

(formerly Ansue Capital Corp.)

Management's Discussion and Analysis of the Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the year ended June 30, 2012

This management discussion and analysis ("MD&A") has been prepared based on information available to Caracara Silver Inc. ("Caracara" or the "Company") as at October 17, 2012. The MD&A of the operating results and financial condition of the Company for the year ended June 30, 2012, should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited annual consolidated financial statements (the "Financial Statements") and the related notes as at and for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. The Financial Statements have been prepared by management in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") and all amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted. Other information contained in this MD&A has also been prepared by management and is consistent with the data contained in the Financial Statements. Additional information relating to the Company may be found under its profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

MANAGEMENT'S ASSESSMENT OF INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING ("ICFR")

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over the Company's financial reporting. The internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management regarding the preparation and presentation of the financial statements.

The inherent limitations in all control systems are such that they can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud or error, if any have been detected. Therefore, no matter how well designed, ICFR has inherent limitations and can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and may not prevent and detect all misstatements.

As the Company is a Venture Issuer (as defined under under National Instrument 52-109 *Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings*) ("NI 52-109"), the Company and Management are not required to include representations relating to the establishment and/or maintenance of disclosure controls and procedures ("DC&P) and/or ICFR, as defined in NI 52-109. The reader is directed to disclosure of the inherent limitations of ICFR for small to mid-size companies under the *Risks and uncertainties* section of this MD&A with regards to segregation of duties.

CAUTIONARY NOTE

This document contains or refers to forward-looking information. Such forward-looking information includes, among other things, statements regarding targets, estimates and/or assumptions in respect of future production, capital costs and future economic, market and other conditions, and is based on current expectations that involve a number of business risks and uncertainties. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement include, but are not limited to: the grade and recovery of ore which is mined varying from estimates; exploration and development costs varying significantly from estimates; inflation; fluctuations in commodity prices; delays in the development of the any project caused by unavailability of equipment, labour or supplies, climatic conditions or otherwise; termination or revision of any debt financing; failure to raise additional funds required to finance the completion of a project; and other factors. Forward-looking statements are subject to significant risks and uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from expected results. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are made as of the date hereof and we assume no responsibility to update them or revise them to reflect new events or circumstances, except as required by law. See the section entitled *Risks and uncertainties*.

Corporate

Ansue Capital Corp. ("Ansue") was incorporated under the laws of British Columbia on December 3, 2009. Ansue was a capital pool company ("CPC") as defined by the rules of the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSXV") in Policy 2.4 of the TSXV. On July 18, 2011, Ansue announced that at the Annual Meeting of the shareholders of Ansue, all matters regarding a Qualifying Transaction with Southern Andes Energy Inc. ("Southern Andes") were approved including the proposed name change of Ansue to Caracara Silver Inc. On August 19, 2011, the Company closed on a qualifying transaction and completed the Corporate Merger (see note 4 of the Financial Statements). Based on the relative ownership percentages of the combined Company by the shareholders of Southern Andes prior to the transaction, former Ansue shareholders and the composition of the Board of Directors of the newly-combined Company, from an accounting perspective, Solex del Peru S.A.C. ("Solex") (a former Southern Andes subsidiary) is considered to be the accounting acquirer and therefore the Qualifying Transaction has been accounted for as a reverse takeover. For financial reporting purposes, the Company is considered a continuation of Solex, a legal subsidiary, except with regard to authorized and issued share capital, which is that of Ansue, the legal parent.

The head office, principal address and registered and records office of the Company is located at 120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 2400, Toronto, Ontario, M5H 1T1.

The Financial Statements were approved for issuance by the Board of Directors on October 15, 2012.

Project update

The Company controls 43 mineral claims totaling 29,100 hectares of land along the Princesa - Pilunani mineralized trend located 1,000 kilometers southeast of Lima, the capital of Peru, 210 kilometres north of Juliaca. The Princesa-Pilunani lead-zinc-silver project which covers 24,600 hectares has been the focus of all the exploration work carried out by the Company from the Ansue merger. There has been no work done on the Cullquimayo polymetallic project which covers 8,400 hectares. As of June 30th, 2012, all of these clams were in good standing with the Peruvian authorities.

The Pilunani-Princesa project is divided into three sub-groups named Princesa, Pilunani and Potoni, all located within a 45 km long metallogenic belt underlined by several lead-zinc+/-silver showings and old mines which are associated with cross cutting structures and diatreme breccias. Twenty of these base metals occurrences are located within the Company's claims including the Princesa mineralized zone which hosts a NI 43-101 inferred resources of 4.6 million tonnes grading 90.88 g/t Ag, 1.69% Zn and 1.66% Pb.

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company resumed its exploration program on several claims of the Pilunani-Princesa sub-groups including regional and detailed geological mapping, trenching, induced polarization survey and notably diamond drilling of the Nilda and Marcia showings. In order to complete its program, the Company employs experienced professionals, including an exploration manager, a senior geologist and six project geologists. The latter are being under short term labour contract. Exploration work requires permitting from the Peruvian authorities as well as intensive negotiation with the local communities to acquire access rights and use of the lands to explore the concessions. The negotiations with these communities are always conducted in a professional and harmonious manner by the Company's Community Relations team which makes every effort to participate in economic, educational and social development by encouraging local community involvement. The Company has a strict Corporate Policy that mandates respect for the inhabited land, the residents and the environment. The Company's Community Relations team has been successful in negotiating all the agreements with different communities located within the Pilunani and Princesa sub-groups in order to complete our exploration work.

Amongst the achievements reached during this period is the receiving of two drilling permits from the Peruvian authorities. The Toma drilling permit enabled the Company to build 20 drill setups for an original budgeted program of 3,000 metres. Diamond drilling commenced on May 15th, 2012 and as of June 30, 2012, 8 diamond drilled holes totaling 1307 metres were completed on the Nilda and Marcia properties. The Princesa drilling permit will allow the Company to build up to 34 drill setups where approximately 4,000 metres will be drilled.

At the Princesa property, our team completed a detailed re-interpretation of the mineralized systems leading to recommendations to drill the lateral and down-dip extensions of the Princesa vein. At the Pilunani property, all existing data has been re-interpreted for two areas where exploratory drilling was completed in 2007 and identified potential for low grade disseminated deposits associated with two IP anomalies. Some of the drilling completed in 2007 only intersected the edge of these anomalies such as DDH PIL-13 that cut 63.15 m at 0.62% Pb and 1.2% Zn. On the surface, several samples have yielded anomalous Pb-Zn values associated with calcite-barite-quartz-pyrite-galena-sphalerite randomly oriented veinlets and some dissemination. Our team is planning a detailed geological survey and a systematic sampling program which may conduct a deep drilling program to test the roots of the mineralized system.

The surface exploration completed in 2011 outlined two areas for drilling within the Pilunani sub-group. The Marcia target consisted of untested geophysical IP anomalies located close to known high grade surface showings (Marcia # 1 and 2 showings) and the down dip extensions of the surface showings. The Marcia #1 showing yielded impressive results such as 1.01% Pb and 3.78% Zn over 16 metres in Trench-1; 0.70% Pb and 3.07% Zn over 4.9 m in Trench-2 and 2.29% Pb and 22.21% Zn over 1.70 m in Channel C-3. It is to be noted that the IP surveys do not respond to the mineralization cut in the trenches and channels even though it extends for more than 16 metres in width and 250 metres in length. The geophysical survey has outlined an impressive untested sub-flat IP chargeability anomaly which extends for almost 800 metres along L42E and 40E.

Four holes totalling 575 metres were drilled at Marcia: two targeted the Marcia #2 showing (MAR12-01 and 02) and two other holes (MAR12-03 and 04) probed the 800 metre long IP sub-horizontal anomalies on L42E. Drilling intersected a sequence of sediments interlayered with limestone, sub-volcanic andesitic intrusive and thick layer of gypsum. The down dip extension of the mineralization outcropping at the Marcia# 2 showing has not been intersected.. The IP anomaly is explained by disseminated pyrite (1-5%) mineralization hosted by the sub-volcanic andesite intrusive. The flat lying geometry of this anomaly is explained by a sudden change in direction and dip of the overall stratigraphy bending abruptly from NW weakly dipping to the NE to NNE moderately dipping to the E. The most significant drill intersections are lised below.:

DDH MAR-12-01: No significant value

DDH MAR-12-02: 0.08% Pb and 0.26% Zn over 8.20 m from 4.00 to 12.20 m

DDH MAR-12-03: 0.26% Zn over 18.20 m from 23,50 to 41.70 m DDH MAR-12-04: 0.23% Zn over 21 m from 9.20 to 30.20 m

The Nilda property also comprised two drilling targets: a 400 metre x 100 metre wide untested IP anomaly and the Nilda mineralized structure outlined by a trench dug over the old mine area which returned 6.9 g/t Ag, 5.2% Pb and 31.5% Zn over 2.4 m and two short holes drilled in 2007 (21.8 g/t Ag, 8.9% Pb and 24.4% Zn over 1.4 meter in drill hole NIL07-01 and 2.33 g/t Ag, 0.38% Pb and 8.9% Zn over 6.0 metres in drill hole NIL07-02 underneath the mine area. Four holes totalling 732 metres were drilled on the Nilda property. Holes NIL-12-01 and 03 which targeted the down dip extension of the Nilda mineralized structure only intersected barren sediments where the vertical projection of the Nilda structure was expected. Consequently, all assay values were negligible. The IP anomalies probed by Holes NIL-12-02 and NIL-12-04 are explained by the presence of up to 3% pyrite as dissemination and hairline veinlets. Hole NIL12-04 intersected a 18 metre wide interval (from 75.5 to 93.5 m) of disseminated pyrite-galena-

sphalerite hosted by a brecciated polymictic conglomerate that yielded lead values ranging from 45 to 19800 ppm, zinc values from 117 to 32000 ppm and silver values from traces to 19 ppm. Mineralization is very fine grained and seems to be particularly associated with the clasts supported areas (see photos below).



Photo 1: Core of HOLE NI-12-04



Photo 2: Close up on Pyrite-Sphalerite Mineralization of HOLE NI-12-04

The Company is currently analyzing the results of the past year's program and is planning for new programs at the Princesa, Pilunani projects and some of the claims of the Potoni sub-group. The Company reported no accidents in the period of the drilling operations.

Results of operations

Year ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company incurred net losses of \$5,186,015 compared to a net loss for the same period in 2011 of \$5,148. The increase in net losses for the year ended June 30, 2012, is a result of the company operations, including corporate expenditures (not in place last year) since the completion of the Qualifying Transaction together with increased exploration activity now that the Company's work-plan has been put into action. Details of the increased expenditures follow:

General and administrative costs (no comparative costs from 2011)

Costs of \$183,656 during the current year are a result of corporate and administrative infrastructure setup as the Company commences its work plan on its Princesa project.

Investor relations costs (no comparative costs from 2011)

Costs of \$85,535 during the current year are subsequent to the Company's Qualifying Transaction including increased communication with the Company's stakeholders.

Management fees and salaries (no comparative costs from 2011)

Expenses of \$472,301 are a result of the administrative management agreement entered into with RG Mining Investments Inc. ("RGMI") of \$405,350 (see related-party disclosure in this MD&A) directors' fees of \$60,076 and other miscellaneous items of \$6,875. RGMI provides the corporate administrative and accounting services along with the services of the Company's CEO, CFO, VP Exploration, VP Finance and Corporate Secretary.

Consulting and professional fees (no comparative costs from 2011)

Costs of \$185,717 during the current year are mainly the result of legal fees regarding the Qualifying Transaction.

Shareholder, public company costs and investor relations costs (no comparative costs from 2011)

Costs incurred for the year of \$111,681 are in regards to the Company's Qualifying Transaction, regulatory fees and shareholder reporting during this process.

Share-based compensation (no comparative costs from 2011)

For the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company incurred share-based compensation of \$761,874 (2011 - \$nil) regarding the vesting costs of previously issued options.

Listing costs (no comparative costs from 2011)

For the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company incurred listing costs of \$751,984 (2011 - \$nil) regarding the Company's Qualifying Transaction and listing its shares on the TSXV.

Exploration and evaluation expenditures

	Year ended June 30, 2012	Year ended June 30, 2011	Cumulative to June 30, 2012
	\$	\$	\$
Acquisition costs	1,117,247	-	1,610,914
Exploration costs:			
Drilling	296,609	-	296,609
Environmental and community relations	137,988	-	222,040
Assaying and sampling	36,759	-	92,870
Field and camp supplies	393,924	-	449,654
Consulting and professional fees	300,757	-	526,494
General exploration expenditures	429,524	622	1,281,728
	1,595,560	622	2,869,395
Total exploration and evaluation expenditures	2,712,807	622	4,480,310

Remainder of page left blank intentionally.

MD&A - October 17, 2012

Summary of quarterly results

	Quarter ended Jun. 30, 2012	Quarter ended Mar. 31, 2012	Quarter ended Dec. 31, 2011	Quarter ended Sep. 30, 2011
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total revenues	_	_	_	_
Net loss	(1,450,814)	(775,786)	(1,566,765)	(1,392,649)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.04)
Total assets	2,976,493	3,931,058	4,670,866	5,138,702
Long-term debt	-	-	-	_
Retained earnings (deficit) Cash dividends declared per common share	(7,337,211)	(5,506,676)	(4,730,890)	(3,164,125)

	Quarter ended June 30, 2011	Quarter ended Mar. 31, 2011	Quarter ended Dec. 31, 2010	Quarter ended Sept. 30, 2010
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total revenues	_	_	_	_
Net gain (loss)	3,861	772	515	_
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	0.39	0.08	0.05	_
Total assets Long-term debt	- -	- -	- -	_ _
Shareholders' equity (deficit)	(1,771,476)	(1,767,615)	(1,766,843)	(1,766,328)
Cash dividends declared per common share	_	-	-	_

The Company's operations are not generally subject to seasonal variations. The timing of exploration activities is influenced primarily by the availability of funds and the identification of suitable exploration targets, however, due to either their location or nature the exploration of some properties may be restricted during certain times of the year due to climatic conditions.

Liquidity and capital resources

As at June 30, 2012, the Company had cash of \$2,929,525 and a working capital surplus of \$2,776,071 compared to cash of \$nil and a working capital deficiency of \$1,767,503 at June 30, 2011. The increase in the Company's cash and working capital position is attributed to the completion of the Qualifying Transaction that included a financing done by Ansue that garnered net proceeds (after issuance costs) of \$5,971,896. In addition, the Company acquired \$127,239 from Ansue following the Qualifying

Transaction. During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company used \$3,169,611 (2011 - \$Nil) for operating activities.

Adoption of new and revised standards and interpretations

The IASB issued a number of new and revised International Accounting Standards, International Financial Reporting Standards, and amendments that are effective for the Company's financial year beginning on or after July 1, 2013 with early adoption permitted.

- IFRS 9 'Financial Instruments: Classification and Measurement' introduces new requirements for the classification and measurement of financial instruments.
- IFRS 10 'Consolidated Financial Statements' establishes principles for the presentation and preparation of consolidated financial statements when an entity controls one or more other entities.
- **IFRS 11** 'Joint Arrangements' provides for a more realistic reflection of joint arrangements by focusing on the rights and obligations of the arrangement, rather than its legal form.
- IFRS 12 'Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities' requires the disclosure of information that enables users of financial statements to evaluate the nature of, and risks associated with its interests in other entities and the effects of those interests on its financial position, financial performance and cash flows.
- IFRS 13 'Fair Value Measurement' provides the guidance on the measurement of fair value and related disclosures through a fair value hierarchy.

The Company has not early adopted these standards, amendments and interpretations, however the Company is currently assessing what impact the application of these standards or amendments will have on the Financial Statements.

Significant accounting policies

Continuance of operations

The Financial Statements have been prepared on the going concern basis, which assumes that the Company will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business. As at June 30, 2012, the Company has not generated any revenues from operations and used \$3,169,611 (2011 - \$ Nil) for operating activities for the year then ended. The continued operations of the Company are dependent on its ability to generate future cash flows or obtain additional financing. Management is of the opinion that it has sufficient working capital to meet the Company's liabilities and commitments as they become due, although there is a risk that additional financing may be required but will not be available on a timely basis or on terms acceptable to the Company. The Financial Statements do not reflect any adjustments that may be necessary if the Company is unable to continue as a going concern.

Management estimates

The preparation of the Financial Statements requires management to make judgements and estimates and form assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its judgements and estimates in relation to assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. Management uses historical experience and various other factors it believes to be reasonable

under the given circumstances as the basis for its judgements and estimates. Actual outcomes may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions. The most significant estimates, when applicable, relate to asset retirement obligations; property, plant and equipment, recoverability of accounts receivable, valuation of deferred income tax amounts, impairment testing and the calculation of share-based payments. The most significant judgements, when applicable, relate to recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities, determination of the commencement of commercial production and the determination of the economic viability of a project.

The Financial Statements contain management's judgement and estimates regarding the recoverability of its accounts receivable and the calculation of share-based payments.

Mineral properties

All acquisition and exploration costs, net of incidental revenues, are charged to operations in the period incurred until such time as it has been determined that a property has economically recoverable reserves, in which case subsequent exploration costs and the costs incurred to develop a property are capitalized into Property, plant and equipment ("PPE"). On the commencement of commercial production, depletion of each mining property will be provided on a unit-of-production basis using estimated resources as the depletion base.

Share-based payment transactions

Employees (including directors and senior executives) of the Company receive a portion of their remuneration in the form of share-based payment transactions, whereby employees render services as consideration for equity instruments ("equity-settled transactions").

In situations where equity instruments are issued and some or all of the goods or services received by the entity as consideration cannot be specifically identified, they are measured at fair value of the share-based payment.

Equity-settled transactions

The costs of equity-settled transactions with employees are measured by reference to the fair value at the date on which they are granted.

The costs of equity-settled transactions are recognized, together with a corresponding increase in equity, over the period in which the performance and/or service conditions are fulfilled, ending on the date on which the relevant employees become fully entitled to the award ("the vesting date"). The cumulative expense is recognized for equity-settled transactions at each reporting date until the vesting date reflects the Company's best estimate of the number of equity instruments that will ultimately vest. The profit or loss charge or credit for a period represents the movement in cumulative expense recognized as at the beginning and end of that period and the corresponding amount is represented in share option reserve.

No expense is recognized for awards that do not ultimately vest, except for awards where vesting is conditional upon a market condition, which are treated as vesting irrespective of whether or not the market condition is satisfied provided that all other performance and/or service conditions are satisfied.

Where the terms of an equity-settled award are modified, the minimum expense recognized is the expense as if the terms had not been modified. An additional expense is recognized for any modification which increases the total fair value of the share-based payment arrangement, or is otherwise beneficial to the employee as measured at the date of modification.

The dilutive effect of outstanding options is reflected as additional dilution in the computation of earnings per share.

Financial assets

All financial assets are initially recorded at fair value and designated upon inception into one of the following four categories: held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, loans-and-receivables or at fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL").

Financial assets classified as FVTPL are measured at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recognized through earnings. The Company's cash is classified as FVTPL.

Financial assets classified as loans-and-receivables and held-to-maturity are measured at amortized cost. The Company's trade and other receivables are classified as loans-and-receivables and its related-party loan receivable is classified as held-to-maturity.

Financial assets classified as available-for-sale are measured at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) except for losses in value that are considered other than temporary.

Purchases or sales of financial assets that require delivery of assets within a time frame established by regulation or convention in the marketplace (regular way trades) are recognized on the settlement date.

Transactions costs associated with FVTPL financial assets are expensed as incurred, while transaction costs associated with all other financial assets are included in the initial carrying amount of the asset.

Financial liabilities

All financial liabilities are initially recorded at fair value and designated upon inception as FVTPL or other-financial-liabilities.

Financial liabilities classified as other-financial-liabilities are initially recognized at fair value less directly attributable transaction costs. After initial recognition, other-financial-liabilities are subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method. The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortized cost of a financial liability and of allocating interest expense over the relevant period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments through the expected life of the financial liability, or, where appropriate, a shorter period. The Company's trade and other payables are classified as other-financial-liabilities.

Financial liabilities classified as FVTPL include financial liabilities held for trading and financial liabilities designated upon initial recognition as FVTPL. Derivatives, including separated embedded derivatives are also classified as held for trading unless they are designated as effective hedging instruments. Fair value changes on financial liabilities classified as FVTPL are recognized through the statement of comprehensive income. As at June 30, 2012, the Company has not classified any financial liabilities as FVTPL.

Impairment of financial assets

The Company assesses at each date of the statement of financial position whether a financial asset is impaired.

Assets carried at amortized cost

If there is objective evidence that an impairment loss on assets carried at amortized cost has been incurred, the amount of the loss is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the financial asset's original effective interest rate. The carrying amount of the asset is then reduced by the amount of the impairment. The amount of the loss is recognized in profit or loss.

If, in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognized, the previously recognized impairment loss is reversed to the extent that the carrying value of the asset does not exceed what the amortized cost would have been had the impairment not been recognized. Any subsequent reversal of an impairment loss is recognized in profit or loss.

In relation to trade receivables, a provision for impairment is made and an impairment loss is recognized in profit and loss when there is objective evidence (such as the probability of insolvency or significant financial difficulties of the debtor) that the Company will not be able to collect all of the amounts due under the original terms of the invoice. The carrying amount of the receivable is reduced through use of an allowance account. Impaired debts are written off against the allowance account when they are assessed as uncollectible.

Available-for-sale

If an available-for-sale asset is impaired, an amount comprising the difference between its cost and its current fair value, less any impairment loss previously recognized in profit or loss, is transferred from equity to profit or loss. Reversals in respect of equity instruments classified as available-for-sale are not recognized in profit or loss.

Impairment of non-financial assets

At each date of the statement of financial position, the Company reviews the carrying amounts of its tangible and intangible assets to determine whether there is an indication that those assets have suffered an impairment loss. If any such indication exists, the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated in order to determine the extent of the impairment loss (if any). Where it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the Company estimates the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit to which the assets belong.

Recoverable amount is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset.

If the recoverable amount of an asset (or cash-generating unit) is estimated to be less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset (or cash-generating unit) is reduced to its recoverable amount. An impairment loss is recognized immediately in the statement of comprehensive income, unless the relevant asset is carried at a re-valued amount, in which case the impairment loss is treated as a revaluation decrease.

Where an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying amount of the asset (cash-generating unit) is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, but so that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset (or cash-generating unit) in prior years.

Transactions with related parties

During the year ended June 30, 2012, RG Mining Investments Inc. ("RGMI") charged the Company \$405,350 (2011 - \$nil) for management and administrative fees and \$7,500 (2011 - \$nil) for investor relations and Company web-based set-up costs. RGMI provides management and administrative services to the Company and provides the services of the Company's personnel. The agreement providing the services has a term of 1 year and expires May 31, 2013. It is automatically renewed for successive 12-month periods unless terminated upon 60 days prior notice by either party or upon the criminal conviction, death, disability, incapacity, bankruptcy, insolvency, gross negligence, gross dereliction of duty or gross misconduct, of RGMI or the personnel it provides to the Company. The Company's CEO and CFO beneficially own RGMI.

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the fair value of vested stock options issued to directors, officers and employees of the Company amounted to \$761,874 (2011 - \$nil).

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company incurred board of directors' fees of \$60,076.

These transactions are in the normal course of operations and are measured at the exchange amount, which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

Risks and uncertainties

Operational

The operations of the Company are speculative due to the high-risk nature of its business, which is the acquisition, financing, exploration and development of mining properties. The risks below are not the only ones facing the Company. Additional risks not currently known to the Company, or that the Company currently deems immaterial, may also impair the Company's operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, the Company's business, financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected.

Exploration and development risk

Caracara's business of exploring mineral resources involves a variety of operational, financial and regulatory risks that are typical in the mining industry. The Company attempts to mitigate these risks and minimize their effect on its financial performance, but there is no guarantee that the Company will be profitable in the future, and Caracara's common shares should be considered speculative.

Financing risk

There can be no assurance that any funding required by the Company will become available, and, if so, that it will be offered on reasonable terms or that the Company will be able to secure such funding through third party financing or cost sharing arrangements. Furthermore, there is no assurance that the Company will be able to secure new mineral properties or projects or that they can be secured on competitive terms

Political and demographic risk

Some operations of the Company are conducted in Peru. As a result, the Company and it's wholly-owned subsidiary, Solex, will be subject to political instability and changes in government policies, laws and regulations in Peru. Any changes in regulations or shifts in political conditions are beyond the Company's control and may adversely affect the Company's and/or Solex's business, including, income taxes, expropriation of property, employment, land use, water use, environmental legislation and exploration safety.

Segregation of duties

Segregation of duties is a basic, key internal control and one of the most difficult to achieve in a small company. It is used to ensure that errors or irregularities are prevented or detected on a timely basis by employees in the normal course of business. Due to the Company's small size and limited resources, a complete segregation of duties within the Company's accounting group cannot be fully achieved. The result is that the Company is highly reliant on the performance of mitigating procedures during the process of closing its financial statements in order to ensure the financial statements are presented fairly in all material respects. Management will identify and hire additional accounting resources where cost effective and when required. Where it is not cost effective to obtain additional accounting resources, management will review existing mitigating controls and, if appropriate, implement changes to its internal control processes whereby more effective mitigating controls will be adopted.

Outstanding Share Data

As at October 17, 2012, the Company had 50,921,168 common shares outstanding, 2,933,333 outstanding options and 7,975,800 warrants outstanding.

General

The Company also discloses information related to its activities on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on its website www.caracarasilver.com.