chemistry activities, as well as pre-clinical and clinical trials. If these third parties do not perform as contractually required or otherwise expected we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates, which may prevent us from becoming profitable.

If we are unable to establish a sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure or enter into collaborations with partners to perform these functions, we may not be successful in commercializing our product candidates.

In order to successfully commercialize any of our product candidates, we must either develop a satisfactory sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure or enter into collaborations with partners to perform these services for us. We will require substantial resources to create such an infrastructure, and we may never possess the resources to do so. For example, we may be unable to recruit and retain an adequate number of effective sales and marketing personnel or we may incur unforeseen costs and expenses in connection with developing the necessary infrastructure.

Although we plan to develop our own sales and marketing organizations in some markets, we intend to enter into partnering, co-promotion and other distribution arrangements to commercialize our products in most markets. We may not be able to enter into collaborations on acceptable terms, if at all, and we may face competition in our search for partners with whom we may collaborate. If we are not able to build a satisfactory sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure or collaborate with one or more partners to perform these functions, we may not be able to successfully commercialize our product candidates, which could cause us to cease our operations.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our product candidates may never gain market acceptance even if we obtain the necessary regulatory approvals, which could prevent us from generating revenues.

Even if we receive the necessary regulatory approvals to commercially sell our product candidates, the success of these candidates will depend on their acceptance by physicians and patients, among other things. Market acceptance of, and demand for, any product that we develop and commercialize will depend on many factors, including:

- our ability to provide acceptable evidence of safety and efficacy;
- our ability to obtain sufficient third-party insurance coverage or reimbursement;
- the availability, relative cost and relative efficacy of alternative and competing treatments;
- the effectiveness of our or our collaborators' sales, marketing and distribution strategy; and
- publicity concerning our products or competing products and treatments.

□

If our product candidates fail to gain market acceptance, we may be unable to generate sufficient revenue to continue our business

We will depend on other parties to manufacture our product candidates. If these parties fail to meet our manufacturing requirements and applicable regulatory requirements, our product development and commercialization efforts could suffer and we may never realize a profit.

If we obtain the necessary regulatory approvals to market our products, we will rely on contract manufacturers as single source suppliers for our product candidates.

Because of our planned reliance on contract manufacturers, we may also be exposed to additional risks, including those related to intellectual property and the failure of such manufacturers to comply with strictly-enforced regulatory requirements, manufacture components to our specifications, or deliver sufficient component quantities to us in a timely manner. For example, a contract manufacturer working on our behalf may violate the intellectual property rights of a third party in manufacturing a component of one of our products, and if such a violation occurs without our knowledge, we may be held vicariously liable for the acts of our contractor, incur related costs and court mandated damages, or become enjoined from selling products which violate those third-party intellectual property rights. Similarly, if a contract manufacturer working on our behalf is found to be in violation of FDA or other national regulatory standards regarding the manufacture, packaging or labeling of any of our products, we could face any of a number of adverse consequences including costly regulatory investigations and fines, interruptions in the flow of our products or materials, product recalls, or liability to consumers regarding any of our products that do not meet such regulatory requirements. If any of these events occurs, if our relationship with any of our potential contract manufacturers terminates, or if any such manufacturer is unable fulfill its obligations to us for any reason, our product development and commercialization efforts could suffer and we may never realize a profit.

We face potential product liability exposure, and any claim brought against us may cause us to divert resources from our normal operations or terminate selling, distributing and marketing any product for which we have received regulatory approval. This may cause us to cease our operations as it relates to that product.

The use of our product candidates in clinical trials and the sale of any products for which we obtain regulatory approval may expose us to product liability claims from consumers, health care providers, pharmaceutical companies or other entities. Although we plan to obtain product liability insurance coverage for our clinical trials with limits that we hope will be customary and adequate to provide us with coverage for foreseeable risks associated with our product development efforts, our insurance coverage may be insufficient to reimburse us for the actual expenses or losses we may suffer.

If we obtain sufficient financing to proceed with our planned clinical trials, we intend to purchase insurance in amounts customary for trials comparable to our own. To that effect, we intend to consult with industry professionals to determine the optimal amount of coverage. In order to obtain insurance, we must subject our clinical trial protocol to a full review by our eventual insurance provider. The process of binding an insurance policy for a clinical trial can take as long as three months.

[Table of Contents](#)

We also plan to expand our insurance to cover the commercial sale of products if we obtain the necessary regulatory approval to do so; however, the same product liability risks apply in those circumstances as in clinical trials. Further, even if we are able to successfully defend ourselves against any potential claims, we will likely incur substantial costs in the form of unanticipated expenses and negative publicity. This could result in decreased demand for our product candidates, the withdrawal of clinical trial participants, an impaired business reputation, revenue loss or an inability to commercialize our product candidates. Any of these consequences could cause us to cease our operations.

We face substantial competition in the therapeutic pharmaceutical research and development industry, which could harm our business and our ability to operate profitably.

Our industry is highly competitive, and many of our potential competitors, either alone or together with their partners, have substantially greater financial resources, research and development programs, clinical trial and regulatory experience, expertise in the protection of intellectual property rights, and manufacturing, distribution and sales and marketing capabilities than us. As a result, they may be able to:

- develop product candidates and market products that are faster to market and thus less expensive, potentially safer, and/or more effective or involve more convenient treatment procedures than our future products;
- commercialize competing products before we can launch any of our product candidates;
- initiate or withstand substantial price competition more successfully than us;
- enjoy greater success in recruiting skilled scientific workers from a limited pool of available talent; and
- more effectively negotiate third-party licenses and strategic alliances.

□

All of our product candidates and product development processes will be subject to ongoing regulatory requirements, and may therefore be the subject of regulatory or enforcement action. The associated costs could prevent us from achieving our goals or becoming profitable.

Our product candidates, clinical data, third-party manufacturing facilities and processes and advertising and promotional activities for any product that receives regulatory approval will be subject to significant review and ongoing and changing regulation by various regulatory agencies. Our failure to comply with any regulatory requirements may subject us to administrative and judicial sanctions, which may include warning letters, civil and criminal penalties, injunctions, product seizures or detention, product recalls, total or partial suspension of production, or the denial of pending product marketing applications.

Even if we receive regulatory approval to market a particular product candidate, such approval could be conditional upon our conducting costly post-approval studies or could limit the indicated uses that we are able to include on our product labels. In addition, regulatory or enforcement actions could adversely affect our ability to develop, market and sell our prospective products successfully and harm our reputation, which could lead to reduced market demand for such products. Consequently, the costs associated with any such action could cause our business to suffer and prevent us from achieving our goals or becoming profitable.

Since one of our place of business and some of our officers, directors and business assets are also located in Canada, you may be limited in your ability to enforce U.S. civil actions against them for damages to the value of your investment.

We plan to indemnify our directors and officers against liability to us and our security holders, and such indemnification could increase our operating costs.

Our Articles allow us to indemnify our directors and officers against claims associated with carrying out the duties of their offices. Our Articles also allow us to reimburse them for the costs of certain legal defenses. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) may be permitted to our directors, officers or control persons, we have been advised by the SEC that such indemnification is against public policy and is therefore unenforceable.

[Table of Contents](#)

Since our officers and directors are aware that they may be indemnified for carrying out the duties of their offices, they may be less motivated to meet the standards required by law to properly carry out such duties, which could increase our operating costs. Further, if our officers and directors file a claim against us for indemnification, the associated expenses could also increase our operating costs.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

If we are unable to maintain and enforce our proprietary intellectual property rights, we may not be able to operate profitably.

Our commercial success will depend, in part, on obtaining and maintaining patent protection, trade secret protection and regulatory protection of our technologies and product candidates as well as successfully defending third-party challenges to such technologies and candidates. We will be able to protect our technologies and product candidates from use by third parties only to the extent that valid and enforceable patents, trade secrets or regulatory protection cover them and we have exclusive rights to use them. The ability of our licensors, collaborators and suppliers to maintain their patent rights against third-party challenges to their validity, scope or enforceability will also play an important role in determining our future.

In addition, our commercial success will depend, in part, on maintaining patent rights we have licensed and plan to license in the future, related to products we may market in the future. Since we will not fully control the patent prosecution of any licensed patent applications, it is possible that our licensors will not devote the same resources or attention to the prosecution of the licensed patent applications as we would if we controlled the prosecution of the applications ourselves. Consequently, the resulting patent protection, if any, may not be as strong or comprehensive as it would be had we done so.

The patent positions of biopharmaceutical companies can be highly uncertain and involve complex legal and factual questions that include unresolved principles and issues. No consistent policy regarding the breadth of claims allowed regarding such companies' patents has emerged to date in the United States, and the patent situation outside the United States is even more uncertain. Changes in either the patent laws or in interpretations of patent laws in the United States or other countries may diminish the value of our intellectual property. Accordingly, we cannot predict with any certainty the range of claims that may be allowed or enforced concerning our patents or third-party patents.

We also rely on trade secrets to protect our technologies, especially where we do not believe patent protection is appropriate or obtainable. However, trade secrets are difficult to protect. While we seek to protect confidential information, in part, through confidentiality agreements with our consultants and scientific and other advisors, they may unintentionally or willfully disclose our information to competitors. Enforcing a claim against a third party related to the illegal acquisition and use of trade secrets can be expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is often unpredictable. If we are not able to maintain patent or trade secret protection on our technologies and product candidates, then we may not be able to exclude competitors from developing or marketing competing products, and we may not be able to operate profitably.

If we are the subject of an intellectual property infringement claim, the cost of participating in any litigation could cause us to go out of business.

There has been, and we believe that there will continue to be, significant litigation and demands for licenses in our industry regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. Although we anticipate having a valid defense to any allegation that our current product candidates, production methods and other activities infringe the valid and enforceable intellectual property rights of any third parties, we cannot be certain that a third party will not challenge our position in the future. Other parties may own patent rights that we might infringe with our products or other activities, and our competitors or other patent holders may assert that our products and the methods we employ are covered by their patents. These parties could bring claims against us that would cause us to incur substantial litigation expenses and, if successful, may require us to pay substantial damages. Some of our potential competitors may be better able to sustain the costs of complex patent litigation, and depending on the circumstances, we could be forced to stop or delay our research, development, manufacturing or sales activities. Any of these costs could cause us to go out of business.

We may in the future be required to license patent rights from third-party owners in order to develop our products candidates. If we cannot obtain those licenses or if third-party owners do not properly maintain or enforce the patents underlying such licenses, we may not be able to market or sell our planned products.

We have licensed patent-protected technologies and novel anticancer drug candidates through the IndUS acquisition and we may also license other intellectual property from other third parties, if we believe it is necessary or useful to use additional third-party intellectual property to develop our products. Typically, we would seek to negotiate and obtain any required third party licenses immediately following the completion of preliminary research to establish a concept and plan of development for a new product candidate. However, depending on the ongoing results and requirements of pre-clinical or clinical trials, which may unexpectedly vary from our anticipated plan of development, we may be required to seek additional third-party licenses at later stages of product development. We will also be required to pay license fees, certain milestones or royalties or both to obtain such licenses, and there is no guarantee that such licenses will be available on acceptable terms, if at all. Even if we are able to successfully obtain a license, certain rights may be non- or co-exclusive, and this would give our competitors access to some of the intellectual property as us, which could ultimately prevent us from commercializing a product.

Upon obtaining a license, our business prospects will depend, in part, on the ability of our licensors to obtain, maintain and enforce patent protection on our licensed intellectual property. Our licensors may terminate our license, may not pursue and successfully prosecute any potential patent infringement claim, may fail to maintain their patent applications, or may pursue any litigation less aggressively than we would. Without protection for the intellectual property that we license, other companies may be able to offer substantially similar products for sale, and we may not be able to market or sell our planned products or generate any revenues.

If the FDA grants one of our competitors an orphan drug designation for a drug and indication combination that is similar to the drug and indication combination used and targeted by one of our products, we will face significant competition in marketing our product during the seven year exclusivity period.

The FDA grants an orphan drug designation to a drug intended to treat a rare disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States. An orphan drug designation must be requested before a sponsor submits a New Drug Application to the FDA, and if the FDA grants such a designation the generic identity of the therapeutic agent and its potential orphan use are disclosed publicly by the FDA. An orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the regulatory review and approval process that a drug must undergo; however, if a product that is the subject of an orphan drug designation subsequently receives FDA approval for the indication for which it has such a designation, the product is entitled to orphan exclusivity for up to seven years after receiving FDA approval. This means that the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same indication, except in very limited circumstances.

Therefore, if one of our competitors obtains an orphan drug designation for a drug and indication combination that is identical to the drug and indication combination of one of our products (i.e. the same underlying drug applied to the same indication), our product may not be approved for the same indication for up to seven subsequent years.

Risks Associated with Our Securities

Trading on the OTC Bulletin Board may be volatile and sporadic, which could depress the market price of our common stock and make it difficult for our stockholders to resell their shares.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTCQB service of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. Trading in stock quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board is often thin and characterized by wide fluctuations in trading prices, due to many factors that may have little to do with our operations or business prospects. This volatility could depress the market price of our common stock for reasons unrelated to operating performance. Moreover, the OTC Bulletin Board is not a stock exchange, and trading of securities on the OTC Bulletin Board is often more sporadic than the trading of securities listed on a quotation system like NASDAQ or a stock exchange like Amex. Accordingly, shareholders may have difficulty reselling any of their shares.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our stock is a penny stock. Trading of our stock may be restricted by the SEC's penny stock regulations and FINRA's sales practice requirements, which may limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

Our stock is a penny stock. The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15c-2-07 which generally defines "penny stock" to be any equity security that has a market price (as defined) less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Our securities are covered by the penny stock rules, which impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell to persons other than established customers and "accredited investors". The term "accredited investor" refers generally to institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000 or individuals with a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 jointly with their spouse. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document in a form prepared by the SEC which provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker-dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer's confirmation. In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for the stock that is subject to these penny stock rules. Consequently, these penny stock rules may affect the ability of broker-dealers to trade our securities. We believe that the penny stock rules discourage investor interest in, and limit the marketability of, our common stock.

In addition to the "penny stock" rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer's financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority believes that there is a high probability that speculative low-priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common stock, which may limit your ability to buy and sell our stock.

You will experience dilution or subordinated stockholder rights, privileges and preferences as a result of our financing efforts.

We must raise additional capital from external sources to carry out our business plan over the next 12 months. To do so, we may issue debt securities, equity securities or a combination of these securities; however, we may not be able to sell these securities, particularly under current market conditions. Even if we are successful in finding buyers for our securities, such buyers could demand high interest rates or require us to agree to onerous operating covenants, which could in turn harm our ability to operate our business by reducing our cash flow and restricting our operating activities. If we choose to sell shares of our common stock, this will result in dilution to our existing stockholders. In addition, any shares of common stock we may issue may have rights, privileges and preferences superior to those of our current stockholders.

We do not intend to pay dividends and there will thus be fewer ways in which you are able to make a gain on your investment, if at all.

We have never paid dividends and do not intend to pay any dividends for the foreseeable future. To the extent that we may require additional funding currently not provided for in our financing plan, our funding sources may

prohibit the declaration of dividends. Because we do not intend to pay dividends, any gain on your investment will need to result from an appreciation in the price of our common stock. There will therefore be fewer ways in which you are able to make a gain on your investment, if at all. There is also no guarantee that your investment will appreciate.

You may face significant restrictions on the resale of your shares due to state “blue sky” laws.

Each state has its own securities laws, often called “blue sky” laws, which (1) limit sales of securities to a state’s residents unless the securities are registered in that state or qualify for an exemption from registration, and (2) govern the reporting requirements for broker-dealers doing business directly or indirectly in the state. Before a security is sold in a state, there must be a registration in place to cover the transaction, or it must be exempt from registration. The applicable broker-dealer must also be registered in that state.

[Table of Contents](#)

We do not know whether our securities will be registered or exempt from registration under the laws of any state. A determination regarding registration will be made by those broker-dealers, if any, who agree to serve as market makers for our common stock. There may be significant state blue sky law restrictions on the ability of investors to sell, and on purchasers to buy, our securities. You should therefore consider the resale market for our common stock to be limited, as you may be unable to resell your shares without the significant expense of state registration or qualification.

Other Risks

Because some of our officers and directors are located in non-U.S. jurisdictions, you may have no effective recourse against the non U.S. officers and directors for misconduct and may not be able to enforce judgment and civil liabilities against our officers, directors, experts and agents.

Some of our directors and officers are nationals and/or residents of countries other than the United States, specifically Canada and Germany, and all or a substantial portion of such persons’ assets are located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to enforce within the United States any judgments obtained against our officers or directors, including judgments predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States or any state thereof.

Trends, Risks and Uncertainties

We have sought to identify what we believe to be the most significant risks to our business, but we cannot predict whether, or to what extent, any of such risks may be realized nor can we guarantee that we have identified all possible risks that might arise. Investors should carefully consider all of such risk factors before making an investment decision with respect to our common stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

As a “smaller reporting company”, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 2. Properties

We currently lease an office space at 25 Olympia Avenue, Suite K-300, Woburn, MA 01801, USA. We also maintain a dedicated mailing address and telephone reception service located at 1275 West 6th Avenue, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6H 1A6. We also have access to office and meeting space for a nominal fee, on an as-used basis.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We know of no material, existing or pending legal proceedings against our company, nor are we involved as a plaintiff in any material proceeding or pending litigation. There are no proceedings in which any of our directors, officers or affiliates, or any registered or beneficial stockholder, is an adverse party or has a material interest adverse to our interest.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

[Table of Contents](#)

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock quoted on the OTCQB under the Symbol "PVOTF". Our common stock was listed for quotation on April 13, 2010.

The following table reflects the high and low bid information for our common stock obtained from Stockwatch and reflects inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

The high and low bid prices of our common stock for the periods indicated below are as follows:

OTC Bulletin Board⁽¹⁾		
Quarter Ended	High	Low
January 31, 2017	\$ 0.145	\$ 0.02
October 31, 2016	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.04
July 31, 2016	\$ 0.375	\$ 0.073
April 30, 2016	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.20
January 31, 2016	\$ 1.10	\$ 0.80
October 31, 2015	\$ 1.07	\$ 0.35
July 31, 2015	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.01
April 30, 2015	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.00
January 31, 2015	\$ 0.068	\$ 0.00

(1) Over-the-counter market quotations reflect inter-dealer prices without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

As of April 28, 2017, there were approximately 52 holders of record of our common stock. As of such date, 75,647,114 common shares were issued and outstanding.

Our common shares are issued in registered form. ClearTrust LLC, 16540 Pointe Village Drive, Suite 206, Lutz, Florida 33558, telephone number (813) 235-4490, is the registrar and transfer agent for our common shares.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and have no present intention of paying any dividends on the shares of our common stock. Our current policy is to retain earnings, if any, for use in our operations and in

the development of our business. Our future dividend policy will be determined from time to time by our board of directors.

[Table of Contents](#)

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

Other than as set out below, we did not sell any equity securities which were not registered under the Securities Act during the year ended January 31, 2017 that were not otherwise disclosed on our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q or our current reports on Form 8-K filed during the year ended January 31, 2017.

On June 21, 2016 and June 28, 2016, we issued 500,000 and 100,000 shares of our common stock, respectively, to two (2) consultants for strategic advisory, investor and public relations services. We relied on Regulation D and/or Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

On September 29, 2016, we issued \$379,718 of convertible debentures through private placement. We relied on Regulation D and/or Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Except as disclosed below, we do not have a stock option plan in favor of any director, officer, consultant or employee of our company.

Convertible Securities

As of April 28, 2017, we had 15,520,833 outstanding options to purchase shares of our common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.05 to \$0.70 and exercisable until January 23, 2022. As of April 28, 2017, we had outstanding warrants to purchase 434,622 shares of our common stock at exercise price of \$0.10 and exercisable until March 30, 2017.

Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

We did not purchase any of our shares of common stock or other securities during our fourth quarter of our fiscal year ended January 31, 2017.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

As a “smaller reporting company”, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements and the related notes for the years ended January 31, 2017 and January 31, 2016 that appear elsewhere in this annual report. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to those discussed below and elsewhere in this annual report, particularly in the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 20 of this annual report.

Our audited financial statements are stated in United States Dollars and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

[Table of Contents](#)

Purchase of Significant Equipment

We do not intend to purchase any significant equipment over the next twelve months.

Personnel Plan

We do not expect any material changes in the number of employees over the next 12 month period (although we may enter into employment or consulting agreements with our officers or directors). We do and will continue to outsource contract employment as needed.

Results of Operations

For the Years Ending January 31, 2017 and 2016

	Year Ended January 31,	
	2017	2016
Revenue	\$ Nil	\$ Nil
Operating expenses	\$ 6,023,650	\$ 10,321,490
Accretion of discounts on convertible debentures	\$ 120,608	\$ Nil
Gain on change in fair value derivative	\$ 173,110	\$ (14,425)
Loss on settlement and conversions of debentures	\$ Nil	\$ Nil
Interest expense	\$ 11,661	\$ Nil
Net income (loss)	<u>\$(6,329,029)</u>	<u>\$(10,307,065)</u>

Expenses

Our operating expenses for our years ended January 31, 2017 and 2016 are outlined in the table below:

	Year Ended January 31,	
	2017	2016
Depreciation and amortization	\$ Nil	\$ 322
Foreign exchange loss	\$ 194,566	\$ 13,911
General and administrative	\$ 1,563,188	\$ 7,698,740
Management fees	\$ 4,119,231	\$ 2,268,297
Professional fees	\$ 146,665	\$ 340,220
Research and development	\$ Nil	\$ Nil

Operating expenses for year ended January 31, 2017 decreased by \$4,297,840 as compared to the comparative period in 2016. In 2016, we issued 2,708,333 common stock for services and granted 6,200,000 options to purchase our common stock, which resulted in \$6,736,994 of stock-based compensation being included in general and administrative expense. In 2017, \$1,304,738 of stock-based compensation was included in general and administrative expense as a result of 700,000 common stock issued for services and grants of 6,320,833 options to purchase our common stock. This was offset by an increase in management fees of \$1,850,934 as a result of stock-based compensation related to 5,000,000 options to purchase our common stock granted to management.

Revenue

We have not earned any revenues since our inception and we do not anticipate earning revenues in the upcoming quarter.

[Table of Contents](#)

Equity Compensation

Our company has a stock option plan which was adopted and approved by our shareholders on December 30, 2015. During our fiscal year ended January 31, 2016, 6,000,000 stock options with exercise price of \$0.10 and maturity on December 14, 2020 were granted to directors and a consultant. 2,000,000 of these stock options were forfeited on May 11, 2016. As well, 200,000 stock options with exercise price of \$0.25 and maturity on November 30, 2020 were granted to members of our Scientific Advisory Board.

During our fiscal year ended January 31, 2017:

- 7,250,000 stock options with exercise price of \$0.70 and maturity on February 22, 2021 were granted to directors, officers and consultants,
- 29,000 stock options with exercise price of \$0.34, maturity on May 2, 2021 and vesting on May 3, 2016 (26,000 stock options), November 2, 2016 (1,000 stock options), May 2, 2017 (1,000 stock options) and November 2, 2017 (1,000 stock options) were granted to a consultant, and
- 41,833 stock options with exercise price of \$0.05 and maturity on January 23, 2022 were granted to related parties pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger and Acquisition Agreement dated as of November 4, 2015 between our company and IndUS.

□

We currently do not have any other equity compensation plans or arrangements.

Liquidity and Financial Condition

Working Capital

	At January 31, 2017	At January 31, 2016
Current Assets	\$ 129,758	\$ 103,215
Current Liabilities	\$ 1,606,979	\$ 435,104
Working Capital (Deficit)	\$(1,477,221)	\$ (331,889)

Cash Flows

	Year Ended January 31, 2017	Year Ended January 31, 2016
Net Cash used in Operating Activities	\$ (377,783)	\$ (163,942)
Net Cash used in Investing Activities	\$ Nil	\$ Nil
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	\$ 398,052	\$ 240,232
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash	\$ 20,513	\$ (5,490)
Increase (Decrease) in Cash During the Period	\$ 40,782	\$ 70,800

We will require additional funds to fund our budgeted expenses over the next 12 months. These funds may be raised through equity financing, debt financing, or other sources, which may result in further dilution in the equity ownership of our shares. There is still no assurance that we will be able to maintain operations at a level sufficient for an investor to obtain a return on his investment in our common stock. Further, we may continue to be unprofitable. We need to raise additional funds in the immediate future in order to proceed with our budgeted expenses.

[Table of Contents](#)

Specifically, we estimate our expenses and working capital requirements for the next 12 months to be as follows:

Description	Estimated Expenses (\$)
Research and Development Costs:	
Studies and manufacture of active product ingredient	5,970,000
IND filing	500,000
R&D headcount	1,900,000
Sales and Marketing Costs:	
Entertainment and promotion	24,000
Investor relations	60,000
Literature	11,000
Travel	60,000
Operating Expenses:	
Director fees	160,000
Insurance	40,000
Office	25,800
Office and laboratory lease	42,500
Professional fees	124,000
Public company expenses	64,700
Salaries and benefits	900,000
Telephone and internet	6,000
Vehicles and transportation	6,000
Total:	9,894,000

Based on our planned expenditures, we will require additional funds of approximately \$9.9 million to proceed with our business plan over the next 12 months. If we secure less than the full amount of financing that we require, we will not be able to carry out our complete business plan and we will be forced to proceed with a scaled back business plan based on our available financial resources.

We anticipate that we will incur substantial losses for the foreseeable future. Even if we carry out our planned research and development activities on our products, there is no guarantee that we will be able to market them or derive any revenues from their sale. Currently, we intend to prioritize the allocation of any financing that we may receive toward the development of PVT-005 and PVT-006.

We expect that we may obtain material net cash inflows from our projects 18 to 36 months following the start of our proposed clinical trials, which we expect will begin soon after the necessary funding is obtained. However, there can be no assurance we will obtain such cash inflows.

We intend to raise capital through equity and, if necessary, debt financing. We anticipate that the bulk of any additional funding we receive will be in the form of equity financing from the sale of our common stock. However, we do not have any financing arranged and we cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to raise sufficient funds from the sale of our common stock to fund our operations or planned research and development activities. In the absence of such financing, we will not be able to carry out our planned research and development activities. Even if we are successful in obtaining equity financing to fund our operations and research and development activities, there is no assurance that we will obtain the funding necessary to pursue any advanced research and development following the completion of our planned clinical trials. If we do not continue to obtain additional financing, we may be forced to abandon our business plan.

Any modifications to our plans will be based on many factors, including the results of our clinical trials and the amount of available capital. Further, the extent to which we carry out our development of planned products is dependent upon the amount of financing available to us.

[Table of Contents](#)

Future Financings

We will require additional financing in order to enable us to proceed with our plan of operations, as discussed above, including approximately \$9.9 million over the next 12 months to pay for research and development and ongoing expenses. These cash requirements are in excess of our current cash and working capital resources. Accordingly, we will require additional financing in order to continue operations and to repay our liabilities. There is no assurance that any party will advance additional funds to us in order to enable us to sustain our plan of operations or to repay our liabilities.

We anticipate continuing to rely on equity sales of our common stock in order to continue to fund our business operations. Issuances of additional shares will result in dilution to our existing stockholders. There is no assurance that we will achieve any additional sales of our equity securities or arrange for debt or other financing to fund our planned business activities.

We presently do not have any arrangements for additional financing for the expansion of our operations, and no potential lines of credit or sources of financing are currently available for the purpose of proceeding with our plan of operations.

Contractual Obligations

As a “smaller reporting company”, we are not required to provide tabular disclosure obligations.

Going Concern

We have not generated any revenues and are dependent upon obtaining outside financing to carry out our operations and pursue our pharmaceutical research and development activities. If we are unable to generate future cash flows, raise equity or secure alternative financing, we may not be able to continue our operations and our business plan may fail. You may lose your entire investment.

If our operations and cash flow improve, management believes that we can continue to operate. However, no assurance can be given that management’s actions will result in profitable operations or an improvement in our liquidity situation. The threat of our ability to continue as a going concern will cease to exist only when our revenues have reached a level able to sustain our business operations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to stockholders.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management’s application of accounting policies. We believe that understanding the basis and nature of

the estimates and assumptions involved with the following aspects of our financial statements is critical to an understanding of our financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of these financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Our company regularly evaluates estimates and assumptions related to the recoverability of long-lived assets, valuation of convertible debentures, assumptions used to determine the fair value of stock-based compensation and derivative liabilities, and deferred income tax asset valuation allowances. Our company bases its estimates and assumptions on current facts, historical experience and various other factors that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the accrual of costs and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. The actual results experienced by our company may differ materially and adversely from our company's estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and the actual results, future results of operations will be affected.

Long-lived Assets

In accordance with ASC 360, "Property, Plant and Equipment", our company tests long-lived assets or asset groups for recoverability when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Circumstances which could trigger a review include, but are not limited to: significant decreases in the market price of the asset; significant adverse changes in the business climate or legal factors; accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition or construction of the asset; current period cash flow or operating losses combined with a history of losses or a forecast of continuing losses associated with the use of the asset; and current expectation that the asset will more likely than not be sold or disposed significantly before the end of its estimated useful life. Recoverability is assessed based on the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value, which is generally determined based on the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and the eventual disposal of the asset, as well as specific appraisal in certain instances. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount is not recoverable and exceeds fair value.

Stock-Based Compensation

Our company records stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation – Stock-Based Compensation*, using the fair value method. All transactions in which goods or services are the consideration received for the issuance of equity instruments are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more reliably measurable.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Derivative financial instruments that are not classified as equity and are not used in hedging relationships are measured at fair value. Subsequent changes to fair value are recorded in the statement of operations.

Income Taxes

Our company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method in accordance with ASC 740, "Income Taxes". The asset and liability method provides that deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and for operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the currently enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. Our

company records a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is believed more likely than not to be realized. As of January 31, 2017 and 2016, our company did not have any amounts recorded pertaining to uncertain tax positions.

Our company files federal and provincial income tax returns in Canada and federal and state income tax returns in the United States. Our company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in tax expense. During the years ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, there were no charges for interest or penalties.

[Table of Contents](#)

Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measures

ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements*, requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the level of independent, objective evidence surrounding the inputs used to measure fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. ASC 820 prioritizes the inputs into three levels that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Level 1 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Level 2 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets with insufficient volume or infrequent transactions (less active markets); or model-derived valuations in which significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data.

Level 3 - Level 3 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Our company's financial instruments consist principally of cash, amounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities, due to related parties and convertible debenture. Pursuant to ASC 820, the fair value of our cash is determined based on "Level 1" inputs, which consist of quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. We believe that the recorded values of all of our other financial instruments approximate their current fair values because of their nature and respective maturity dates or durations.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of our parent entity, Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc., is the Canadian dollar and the functional currency of IndUS Pharmaceuticals, our subsidiary is the US dollar. Our company's presentation currency is the US dollar.

Monetary assets and liabilities are translated using the exchange rate prevailing at the balance sheet date. Non-monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated at rates of exchange in effect at the date of the transaction. Expenses are translated at average rates for the period. Gains and losses arising on translation or settlement of foreign currency denominated transactions or balances are included in the determination of income.

Results of operations are translated into our company's presentation currency, US dollars, at an appropriate average rate of exchange during the year. Net assets and liabilities are translated to US dollars for presentation purposes at rates of exchange in effect at the end of the period. Gains or losses arising on translation are recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) as foreign currency translation adjustments.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Our company has implemented all new accounting pronouncements that are in effect and that may impact its financial statements and does not believe that there are any other new accounting pronouncements that have been issued that might have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a “smaller reporting company”, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

37

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.
Consolidated Financial Statements
Years ended January 31, 2017 and 2016
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

F-1

[Table of Contents](#)



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors
Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc. (the “Company”) as of January 31, 2017 and 2016 and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), stockholders’ deficit and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended January 31, 2017. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the

Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc. as of January 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of their operations and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended January 31, 2017, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company had an accumulated deficit, negative working capital, and no revenue to date as of January 31, 2017 which raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Sadler, Gibb & Associates, LLC

Salt Lake City, UT
April 28, 2017



[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

	January 31, 2017	January 31, 2016
	\$	\$
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash	112,421	71,639
Prepays and other current assets	17,337	31,576
Total current assets	129,758	103,215
Security deposit	2,900	2,900
Total assets	132,658	106,115
Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	996,853	397,482
Due to related parties (Note 9)	22,574	37,622
Convertible debenture, net (Note 4)	275,011	-
Derivative liabilities (Note 5)	312,541	-

Total liabilities	1,606,979	435,104
Stockholders' Deficit		
Common stock: Unlimited shares authorized, without par value, 75,647,114 and 74,722,100 shares issued and outstanding, respectively (Note 6)	7,327,588	7,054,499
Common stock issuable (Note 6)	–	16,206
Additional paid-in capital	11,211,031	6,174,601
Accumulated other comprehensive income	584,813	745,251
Accumulated deficit	(20,597,753)	(14,319,546)
Total stockholders' deficit	(1,474,321)	(328,989)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	<u>132,658</u>	<u>106,115</u>

Nature of operations and continuance of business (Note 1)
Commitments (Note 11)

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

F-3

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

	Year Ended January 31, 2017 \$	Year Ended January 31, 2016 \$
Revenue	–	–
Expenses		
Depreciation and amortization	–	322
Foreign exchange loss	194,566	13,911
General and administrative	1,597,990	7,698,740
Management fees	4,119,231	2,268,297
Professional fees	111,865	340,220
Total expenses	6,023,652	10,321,490
Loss from operations	(6,023,652)	(10,321,490)
Other (expenses) income		
Amortization of discount on convertible debentures	(69,784)	–
Interest expense	(11,661)	–
(Loss) gain on change in fair value of derivative liabilities	(173,110)	14,425
Total other income (expenses)	(254,555)	14,425
Net loss	(6,278,207)	(10,307,065)
Other comprehensive (loss) income		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(160,438)	520,391
Net comprehensive loss	(6,438,645)	(9,786,674)
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	(0.08)	(0.13)
Weighted average shares outstanding – basic and diluted	<u>75,315,288</u>	<u>77,718,219</u>

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

F-4

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

	Common Stock		Common Stock Issuable	Additional Paid-In Capital	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	Deficit	Total
	Shares #	Amount \$					
Balance – January 31, 2015	65,863,766	3,470,818	–	262,278	224,860	(4,012,481)	(54,525)
Common stock issued for services	2,708,333	3,296,726	16,206	–	–	–	3,312,932
Common stock issued in asset acquisition (Note 2)	4,750,000	46,723	–	–	–	–	46,723
Common stock issued for cash	1,400,000	240,232	–	–	–	–	240,232
Stock-based compensation (Restated)	–	–	–	5,912,323	–	–	5,912,323
Net loss (Restated)	–	–	–	–	520,391	(10,307,065)	(9,786,674)
Balance – January 31, 2016	74,722,100	7,054,499	16,206	6,174,601	745,251	(14,319,546)	(328,989)
Common stock issued for services	925,000	273,089	(16,206)	–	–	–	256,883
Warrants issued with convertible debenture	–	–	–	20,113	–	–	20,113
Stock-based compensation	–	–	–	5,016,317	–	–	5,016,317
Net loss	–	–	–	–	(160,438)	(6,278,207)	(6,438,645)
Balance – January 31, 2017	<u>75,647,100</u>	<u>7,327,588</u>	<u>–</u>	<u>11,211,031</u>	<u>584,813</u>	<u>(20,597,753)</u>	<u>(1,474,321)</u>

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

F-5

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

**Year
Ended** **Year Ended
January 31,**

	January 31, 2017 \$	2016 \$
Operating activities		
Net loss	(6,278,207)	(10,307,065)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Amortization of discount on convertible debenture	69,784	–
Common stock issued for services	256,867	3,340,821
Compensation expense recognized in asset acquisition	–	349,158
Depreciation and amortization	–	322
Fair value of stock options vested	4,820,100	6,387,837
Loss (gain) on change in fair value of derivative liabilities	173,110	(14,425)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Prepays and other current assets	8,018	(15,939)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	572,545	95,349
Net cash used in operating activities	(377,783)	(163,942)
Financing activities		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	–	240,232
Proceeds from issuance of convertible debenture	379,718	–
Proceeds from related party advances	18,334	–
Net cash provided by financing activities	398,052	240,232
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash	20,513	(5,490)
Increase in cash	40,782	70,800
Cash – beginning of period	71,639	839
Cash – end of period	112,421	71,639
Supplemental disclosures:		
Interest paid	–	–
Income tax paid	–	–
Non-cash activities:		
Common stock issued in asset acquisition	–	46,723
Debt discount on convertible debenture	174,364	–

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements)

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Year ended January 31, 2016

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

1. Nature of Operations and Continuance of Business

Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc. (the “Company”) was incorporated in British Columbia under the Business Corporations Act on June 10, 2002. On April 7, 2015, the Company changed its name from Neurokinet

Pharmaceuticals Inc. to Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc. The Company is in the business of developing and commercializing new treatments for unmet medical needs in women’s cancers as well as exploring new uses for existing drugs and/or developing proprietary drug delivery technologies.

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the going concern basis, which assumes that the Company will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business. As at January 31, 2017, the Company has not earned any revenue, has a working capital deficit of \$1,477,221 and an accumulated deficit of \$20,597,753. The continued operations of the Company are dependent on its ability to generate future cash flows or obtain additional financing. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern. These consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to the recorded assets or liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

2. Asset Acquisition

On November 20, 2015, the Company completed the acquisition of IndUS Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“IndUS”) pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger and Acquisition Agreement dated as of November 4, 2015. As consideration for the purchase, the Company issued 4,750,000 shares of common stock, of which 4,512,500 shares of common stock were issued on November 23, 2015 and 237,500 shares of common stock were issued on December 4, 2015 which shares were being held as a contingency pertaining to the liabilities of IndUS which were assumed by Pivot. The Company will also be granting 41,833 stock options pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger. IndUS is a United States-India cross-border pharmaceutical company conducting research and development activities for advancing novel therapeutics in the areas of oncology, infectious diseases and diabetes whose assets consisted of a portfolio of patented and proprietary, novel anticancer drug candidates from multiple chemical classes of molecules referred to as pyrrolbenzodiazepine dimers.

The Company evaluated this acquisition in accordance with ASC 805, Business Combinations (10-55-4) to discern whether the assets and operations of IndUS met the definition of a business. The Company concluded there were not a sufficient number of key processes obtained to develop the inputs into outputs, nor could such processes be easily obtained by the Company. Accordingly, the Company accounted for this transaction as the acquisition of assets and a key employee (compensation arrangement).

The transaction was accounted for in accordance with asset acquisition guidance found in ASC 805 and share based payment guidance found in ASC 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation. The consideration transferred, assets acquired, liabilities assumed and compensation expense recognized is as follows:

Consideration paid:	<u>\$</u>
Liabilities assumed	260,400
Stock options granted	35,637
Common stock issued	46,723
Total purchase price	<u>342,760</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Year ended January 31, 2016

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

2. Asset Acquisition (continued)

Consideration received:	<u>\$</u>
Cash	14,606
Other current assets	4,684
Compensation expense	323,470
Net value of assets purchased	<u>342,760</u>

3. Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements and the related notes of the Company are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States and are expressed in U.S. dollars. The Company's fiscal year-end is January 31.

(b) Use of Estimates

The preparation of these consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company regularly evaluates estimates and assumptions related to the useful life and recoverability of long-lived assets, assumptions used to determine the fair values of stock-based compensation and derivative liabilities and deferred income tax asset valuation allowances. The Company bases its estimates and assumptions on current facts, historical experience and various other factors that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the accrual of costs and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. The actual results experienced by the Company may differ materially and adversely from the Company's estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and the actual results, future results of operations will be affected.

(c) Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements incorporate the financial statements of the Company and entities controlled by the Company. Control is achieved where the Company has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. The consolidating entities include:

	<u>% of ownership Jurisdiction</u>	
Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Parent	Canada
IndUS Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	100%	USA

(d) Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments with a maturity of three months or less at the time of issuance to be cash equivalents. As at January 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company had no cash equivalents.

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Year ended January 31, 2016

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

3. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(e) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company records stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation – Stock-Based Compensation, using the fair value method. All transactions in which goods or services are the consideration received for the issuance of equity instruments are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more reliably measurable.

(f) Derivative Financial Instruments

Derivative financial instruments that are not classified as equity and are not used in hedging relationships are measured at fair value. Subsequent changes to fair value are recorded in the statement of operations and comprehensive income.

(g) Loss Per Share

The Company computes net loss per share in accordance with ASC 260, Earnings Per Share. ASC 260 requires presentation of both basic and diluted earnings per share (“EPS”) on the face of the consolidated statement of operations. Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders (numerator) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding (denominator) during the period. Diluted EPS gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method and convertible preferred stock using the if-converted method. In computing diluted EPS, the average stock price for the period is used in determining the number of shares assumed to be purchased from the exercise of stock options or warrants. Diluted EPS excludes all dilutive potential shares if their effect is anti-dilutive. As at January 31, 2017, the Company has 6,840,834 (2016 – 1,700,750) potentially dilutive shares.

(h) Comprehensive Income (Loss)

ASC 220, *Comprehensive Income*, establishes standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive loss and its components in the consolidated financial statements. As at January 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company’s comprehensive income included foreign currency translation adjustments.

(i) Research and Development Costs

Research costs are expensed in the period that they are incurred. There were no research costs incurred during the years ended January 31, 2017 and 2016.

(j) Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method in accordance with ASC 740, “Income Taxes”. The asset and liability method provides that deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and for operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the currently enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is believed more likely than not to be realized. As of January 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company did not have any amounts recorded pertaining to uncertain tax positions.

The Company files federal and provincial income tax returns in Canada and federal and state income tax returns in the United States. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in tax expense. During the years ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, there were no charges for interest or penalties.

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Year ended January 31, 2016

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

3. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(k) Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measures

ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements, requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the level of independent, objective evidence surrounding the inputs used to measure fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. ASC 820 prioritizes the inputs into three levels that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1

Level 1 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2

Level 2 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets with insufficient volume or infrequent transactions (less active markets); or model-derived valuations in which significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data.

Level 3

Level 3 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The Company's financial instruments consist principally of cash, amounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities, due to related parties and convertible debenture. Pursuant to ASC 820, the fair value of our cash is determined based on "Level 1" inputs, which consist of quoted prices in active markets for identical assets, and the fair value of derivative liabilities is determined based on "Level 3" inputs. The recorded values of all other financial instruments approximate their current fair values because of their nature and respective maturity dates or durations.

(l) Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of the parent entity, Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc., is the Canadian dollar and the functional currency of its subsidiary is the US dollar. The Company's presentation currency is the US

dollar.

Monetary assets and liabilities are translated using the exchange rate prevailing at the consolidated balance sheet date. Non-monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated at rates of exchange in effect at the date of the transaction. Expenses are translated at average rates for the period. Gains and losses arising on translation or settlement of foreign currency denominated transactions or balances are included in the determination of income.

Results of operations are translated into the Company's presentation currency, US dollars, at an appropriate average rate of exchange during the year. Net assets and liabilities are translated to US dollars for presentation purposes at rates of exchange in effect at the end of the period. Gains or losses arising on translation are recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) as foreign currency translation adjustments.

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Year ended January 31, 2016

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

3. Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(m) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Company has implemented all new accounting pronouncements that are in effect and that may impact its consolidated financial statements and does not believe that there are any other new accounting pronouncements that have been issued that might have a material impact on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

4. Convertible Debenture

On September 30, 2016, the Company issued a convertible debenture with a non-related party for \$500,000 Canadian Dollars (\$380,411 US Dollars at September 30, 2016) ("Initial Advance"). The debenture is secured under a General Security Agreement, bears interest at 8% per annum and matures on the earlier of:

- The date the lender demands repayment of principal and interest following an event of default,
- The date of a dissolution event,
- The date of a liquidity event, and
- March 30, 2017.

The Company may request one or more additional advances of up to an aggregate amount of \$1,000,000 Canadian Dollars ("Additional Advances") provided that the aggregate amount under the convertible debenture does not exceed \$1,500,000 Canadian Dollars.

The note, including the Initial Advance and any Additional Advances, is convertible into common shares at a conversion price equal to the average closing market price of the Company's common stock during the five day period leading up to the conversion date. The Company recorded the conversion feature of the convertible debenture as a derivative liability at an estimated fair value of \$134,892 with a corresponding discount to the convertible debenture (Note 5).

Pursuant to the convertible loan agreement, the Company issued 434,622 share purchase warrants to which the lender may acquire an interest in the Company equal to 12% of the maximum principal amount outstanding at any time at a price of \$0.10, which equates to the ten day average trading price of the Company's common stock determined as at September 30, 2016. The Company calculated the 434,622 share purchase warrants based on the maximum outstanding principal balance on the convertible loan as of September 30, 2016. The Company recorded the share purchase warrant at an estimated fair value of \$20,154 with a corresponding discount to the convertible debenture (Note 8).

As of January 31, 2017, the carrying value of the convertible debenture is \$275,010 (January 31, 2016 - \$nil), which is net of debt discounts related to conversion feature, financing costs and warrants of \$94,709, \$6,126 and \$6,477 respectively (January 31, 2016 - \$nil, \$nil and \$nil, respectively). As of January 31, 2017, interest accrued on the convertible debenture is \$10,307 (January 31, 2016 - \$nil) and the fair value of the conversion option derivative liability is \$312,541 (January 31, 2016 - \$nil).

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Year ended January 31, 2016

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

5. Derivative Liability

Derivative liability consists of convertible debenture with variable conversion price (Note 4). The fair value of derivative liability as at January 31, 2017 and 2016 is as follows:

	January 31, 2017 \$	January 31, 2016 \$
September 2016 convertible debenture	312,541	–
	312,541	–

The fair value of derivative financial liability was determined using the binomial option pricing model, using the following assumptions:

	Expected Volatility	Risk- free Interest Rate	Expected Dividend Yield	Expected Life (in years)
As at issuance date:				
September 2016 convertible debenture	296%	0.45%	0%	0.50
As at January 31, 2017:				
September 2016 convertible debenture	363%	0.52%	0%	0.16

6. Common Stock

During the year ended January 31, 2017:

- (a) On February 10, 2016, the Company issued 100,000 shares of common stock to service providers for services provided valued at \$68,000. The value of the common stock was based on the market price of the stock on the date of issuance.
- (b) On February 29, 2016, March 31, 2016, May 2, 2016, May 31, 2016, June 28, 2016, August 2, 2016 and August 30, 2016, the Company issued 25,000 shares of common stock on each of these dates to the Company's CEO as monthly compensation valued at \$15,000, \$13,750, \$7,500, \$6,000, \$4,875, \$3,757 and \$3,250, respectively. The value of the common stock was based on the market price of the stock on the date of issuance.
- (c) In June 2016, 600,000 shares of common stock were issued to service providers and valued at \$144,500 based on the market price of the stock on the dates of issuances.
- (d) On July 31, 2016 and January 31, 2017, 25,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$3,750 and \$2,708, respectively, previously held in escrow were released to a member of the Company's Scientific Advisory Board ("SAB member"). The value of the common stock was based on the market price of the stock on the date of issuance.

During the year ended January 31, 2016:

- (a) On March 6, 2015, 10,000,000 shares of common stock were issued to directors, an officer and a consultant (the "shareholders") and valued at \$894,656 using the market price of the stock on the date of issuance. An additional 30,000,000 shares of common stock were held in escrow and to be released as follows: 10,000,000 shares of common stock on each of August 25, 2015, February 25, 2016 and February 25, 2017. On August 25, 2015, 10,000,000 shares of common stock were released to the shareholders. In October 2015, the shareholders returned 20,000,000 shares of common stock issued and received to the Company for cancellation. On the same date, the remaining 20,000,000 shares of common stock held in escrow were returned to the Company for cancellation.

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Year ended January 31, 2016

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

6. Common Stock (continued)

- (b) On April 15, 2015, the Company issued 2,500,000 shares of common stock to a service provider and an officer for services provided valued at \$239,195. The value of the common stock was based on the market price of the stock on the date of issuance.
- (c) In July 2015, 1,000,000 shares of common stock were issued for cash proceeds of \$200,084 or \$0.20 per share. In April 2015, 400,000 shares of common stock were issued for cash proceeds of \$40,148 or \$0.10 per share.
- (d) On August 1, 2015, 25,000 shares of common stock were issued to a member of the Company's Scientific Advisory Board ("SAB member") and valued at \$9,125 using the market price of the stock on the date of issuance. An additional 75,000 shares of common stock are held in escrow and will be released as follows: 25,000 shares of common stock on each of January 31, 2016, July 31, 2016 and January 31, 2017. On January 31, 2016, 25,000 shares of common stock were released to the SAB member. For the year ended January 31, 2016, an additional \$16,206 was recognized for services provided, which was valued using the market price of the stock on January 31, 2016.

- (e) On August 24, 2015, 100,000 shares of common stock were issued to a service provider and valued at \$53,500 using the market price of the stock on the date of issuance.
- (f) On November 23, 2015, 4,512,500 shares of common stock were issued pursuant to the asset acquisition (Note 2). On December 4, 2015, a further 237,500 shares of common stock were issued pursuant to this acquisition. The shares issued were valued at \$46,723, which is the net value of assets purchased.
- (g) On November 30, 2015, 8,333 shares of common stock were issued to the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") pursuant to an employment agreement and valued at \$8,750 using the market price of the stock on the date of issuance. On December 31, 2015 and January 29, 2016, 25,000 shares of common stock were issued to the Company's CEO pursuant to the same employment agreement and valued, using market prices of the stock on these dates, at \$25,000 and \$22,500, respectively

7. Share Purchase Warrants

The following table summarizes the continuity of share purchase warrants:

	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price \$
Balance, January 31, 2016	–	–
Granted	434,622	0.10
Balance, January 31, 2017	434,622	0.10

As at January 31, 2017, the following share purchase warrant was outstanding:

Number of Warrants	Exercise Price \$	Expiry Date
434,622	0.10	March 30, 2017

Pursuant to the convertible debenture (Note 4), the Company will be required to issue additional share purchase warrants on any Additional Advances to which the lender may acquire an interest in the Company equal to 12% of the maximum principal amount outstanding.

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
Year ended January 31, 2016
(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

8. Stock Options

Effective December 30, 2015, the Company adopted a stock option plan. Under this plan, the Company may

grant options to its directors, officers, employees and consultants up to an amount as determined by the Company and will be no more than a percentage of its outstanding common stock as may be required by the stock exchange the Company is listed with. The exercise price of the stock options will be determined by the Company and will be no less than any minimum exercise price as may be required by the stock exchange the Company is listed with.

The following table summarizes the continuity of the Company's stock options:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price (US\$)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (US\$)
Outstanding, January 31, 2015	80,000	0.05	–	–
Granted	6,200,000	0.10	3.9	32,000
Expired	(80,000)	(0.05)	–	–
Outstanding, January 31, 2016	6,200,000	0.10	3.9	32,000
Granted	11,320,833	0.48	4.4	36,599
Forfeited	(2,000,000)	(0.10)	–	–
Outstanding, January 31, 2017	<u>15,520,833</u>	<u>0.38</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>68,599</u>

The fair value of stock-based compensation expense was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions:

	Expected Volatility	Risk- free Interest Rate	Expected Dividend Yield	Expected Life (in years)
200,000 options expiring on November 30, 2020	415%	1.48%	0%	3.8
7,250,000 options expiring on February 22, 2021	388%	1.48%	0%	4.3
29,000 options expiring on May 2, 2021	394%	1.48%	0%	4.3
4,000,000 options expiring on December 14, 2021	426%	2.10%	0%	5.0
41,833 options expiring on January 23, 2021	<u>428%</u>	<u>1.94%</u>	<u>0%</u>	<u>5.0</u>

Additional information regarding stock options as of January 31, 2017, is as follows:

Options Outstanding	Options Exercisable	Exercise Price \$	Expiry Date
200,000	150,000	0.25	November 30, 2020
4,000,000	4,000,000	0.10	December 14, 2020
7,250,000	7,250,000	0.70	February 22, 2021
29,000	27,000	0.34	May 2, 2021
4,000,000	4,000,000	0.10	December 14, 2021
41,833	41,833	0.05	January 23, 2022
<u>15,520,833</u>	<u>15,468,833</u>		

\$1,267 of stock-based compensation have yet to be recognized and will be recognized in future periods.

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Year ended January 31, 2016

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

9. Related Party Transactions

- (a) As at January 31, 2017, the Company owed \$4,154 (2016 - \$800) to a director of the Company, which is unsecured, non-interest bearing, and due on demand.
- (b) As at January 31, 2017, the Company owed \$18,421 (2016 – receivable of \$866) to the Company’s Chief Executive Officer, which is unsecured, non-interest bearing, and due on demand.
- (c) As at January 31, 2017, the Company owed \$nil (2016 - \$37,622) to related parties related to stock options to be granted pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger and Acquisition Agreement dated as of November 4, 2015 between the Company and IndUS (Note 2).

10. Income Taxes

The Company has approximately \$9 million of non-capital losses carried forward to offset taxable income in future years which expire beginning in fiscal 2029. The income tax benefit differs from the amount computed by applying the Canadian federal and provincial statutory rates to net loss before income taxes for the years ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, as a result of the following:

	2017	2016
	\$	\$
Net loss before taxes	6,329,029	10,307,065
Statutory rate	26.0%	26.0%
Expected tax recovery	1,645,548	2,679,837
Lower effective tax rate on losses in U.S. jurisdiction	(2,538)	(22)
Permanent differences and other	(1,380,771)	(1,657,428)
Expenses deductible for tax purposes	35	44
Current period losses not recognized	(262,274)	(1,022,431)
Income tax provision	—	—

The significant components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities as at January 31, 2017 and 2016, after applying enacted corporate income tax rates, are as follows:

	2017	2016
	\$	\$
Non-capital losses carried forward	2,351,702	1,664,848
Valuation allowance	(2,351,702)	(1,664,848)
Net deferred tax asset	—	—

[Table of Contents](#)

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Year ended January 31, 2016

(Expressed in U.S. dollars)

10. Income Taxes (continued)

The following table lists the fiscal year in which the loss was incurred and the expiration date of the operating loss:

<u>Expiry Date</u>	<u>Non-Capital Loss</u> <u>\$</u>
2029	332,251
2030	214,788
2031	644,545
2032	976,799
2033	107,983
2034	1,088,605
2035	1,114,230
2036	3,546,763
2037	1,018,509
	<u>9,044,473</u>

11. Commitments

The Company's minimum future lease commitments are:

	<u>\$</u>
2018	23,900
2019	23,900
2020	12,000

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There were no disagreements related to accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, internal controls or auditing scope or procedure during the two fiscal years and interim periods, including the interim period up through the date the relationship ended.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Management's Report on Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act, our management evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of January 31, 2017.

Disclosure controls and procedures refer to controls and other procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our president (our principal executive officer) and our chief financial officer (our principal financial officer and principal accounting officer), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our president (our principal executive officer) and our chief financial officer (our principal financial officer and principal accounting officer), we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2017 using the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. In its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2017, our company determined that there were control deficiencies that constituted material weaknesses, as described below:

1. *We did not maintain appropriate financial reporting controls* – As of January 31, 2017, our company has not maintained sufficient internal controls over financial reporting for the financial reporting process. As at January 31, 2017, our company did not have sufficient financial reporting controls with respect to the ability to process complex accounting issues such as its convertible debenture. Subsequent to January 31, 2017, our company has obtained the necessary assistance to ensure that the performance of complex accounting issues can be performed accurately and on a timely basis.

Accordingly, our company concluded that these control deficiencies resulted in a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by the company's internal controls.

As a result of the material weaknesses described above, management has concluded that our company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2017 based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

[Table of Contents](#)

Sadler, Gibb & Associates, LLC, our independent registered public auditors, was not required to and has not issued an attestation report concerning the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2017 pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit our company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Changes in Internal Controls

During the period ended January 31, 2017, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

On February 5, 2015, we accepted the resignation of Dr. Ahmad Doroudian as our President and Chief Executive Officer of our company. Dr. Ahmad Doroudian remains a director and serves as Chairman of the Board. In addition, Dr. Hamid Doroudian resigned as a director of our company. The resignations of Dr. Ahmad Doroudian and Dr. Hamid Doroudian were not the result of any disagreements with our company regarding our operations, policies, practices or otherwise.

Also on February 5, 2015, Dr. Barbara-Jean Bormann-Kennedy (BJ Bormann) and Dr. Wolfgang Renz were appointed directors of our company. Concurrently with Dr. Ahmad Doroudian's resignation, we appointed Dr. Bormann as Chief Executive Officer of our company.

On November 16, 2015, we accepted the resignation of Dr. BJ Bormann as director. We also accepted the resignation of Dr. Bormann as our Chief Executive Officer effective October 16, 2015. Dr. Bormann's resignation was not the result of any disagreements with our company regarding our operations, policies, practices or otherwise. Dr. Ahmad Doroudian, our director and Chairman of the Board, was appointed as our interim Chief Executive Officer.

On November 20, 2015, we appointed Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi as our new Chief Executive Officer and Director. Also on the same date, we accepted the resignation of Dr. Ahmad Doroudian as interim Chief Executive Officer. Dr. Doroudian remained as Chairman of the board. On February 1, 2016, Dr. Doroudian became our Chief Business Officer.

On November 18, 2016, we accepted the resignation of Dr. Ahmad Doroudian as a member of our Audit Committee. Concurrently, we appointed Dr. Wolfgang Renz to the Audit Committee.

[Table of Contents](#)

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

All directors of our company hold office until the next annual meeting of the security holders or until their successors have been elected and qualified. The officers of our company are appointed by our board of directors and hold office until their death, resignation or removal from office. Our directors and executive officers, their ages, positions held, and duration as such, are as follows:

Name	Position Held with the Company	Age	Date First Elected or Appointed
Dr. Ahmad Doroudian	Chairman, Secretary, Chief Business Officer and Director	56	September 17, 2007
Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi	Chief Executive Officer and Director	54	November 20, 2015
Moira Ong	Chief Financial Officer	42	December 26, 2010
Dr. Patrick Frankham	Director	45	July 24, 2014
Dr. Wolfgang Renz	Director	47	February 5, 2015

Business Experience

The following is a brief account of the education and business experience during at least the past five years of each director, executive officer and key employee of our company, indicating the person's principal occupation during that period, and the name and principal business of the organization in which such occupation and employment were carried out.

Dr. Ahmad Doroudian – Chairman, Secretary, Chief Business Officer and Director

Dr. Ahmad Doroudian was as our appointed president, Chief Executive Officer and Director on September 17, 2007 and as Chief Executive Officer and secretary on March 30, 2011. He resigned as President, Chief Executive Officer and Secretary on August 30, 2011 and was re-appointed as President, Chief Executive Officer and Secretary on July 24, 2014. Dr. Doroudian subsequently resigned as President and Chief Executive Officer on February 5, 2015 and was appointed as Chairman on that date. Currently, Dr. Ahmad Doroudian acts as our company's Chairman, Secretary, Chief Business Officer and Director.

Prior to joining us, Dr. Doroudian was involved in early stage financing and management of private and publicly listed companies. From 1997 to 2004, he acted as the chief executive officer, chairman, vice chairman and director of PanGeo Pharma, Inc. (now PendoPharm, a division of Pharmascience Inc.), a TSX-listed company founded by Dr. Doroudian which received over \$100 million dollars in financing. From 2004 through 2007, Dr. Doroudian also served as the president of, Rayan Pharma Inc., an exporter of pharmaceuticals to Eastern Europe. From 2006 to 2008, Dr. Doroudian was owner and chief executive officer of ABF Pharmacy, a group of successful retail pharmacies. Dr. Doroudian was also the chief executive officer of Merus Labs International Inc., a specialty pharmaceutical company engaged in the acquisition and licensing of pharmaceutical products.

Dr. Pravin R. Chaturvedi – President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi was the founder of Boston-based IndUS Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which was acquired by our company in November 2015 and a co-founder and serves as interim chief executive officer of Florida-based Oceanyx Pharmaceuticals. He also serves as the Chair of the Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) of San Francisco-based Napo Pharmaceuticals. Previously, he has served as the president and chief executive officer of Boston-based Scion Pharmaceuticals. Dr. Chaturvedi serves on the boards of our company, Oceanyx, FuelEd Schools, Cellanyx, UBERDOC and Sindu Research Laboratories. He has previously served on the Boards of Scion Pharmaceuticals, Bach Pharma, PRADAN USA and TiE Boston. He also serves as an advisory board member to our company, North Shore Innoventures (NSIV) and Springboard Enterprises and previously served as the Chair of the Research Advisory Council for the Health Sciences Center of West Virginia University. He is an adjunct faculty member at Georgetown Medical School.

Over his 28+ year career, Dr. Chaturvedi has participated or led the discovery and/or development activities for several new chemical entities, culminating in the successful development and commercialization of several new drugs that are currently marketed by various companies. Prior to his roles at our company, IndUS, Oceanyx, Napo and Scion Pharmaceuticals, Dr. Chaturvedi, spent several years at Vertex Pharmaceuticals as the Head of Lead Evaluation. Prior to Vertex, he was in the preclinical group at Alkermes and he started his R&D career in the Product Development group at Parke-Davis/WarnerLambert Company (now Pfizer). Dr. Chaturvedi holds a Ph.D. in Pharmaceutical Sciences from West Virginia University and a Bachelor's in Pharmacy from the University of Bombay.

[Table of Contents](#)

Moira Ong – Chief Financial Officer

Moira Ong was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer on December 26, 2010. Ms. Ong has more than 19 years of experience in public company accounting and audit reporting. From 2010 through 2012, Ms. Ong was also the vice president of finance of Merus Labs International Inc., a specialty pharmaceutical company engaged in the acquisition and licensing of pharmaceutical products. From 2005 until 2010, Ms. Ong was senior manager at a global accounting firm in charge of completion of financial statements for Canadian publicly listed companies. From 2003 to 2005 she served as financial consultant for a private financial planning company. Ms. Ong was a manager in the banking and securities group at a global accounting firm in New York from 2000 to 2003. Ms. Ong obtained her Chartered Professional Accountant designation in 1999 and her Chartered Financial Analyst designation in 2003.

Dr. Patrick Frankham –Director

Dr. Patrick Frankham was appointed as director of our company on July 24, 2014. Dr. Frankham has over 21 years of experience in the biopharmaceutical and services industries. Prior to joining Pivot Pharmaceuticals he was Executive Director, Healthcare Innovation, Boehringer-Ingelheim GmbH. He has also founded several multinational healthcare startup enterprises including healthcare information technology, services and pharmaceuticals companies. His professional experience includes public and private companies as well as multinational corporations. He has developed pharmaceutical products in several therapeutic areas and interacted with global regulatory authorities. Notable prior organizations where he held increasing leadership roles include, Phoenix International Life Sciences (MDS Pharma Services), Endoceutics Inc., AeternaZentaris, BioAxone Biosciences, & ICON Clinical Research. Dr. Frankham obtained his PhD in molecular endocrinology (Université Laval, Canada), and holds an MBA in Finance (University of Liverpool, UK). We appointed Dr. Frankham to our board due to his background in the biopharmaceutical industry.

Dr. Wolfgang Renz - Director

Dr. Wolfgang Renz was appointed as a director of our company on February 5, 2015. Dr. Wolfgang Renz is president of international business at Physicians Interactive. Formerly, he served as corporate vice president of business model & healthcare innovation at Boehringer Ingelheim, one of the world's largest pharmaceutical companies. For over a decade, he has been involved in developing medicines and technology to help people lead healthier, more productive lives. At Boehringer Ingelheim, he led a team of specialists to find, test, and develop the disruptive technologies that will shape the way health care will be delivered in the future. In addition, he also serves as adjunct professor of surgery at McGill University's Faculty of Medicine in Montreal, Canada. Dr. Renz holds a medical degree and a Ph.D. from Freiburg University and is board certified in Germany in emergency medicine.

Family Relationships

There are no other family relationships between any of our directors, executive officers and proposed directors or executive officers.

Conflicts of Interest

Dr. Chaturvedi is a co-founder and serves as an interim chief executive officer of Oceanyx Pharmaceuticals, a company that is developing novel drugs indicated for the treatment of cancer, bone and neurodegenerative disorders. Dr. Chaturvedi currently also serves on the board of directors of various for-profit entities, including Oceanyx Pharmaceuticals, Cellanyx, UBDERDOC, and a non-profit organization, FuelEd Schools. He also serves on the board of the Indian affiliate of IndUS Pharmaceuticals (Sindu Research Laboratories) and remains the Chair of the SAB for Napo Pharmaceuticals and Jaguar Animal Health, which are focused on gastrointestinal products. He also serves on the advisory boards of non-profit entities (NSIV and Springboard Enterprises) and serves as an Adjunct Professor of Medicine at Georgetown Medical School.

Dr. Doroudian is a co-founder and chief executive officer of Altum Pharmaceuticals Inc., a company engaged in the manufacture and sale of natural health products and the research, development and commercialization of novel pharmaceutical products.

[Table of Contents](#)

Dr. Renz is president of international business at Physicians Interactive and also serves as adjunct professor of surgery at McGill University's Faculty of Medicine in Montreal, Canada.

While we do not anticipate that these activities will compete with our business, Dr. Chaturvedi, Dr. Doroudian and Dr. Renz may have pre-existing fiduciary duties with one or more organizations and may not agree to present

business opportunities or research data to us unless other entities have first declined to accept them or consented to their release. Accordingly, they may have a conflict of interest in determining to which entity a particular business opportunity should be presented.

Our directors are not obligated to commit their time and attention exclusively to our business and, accordingly, they may encounter a conflict of interest in allocating their time between our operations and those of other businesses. Our directors devote their time on an as needed basis. All of our directors, in the course of their other business activities, may become aware of investment and business opportunities which may be appropriate for presentation to us as well as other entities to which they owe a fiduciary duty. As a result, they may have conflicts of interest in determining to which entity a particular business opportunity should be presented. They may also in the future become affiliated with entities engaged in business activities similar to those we intend to conduct.

In general, officers and directors of a corporation are required to present business opportunities to a corporation if:

- the corporation could financially undertake the opportunity;
- the opportunity is within the corporation's line of business; and
- it would be unfair to the corporation and its stockholders not to bring the opportunity to the attention of the corporation.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

To the best of our knowledge, none of our directors or executive officers has, during the past ten years:

1. been convicted in a criminal proceeding or been subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offences);
2. had any bankruptcy petition filed by or against the business or property of the person, or of any partnership, corporation or business association of which he was a general partner or executive officer, either at the time of the bankruptcy filing or within two years prior to that time;
3. been subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction or federal or state authority, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting, his involvement in any type of business, securities, futures, commodities, investment, banking, savings and loan, or insurance activities, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;
4. been found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the SEC or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated;
5. been the subject of, or a party to, any federal or state judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated (not including any settlement of a civil proceeding among private litigants), relating to an alleged violation of any federal or state securities or commodities law or regulation, any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order, or any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or
6. been the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(26))), any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29))), or any equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.

[Table of Contents](#)

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Our common stock is not registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Accordingly, our officers, directors, and principal stockholders are not subject to the beneficial ownership reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.

Code of Ethics

Effective April 20, 2011, our company’s board of directors adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to, among other persons, members of our board of directors, our company’s officers including our president, chief executive officer and chief financial officer, employees, consultants and advisors. As adopted, our code of business conduct and ethics sets forth written standards that are designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote:

1. honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships;
2. full, fair, accurate, timely, and understandable disclosure in reports and documents that we file with, or submit to, the Securities and Exchange Commission and in other public communications made by us;
3. compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations;
4. the prompt internal reporting of violations of the code of business conduct and ethics to an appropriate person or persons identified in the code of business conduct and ethics; and
5. accountability for adherence to the code of business conduct and ethics.

Our code of business conduct and ethics requires, among other things, that all of our company’s senior officers commit to timely, accurate and consistent disclosure of information; that they maintain confidential information; and that they act with honesty and integrity.

In addition, our code of business conduct and ethics emphasizes that all employees, and particularly senior officers, have a responsibility for maintaining financial integrity within our company, consistent with generally accepted accounting principles, and federal and state securities laws. Any senior officer who becomes aware of any incidents involving financial or accounting manipulation or other irregularities, whether by witnessing the incident or being told of it, must report it to our company. Any failure to report such inappropriate or irregular conduct of others is to be treated as a severe disciplinary matter. It is against our company policy to retaliate against any individual who reports in good faith the violation or potential violation of our company’s code of business conduct and ethics by another.

Our code of business conduct and ethics was included as an exhibit to our annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on May 11, 2011. We will provide a copy of the code of business conduct and ethics to any person without charge, upon request. Requests can be sent to: Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc., 1275 West 6th Avenue, Vancouver, British Columbia V6H 1A6.

Committees of the Board

All proceedings of our board of directors were conducted by resolutions consented to in writing by all the directors and filed with the minutes of the proceedings of the directors. Such resolutions consented to in writing by the directors entitled to vote on that resolution at a meeting of the directors are, according to the corporate laws of the state of Nevada and the bylaws of our company, as valid and effective as if they had been passed at a meeting of the directors duly called and held.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our company currently does not have nominating, compensation committees or committees performing similar functions nor does our company have a written nominating, compensation or audit committee charter. Our board of directors does not believe that it is necessary to have such committees because it believes that the functions of such committees can be adequately performed by our directors.

Our company does not have any defined policy or procedure requirements for shareholders to submit recommendations or nominations for directors. The directors believe that, given the early stage of our development, a specific nominating policy would be premature and of little assistance until our business operations develop to a more advanced level. Our company does not currently have any specific or minimum criteria for the election of nominees to the board of directors and we do not have any specific process or procedure for evaluating such nominees. Our directors assess all candidates, whether submitted by management or shareholders, and make recommendations for election or appointment.

A shareholder who wishes to communicate with our board of directors may do so by directing a written request addressed to our president, at the address appearing on the first page of this annual report.

Audit Committee and Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our board of directors has determined that none of our the members of our audit committee qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K. Dr. Wolfgang Renz is "independent" as the term is used in Item 7(d)(3)(iv) of Schedule 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Our company has a formal audit committee which was formed in May 2010, but currently does not have a financial expert. Our audit committee consists of Dr. Wolfgang Renz and Dr. Patrick Frankham. Financial information relating to quarterly reports was disseminated to all board members for review. The audited financial statements for the years ended January 31, 2017 and 2016 were provided to each member of the board in which any concerns by the members were directed to management and the auditors.

We believe that the members of our board of audit committee and our entire board of directors are collectively capable of analyzing and evaluating our financial statements and understanding internal controls and procedures for financial reporting. We believe that retaining an independent director who would qualify as an "audit committee financial expert" would be overly costly and burdensome and is not warranted in our circumstances given the early stages of our development and the fact that we have not generated any material revenues to date. In addition, we currently do not have nominating, compensation or audit committees or committees performing similar functions nor do we have a written nominating, compensation or audit committee charter. Our board of directors does not believe that it is necessary to have such committees because it believes the functions of such committees can be adequately performed by our board of directors.

Our company has an audit committee charter which was adopted and approved by our board of directors on May 25, 2010.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The particulars of the compensation paid to the following persons:

- (a) our principal executive officer;
- (b) each of our two most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers at the end of the years ended January 31, 2017 and 2016; and
- (c) up to two additional individuals for whom disclosure would have been provided under (b) but for the fact that the individual was not serving as our executive officer at the end of the years ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, who we will collectively refer to as the named executive officers of our company, are set out in the following

summary compensation table, except that no disclosure is provided for any named executive officer, other than our principal executive officers, whose total compensation did not exceed \$100,000 for the respective fiscal year:

[Table of Contents](#)

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi ⁽¹⁾									
<i>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</i>									
	2017	125,000	Nil	54,132	860,705	Nil	Nil	Nil	1,039,837
	2016	Nil	Nil	379,720	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	379,720
Moira Ong ⁽²⁾									
<i>Chief Financial Officer</i>									
	2017	200,000	Nil	Nil	430,352	Nil	Nil	Nil	630,352
	2016	Nil	Nil	191,356	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	191,356
Dr. Ahmad Doroudian ⁽³⁾									
<i>Chairman, Secretary, Chief Business Officer and Director</i>									
	2017	200,000	Nil	Nil	860,705	Nil	Nil	Nil	1,060,705
	2016	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Dr. Barbara-Jean Ann Bormann Kennedy (BJ Bormann) ⁽⁴⁾									
<i>Former President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</i>									
	2017	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2016	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

(1) Dr. Chaturvedi was appointed as our president, Chief Executive Officer and Director on November 20, 2015.

(2) Ms. Ong was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer on December 26, 2010.

(3) Dr. Doroudian was appointed as our President, Chief Executive Officer and Director on September 17, 2007 and as Chief Executive Officer and Secretary on March 30, 2011. He resigned as President, Chief Executive Officer and Secretary on August 30, 2011 and was re-appointed as president, Chief Executive Officer and secretary on July 24, 2014. Dr. Doroudian subsequently resigned as President and Chief Executive Officer on February 5, 2015 and was appointed as Chairman on that date. Currently Dr. Ahmad Doroudian acts as our company's Chairman, Secretary, Chief Business Officer and Director.

(4) Dr. Bormann was appointed as our President, Chief Executive Officer and Director on February 5, 2015. Dr. Bormann resigned as President and Chief Executive Officer on October 16, 2015 and as Director on November 16, 2015.

Other than as set out below, there are no arrangements or plans in which we provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for directors or executive officers. Our directors and executive officers may receive share options at the discretion of our board of directors in the future. We do not have any material bonus or profit sharing plans pursuant to which cash or non-cash compensation is or may be paid to our directors or executive officers, except that share options may be granted at the discretion of our board of directors.

Stock Option Plan

Our company has stock option plan which was adopted and approved by our shareholders on December 30, 2015.

[Table of Contents](#)

Stock Options/SAR Grants

During our fiscal year ended January 31, 2017:

- 5,000,000 stock options with exercise price of \$0.70 and maturity on February 22, 2021 to officers and directors.
- 4,000,000 stock options with exercise price of \$0.10 and maturity on December 14, 2021 were granted to two of our directors.

□

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The particulars of unexercised options, stock that has not vested and equity incentive plan awards for our named executive officers are set out in the following table:

Name	Options Awards					Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Units That Have Not Vested (\$)	Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi <i>President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	2,000,000	2,000,000	N/A	\$ 0.70	February 22, 2021	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maira Ong <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	1,000,000	1,000,000	N/A	\$ 0.70	February 22, 2021	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dr. Ahmad Doroudian <i>Chief Business Officer</i>	2,000,000	2,000,000	N/A	\$ 0.70	February 22, 2021	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Option Exercises

During our fiscal year ended January 31, 2017, there were no options exercised by our named officers.

Compensation of Directors

Other than set out below, we do not have any agreements for compensating our directors for their services in their capacity as directors, although such directors are expected in the future to receive stock options to purchase shares of our common stock as awarded by our board of directors.

We have determined that Dr. Wolfgang Renz is an independent director, as that term is used in Item 7(d)(3)(iv)(B) of Schedule 14A under the *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended, and as defined by Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

[Table of Contents](#)

Effective November 19, 2015, we entered into director services agreements with our directors, Dr. Wolfgang Renz and Dr. Patrick Frankham. Pursuant to the agreements each director shall provide director services to our company for a period of 24 months in consideration for 10,000,000 options to purchase our common stock to be granted as follows: 2,000,000 options on each of December 15, 2015, December 15, 2016, December 15, 2017, December 15, 2018 and December 15, 2019. Each agreement may be terminated by our company without notice for cause, or by any party with 30 days prior notice.

Pension, Retirement or Similar Benefit Plans

There are no arrangements or plans in which we provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for directors or executive officers. We have no material bonus or profit sharing plans pursuant to which cash or non-cash compensation is or may be paid to our directors or executive officers, except that stock options may be granted at the discretion of the board of directors or a committee thereof.

Indebtedness of Directors, Senior Officers, Executive Officers and Other Management

None of our directors or executive officers or any associate or affiliate of our company during the last two fiscal years, is or has been indebted to our company by way of guarantee, support agreement, letter of credit or other similar agreement or understanding currently outstanding.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth, as of April 28, 2017, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common shares by each shareholder known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common shares, as well as by each of our current directors and executive officers as a group. Each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock, except as otherwise indicated. Beneficial ownership consists of a direct interest in the shares of common stock, except as otherwise indicated.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Class ⁽¹⁾
Dr. Ahmad Doroudian 4172 Doncaster Way Vancouver BC V6S 1V9	(2) 27,424,451 ⁽³⁾ Common Shares	30.10%
Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi ⁽⁴⁾ 27 Jenkins Road Andover, MA 01810	6,689,266 ⁽⁵⁾ Common Shares	7.34%

Moira 2392 West Vancouver, BC V7V 2E6	Ong Lawson	(6) Avenue	3,000,000 ⁽⁷⁾ Common Shares	3.29%
Dr. Patrick Frankham ⁽⁸⁾ 388 De La Vauvette Rosemere, QC, J7A 4J7			4,100,000 ⁽⁹⁾ Common Shares	4.50%
Dr. Wolfgang Renz ⁽¹⁰⁾ Am Rheinfelden, Germany 79618	Hochgericht	31	4,000,000 ⁽¹¹⁾ Common Shares	4.39%
Directors and Officers as a Group⁽¹⁾			45,213,717 Common Shares	49.62%
Sierra Capital Limited 2 nd floor, Marcopole Plaza Halifax Street St. Vincent, British West Indies			4,000,000 Common Shares	4.39%
Over 5% Shareholders as a Group			4,000,000 Common Shares	4.39%

[Table of Contents](#)

- (1) Under Rule 13d-3, a beneficial owner of a security includes any person who, directly or indirectly, through any contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship, or otherwise has or shares: (i) voting power, which includes the power to vote, or to direct the voting of shares; and (ii) investment power, which includes the power to dispose or direct the disposition of shares. Certain shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned by more than one person (if, for example, persons share the power to vote or the power to dispose of the shares). In addition, shares are deemed to be beneficially owned by a person if the person has the right to acquire the shares (for example, upon exercise of an option) within 60 days of the date as of which the information is provided. In computing the percentage ownership of any person, the amount of shares outstanding is deemed to include the amount of shares beneficially owned by such person (and only such person) by reason of these acquisition rights. As a result, the percentage of outstanding shares of any person as shown in this table does not necessarily reflect the person's actual ownership or voting power with respect to the number of shares of common stock actually outstanding on April 28, 2017. As of April 28, 2017, there were 75,647,114 shares of our company's common stock issued and outstanding.
- (2) Dr. Ahmad Doroudian was appointed as our President, Chief Executive Officer and Director on September 17, 2007 and as Chief Executive Officer and Secretary on March 30, 2011. He resigned as President, Chief Executive Officer and Secretary on August 30, 2011 and was re-appointed as President, Chief Executive Officer and Secretary on July 24, 2014. Dr. Doroudian subsequently resigned as President and Chief Executive Officer on February 5, 2015 and was appointed as Chairman on that date. Currently Dr. Ahmad Doroudian acts as our company's Chairman, Secretary, Chief Business Officer and Director.
- (3) Includes 20,259,501 shares owned by Dr. Doroudian, 200,000 shares owned by Khadija Zerouali, the spouse of Dr. Ahmad Doroudian, 200,000 shares owned by Kinwa Pharma International Company Ltd., a company over which Dr. Ahmad Doroudian and Ms. Zerouali have shared voting and investment power, 4,764,950 shares owned by Sassel Investments Inc., a company over which Dr. Ahmad Doroudian has voting and investment power and 2,000,000 options to purchase shares at \$0.70 for a period of five years from February 23, 2016.
- (4) Dr. Chaturvedi was appointed as our President, Chief Executive Officer and Director on November 20, 2015.
- (5) Includes 4,442,428 shares owned by Dr. Chaturvedi, 246,838 shares owned by Divya Chaudhary, the spouse of Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi and 2,000,000 options to purchase shares at \$0.70 for a period of five years from February 23, 2016.

- (6) Ms. Ong was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer on December 26, 2010.
- (7) Includes 2,000,000 shares owned by Ms. Ong and 2,000,000 options to purchase shares at \$0.70 for a period of five years from February 23, 2016.
- (8) Dr. Patrick Frankham was appointed as Director of our company on July 24, 2014.
- (9) Includes 100,000 shares owned by Inflexion Point Healthcare, a company over which Dr. Frankham has shared voting and investment power with his spouse, 2,000,000 options to purchase shares at \$0.10 for a period of five years from December 15, 2015 and 2,000,000 options to purchase shares at \$0.10 for a period of five years from December 15, 2016.
- (10) Dr. Renz was appointed as a Director of our company on February 5, 2015.
- (11) Includes 2,000,000 options to purchase shares at \$0.10 for a period of five years from December 15, 2015 and 2,000,000 options to purchase shares at \$0.10 for a period of five years from December 15, 2016.

Changes in Control

We are unaware of any contract or other arrangement or provisions of our Articles or Bylaws the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change of control of our company. There are not any provisions in our Articles or Bylaws, the operation of which would delay, defer, or prevent a change in control of our company.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth, as of April 28, 2017, securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plan.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (Column A)	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (Column B)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column A) (Column C)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	17,903,455	\$0.37	52,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	17,903,455	\$0.37	52,000

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Except as disclosed herein, no director, executive officer, shareholder holding at least 5% of shares of our common stock, or any family member thereof, had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction, or proposed transaction since the year ended January 31, 2016, in which the amount involved in the transaction exceeded or exceeds the lesser of \$120,000 or one percent of the average of our total assets at the year-end for the last three completed fiscal years.

We currently act with four directors, consisting of Dr. Ahmad Doroudian, Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi, Dr. Patrick Frankham and Dr. Wolfgang Renz. Dr. Patrick Frankham and Dr. Wolfgang Renz are independent directors.

Our audit committee consists of Dr. Wolfgang Renz and Dr. Patrick Frankham.

We do not have a standing compensation or nominating committee, but our entire board of directors acts in such capacities.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The aggregate fees billed for the most recently completed fiscal year ended January 31, 2017 and for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2016 for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for these fiscal periods were as follows:

	Year Ended	
	January 31, 2017 \$	January 31, 2016 \$
Audit Fees	24,000	17,500
Audit Related Fees	Nil	Nil
Tax Fees	Nil	Nil
All Other Fees	Nil	Nil
Total	24,000	17,500

Our board of directors pre-approves all services provided by our independent auditors. All of the above services and fees were reviewed and approved by the board of directors either before or after the respective services were rendered.

Our board of directors has considered the nature and amount of fees billed by our independent auditors and believes that the provision of services for activities unrelated to the audit is compatible with maintaining our independent auditors' independence.

[Table of Contents](#)

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Financial Statements

- (1) Financial statements for our company are listed in the index under Item 8 of this document
- (2) All financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not material or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(b) Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
---------------------------	--------------------

(3) Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation 649186 B.C. Ltd. (incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on August 7, 2009)
- 3.2 “Company Act” Memorandum of 649186 B.C. Ltd. Certificate of Amendment (incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on August 7, 2009)
- 3.3 Certificate of Filing of 649186 B.C. Ltd. (incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on August 7, 2009)
- 3.4 Certificate of Incorporation of 649186 B.C. Ltd. (incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on August 7, 2009)
- 3.5 Certificate of Name Change of 649186 B.C. Ltd. to Xerxes Health Corp. (incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on August 7, 2009)
- 3.6 Transition Application of Xerxes Health Corp. (incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on August 7, 2009)
- 3.7 Certificate of Name Change of Xerxes Health Corp. to Neurokine Pharmaceuticals Inc. (incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on August 7, 2009)
- 3.8 Notice of Alteration to Authorized Share Structure (incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on August 7, 2009)
- 3.9 Notice of Alteration to Authorized Share Structure (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 4, 2014)
- 3.10 Notice of Alteration removing Pre-Existing Company Provisions (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 9, 2014)
- 3.11 Articles (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 9, 2014)
- 3.12 Notice of Alteration changing name to Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc. (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 17, 2015)
- 3.13 Certificate of Name Change of Neurokine Pharmaceuticals Inc. to Pivot Pharmaceuticals Inc.

(10) Material Contracts

- 10.1 Non-Exclusive License Agreement with Globe Laboratories Inc. dated June 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed on December 3, 2009)
 - 10.2 Clinical Trial Services Agreement with Virtus Clinical Development (Pty) Limited dated March 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed on March 4, 2010)
 - 10.3 Master Service Agreement with Northern Lipids Inc. dated October 2, 2007 (incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed on December 3, 2009)
 - 10.4 Assignment of Invention (NK-001) dated January 30, 2008 (incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed on December 3, 2009)
 - 10.5 Assignment of Invention (NK-002) dated April 18, 2008 (incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1/A filed on December 3, 2009)
 - 10.6 Subscription Agreement with Ahmad Doroudian (incorporated by reference to our Form 8-K filed on August 12, 2010)
 - 10.7 Debt Settlement Subscription Agreement dated September 26, 2013 with Ahmad Doroudian (incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on December 16, 2013)
 - 10.8 Director Services Agreement dated February 25, 2015 with Barbara-Jean Bormann-Kennedy (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 26, 2015)
 - 10.9 Director Services Agreement dated February 25, 2015 with Dr. Patrick Frankham (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 26, 2015)
-

- 10.10 Director Services Agreement dated February 26, 2015 with Dr. Wolfgang Renz (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 26, 2015)
- 10.11 Consulting Services Agreement dated February 25, 2015 with Dr. Giora Davidai (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 26, 2015)
- 10.12 Director Services Agreement dated November 19, 2015 with Dr. Patrick Frankham (incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on December 15, 2015)

10.13	Director Services Agreement dated November 19, 2015 with Dr. Wolfgang Renz (incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on December 15, 2015)
10.14	Consulting Services Agreement dated November 19, 2015 with Dr. Giora Davidai (incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on December 15, 2015)
10.15	Plan of Merger and Acquisition Agreement between our company and IndUS Pharmaceuticals, Inc., dated November 4, 2015 (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 23, 2015 and our Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on February 3, 2016)
10.16	Employment Agreement dated November 20, 2015 with Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi (incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on December 15, 2015)
10.17	Employment Agreement dated February 1, 2016 with Dr. Ahmad Doroudian
10.18	Employment Agreement dated February 1, 2016 with Moira Ong
10.19	Consulting Services Agreement dated February 1, 2016 with Soho Capital Inc.
(31)	Rule 13a-14(d)/15d-14(d) Certifications
31.1*	Section 302 Certification under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Principal Executive Officer
31.2*	Section 302 Certification under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Principal Financial Officer
(32)	Section 1350 Certifications
32.1*	Section 906 Certification under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Principal Executive Officer
32.2*	Section 906 Certification under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Principal Financial Officer
99	Additional Exhibits
99.1	Audit Committee Charter
99.2	Stock Option Plan
101*	Interactive Data Files
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith.

[Table of Contents](#)

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PIVOT PHARMACEUTICALS INC.

(Registrant)

Dated: April 28, 2017

/s/ Pravin Chaturvedi

Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi

Chief Executive Officer and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: April 28, 2017

/s/ Moira Ong

Moira Ong

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Dated: April 28, 2017

/s/ Pravin Chaturvedi

Dr. Pravin Chaturvedi
Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: April 28, 2017

/s/ Ahmad Doroudian

Dr. Ahmad Doroudian
Chairman, Secretary and Director

Dated: April 28, 2017

/s/ Patrick Frankham

Dr. Patrick Frankham
Director

Dated: April 28, 2017

/s/ Wolfgang Renz

Dr. Wolfgang Renz
Director