MAGNA RESOURCES LTD. 1220 - 1066 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, BC, V6E 3X1

Phone: 604.558.4955 Fax: 604.558.4956

Form 51-102F1

Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the Financial Three Months Ended October 31, 2012

Date: December 20, 2012

General

This Management's Discussion & Analysis ("MD&A") of Magna Resources Ltd. ("Magna" or the "Company") has been prepared by management and should be read in conjunction with the Company's condensed consolidated interim financial statements and accompanying notes for the three months ended October 31, 2012 and the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes for the year ended July 31, 2012. Additional information relating to the Company, including regulatory filings, can be found on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com.

Forward-Looking Statements

This MD&A contains certain forward-looking statements and information relating to the Company that are based on the beliefs of its management as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to the Company. When used in this document, the words "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "expect" and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. This MD&A contains forward-looking statements relating to, among other things, regulatory compliance, the sufficiency of current working capital, the estimated cost and availability of funding for the continued exploration and development of the Company's exploration properties. Such statements reflect the current views of the Company with respect to future events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Many factors could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements that may be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Aside from factors identified in the Company's consolidated financial statements, additional important factors, if any, are identified here.

Overview of Business

The Company was incorporated on June 5, 2006 under the laws of British Columbia and is listed on the Canadian National Stock Exchange ("CNSX") under the symbol "MNA". The Company is dedicated to the acquisition and development of potash mineral deposits in the United States and elsewhere. American Potash LLC ("American Potash"), a Nevada corporation wholly owned by the Company, owns and operates the Green River Potash Project in Utah. American Potash's area of interest covers key land positions in known potash-bearing sedimentary cycles in Utah.

On November 27, 2012, Confederation Minerals Ltd., which currently holds 56.47% of the Company, announced that it intends to distribute a majority of its interest in the share capital of the Company to Confederation shareholders of record as at November 27, 2012 on a pro rata basis. For more information, please refer to the Company's news release issued on December 4, 2012 and posted on www.sedar.com.

Exploration Update

The Green River Potash Project

The Green River Potash Project ("GRPP") comprises 11 state potash leases totaling 2,853 ha, 25 federal potash prospecting permit applications ("PPAs") totaling 17,767 ha and 160 lithium placer claims totaling 1,295 ha staked over a portion of the federal potash PPA area. American Potash holds 100%

title to the potash mineralization through the state leases, including all chlorides, sulfates, carbonates, borates, silicates, and nitrates of potassium, holds 100% title to the lithium placer claims through staking and holds a 100% option on the PPAs pursuant to the Sweetwater Option Agreement. The GRPP is situated 32 kilometers west of Moab in the renowned Paradox Basin in Utah, which contains the stratigraphic sequence of evaporite/salt layers that comprise the United States' sole solution mining potash operation, Intrepid Potash Inc.'s Cane Creek potash mine. The Cane Creek mine is currently exploiting Potash Cycle 5, which underlies and extends throughout the GRPP area and is the prime exploration target.

On September 13, 2012, the Company announced that American Potash LLC received final approval from the State of Utah to commence drilling on three of its State leases which form part of the GRPP. Stratigraphic test wells have been designed to test the Cycle 5 potash evaporite horizon in the northwest portion of the GRPP on select Utah State leases. To date, three drill permits have been granted.

As stated in the Company's May 26, 2011 press release, a Memorandum of Understanding was executed by American Potash with the Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") to expedite drilling on Federal Lands administered by the BLM. On September 13, 2012, the Company announced that American Potash has formally initiated the review process towards the granting of prospecting permits on Federal Lands by submitting an Exploration Plan. The Exploration Plan is currently under review and American Potash is focused on facilitating a timely completion to the prospecting permit approval process.

Dr. Lawrence Dick, PhD, PGeo, Director of Magna Resources Ltd., is the Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101 and is responsible for reviewing the above technical content.

Other Corporate Information

The condensed consolidated interim financial statements of the Company for the three months ended October 31, 2012 include the accounts of the Company and its 100% interest in American Potash (50% before January 19, 2012). Control is achieved when the Company has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. Subsidiaries are fully consolidated from the date on which control is transferred to the Company until the date on which control ceases. All inter-company transactions, balances, income and expenses are eliminated in full upon consolidation.

On December 11, 2012, the Company held its Annual General Meeting. The following directors and officers were re-elected for another term: Rudy de Jonge, Darryl Yea, Kent Ausburn, John Greig, Lawrence Dick and Kenneth R. Holmes. Michael Sieb is the President and Alexander Peck is the Chief Financial Officer. The members of the Audit Committee are Darryl Yea, John Grieg and Lawrence Dick.

Further to the Company's news release issued on December 4, 2012, on December 19, 2012, Confederation Minerals Ltd. received an order from the Supreme Court of British Columbia approving the distribution of 21,086,656 common shares in the share capital of the Company (the "Magna Shares"), on a pro rata basis, to the Confederation shareholders of record as of November 27, 2012 of common shares of Confederation. The distribution of the Magna Shares by Confederation will result in Confederation no longer maintaining a control block of the Company. Confederation expects to complete the distribution of Magna Shares on December 24, 2012.

The Company's shares are listed on the Canadian National Stock Exchange under the trading symbol "MNA".

Overall Performance

The following discussion of the Company's financial performance is based on the condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the three months ended October 31, 2012.

The statement of financial position as at October 31, 2012 indicates a cash position of \$58,936 (July 31, 2012: \$Nil) and short-term investments of \$1,090,037 (July 31, 2012: \$1,484,273). The Company has other current assets of HST receivables of \$28,524 (July 31, 2012: \$22,844), other receivables of \$162,680 (July 31, 2012: \$162,680), and prepaid expenses of \$18,305 (July 31, 2012: \$25,791).

Non-current assets consist of exploration and evaluation assets of \$2,954,993 (July 31, 2012: \$2,935,031) and intangible assets of \$592,055 (July 31, 2012: \$480,986). The increase in intangible asset is primarily comprised of annual permit application renewal payments to the US Department of the Interior - Bureau of Land Management.

Current liabilities at October 31, 2012 total \$675,695 (July 31, 2012: \$751,635), comprising bank indebtedness of \$Nil (July 31, 2012: \$65,788), accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$78,113 (July 31, 2012: \$85,310), income taxes payable of \$54,595 (July 31, 2012: \$54,776), deferred income tax liability of \$339,466 (July 31, 2012: \$340,595), withholding tax payable of \$162,680 (July 31, 2012: \$162,680) and due to a related party of \$40,481 (July 31, 2012: \$42,486)

Shareholders' equity at October 31, 2012 is comprised of share capital of \$4,622,161 (July 31, 2012: \$4,622,161), reserves of \$1,330,204 (July 31, 2012: \$1,330,204), accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$5,062 (July 31, 2012: \$797) and a deficit of \$1,717,468 (July 31, 2012: \$1,591,598) for total shareholders' equity of \$4,229,835 (July 31, 2012: \$4,359,970).

Working capital, which is current assets less current liabilities, is \$682,787 (July 31, 2012: 943,953).

As at October 31, 2012, the Company has no earnings and therefore has financed exploration activities by the issuance of its common shares. The key determinants of the Company's operating results are the following:

- (a) the state of capital markets, which affects the ability of the Company to finance its exploration activities; and
- (b) the write-down and abandonment of exploration and evaluation assets and intangible assets should permits for exploration not be granted and should exploration results provide further information that does not support the underlying value of such assets.

Summary of Quarterly Results

The following table sets forth selected quarterly financial information for each of the last eight most recently completed quarters.

	2013	2012			2011			
	October	July	April	January	October	July	April	January
Net Sales/ Revenue	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Net Income (Loss)	\$(126,647)	\$765,755	\$(931,404)	\$(99,769)	\$(70,961)	\$(43,596)	\$58,492	\$64
Basic Income (Loss) per share	(0.00)	\$0.02	\$(0.02)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.00)	\$(0.00)	\$(0.00)	\$(0.00)

Note: Fully diluted per share amounts are not scheduled as they would be anti-dilutive.

Liquidity & Capital Resources

The Company's cash balance is \$58,936 and working capital is \$682,787 at October 31, 2012 compared with a cash balance of \$Nil and working capital of \$943,953 at July 31, 2012.

Cash used in operating activities during the three months ended October 31, 2012 is \$134,216 (2012: \$(29,880)). Cash from investing activities is \$263,205 (2012: \$(15,988)), comprised of sale of short term investment of \$394,236 (2012: \$Nil), exploration and evaluation assets and intangible assets of \$131,031 (2012: \$10,720) and website development of \$Nil (2012: \$5,268).

The Company's major sources of funds have been derived from equity financings. The Company has a capitalization of an unlimited number of common shares without par value of which 51,506,666 common shares are issued and outstanding as at October 31, 2012.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements that would potentially affect current or future operations or the financial condition of the Company.

Related Party Transactions

The aggregate value of transactions and outstanding balances relating to key management personnel and entities which they have control over or significant influence of were as follows:

		October 31,	October 31,
Service provided by:		2012	2011
Alexander Peck	a)	3,000	0
St. Cloud Mining Services Inc.	b)	15,000	15,000
Mike Sieb	c)	37,500	0
Global Mining Services Inc.	d)	12,405	0
		67,905	15,000

- a) Alexander Peck, the CFO of the Company provided management services to the Company.
- b) St. Cloud Mining Services Inc. is a privately held corporation controlled by a director who provided consulting services to the Company.
- c) Mike Sieb, the president of the Company provided management services to the Company
- d) Global Mining Services Inc. is a privately held corporation controlled by a director, who provided services to American Potash LLC.

	October 31,		October 31,
	2012		2011
	\$		\$
Global Mining Services Inc.	6,002	*	-
St. Cloud Mining Services Inc.		*	30,000
Confederation Minerals Ltd.	121,839	**	-
	133,302		25,000

^{*}The balance is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

A director of the Company is a party to the Sweetwater Option Agreement with American Potash LLC.

^{**}The balance included \$162,680 withholding tax receivable and \$40,841 shareholder's loan which is unsecured, non-interest bearing, and payable on demand.

Critical Accounting Estimates

For the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual outcomes could differ from these estimates. Financial statements include estimates which, by their nature, are uncertain. The impacts of such estimates are pervasive throughout the financial statements, and may require accounting adjustments based on future occurrences. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimate is revised and the revision affects both the current and future periods.

Significant assumptions about the future and other sources of estimation uncertainty that management has made at the date of the financial statements that could result in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities, in the event that actual results differ from assumptions made, relate to, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) The purchase price allocation. Business acquisitions are accounted for by the acquisition method of accounting whereby the purchase price is allocated to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed based on fair value at the time of the acquisition. The excess purchase price over the fair value of identifiable assets and liabilities acquired, if any, are goodwill. The determination of fair value often requires determining the fair value of property, plant and equipment acquired generally require a high degree of judgment. Changes in the assumptions or estimates used in determining the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities could impact the amounts assigned to assets, liabilities and goodwill, if any, in the purchase price allocation. If material, such adjustments would be reflected via a restatement of previously issued financial statements.
- (b) The provision for income taxes which is included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive loss and composition and quantification of deferred income tax assets and liabilities included in the consolidated statement of financial position.
- (c) The recoverability of exploration and evaluation assets in the consolidated statements of financial position.
- (d) the inputs used in accounting for share purchase option expense in the consolidated statements of comprehensive loss.

New accounting standards and interpretations

The following standards have been issued but are not yet effective. They may result in future changes to accounting policies and other note disclosures.

(a) IFRS 9, Financial Instruments

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments is part of the IASB's wider project to replace IAS 39 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement. IFRS 9 retains but simplifies the mixed measurement model and establishes two primary measurement categories for financial assets: amortized cost and fair value. The basis of classification depends on the entity's business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial asset. The standard is effective for annual periods on or after January 1, 2015.

(b) IFRS 10, Consolidate Financial Statements

IFRS 10 builds on existing principles by identifying the concept of control as the determining factor in whether an entity should be included within the consolidated financial statements of the parent company. The standard provides additional guidance to assist in the determination of control where this

is difficult to assess. The Company is yet to assess the full impact of IFRS 10 and is required to adopt the standard no later than the accounting period beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

(c) IFRS 11, Joint Arrangements

IFRS 11 describes the accounting for arrangements in which there is a joint control; proportionate consolidation is not permitted for joint ventures (as newly defined). IFRS 11 replaces IAS 31 Interests in Joint ventures and IEC 13 Jointly Controlled Entities – Non-Monetary Contributions by Venturers. The Company is yet to assess the full impact of IFRS 11 and is required to adopt the standard no later than the accounting period beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

(d) IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

IFRS 12 includes the disclosure requirements for all forms of interests in other entities, including joint arrangements, associates, special purpose vehicles and other off balance sheet vehicles. The Company is yet to assess the full impact of IFRS 12 and is required to adopt the standard no later than the accounting period beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

(e) IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement

IFRS 13 aims to improve consistency and reduce complexity by providing a precise definition of fair value and a single source of fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for use across IFRS's. The requirements, which are largely aligned between IFRS and US GAAP, do not extend the use of fair value accounting but provide guidance on how it should be applied where its use is already required or permitted by other standards within IFRS or US GAAP. The Company is yet to assess the full impact of IFRS 13 and is required to adopt the standard no later than the accounting period beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

(f) IAS 7, Financial Instruments: Disclosures

Amendments to IAS 7 provide disclosure requirements for the offsetting of a financial asset and financial liabilities when offsetting is permitted under IFRS. The disclosure amendments are required to be adopted retrospectively for periods beginning January 1, 2013.

(g) IAS12 (revised), Income Taxes

In December 2010, the IASB issued amendments to IAS 12 to remove subjectivity in determining on which basis an entity measures the deferred tax relating to an asset. The amendments introduce a presumption that entities will assess whether the carrying value of an asset will be recovered through the sale of the asset. These amendments are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of these amendments to its consolidated financial statements but the impact, if any, is not expected to be material.

(h) IAS 19, Employee Benefits

Amendments to IAS 19 provide new requirements for the accounting for defined benefit pension plans. Most notably, the amendments mandate the immediate recognition of actuarial gains and losses, and require companies to use the same discount rate for both the defined benefit obligation and the expected asset return when calculating the interest component of pension expense. The Company does not believe the adoption of IAS 19 will materially affect its financial performance or its financial position.

(i) IAS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation

Amendments to IAS 32 provide specific guidance for when an entity can offset financial assets and liabilities by clarifying when a legally enforceable right to do so exists and when an entity meets the criterion for the intent to settle on a net basis. These amendments are effective for annual periods beginning after January 1, 2014. The Company does not believe the amendments to IAS 32 will materially affect its financial performance or its financial position.

Financial Instruments

Classification of financial instruments

(a) Fair Values

Assets and liabilities measure at fair value on a recurring basis were presented on the Company's consolidated statements of financial position as follows:

	Fair	r Value Measurements Using	
As at October 31, 2012	Quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) \$	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) \$
Cash and cash equivalents	58,936	-	-
Short term investments	1,090,037	-	-
Total	1,148,973	-	-
	Faiı	r Value Measurements Using	
As at July 31, 2012	Quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) \$	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) \$
AS at July 51, 2012	>	<u> </u>	
Cash and cash equivalents	-	-	-
Short term investments	1,484,273		
Total	1,484,273	-	-

The fair values of other financial instruments, which include other receivable, other assets, bank indebtedness, accounts payable and accruals and due to related parties, approximate their carrying value due to the relatively short-term maturity of these instruments.

(b) Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents. The Company limits its exposure to credit loss by placing its cash and cash equivalents with high credit quality financial institutions. The carrying amount of financial assets represents the maximum credit exposure.

(c) Foreign Exchange Rate Risk

The functional currency of the Company's subsidiary American Potash is the US dollar. Foreign currency risk arises from the fluctuation in currency exchange between the Canadian dollar and US dollar. The Company has not entered into financial instruments to hedge against this risk.

(d) Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is managed by ensuring sufficient financial resources are available to meet obligations associated with financial liabilities. The following table is based on the contractual maturity dates of financial assets and the earliest date on which the Company can be required to settle financial liabilities.

Contractual maturity analysis is as follows:

	Less than 3		Longer than		
	months	3-12 months	1-5 years	5 years	Total
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
October 31, 2012					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	78,113	-	-	-	78,113
Due to related parties	-	40,841	-	-	40,841
July 31, 2012					
Bank indebtedness	65,788	-	-	-	65,788
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	85,310	-	-	-	85,310
Due to related parties	-	42,486	-	-	42,486
October 31, 2011			·		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	82,153	-	-	-	82,153

(e) Price Risk

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity prices. The Company's ability to raise capital to fund exploration and development activities is subject to risks associated with fluctuations in the market price of commodities.

(f) Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates. The Company has interest bearing assets in relation to cash at banks carried at floating interest rates with reference to the market. The Company's operating cash flows are substantially independent of changes in market interest rates. The Company has not used any financial instrument to hedge potential fluctuations in interest rates. The exposure to interest rates for the Company is considered minimal. As at October 31, 2012, the Company has in total \$1,090,037 (July 31, 2012; \$1,484,273) in guaranteed investment certificates.

The policies to manage interest rate risk have been followed by the Company during prior periods and are considered to be effective.

Additional Disclosure for Junior Issuers

The Company has expensed the following material cost components during the three months ended October 31, 2012:

	Three months ended		
	October	31,	
	2012	2011	
	\$	\$	
Amortization	-	681	
Accounting and audit fees	19,822	16,920	
Bank charges and interest	264	164	
Consulting fees	29,836	15,000	
Legal fees	26,887	8,128	
Licenses and permits	295	-	
Insurance	3,307	-	
Office expenses	5,415	144	
Rent	4,500	-	
Transfer agent and filing fees	2,122	3,311	
Travel and accommodation	(966)	-	
Wages	38,226	-	
Website, advertising and promotion	750	1,540	

Overall, general and administrative costs are higher year over year due to the Company becoming more active in its exploration and mineral property evaluation activities. In April of 2012, the Company established a corporate office in Vancouver and employed Mike Sieb as President.

The Company has capitalized the following exploration and evaluation assets:

	Green River Potash
	\$
Mineral acquisition	
Opening balance, July 31, 2011	115,515
Cash payments	18,830
	134,345
Deferred exploration expenditures	
Opening balance, July 31, 2011	57,215
Bonding	10,123
Surveys	17,827
Geological consulting	181,084
	266,249
Reassessment exploration and evaluation asset through business acquisition (Note 4)	2,534,437
Balance, July 31, 2012	2,935,031
Minaval acquisition	
Mineral acquisition	
Cash payments	3,841
Cash payments	3,841
·	3,841 2,124
Cash payments Deferred exploration expenditures	
Cash payments Deferred exploration expenditures Surveys	2,124
Cash payments Deferred exploration expenditures Surveys	2,124 15,796

Risks and Uncertainties

Overview

Resource exploration is a speculative business and involves a high degree of risk. There is a significant probability that the expenditures made by the Company in exploring its properties will not result in discoveries of commercial quantities of minerals. A high level of ongoing expenditures is required to locate and estimate ore reserves, which are the basis for further development of a property. Capital expenditures to support commercial production stage are also very substantial.

The following sets out the principal risks faced by the Company.

Exploration risk. The Company is seeking mineral deposits, on exploration projects where there are not yet established ore reserves. There can be no assurance that economic concentrations of minerals will be determined to exist on the Company's property holdings within existing investors' investment horizons or at all. The failure to establish such economic concentrations could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities. The Company's planned programs and budgets for exploration work are subject to revision at any time to take into account results to date. The revision, reduction or curtailment of exploration programs and budgets could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

Market risks. The Company's securities trade on public markets and the trading value thereof is determined by the evaluations, perceptions and sentiments of both individual investors and the investment community taken as a whole. Such evaluations, perceptions and sentiments are subject to change, both in short term time horizons and longer term time horizons. An adverse change in investor evaluations, perceptions and sentiments could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

Commodity price risks. The Company's exploration projects seek mineral resources in Utah. While there have been price increases from levels prevalent earlier in the decade, there can be no assurance that such price levels will continue, or that investors' evaluations, perceptions, beliefs and sentiments will continue to favour these target resources. An adverse change in the resource prices, or in investors' beliefs about trends in those prices, could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

Financing risks. Exploration and development of mineral deposits is an expensive process, and frequently the greater the level of interim stage success the more expensive it can become. The Company has no producing properties and generates no operating revenues; therefore, for the foreseeable future, it will be dependent upon selling equity in the capital markets to provide financing for its continuing substantial exploration budgets. While the Company has been successful in obtaining financing from the capital markets for its projects in recent years, there can be no assurance that the capital markets will remain favourable in the future, and/or that the Company will be able to raise the financing needed to continue its exploration programs on favourable terms, or at all. Restrictions on the Company's ability to finance could have a material adverse outcome on the Corporation and its securities.

Share Price Volatility and Price Fluctuations. In recent years, the securities markets in Canada have experienced a high level of price and volume volatility, and the market prices of securities of many companies, particularly junior mineral exploration companies like the Company, have experienced wide fluctuations which have not necessarily been related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. There can be no assurance that price fluctuations and volatility will not continue to occur.

Key personnel risks. The Company's exploration efforts are dependent to a large degree on the skills and experience of certain of its key personnel, including the board of directors. The Company does not

maintain "key man" insurance policies on these individuals. Should the availability of these persons' skills and experience be in any way reduced or curtailed, this could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

Competition. Significant and increasing competition exists for the limited number of mineral property acquisition opportunities available. As a result of this competition, some of which is with large established mining companies with substantial capabilities and greater financial and technical resources than the Company, the Company may be unable to acquire additional attractive mineral properties on terms it considers acceptable.

Environmental and Other Regulatory Requirements. The current or future operations of the Company, including development activities and commencement of production on its properties, require permits from various governmental authorities and such operations are and will be subject to laws and regulations governing prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, safety and other matters. Companies engaged in the development and operation of mines and related facilities generally experience increased costs, and delays in production and other schedules as a result of the need to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits. There can be no assurance that approvals and permits required to commence production on its properties will be obtained on a timely basis, or at all. Additional permits and studies, which may include environmental impact studies conducted before permits can be obtained, may be necessary prior to operation of the properties in which the Company has interests and there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain or maintain all necessary permits that may be required to commence construction, development or operation of mining facilities at these properties on terms which enable operations to be conducted at economically justifiable costs.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions there under, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations or extraction operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of such activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations.

Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or abandonment or delays in development of new mineral exploration properties.

To the best of the Company's knowledge, it is currently operating in compliance with all applicable environmental regulations.

History of Net Losses; Accumulated Deficit; Lack of Revenue from Operations. The Company has incurred net losses to date. The Company has not yet had any revenue from the exploration activities on its properties, nor has the Company yet determined that commercial development is warranted on any of its properties. Even if the Company commences development of certain of its properties, the Company may continue to incur losses. There is no certainty that the Company will produce revenue, operate profitably or provide a return on investment in the future.

Uninsurable risks. The Company and its subsidiaries may become subject to liability for pollution, fire, explosion, against which it cannot insure or against which it may elect not to insure. Such events could

result in substantial damage to property and personal injury. The payment of any such liabilities may have a material, adverse effect on the Company's financial position.

Grant of Permits. There is a risk that, for various potential political, environmental, or other reasons, the BLM will not grant the exploration permits to American Potash. In that event, the BLM applications will hold no value.

Proposed Transactions

There are no proposed transactions currently approved by the Board of Directors.

Other MD&A Requirements

The Company's issued and outstanding share capital as at the date of this MD&A is as follows:

- (1) Authorized: Unlimited common shares without par value.
- (2) As at December 20, 2012, the Company has 51,506,666 common shares issued and outstanding, 4,142,500 stock options outstanding and 4,800,000 warrants outstanding.

As specified by National Instrument 51-102, the Company advises readers of this MD&A that important additional information about the Company is available on the SEDAR website – www.sedar.com.

The Company's President & Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting for the Company.