ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM



MEADOW BAY GOLD CORPORATION

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2017

Dated: June 29, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PRELIMINARY NOTES | 1 |
|--|----------|
| Date of Information | 1 |
| Documents Incorporated by Reference | 1 |
| Caution Regarding Historical Results | 1 |
| Forward-Looking Information | 1 |
| Currency and Exchange Rates | 3 |
| CORPORATE STRUCTURE | 3 |
| Name, Address and Incorporation | |
| Intercorporate Relationships | |
| • | |
| GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS | 4 |
| General 4 | 4 |
| Three Year History | 4 |
| DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY | 7 |
| General 7 | |
| Specialized Skill and Knowledge | |
| Competitive Conditions | |
| Business Cycles | |
| Environmental Protection | |
| Employees | |
| Foreign Operations | |
| Bankruptcy and Similar Procedures | 8 |
| RISK FACTORS | 8 |
| Financial History | 8 |
| Cash Flow and Liquidity | |
| General Risks Inherent in the Business | |
| Environmental and Health Risks | |
| Regulatory Constraints | |
| Economic or Political Conditions | |
| Reliance on Key Personnel | |
| Experience of Management | |
| | |
| MINERAL PROJECTS | |
| Atlanta Project | 13 |
| DIVIDENDS | 17 |
| | |
| DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE | 17 |
| Ratings 17 | |
| MARKET FOR SECURITIES | 17 |
| Trading Price and Volume | 17 |
| Prior Sales | 17 |
| ESCROWED SECURITIES AND SECURITIES SUBJECT TO CONTRACTUAL RESTRICTION ON | |
| TRANSFER | 18 |
| | |
| DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS | |
| Cease Trade Orders, Bankruptcies, Penalties or Sanctions | 19 20 |
| A DITTULAS OF THICKEST | /11 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

| ` | Page |
|--|------|
| LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS | 20 |
| INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS | 21 |
| TRANSFER AGENTS AND REGISTRARS | 21 |
| MATERIAL CONTRACTS | 21 |
| INTERESTS OF EXPERTS Names of Experts | |
| AUDIT COMMITTEE AND RELATIONSHIP WITH AUDITOR The Audit Committee's Charter Composition of the Audit Committee | 22 |
| Relevant Education and Experience Reliance on Certain Exemptions | 22 |
| Audit Committee Oversight Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures | 23 |
| External Auditor Service Fees. | |
| ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | 25 |
| SCHEDULE "A" – AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER | |

PRELIMINARY NOTES

In this Annual Information Form (the "AIF"), unless the context otherwise requires, the terms "the Company" and "Meadow Bay" refer to Meadow Bay Gold Corporation.

Date of Information

All information in this AIF is as of March 31, 2017 unless otherwise indicated.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Incorporated by reference into this AIF are the following documents:

- consolidated audited financial statements of the Company for the year ended March 31, 2017; and
- management's discussion and analysis of the Company for the year ended March 31, 2017,

copies of which can be obtained online from SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

In addition, certain sections of the technical report titled "NI 43-101 Technical Report on Resources – Atlanta Project – Lincoln County, Nevada" (the "**Technical Report**") prepared by Gustavson Associates ("**Gustavson**") (qualified persons: Mr. Claiborne Newton, III, PhD., C.P.G., Chief Geologist, Gustavson; Mr. Zachary J. Black, SME-RM, Associate Geological Engineer, Gustavson; and Mr. Donald E. Hulse, P.E., SME-RM, Vice President, Gustavson), is incorporated by reference into this AIF, a copy of which can be obtained online from SEDAR at www.sedar.com. See "Mineral Projects – Atlanta Project". The full report of Gustavson re the Atlanta Project, is also available on the Company website – www.meadowbaygold.com.

Any statement contained in a document incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein shall be deemed to be modified or superseded for the purposes of this AIF to the extent that a statement contained in this AIF or in any subsequently filed document that also is or is deemed to be incorporated by reference herein modifies or supersedes such statement. Any statement so modified or superseded shall not constitute a part of this AIF, except as so modified or superseded. The modifying or superseding statement need not state that it has modified or superseded a prior statement or include any other information set forth in the document that it modifies or supersedes. The making of such a modifying or superseding statement shall not be deemed an admission for any purpose that the modified or superseded statement, when made, constituted a misrepresentation, an untrue statement of a material fact or an omission to state a material fact that is required to be stated or that is necessary to make a statement not misleading in light of the circumstances in which it was made.

Caution Regarding Historical Results

Historical results of operations and trends that may be inferred from the discussion and analysis in this AIF may not necessarily indicate future results from operations. In particular, the current state of the global securities markets may cause significant reductions in the price of the Company's securities and render it difficult or impossible for the Company to raise the funds necessary to continue operations. See "Risk Factors".

Forward-Looking Information

This AIF contains "forward-looking information" within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities legislation. Such forward looking information concerns the Company's anticipated operations in future periods, planned exploration and development of its properties, and plans related to its business and other matters that may occur in the future. Forward-looking information includes statements that are predictive in nature, depend upon or refer to future events or conditions, or include words such as, "expects", "anticipates", "plans", "believes", "estimates", "intends", "targets", "projects", "forecasts", "seeks", "likely", or negative versions thereof and other similar expressions, or future conditional verbs such as "may", "will", "should", "would" and "could". This information relates to analyses and other information that is based on expectations of future performance and

planned work programs. Statements concerning mineral resource estimates may also be deemed to constitute forward-looking information to the extent that they involve estimates of the mineralization that will be encountered if a mineral property is developed.

Forward-looking information is subject to a variety of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which could cause actual events or results to differ from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking information, including, without limitation:

- exploration hazards and risks;
- risks related to exploration and development of natural resource properties;
- uncertainty in the Company's ability to obtain funding;
- precious and base metal price fluctuations;
- recent market events and conditions;
- risks related to the uncertainty of mineral resource calculations and the inclusion of Inferred Mineral Resources in economic estimation;
- risks related to governmental regulations;
- risks related to obtaining necessary licenses and permits;
- risks related to the Company's business being subject to environmental laws and regulations;
- risks related to the Company's mineral properties being subject to prior unregistered agreements, transfers, or claims and other defects in title;
- risks related to competition from larger companies with greater financial and technical resources;
- risks related to the Company's inability to meet its financial obligations under agreements to which it is a party;
- ability to recruit and retain qualified personnel; and
- risks related to the Company's directors and officers becoming associated with other natural resource companies which may give rise to conflicts of interests.

This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect the Company's forward-looking information. Should one or more of these risks and uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described in the forward-looking information. The Company's forward-looking information is based on beliefs, expectations and opinions of management on the date the statements are made and the Company does not assume any obligation to update forward-looking information if circumstances or management's beliefs, expectations or opinions change, except as required by law. A number of important facts could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by the forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, the risks described under the heading "Risk Factors" below. For the reasons set forth above, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking information.

Readers are encouraged to consult the Company's public filings at www.sedar.com for additional information concerning these matters.

Currency and Exchange Rates

All dollar amounts in this AIF are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated. The Company's accounts are maintained in Canadian dollars and the Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards.

The following table sets forth: (a) the noon rates of exchange rates for the Canadian dollar, expressed in U.S. dollar per Canadian dollar in effect at the end of the periods indicated; and (b) the average noon exchange rates for such periods based on the rates quoted by the Bank of Canada.

| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Average rate for period | \$0.7621 | \$0.7627 | \$0.8782 |
| Rate at end of period | \$0.7506 | \$0.7710 | \$0.7885 |

On June 27, 2017, the nominal closing exchange rate as reported by the Bank of Canada for the conversion of Canadian dollar into United States dollar was Canadian \$1.00 equals US\$0.7583 Metric Equivalents

For ease of reference, the following factors for converting imperial measurements into metric equivalents are provided:

| To convert from imperial | To metric | Multiply by |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Acres | Hectares | 0.404686 |
| Feet | Metres | 0.30480 |
| Miles | Kilometres | 1.609344 |
| Tons | Tonnes | 0.907185 |
| Ounces (troy)/ton | Grams/Tonne | 34.2857 |

CORPORATE STRUCTURE

Name, Address and Incorporation

The Company was incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia) on March 8, 2005 under the name "Meadow Bay Capital Corporation". On April 4, 2011, the Company changed its name to "Meadow Bay Gold Corporation".

The authorized share structure of the Company consists of an unlimited number of common shares without par value ("Common Shares"). All Common Shares rank equally as to voting, and there is no special preference, conversion or redemption rights attached to any of the Common Shares.

The Company's head and registered offices addresses are as follows:

| Head Office | Registered and Records Office |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Suite 210 - 905 West Pender Street | Suite 2300 - 550 Burrard Street |
| Vancouver, B.C. V6C 1L6 | Vancouver, B.C. V6C 2B5 |

Intercorporate Relationships

The Company has one 100% wholly-owned subsidiary: Desert Hawk Resources Inc. ("Desert Hawk"), a private Delaware corporation.

GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS

General

The Company is principally engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties in Nevada, USA. The Company presently has no proven or probable reserves and, on the basis of information to date, it has not yet determined whether these properties contain economically recoverable reserves. Consequently, the Company considers itself to be an exploration stage company. The amounts shown as mineral property interests represent costs incurred to date, less amounts amortized and/or written off, and do not necessarily represent or future values. The underlying value of the mineral properties and related deferred costs is entirely dependent on the existence of economically recoverable reserves, securing and maintaining title and beneficial interest in the properties, the ability of the Company to obtain the necessary financing to complete development, and upon future profitable production.

Meadow Bay is currently focused on the exploration and development of its Atlanta Gold and Silver Mine property (the "Atlanta Property" or the "Atlanta Project") consisting of approximately 10,000 acres of mineral claims located in Lincoln Country Nevada, approximately 250 kilometres ("km") northeast of Las Vegas, Nevada. See "Mineral Projects" for further information on the Atlanta Property.

Three Year History

Year Ended March 31, 2015

On December 19 2014 the Company announced the completion of a non-brokered private placement of 5,500,000 units (the "**December 2014** Units") to raise gross proceeds of \$550,000. Each December 2014 Unit consists of one common share and one non-transferable common share purchase warrant, with each warrant exercisable for a period of two years at a price of \$0.15 per share.

On February 11 2015 the Company announced the completion of a non-brokered private placement of a total of 1,700,000 units (the "**February 2015 Units**") to raise gross proceeds of \$170,000. Each February 2015 Unit consisted of one common share and one non-transferable common share purchase warrant, with each warrant exercisable for a period of two years at a price of \$0.15 per share.

On April 8, 2015 announced a resumption of field activities at the Atlanta Project in Lincoln County, Nevada. Since the end of the 2014 field season, the Company had completed forest mulching to build a series of drill roads and pads at the Western Knolls target area. Additional geologic mapping and synthesis was also carried out, and general improvements completed to the camp infrastructure. Continued discussions had also been carried out with BLM regarding the renewal of the power line right-of-way and modifications to the existing Plan of Operation. Geologic, geochemical and geophysical surveys across the district identified two untested areas with positive results. It was determined that the Atlanta Fault Zone extended two (2) miles north of the pit area where prior drilling outlined the NI 43-101 compliant resource estimate by Gustavson Associates. This two-mile long zone extends north under thin gravel cover where geophysical surveys (gravity and ground magnetics) have identified drill targets with similar characteristics to the mineralized zone which hosts the resource.

Year Ended March 31, 2016

On May 6, 2015, the Company announced the appointment of Christopher Crupi, CPA, CA as President, CEO and a Director, effective immediately, succeeding Robert Dinning, CPA, CA, who continued as Chairman. Mr. Crupi was previously the CEO and co-founder of Paramount Gold and Silver Corp which was recently acquired by Coeur Mining Inc. of Chicago in a stock transaction valued in excess of \$200 million. The Company also announced the concurrent resignation of Alex Khutorsky as a Director of the Company.

In June 2015, the Company announced results from samples taken from the Silver Park area of the Atlanta Project as part of a reconnaissance sampling program.

On July 10, 2015, the Company announced the completion of a non-brokered private placement of 3,360,500 units (the "Summer 2015 Units") to raise gross proceeds of \$672,100. Each Summer 2015 Unit consisted of one common share purchase warrant, with each warrant exercisable for a period of two years at a price of \$0.25 per share. Net proceeds of the financing were used for exploration activities. In August 2015, the Company closed a second tranche of the private placement, issuing 2,360,000 Summer Units to raise gross proceeds of an additional \$472,000.

On August 27, 2015, Lance Morginn was appointed as a Director of the Company. On July 27, 2016, Mr. Morginn resigned as a Director of the Company.

During the fall of 2015, a total of six holes were drilled in the pit area of the Atlanta Project in order to in-fill holes in the resource identified during the 2012 drilling program. The total amount drilled in the pit area was 2,188.46m. The following table sets out new significant intercepts from this drill program:

| Drill Hole | Towart | Total Depth | From (m) | To (m) | Length | An alt | Λ α α/t |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|--------------|--------|---------|
| Drill Hole | Target | (m) | From (m) | 10 (III) | (m) | Au g/t | Ag g/t |
| DHRI-15- LRC01 | Atlanta Shear Zone | 324.61 | 211.84 | 294.13 | 82.29 | 2.03 | 11.0 |
| Including | | | 227.08 | 248.41 | 21.34 | 3.33 | 10.7 |
| Including | | | 278.89 | 294.13 | 15.24 | 2.84 | 14.4 |
| DHRI-15- LRC02 | Atlanta Shear Zone/Porphyry | 396.24 | | | | NSV | NSV |
| DHRI-15- LRC03 | Atlanta Shear Zone | 338.33 | 289.56 | 332.23 | 42.67 | 1.46 | 6.5 |
| DHRI-15- LRC04 | Atlanta Porphyry | 355.09 | 266.70 | 321.56 | 54.86 | 1.11 | NSV |
| DHRI-15- LRC05 | Atlanta Shear Zone | 445.01 | 327.66 | 336.80 | 9.14 | 2.01 | 14.3 |
| DHRI-15- LRC06 | Atlanta Shear Zone | 329.18 | | | | NSV | NSV |

Abbreviations: Au= gold; Ag = silver; g/t = grams per tonne, m = metre

In addition, two reconnaissance holes were drilled in the Western Knolls late in 2015. The combined depth of these holes was 548.64m. The following table sets out the results from the two Western Knolls reconnaissance holes drilled during this drill program:

| Drill Hole | Target | Total Depth (m) | Comments |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| DHRI-15- WK01 | Western Knolls Recon | 243.84 | Drill hole remained in heavily altered volcanic tuffs throughout its length. Both calcification and propylitic alteration were noted. Subeconomic gold and silver mineralization was encountered over broad widths. Most of the gold mineralization is with pyrite and possibly arsenopyrite. |
| DHRI-15- WK02 | Western Knolls Recon | 304.80 | Drill hole remained in heavily altered volcanic tuffs throughout its length. Both calcification and propylitic alteration were noted. Subeconomic gold and silver mineralization was encountered. |

Abbreviations: Au= gold; Ag = silver; g/t = grams per tonne, m = metre

Samples: A total of 1,103 samples including blanks, standards and duplicates were submitted to ALS Minerals for analysis. All of the samples were analysed for gold and silver using standard fire assay techniques. In addition, all 423 samples from the two Western knolls drill holes were further analysed using multi-element ICP analysis.

Logging: Each of the drill holes were logged twice by Meadow Bay personnel at the Atlanta facility. A preliminary log was prepared at the completion of drilling and more detailed logging was conducted after assay results were received.

Geophysical modeling: A geophysical synthesis conducted in 2014 for the Western Knolls was further processed in early 2015. This synthesis was used for first-round targeting of the reconnaissance drilling. A report was received from ES&T involving the results of an orientation survey conducted over the Atlanta District using their proprietary geophysical technique. The report identified precious metal targets in areas not historically active that deserve follow-up investigation.

Geology: Mapping in Western Knolls produced more detailed base map of the Knolls and differentiated the tuffs that form the bedrock. A clay alteration study using X-Ray diffraction in the Knolls further characterized the tuffs. Sampling was conducted in the Sliver Park area and identified high-grade mineralization missed by previous miners. Sampling was also conducted adjacent to historical workings in the Gold Creek area.

Reclamation /Infrastructure – Reclamation was conducted on all of the drill holes in the pit area prior to the end of 2015. A new 50-year lease was entered into with BLM for the utility corridor that hosts both the power and water lines. A back-up generator system was installed at the camp and a heavy-duty water truck was purchased.

On March 7, 2016, the Company announced the completion of a non-brokered private placement of 5,080,000 units (the "March 2016 Units") to raise gross proceeds of \$254,000. Each March 2016 Unit consisted of one common share purchase warrant, with each warrant exercisable for a period of five years at a price of \$0.06 per share. Net proceeds of the financing were used general working capital.

Year Ended March 31, 2017

During the year ending March 31, 2017, the Company completed the following share transactions:

- i) On April 29, 2016, the Company completed the first tranche of a non-brokered private placement by issuing 4,076,668 units at \$0.06 each for gross proceeds of \$244,600. Each unit comprised one share and one share warrant, entitling the holder to purchase one additional share for a period of 5 years from the date of closing at a price of \$0.07 per share. The Company paid finders' fees of \$4,158 cash and issued 69,300 broker's warrants, with each finder's warrant entitling the holder to purchase one common share of the Company under the same conditions as the warrants issued with the unit. The warrants were valued at \$6,770 using a Black Scholes option pricing model, as the value of the services performed was not readily verifiable. The assumptions used for in the model were as follows: risk free interest rate 0.75%, expected life 5 years, dividend nil and annualized volatility 102.34%.
- ii) On July 27, 2016, the Company completed the second tranche of a non-brokered private placement by issuing 5,949,849 units at \$0.06 each for gross proceeds of \$356,991. Each unit comprised one share and one share warrant, entitling the holder to purchase one additional share for a period of 5 years from the date of closing at a price of \$0.07 per share. The Company paid finders' fees of \$10,149 cash and issued 169,156 broker's warrants, with each finder's warrant entitling the holder to purchase one common share of the Company under the same conditions as the warrants issued with the unit. The warrants were valued at \$13,046 using a Black Scholes option pricing model, as the value of the services performed was not readily verifiable. The assumptions used for in the model were as follows: risk free interest rate 0.65%, expected life 5 years, dividend nil and annualized volatility 104.02%.
- iii) On December 16, 2016, the Company completed a non-brokered private placement by issuing 4,113,100 units at \$0.05 each for gross proceeds of \$205,655. Each unit comprised one share and one share warrant, entitling the holder to purchase one additional share for a period of 5 years from the date of closing at a price of \$0.06 per share. The Company paid finders' fees of \$14,396, incurred legal costs of \$16,380 and

issued 287,917 broker's warrants, with each finder's warrant entitling the holder to purchase one common share of the Company under the same conditions as the warrants issued with the unit. The warrants were valued at \$8,216 using a Black Scholes option pricing model, as the value of the services performed was not readily verifiable. The assumptions used in the model were as follows: risk free interest rate -1.21%, expected life -5 years, dividend nil and annualized volatility -104.49%.

As at March 31, 2017, there were 94,209,912 issued common shares (March 31, 2016 – 80,070,295).

DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY

General

Meadow Bay is a junior resource exploration company whose principal objectives include mineral exploration and development. The Company is currently focused on the gold and silver sector in Nevada, USA. Its operations in Nevada consist of the Atlanta Project located in Lincoln County, Nevada.

The Company is in the exploration stage and there is no assurance that commercially viable ore deposits exist in any of its properties until further exploration work is done and comprehensive economic evaluation based upon that work is completed. The Company currently has 609 unpatented mineral claims located in Eastern Nevada.

Specialized Skill and Knowledge

All aspects of the Company's business require specialized skills and knowledge. Such skills and knowledge include the areas of geology, drilling, logistical planning, geophysics, metallurgy and mineral processing, implementation of exploration programs and accounting.

Management is composed individuals who have extensive expertise in the mineral exploration industry and exploration finance and are complemented by a strong Board of Directors. See "Directors and Officers".

Competitive Conditions

Competition in the mineral exploration industry is intense. The Company will compete with other mining companies, many of which have greater financial resources and technical facilities for the acquisition and development of mineral concessions, claims, leases and other interests, as well as for the recruitment and retention of qualified employees and consultants.

Business Cycles

The mineral exploration and development business is subject to mineral price cycles. The marketability of minerals and mineral concentrates is also affected by worldwide economic cycles.

Environmental Protection

The Company currently conducts exploration and development activities in Nevada, USA. All phases of the Company's operations are subject to environmental regulation in the jurisdictions in which it operates. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which requires stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. There is no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, will not adversely affect the Company's operations. There is no assurance that regulatory and environmental approvals will be obtained on a timely basis or at all. The cost of compliance with changes in government regulations has the potential to reduce the profitability of operations or to preclude the economic development of a property. Environmental hazards may exist on the properties which are unknown to the Company at present which have been caused by previous or existing owners or operators of the properties.

Operations on the properties are subject to extensive United States federal, state and local environmental laws that regulate the discharge or disposal of materials or substances into the environment, restoration of properties and otherwise are intended to protect the environment. Numerous governmental agencies issue rules and regulations to implement and enforce such laws, which are often difficult and costly to comply with and which carry substantial administrative, civil and criminal penalties and in some cases injunctive relief for failure to comply.

Some laws, rules and regulations relating to the protection of the environment may, in certain circumstances, impose "strict liability" for environmental contamination. These laws render a person or company liable for environmental and natural resource damages, clean-up costs and restoration costs. Other laws, rules and regulations may require the rate of gold production to be below the economically optimal rate or may even prohibit exploration or production activities in environmentally sensitive areas. In addition, United States federal and state laws often require some form of remedial action, such as closure of inactive pits and restorative measures.

Employees

As of March 31, 2017, the Company had employment contracts with one individual. As of the date of this AIF, this remains unchanged. The operations of the Company are managed by its directors and officers. The Company engages reputable consulting firms and individual consultants from time to time for all technical and environmental services as required to assist in evaluating its interests and recommending and conducting work programs.

Foreign Operations

Meadow Bay is a mineral exploration company engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral projects located in Nevada, USA. The Company's only project is the Atlanta Project, a gold and silver exploration project located near Lincoln, Nevada. The Atlanta Project is located approximately 4 hours by vehicle northeast of Las Vegas and consists of approximately 10,000 acres of patented and unpatented claims.

Bankruptcy and Similar Procedures

There is no bankruptcy, receivership, or similar proceedings against the Company, nor is the Company aware of any such pending or threatened proceedings. There has not been any voluntary bankruptcy, receivership or similar proceedings by the Company within the three most recently completed financial years or completed or currently proposed for the current financial year.

RISK FACTORS

An investment in the securities of the Company may be regarded as speculative due to the Company's stage of development. Risk factors relating to the Company could materially affect the Company's future results and could cause them to differ materially from those described in forward-looking information relating to the Company. Investors should give careful consideration to all of the information contained in this AIF and, in particular, the following risk factors:

Financial History

Limited Business History

Meadow Bay has no history of operating earnings. The likelihood of success of the Company must be considered in light of the issues, expenses, difficulties, complication and delays frequently encountered in connection with the establishment of any business. The Company has limited financial resources and there is no assurance that additional funding will be available to it for further operations or to fulfill its obligations under applicable agreements. There is no assurance that the Company can generate revenues, operate profitably, or provide a return on investment, or that it will successfully implement its plans.

Cash Flow and Liquidity

Additional Funding Requirements

The Company will require additional financing to continue its operations. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain adequate financing in the future, or that the terms of such financing will be favourable, for further exploration and development of its projects. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development and the property interests of the Company with the possible dilution or loss of such interests. Further, revenues, financings and profits, if any, will depend upon various factors, including the success, if any, of exploration programs and general market conditions for natural resources.

Property Commitments

The Company's Atlanta property is owned outright and is subject to various annual land fees. There is also a small royalty should the property start production. Failure by the Company to meet its payment obligations or otherwise fulfill its commitments under these agreements could result in the loss of related property interests.

Potential Joint Ventures

Due to the cost of establishing and operating mining operations, the Company may enter into joint ventures on one or more of its properties. Any failure of such joint venture partners to meet their obligations to the Company or to third parties could have a material adverse effect on the joint ventures and the Company as a result. In addition, the Company may be unable to exert influence over strategic decisions made in respect of such properties.

General Risks Inherent in the Business

Operational Risks

The Company will be subject to a number of operational risks and may not be adequately insured for certain risks, including: environmental pollution, accidents or spills, industrial and transportation accidents, which may involve hazardous materials, labour disputes, catastrophic accidents, fires, blockades or other acts of social activism, changes in the regulatory environment, impact of non-compliance with laws and regulations, natural phenomena, such as inclement weather conditions, floods, earthquakes, ground movements, cave-ins, and encountering unusual or unexpected geological conditions and technological failure of exploration methods.

There is no assurance that the foregoing risks and hazards will not result in damage to, or destruction of, the Company's properties, personal injury or death, environmental damage or in the Company's exploration or development activities, costs, monetary losses and potential legal liability and adverse governmental action, all of which could have an adverse impact on the Company's future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

Also, the Company may be subject to liability or sustain loss for certain risks and hazards against which the Company cannot insure or which the Company may elect not to insure because of the cost. This lack of insurance coverage could have an adverse impact on the Company's future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

Competition for Mineral Acquisition Opportunities

Significant and increasing competition exists for mineral acquisition opportunities throughout the world. As a result of this competition, some of which is with larger, better established mining companies with greater financial and technical resources, the Company may be unable to acquire rights to exploit additional attractive mining properties on terms that the Company considers acceptable. If the Company is not able to acquire such interests, this could have an adverse impact on the Company's future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

Exploration and Development Activities May Not Be Successful

Exploration for and development of mineral properties involves significant financial risks which even careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Substantial expenses will be required to establish reserves by drilling, constructing mining and processing facilities at a site, developing metallurgical processes and extracting gold, silver or copper from ore. The Company cannot ensure that its future exploration and development programs will result in profitable commercial mining operations. Also, substantial expenses may be incurred on exploration projects which are subsequently abandoned due to poor exploration results or the inability to define reserves which can be mined economically.

Development projects have no operating history upon which to base estimates of future cash flow. Estimates of proven and probable reserves and cash operating costs are, to a large extent, based upon detailed geological and engineering analysis. There have been no feasibility studies conducted in any of the Company's properties to derive estimates of capital and operating costs including, among others: anticipated tonnage and grades of ore to be mined and processed; the configuration of the ore body; ground and mining conditions; expected recovery rates of the gold, silver or copper from the ore; and anticipated environmental and regulatory compliance costs.

It is possible that actual costs and economic returns of future mining operations may differ materially from the Company's best estimates. It is not unusual in the mining industry for new mining operations to experience unexpected problems during the start-up phase and to require more capital than anticipated. These additional costs could have an adverse impact on the Company's future cash flows, earnings results of operations and financial condition.

Properties May Be Subject to Defects in Title

The Company has investigated its rights to explore and exploit the Atlanta Property and, to the best of its knowledge, its rights are in good standing. However, no assurance can be given that such rights will not be revoked, or significantly altered, to the Company's detriment. There can also be no assurance that the Company's rights will not be challenged or impugned by third parties.

Although the Company is not aware of any existing title uncertainties with respect to the Atlanta property, there is no assurance that such uncertainties will not arise in the future resulting in losses or additional expenditures, which could have an adverse impact on the Company's future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

Environmental and Health Risks

Environmental, Health and Safety Risks

Mining and exploration companies must comply with a complex set of environmental, health and safety laws, regulations, guidelines and permitting requirements (for the purpose of this paragraph, "laws") drawn from a number of jurisdictions. The historical trend toward stricter laws are likely to continue. The precious metals industry is subject to not only the worker health, safety and environmental risks associated with all mining businesses, including potential liabilities to third parties for environmental damage, but also to additional risks uniquely associated with gold, silver and copper mining and processing. The possibility of more stringent laws or more rigorous enforcement of existing laws exists in the areas of worker health and safety, the disposition of wastes, the decommissioning and reclamation of mining, milling, refining and conversion sites and other environmental matters, each of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations or the cost or the viability of a particular project.

Decommissioning and Reclamation

Environmental regulators are increasingly requiring financial assurances to ensure that the costs of decommissioning and reclaiming sites are borne by the parties involved and not by government. It is not possible to predict what level

of decommissioning and reclamation (and financial assurances relating thereto) may be required in the future by regulators.

Regulatory Constraints

Governmental Regulation and Policy Risks

Mining operations and exploration activities in the United States are subject to American laws and regulations. Such regulations relate to production, development, exploration, exports, imports, taxes and royalties, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, protection and remediation of the environment, mine decommissioning and reclamation, mine safety, toxic substances, transportation safety and emergency response, and other matters. Compliance with such laws and regulations increases the costs of exploring, drilling, developing, constructing, operating and closing gold, silver or copper mines and refining and other facilities. It is possible that, in the future, the costs, delays and other effects associated with such laws and regulations may impact the Company's decisions with respect to the exploration and development of properties such as the Atlanta Property. The Company will be required to expend significant financial and managerial resources to comply with such laws and regulations. Since legal requirements change frequently, are subject to interpretation and may be enforced in varying degrees in practice, the Company is unable to predict the ultimate cost of compliance with these requirements or their effect on operations. Furthermore, future changes in governments, regulations and policies and practices, such as those affecting exploration and development of the Atlanta Property could materially and adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition in a particular period.

The development of mines and related facilities is contingent upon governmental approvals, licences and permits which are complex and time consuming to obtain and which, depending upon the location of the project, involve multiple governmental agencies. The receipt, duration and renewal of such approvals, licences and permits are subject to many variables outside the Company's control, including potential legal challenges from various stakeholders such as environmental groups, non-government organizations or aboriginal groups claiming certain rights with respect to traditional lands. Any significant delays in obtaining or renewing such approvals, licences or permits could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Economic or Political Conditions

Foreign Operations

The Company's main assets and operations will be in the United States and are therefore subject to political, economic, and other uncertainties associated therewith. Any significant changes in the mining law of the United States or any other national legal body of regulations could negatively affect the Company's short and long-term operations.

Industry Competition and International Trade Restrictions

The global precious and base metals industry is highly competitive. The value of any future reserves discovered and developed by the Company may be limited by competition from other precious and base metals mining companies, or from excess inventories. Existing international trade agreements and policies and any similar future agreements, governmental policies or trade restrictions are beyond the control of the Company and may affect the supply of and demand for gold, silver and copper around the world.

Commodity Price Fluctuations

The price of commodities varies on a daily basis and price volatility could have dramatic effects on the Company's results of operations and the ability of the Company to execute it business plan.

Currency Fluctuations and Foreign Exchange

The Company raises its equity and maintains the majority of its accounts in Canadian dollars. The Company's operations will be located in the United States and exploration expenses will be denominated primarily in United States dollars. The Company will be subject to any currency fluctuation risks associated with the exchange rate for the Canadian dollar into United States dollar and vice-versa.

Reliance on Key Personnel

Key Personnel

The senior officers of the Company are critical to its success. In the event of the departure of a senior officer, the Company believes that it will be successful in attracting and retaining qualified successors but there can be no assurance of such success. The number of persons skilled in the acquisition, exploration and development of mining properties is limited and competition for recruiting such persons is intense. As the Company's business activity grows, it will require additional key financial, administrative and mining personnel as well as additional operations staff. If the Company is not successful in attracting and training qualified personnel, the efficiency of its operations could be affected, which could have an adverse impact on the Company's future cash flows, earnings, results of operations and financial condition.

Experience of Management

Conflicts of Interest

The directors and officers of the Company are or may become directors or officers of other reporting companies or have significant shareholdings in other mineral resource companies and, to the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures in which the Company may participate, the directors and officers of the Company may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the extent of such participation. The Company and its directors and officers will attempt to minimize such conflicts. In the event that such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the directors of the Company, a director who has such a conflict will abstain from voting for or against the approval of such participation or terms. In appropriate cases, the Company will establish a special committee of independent directors to review a matter in which several directors or officers may have a conflict. In determining whether or not the Company will participate in a particular program, the directors will primarily consider the potential benefits to the Company, the degree of risk to which the Company may be exposed and its financial position at that time. Other than as indicated, the Company has no other procedures or mechanisms to deal with conflicts of interest.

Market Risks

Resale of Shares

The continued operation of the Company will be dependent upon its ability to generate operating revenues and to procure additional financing. There can be no assurance that any such revenues can be generated or that other financing can be obtained. If the Company is unable to generate such revenues or obtain additional financing, any investment in the Company may be lost. In such event, the probability of resale of the Common Shares would be diminished.

Price Volatility of Publicly Traded Securities

In recent years, the securities markets in Canada have experienced a high level of price and volume volatility, and the market prices of securities of many companies have experienced wide fluctuations in price which have not necessarily been related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. There can be no assurance that continuing fluctuations in price will not occur. It may be anticipated that any quoted market for the Common Shares will be subject to market trends generally, notwithstanding any potential success of the Company in creating revenues, cash flows or earnings. The value of the Common Shares will be affected by

such volatility. If an active public market for the Common Shares does not develop, the liquidity of a shareholder's investment may be limited and the share price may decline.

MINERAL PROJECTS

Atlanta Project

The Company's principal asset is its 100% interest in the Atlanta Project.

Information related to the Atlanta Project in this AIF is excerpted from the Technical Report prepared by Gustavson & Associates. The Company commissioned the Technical Report on the Atlanta Project in order to review the geology, mineralization and previous work and to make recommendations for further work to advance the project. A copy of the Technical Report may be reviewed under the Company's profile on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com and on the Company website at www.meadowgold.com.

The following summary does not purport to be complete and is subject to all the assumptions, qualifications and procedures set out in the Technical Report, which is incorporated in this AIF by reference.

"Summary

1.1 Property Description and Location

The Atlanta Property is located in the northern portion of Lincoln County, Nevada, centered at approximately latitude N38°28' and longitude W114°19'. The property consists of an area of 11,829 acres of 12 patented and 556 unpatented mineral claims held by Desert Hawk Resources Inc., the US subsidiary of Meadow Bay Gold. The unpatented claims are located on United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM) land. Production from specific claims of the Atlanta property is subject to royalties to Atna, Rutherford Day (Bobcat Properties), and Exxon Minerals Corporation; other claims are unencumbered by royalties. No production is currently occurring. Surface usage by Meadow Bay is permitted by BLM and appears to be adequate for foreseeable activities.

Historical mining operations from 1975 to 1985 (prior to Meadow Bay's acquisition of the Atlanta property) resulted in onsite waste storage in a tailings dam and surface impoundment area. All of Meadow Bay's activities have been conducted outside of the tailings dam and surface impoundment area. Potential environmental liabilities and mitigation practices for Meadow Bay's onsite activities are described in the Notice of Intent (Sunrise, 2011) which was approved by BLM (2012). Permits for Meadow Bay's operations are in good standing.

1.2 **Ownership**

As described in Section 1.1, the Atlanta property is on BLM land, and Meadow Bay holds mineral claims and surface rights to conduct on-site activities. Meadow Bay owns the well and associated infrastructure for process water supply. Power is provided by Lincoln County Power. The rights of way for water conveyance piping and power line were transferred to Meadow Bay and Meadow Bay holds a 50-year lease.

1.3 **Geology and Mineralization**

Gold and silver mineralization at Atlanta is hosted in or adjacent to Tertiary fault zones that cut Paleozoic sedimentary rocks and Tertiary volcanic and intrusive rocks. The highest grade for gold and silver mineralization is associated with strongly silicified brecciated Paleozoic carbonate rocks. Mineralization is also associated with primarily argillically altered Tertiary felsic volcanic and shallow intrusive rocks. In particular, a Meadow Bay Gold Corporation ii Atlanta Property NI 43-101 Technical Report on Resources Gustavson Associates, LLC March 15, 2013 Atlanta

NI43-101_FINAL.docx felsic quartz feldspar porphyry is interpreted to have intruded along the pre-mineral fault zones and was altered and mineralized.

The mineralization occurred during the Eocene. Hydrothermal fluids were primarily channeled along the normal Atlanta fault and to a lesser extent along a NW-trending high-angle fault with probable right-lateral displacement. Mineralization may be terminated to the south by an east-west fault.

1.4 Exploration Status

Meadow Bay has completed ground magnetic surveys of the Atlanta property. The results of the ground magnetic surveys have determined that low magnetic signal coincides with mineralization. A continued mineralized trend has been identified along the Atlanta fault, north and west of the former pit mine area.

Through November 9, 2012, Meadow Bay has provided Gustavson Associates with drill hole data for its 37 drill holes. These holes are in addition to historical drill hole data. Drill coverage in the vicinity of the Atlanta pit is sufficient to allow the estimation of measured, indicated and inferred gold and silver resources. Exploration by Meadow Bay is ongoing on the property away from the Atlanta pit.

1.5 **Development and Operations**

Meadow Bay has demolished the mill complex that operated as part of historical mining operations in 1975-1985 for salvage and recycle. No mine or development planning has been performed at this time.

1.6 Mineral Resource Estimate

The mineral resource statement reported in this Technical Report on Resources is prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 for Atlanta Mine Project.

Work was conducted by M. Claiborne Newton, III, Ph.D., C.P.G., Chief Geologist and Project Manager, and Zachary J. Black, SME-RM, Senior Resource Geologist and Qualified Person for this section.

Gustavson has prepared a mineral resource estimate for gold and silver. Gustavson Associates estimated the mineral resource based on data from Meadow Bay's drilling in 2011 (i.e., 34,919 feet of drilling in 21 core holes and 22 reverse circulation holes) as well as historical drill data.

Resource estimate was completed for the silicified breccia and east-west trending porphyry using grade shells. Mineral resource estimate is reported on a cut-off grade of 0.015 ounces per ton gold. The mineral resource estimate for the Atlanta property is presented in Table 1-1.

Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other factors. In Table 1-1, mineral resources are reported above a 0.015 opt cut off, assuming a three-year trailing average gold price of \$1,502 per ounce as of January 31, 2013. This cut off reflects the potential economic, marketing, and other issues relevant to an open pit mining scenario based on grinding and cyanide leaching, followed by a Merrill Crowe process.

Gustavson knows of no environmental, permitting, legal, socio-economic, marketing, political, or other factors that may materially the mineral resource estimate.

Table 1-1 Atlanta Mine Project Mineral Resources

| Atlanta Measured Resources | | | | | |
|--|----------|------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|
| Cut-off | Tons | Tons Gold Silver | | | lver |
| opt | (x 1000) | opt | oz. (x1000) | Opt | oz. (x1000) |
| 0.029 | 2,884.0 | 0.057 | 163.9 | 0.625 | 1,803.1 |
| 0.022 | 3,974.0 | 0.048 | 191.5 | 0.535 | 2,126.1 |
| 0.015 | 5,527.0 | 0.040 | 219.6 | 0.444 | 2,451.8 |
| 0.013 | 5,825.0 | 0.038 | 223.8 | 0.429 | 2,499.9 |
| 0.012 | 6,121.0 | 0.037 | 227.4 | 0.416 | 2,544.6 |
| 0.010 | 6,409.0 | 0.036 | 230.6 | 0.404 | 2,591.8 |
| | Atlanta | Indicate | d Resources | 5 | |
| Cut-off | Tons | G | fold | Si | lver |
| opt | (x 1000) | opt | oz. (x1000) | Opt | oz. (x1000) |
| 0.029 | 4,710.0 | 0.051 | 241.4 | 0.478 | 2,252.7 |
| 0.022 | 6,910.0 | 0.043 | 297.0 | 0.410 | 2,836.0 |
| 0.015 | 9,976.0 | 0.035 | 352.5 | 0.345 | 3,441.8 |
| 0.013 | 10,615.0 | 0.034 | 361.3 | 0.333 | 3,537.6 |
| 0.012 | 11,317.0 | 0.033 | 370.1 | 0.323 | 3,650.5 |
| 0.010 | 11,938.0 | 0.032 | 376.8 | 0.314 | 3,742.7 |
| Atlanta Measured and Indicated Resources | | | | | |
| Cut-off | Tons | G | fold | Si | lver |
| opt | (x 1000) | opt | oz. (x1000) | Opt | oz. (x1000) |
| 0.029 | 7,594.0 | 0.053 | 405.3 | 0.534 | 4,055.8 |
| 0.022 | 10,884.0 | 0.045 | 488.4 | 0.456 | 4,962.1 |
| 0.015 | 15,503.0 | 0.037 | 572.1 | 0.380 | 5,893.5 |
| 0.013 | 16,440.0 | 0.036 | 585.1 | 0.367 | 6,037.5 |
| 0.012 | 17,438.0 | 0.034 | 597.5 | 0.355 | 6,195.2 |
| 0.010 | 18,347.0 | 0.033 | 607.5 | 0.345 | 6,334.6 |
| | Atlanta | Inferred | Resources | | |
| Cut-off | Tons | Gold Silver | | | lver |
| opt | (x 1000) | Opt | oz. (x1000) | Opt | oz. (x1000) |
| 0.029 | 5,988.0 | 0.047 | 283.7 | 0.268 | 1,604.8 |
| 0.022 | 10,759.0 | 0.038 | 404.0 | 0.230 | 2,476.9 |
| 0.015 | 18,538.0 | 0.029 | 544.3 | 0.213 | 3,955.4 |
| 0.013 | 20,290.0 | 0.028 | 568.5 | 0.212 | 4,295.5 |
| 0.012 | 22,212.0 | 0.027 | 592.3 | 0.208 | 4,610.4 |
| 0.010 | 24,170.0 | 0.025 | 613.8 | 0.203 | 4,900.7 |

1.7 Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on our work completed to date, Gustavson concludes and recommends the following:

- The estimated resources are located within Meadow Bay's mineral claims and the areas of mineral claim and surface rights appear adequate for foreseeable activities.
- Areas of potential environmental impact from historical mining operations have been identified; these areas do not inhibit Meadow Bay's foreseeable activities. Meadow Bay's onsite activities have been outside the potentially impacted areas and will remain so. Gustavson recommends that Meadow Bay seek BLM concurrence on how to handle the environmental liability from the historical mining operations.
- Gustavson concludes that infrastructure at the Atlanta property is adequate for exploration and drilling activities. Additional evaluation may be needed to determine if infrastructure is adequate for mining activities.
- Meadow Bay and previous companies have conducted geophysical surveys in the vicinity of the Atlanta mine and to the north and west of the mine. The northward extension of the Atlanta fault appears to be arced by linear ground magnetic low anomalies, which may represent alteration zones. Gustavson Associates recommends that Meadow bay drill test these anomalies. Gustavson Associates also recommends continuing drilling to target high grade shoots in the Atlanta mine area, which may be controlled by fault intersections. Gustavson Associates asserts that the reagent consumption appears reasonable for Nevada mineral deposits. Gustavson Associates has reviewed the results and concludes that a suite of coarse bottle roll tests is insufficient for any decision making on the metallurgical parameters of Atlanta material and further test work is necessary. A relationship of leach recovery to grind size should be investigated. Thin section microscopy may highlight mineralogical relationships and aid in understanding refractory nature of the mineral material.
- In communication with Meadow Bay in 2013, Gustavson Associates understands more historical drilling data have been identified since transmittal of the data on November 9, 2012 that was used for the current resource estimation. Gustavson Associates recommends that Meadow Bay evaluate the usability of all historical data that were not utilized as part of the resource estimate presented in this report, and update the resource estimate, if appropriate.
- Gustavson Associates recommends drilling a series of exploration holes perpendicular to the E-W zone to better understand the termination of the mineralization to the south, and define the extents of the mineralization within the quartz latite porphyny."

Exploration Program

The budget for the planned program for the calendar year 2017 at the Atlanta Project is \$505,000 and is comprised of the following:

| Discovery Drilling – Western Knolls | \$ 395,000 |
|--|---------------|
| (Follow-up testing of geophysical targets) | |
| Drilling, core cutting, geology and sundry | |
| Discovery Drilling – Northern Atlanta Shear Zone | 70,000 |
| (Includes drilling and analysis, geology, and sundry road and pad construction | |
| Gravity Survey in Silver Park | 30,000 |
| Geochemical Sampling at Gold Creek and Long Knoll | 10,000 |

TOTAL \$505,000

DIVIDENDS

The Company has not declared nor paid dividends on its Common Shares. The Company has no present intention of paying dividends on its Common Shares, as it anticipates that all available funds will be invested to finance the growth of its business.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The Company's authorized share structure consists of an unlimited number of Common Shares without par value. All Common Shares rank equally as to voting, and there is no special preference, conversion or redemption rights attached to any of the Common Shares.

Ratings

On August 16, 2011, the Company announced that its company information is now available via Standard & Poor's Corporation Records Listing Program. As part of the program, a full description of Meadow Bay Gold will be published in the Daily News Section of Standard Corporation Records, a recognized securities manual for secondary trading in up to 38 States under the blue-sky laws. Standard Corporation Records is available in print, CD-ROM, and via online at www.netadvantage.standardandpoors.com as well as through numerous electronic vendors.

MARKET FOR SECURITIES

Trading Price and Volume

On January 16, 2012, the Company was listed for trading on the Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX") under the symbol "MAY".

The following table provides information as to the high and low prices of the Common Shares during the most recently completed financial year as well as the volume of Common Shares traded for each month on the TSX.

| Month | High (\$) | Low (\$) | Volume |
|-----------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| April, 2016 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 9,305,600 |
| May, 2016 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 3,601,300 |
| June, 2016 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 4,544,200 |
| July, 2016 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 3,290300 |
| August, 2016 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 2,956,500 |
| September, 2016 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 1,606,300 |
| October, 2016 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 1,106,000 |
| November, 2016 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 3,841,700 |
| December, 2016 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 1,521,100 |
| January, 2017 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 2,063,300 |
| February, 2017 | 0.07 | 0.006 | 2,228,700 |
| March, 2017 | 0.07 | 0.006 | 1,856,400 |

Prior Sales

There are no securities of the Company that were sold but not listed on the TSX during the most recently completed financial year of the Company.

ESCROWED SECURITIES AND SECURITIES SUBJECT TO CONTRACTUAL RESTRICTION ON TRANSFER

As of March 31 2017 and 2016, to the Company's knowledge, there were no Common Shares held in escrow nor were there any Common Shares that were subject to contractual restriction on transfer.

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

As at June 29, 2017, the following persons were the directors and officers of the Company:

| Name, Province or State and Country of Residence (1), Position(s) | Principal Occupation or Employment During the Past Five Years | Period of Service as a Director (2)(4) |
|---|---|--|
| Christopher Crupi Ontario, Canada President, CEO and Director | CEO of the Company since May,2015; self-employed management consultant; co-founder and CEO of Paramount Gold and Silver Corp. from 2005 until April 2015 | Since May 6, 2015 |
| Robert Dinning (3) British Columbia, Canada Chairman and Director | CEO of the Company from January 2011 to May 2015; Chartered Accountant with over 40 years of experience in the junior mining industry; Chairman of Paramount Gold and Silver Corp. from 2008 until April 2015; President of Simba Energy Inc., an oil and gas exploration company | Since January 14, 2011 |
| Charles William (Bill) Reed Arizona, USA Director | Professional geologist. Previous experience as VP of Exploration and co-founder of Paramount Gold and Silver Corp., Chief Geologist (Mexico) for Hecla Mining Company, Regional Geologist for Echo Bay. Registered Professional Geologist in the State of Utah | Since February 24, 2011 |
| Jordan Estra ⁽³⁾ Florida, USA Director | Managing Director, Private Equity of Sutton Securities Incorporated, an investment banking firm; research analyst and global metals/mining team leader for a number of major investment banks, including SG Warburg (now UBS), Merrill Lynch, BT Alex Brown (now Deutsche Bank) and Oppenheimer | Since March 11, 2011 |
| Adrian Robertson (3) British Columbia, Canada Director | Self-employed engineering and administrative consultant and corporate pilot. Consulting and operating experience at Golder Associates, Vale Inco (formerly Inco Ltd.) Teck Cominco and TVX Inc. | Since September 16, 2010 |

| Name, Province or State and Country of Residence (1), Position(s) | Principal Occupation or Employment During the Past Five Years | Period of Service as a Director (2)(4) |
|--|--|--|
| Keith Margetson British Columbia, Canada Chief Financial Officer and Secretary | Chartered Accountant with over 30 years of public accounting experience. Member of the British Columbia Institute of Chartered Accountants and member of the Illinois CPA Society | N/A |
| | | |

Notes:

- (1) The information as to province or state and country of residence and principal occupation, not being within the knowledge of the Company, has been furnished by the respective directors and officers individually.
- (2) The term of office of the directors will expire at the next annual general meeting.
- (3) Member of the Audit Committee.

As of June 29, 2017, the directors and executive officers of the Company, as a group, beneficially owned, directly or indirectly an aggregate of 9,128,494 Common Shares, representing 9.7% of the issued and outstanding Common Shares.

Cease Trade Orders, Bankruptcies, Penalties or Sanctions

Other than as disclosed herein, to the best of the Company's knowledge, no director or executive officer of the Company is, or during the ten years preceding the date of this AIF has been, a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer of any company that:

- (a) was the subject of a cease trade order or similar order or an order that denied the relevant company access to any exemption under securities legislation, for a period of more than 30 consecutive days; or
- (b) was subject to an event that resulted, after the director or executive officer ceased to be a director or executive officer, in the company being the subject of a cease trade or similar order or an order that denied the relevant company access to any exemption under securities legislation, for a period of more than 30 consecutive days.

Robert Dinning was a director of Apolo Gold & Energy Inc. ("**Apolo**"). On December 15, 2009, the British Columbia Securities Commission ("**BCSC**") issued Mr. Dinning a CTO as a result of failure to file an insider report in accordance with the *Securities Act* (British Columbia). Mr. Dinning subsequently filed the required insider report and the BCSC issued an order on January 12, 2011 to revoke the CTO, which permitted trading in the securities of Apolo by Mr. Dinning to resume. Mr. Dinning resigned as a director and officer in November 2013.

Mr. Dinning was a director of Industrial Minerals Inc. ("Industrial"), a Delaware company carrying out an exploration program for graphite, and listed on the OTC. In 2009 a CTO was issued in respect of Industrial regarding deficiencies in a technical report, which was subsequently resolved by management. A further CTO was issued in respect of Industrial for failure to file financial statements in British Columbia. Financials were filed and the CTO was removed. Mr. Dinning resigned as a director on May 10, 2010.

Mr. Dinning was a director of Samena Resources Corp., which was subject to CTOs issued by: (i) the BCSC on February 4, 2010; (ii) the Alberta Securities Commission on February 2, 2010; and (iii) the Manitoba Securities Commission on March 2, 2010, as a result of its failure to file its annual financial statements and annual MD&A for the year ended September 30, 2009. Mr. Dinning resigned as a director on September 25, 2011.

Mr. Dinning was a director of Metron Capital Corp. ("Metron"). On October 10, 2013, the BCSC issued a CTO against Metron as a result of the failure to file financial statements. Mr. Dinning resigned as a director on April 5, 2016.

No director or executive officer of the Company, or a shareholder holding a sufficient number of securities of the Company to affect materially the control of the Company:

- (a) is, or during the ten years preceding the date of this AIF has been, a director or executive officer of any company that, while that person was acting in that capacity, or within a year of that person ceasing to act in that capacity, became bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency or was subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold its assets; or
- (b) has, within the ten years before the date of this AIF, become bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, or been subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors, or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold the assets of that person.

No director, officer or promoter of the Company or a shareholder holding a sufficient number of securities of the Company to affect materially the control of the Company, is or has:

- (a) been the subject of any penalties or sanctions imposed by a court relating to securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority or has entered into a settlement agreement with a securities regulatory authority; or
- (b) been subject to any other penalties or sanctions imposed by a court or regulatory body that would likely be considered important to a reasonable investor making an investment decision.

Conflicts of Interest

To the best knowledge of the Company, and other than as disclosed herein, there are no known existing or potential material conflicts of interest between the Company and a proposed director, officer or promoter of the Company except that certain of the proposed directors, officers and promoters of the Company serve as directors, officers and promoters of other companies and therefore it is possible that a conflict may arise between their duties as a director, officer or promoter of the Company and their duties as a director, officer and promoter of such other companies.

The directors, officers and promoters of the Company are aware of the existence of laws governing accountability of directors and officers for corporate opportunity and requiring disclosure by directors of conflicts of interest and the Company will rely upon such laws in respect of any directors' and officers' conflict of interest or in respect of any breaches of duty by any of its directors or officers. All such conflicts will be disclosed by such directors or officers in accordance with the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia), as applicable, and they will govern themselves in respect thereof to the best of their ability in accordance with the obligation imposed upon them by law.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS

There is no pending, and the Company knows of no, contemplated legal proceedings, to which our Company is a party or of which any of our properties is the subject.

There are no penalties or sanctions that have been imposed against the Company by a court relating to securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority during the Company's most recently completed financial year, nor any other penalties or sanctions imposed by a court or regulatory body against the Company that would likely be considered important to a reasonable investor in making an investment decision. The Company has not entered into any settlement agreements before a court relating to securities legislation or with a securities regulatory authority during the Company's most recently completed financial year.

INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

Except as set out herein, no director, executive officer or person or company that beneficially owns, or controls or directs, directly or indirectly, more than 10 percent of any class or series of the Company's outstanding voting securities, or any associate or affiliate of the foregoing, has had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction within the three most recently completed financial years or during the most recently completed financial year that has materially affected or is reasonably expected to materially affect the Company.

TRANSFER AGENTS AND REGISTRARS

The Company's transfer agent and registrar for its Common Shares is Computershare Investor Services Inc. of 3rd Floor, 510 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 3B9.

MATERIAL CONTRACTS

There are no material contracts that have been entered into by the Company other than in the ordinary course of the Company's business of mineral property evaluation, acquisition and divestiture and exploration.

INTERESTS OF EXPERTS

Names of Experts

The following persons, firms and companies are named as having prepared or certified a report, valuation, statement or opinion described or included in a filing, or referred to in a filing, made under National Instrument 51-102 – *Continuous Disclosure Obligations* by the Company during, or relating to, the Company's most recently completed financial year and whose profession or business gives authority to the report, valuation, statement or opinion made by the person, firm or company.

| Expert (1) | Description | Interest in the Company (2) |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| M. Claiborne Newton III (Gustavson) | An independent "qualified person" for the purpose of NI 43-101 and prepared the Technical Report. | Nil |
| Zachary J. Black (Gustavson) | An independent "qualified person" for the purpose of NI 43-101 and prepared the Technical Report | Nil |
| Donald E. Hulse (Gustavson) | An independent "qualified person" for the purpose of NI 43-101 and prepared the Technical Report. | Nil |

Notes:

- (1) None of the experts identified above is or is expected to be elected or appointed or employed as a director, officer or employee of the Company.
- (2) Refers to all registered and beneficial interests, direct or indirect, in any securities or other property of the Company or its associates or affiliates (a) held by the expert while preparing the report, (b) received by the expert after preparing the report or (c) to be received by the expert.

MNP LLP of Suite #2200, 1021 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, B.C., V6E 0C3 is the Company's auditors. MNP LLP reports that it is independent from the Company within the meaning of the Rules of Professional Conduct of the Institute of the Chartered Accountants of British Columbia.

AUDIT COMMITTEE AND RELATIONSHIP WITH AUDITOR

The Company's audit committee has various responsibilities as set forth in National Instrument 52-110 *Audit Committees* ("NI 52-110") made under securities legislation, concerning the constitution of its audit committee and its relationship with its independent auditor and among such responsibilities being a requirement that the audit committee establish a written charter that sets out its mandate and responsibilities.

The Audit Committee's Charter

The text of the Company's audit committee charter is set out in Schedule "A" attached to this AIF.

Composition of the Audit Committee

The members of the audit committee are Jordan Estra, Bill Reed and Adrian Robertson. Jordan Estra, Bill Reed and Adrian Robertson are not executive officers of the Company and, therefore, independent members of the audit committee. All members are considered to be financially literate.

A member of the audit committee is independent if the member has no direct or indirect material relationship with the Company. A material relationship means a relationship which could, in the view of the Company's Board, reasonably interfere with the exercise of a member's independent judgment.

A member of the audit committee is considered financially literate if he or she has the ability to read and understand a set of financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of the issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Company.

Relevant Education and Experience

Jordan Estra has been a director of the Company since March 15, 2011. Since July 2010, Mr. Estra has been the President, CEO and a director of Ensurge, Inc. (OTCBB: ESGI), a Salt Lake City, Utah-based mining company focused on development of gold mining opportunities in Brazil and Guyana. Since May 2009, he has been the Managing Director in the Private Equity group at Sutter Securities Incorporated. From April 2007 to April 2009, he was Managing Director at Jesup & Lamont Securities, Inc. From September 2006 to March 2007, he was Senior Vice President for Dawson James Securities, Inc. and Managing Director at Stanford Financial Group from June 2003 to September 2006. He has focused on raising capital for emerging natural resource companies. Mr. Estra has been a leading research analyst and global metals/mining team leader for a number of major investment banks, including SG Warburg (now UBS), Merrill Lynch and BT Alex Brown (now Deutsche Bank). He began his career in the resources industry, at AMAX Inc., a global natural resources leader with interests in precious metals, copper, lead, zinc, coal, oil & gas, molybdenum, tungsten and iron ore. Mr. Estra is also a director of Searchlight Minerals Corp. (OTCBB: SRCH) and a director and non-executive chairman of Starcore International Mines Ltd. (TSX: SAM). Mr. Estra held a number of positions in finance, marketing and strategic business development.

Mr. Estra graduated with high distinction from Babson College with a degree in International Economics and with honors from Columbia University's Graduate School of Business. Mr. Estra served in the United States Army and has been a member of the American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers, the Foreign Policy Association, the New York Society of Security Analysts and the Stock & Bond Club of South Florida.

Adrian Robertson has been a director of the Company since September 16, 2010. Since July 2010, he has been a self-employed engineering and administrative consultant and corporate pilot. He worked for a major operator in the Sudbury basin gaining experience in technical services, geology, mine planning and design, and supervision, before moving into a role with a global ground engineering consulting firm in Vancouver, B.C. From June 2006 to June 2010, Mr. Robertson entered flight school at Pacific Professional Flight Centre of Delta, B.C. and became a flight instructor. After stepping away from mining to develop a career in aviation, Mr. Robertson re-entered the mining business as a consultant and corporate pilot working with several Vancouver based junior mining companies, such as Golder Associates, Vale Inco (formerly Inco Ltd.), Teck Cominco Metals Ltd. and TVX Inc. Mr. Robertson was formerly a director of Urastar Gold Corp. (formerly Urastar Energy Inc.) (TSX-V: URS), until June 2013 when the Company was acquired by Agnico Eagle. He obtained his Mining Engineering degree from Queen's University in 2002.

William (Bill) Reed has been a director of the Company since February 24 2011. He is a professional geologist and was previously Vice President Exploration and a cofounder of Paramount Gold & Silver. Prior to that he was Chief

Geologist (Mexico) for Hecla Mining Company and prior to that was a Regional Geologist for Echo Bay Mining Co. Mr. Reed holds a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Utah where is a registered geologist.

Each member of the audit committee has adequate education and experience that would provide the member with:

- (a) an understanding of the accounting principles used by the Company to prepare its financial statements, and the ability to assess the general application of those principles in connection with estimates, accruals and reserves;
- (b) experience preparing, auditing, analyzing or evaluating financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Company's financial statements, or experience actively supervising individuals engaged in such activities; and
- (c) an understanding of internal controls and procedures for financial reporting.

Reliance on Certain Exemptions

At no time since the commencement of the Company's most recently completed financial year has the Company relied on the exemption in Section 2.4 of NI 52-110 (*De Minimis Non-audit Services*) or an exemption from NI 52-110, in whole or in part, granted under Part 8 of NI 52-110. Part 8 permits a company to apply to a securities regulatory authority for an exemption from the requirements of NI 52-110, in whole or in part.

Audit Committee Oversight

At no time since the commencement of the Company's most recently completed financial year has the audit committee made any recommendations to the Board to nominate or compensate its auditor which were not adopted by the Board.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

All services to be performed by the independent auditor of the Company must be approved in advance by the audit committee. The audit committee has considered whether the provisions of services other than audit services is compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence and has adopted a policy governing the provision of these services. This policy requires that pre-approval by the audit committee of all audit and non-audit services provide by any external auditor, other than any de minimus non-audit services allowed by applicable law or regulation.

External Auditor Service Fees

The audit committee has reviewed the nature and amount of the non-audited services provided by MNP LLP, Chartered Accountants & Business Advisors, for the years ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, to the Company to ensure auditor independence. Fees incurred for audit and non-audit services in the last two fiscal years for audit fees are outlined in the following table:

| Nature of Services | Fees Paid to Auditor in Year Ended March 31, 2017 | Fees Paid to Auditor in Year Ended March 31, 2016 |
|------------------------|--|--|
| Audit Fees (1) | \$30,000 | \$30,000 |
| Audit-Related Fees (2) | Nil | Nil |
| Tax Fees (3) | Nil | Nil |
| All Other Fees (4) | Nil | Nil |
| TOTAL: | \$30,000 | \$30,000 |

Notes:

- (1) "Audit Fees" include fees necessary to perform the annual audit and quarterly reviews of the Company's financial statements. Audit Fees include fees for review of tax provisions and for accounting consultations on matters reflected in the financial statements. Audit Fees also include audit or other attest services required by legislation or regulation, such as comfort letters, consents, reviews of securities filings and statutory audits.
- (2) "Audit-Related Fees" include services that are traditionally performed by the auditor. These audit-related services include employee benefit audits, due diligence assistance, accounting consultations on proposed transactions, internal control reviews and audit or attest services not required by legislation or regulation.
- (3) "Tax Fees" include fees for all tax services other than those included in "Audit Fees" and "Audit-Related Fees". This category includes fees for tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice. Tax planning and tax advice includes assistance with tax audits and appeals, tax advice related to mergers and acquisitions, and requests for rulings or technical advice from tax authorities.
- (4) "All Other Fees" include all other non-audit services.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to the Company may be found on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Additional information, including directors' and officers' remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Company's securities, options to purchase securities and interests of insiders in material transactions, where applicable, is contained in the Company's information circular in respect of its most recent annual meeting of shareholders that involved the election of directors. Additional financial information is available in Company's comparative audited consolidated financial statements, together with the auditor's report thereon, and the related Management Discussion and Analysis for its most recently completed fiscal year.

A copy of this AIF, the Company's Information Circular for its most recent annual meeting, the financial statements of the Company (including any interim statements from the past fiscal year) and Management Discussion and Analysis for the year ended March 31, 2017and the subsequently completed interim periods in the past fiscal year may be found on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com or be obtained upon request from the Corporate Secretary of the Company. A reasonable fee for copying may be charged if the request is made by a person who is not a registered security holder of the Company.

SCHEDULE "A"

MEADOW BAY GOLD CORPORATION (the "Company")

AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER

1. MANDATE

The audit committee will assist the board of directors (the "Board") Ain fulfilling its financial oversight responsibilities. The audit committee will review and consider in consultation with the auditors the financial reporting process, the system of internal control and the audit process. In performing its duties, the committee will maintain effective working relationships with the Board, management, and the external auditors. To effectively perform his or her role, each committee member must obtain an understanding of the principal responsibilities of committee membership as well and the Company's business, operations and risks.

2. COMPOSITION

The Board will appoint from among their membership an audit committee after each annual general meeting of the shareholders of the Company. The audit committee will consist of a minimum of three directors.

2.1 Independence

A majority of the members of the audit committee must not be officers, employees or control persons of the Company.

2.2 Expertise of Committee Members

Each member of the audit committee must be financially literate or must become financially literate within a reasonable period of time after his or her appointment to the committee. The Board shall interpret the qualification of financial literacy in its business judgment and shall conclude whether a director meets this qualification.

3. MEETINGS

The audit committee shall meet in accordance with a schedule established each year by the Board, and at other times that the audit committee may determine. The audit committee shall meet at least annually with the Company's Chief Financial Officer and external auditors in separate executive sessions.

4. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The audit committee shall fulfill the following roles and discharge the following responsibilities:

4.1 External Audit

The audit committee shall be directly responsible for overseeing the work of the external auditors in preparing or issuing the auditor's report, including the resolution of disagreements between management and the external auditors regarding financial reporting and audit scope or procedures. In carrying out this duty, the audit committee shall:

- recommend to the Board the external auditor to be nominated by the shareholders for the purpose
 of preparing or issuing an auditor's report or performing other audit, review or attest services for
 the Company;
- (b) review (by discussion and enquiry) the external auditors' proposed audit scope and approach;

- (c) review the performance of the external auditors and recommend to the Board the appointment or discharge of the external auditors;
- (d) review and recommend to the Board the compensation to be paid to the external auditors; and
- (e) review and confirm the independence of the external auditors by reviewing the non-audit services provided and the external auditors' assertion of their independence in accordance with professional standards.

4.2 Internal Control

The audit committee shall consider whether adequate controls are in place over annual and interim financial reporting as well as controls over assets, transactions and the creation of obligations, commitments and liabilities of the Company. In carrying out this duty, the audit committee shall:

- (a) evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of management's system of internal controls over the accounting and financial reporting system within the Company; and
- (b) ensure that the external auditors discuss with the audit committee any event or matter which suggests the possibility of fraud, illegal acts or deficiencies in internal controls.

4.3 Financial Reporting

The audit committee shall review the financial statements and financial information prior to its release to the public. In carrying out this duty, the audit committee shall:

General

- (a) review significant accounting and financial reporting issues, especially complex, unusual and related party transactions; and
- (b) review and ensure that the accounting principles selected by management in preparing financial statements are appropriate.

Annual Financial Statements

- (c) review the draft annual financial statements and provide a recommendation to the Board with respect to the approval of the financial statements;
- (d) meet with management and the external auditors to review the financial statements and the results of the audit, including any difficulties encountered; and
- (e) review management's discussion & analysis respecting the annual reporting period prior to its release to the public.

Interim Financial Statements

- (f) review and approve the interim financial statements prior to their release to the public; and
- (g) review management's discussion & analysis respecting the interim reporting period prior to its release to the public.

Release of Financial Information

(h) where reasonably possible, review and approve all public disclosure, including news releases, containing financial information, prior to its release to the public.

4.4 Non-Audit Services

All non-audit services (being services other than services rendered for the audit and review of the financial statements or services that are normally provided by the external auditor in connection with statutory and

regulatory filings or engagements) which are proposed to be provided by the external auditors to the Company or any subsidiary of the Company shall be subject to the prior approval of the audit committee.

Delegation of Authority

(a) The audit committee may delegate to one or more independent members of the audit committee the authority to approve non-audit services, provided any non-audit services approved in this manner must be presented to the audit committee at its next scheduled meeting.

De-Minima's Non-Audit Services

- (b) The audit committee may satisfy the requirement for the pre-approval of non-audit services if:
 - (i) the aggregate amount of all non-audit services that were not pre-approved is reasonably expected to constitute no more than five per cent of the total amount of fees paid by the Company and its subsidiaries to the external auditor during the fiscal year in which the services are provided; or
 - (ii) the services are brought to the attention of the audit committee and approved, prior to the completion of the audit, by the audit committee or by one or more of its members to whom authority to grant such approvals has been delegated.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

- (c) The audit committee may also satisfy the requirement for the pre-approval of non-audit services by adopting specific policies and procedures for the engagement of non-audit services, if:
 - (i) the pre-approval policies and procedures are detailed as to the particular service;
 - (ii) the audit committee is informed of each non-audit service; and
 - (iii) the procedures do not include delegation of the audit committee's responsibilities to management.

4.5 Other Responsibilities

The audit committee shall:

- (a) establish procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters;
- (b) establish procedures for the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Company of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters;
- (c) ensure that significant findings and recommendations made by management and external auditor are received and discussed on a timely basis;
- (d) review the policies and procedures in effect for considering officers' expenses and perquisites;
- (e) perform other oversight functions as requested by the Board; and
- (f) review and update this Charter and receive approval of changes to this Charter from the Board.

4.6 Reporting Responsibilities

The audit committee shall regularly update the Board about committee activities and make appropriate recommendations.

5. RESOURCES AND AUTHORITY OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The audit committee shall have the resources and the authority appropriate to discharge its responsibilities, including the authority to

- (a) engage independent counsel and other advisors as it determines necessary to carry out its duties;
- (b) set and pay the compensation for any advisors employed by the audit committee; and
- (c) communicate directly with the internal and external auditors.

6. GUIDANCE – ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

The following guidance is intended to provide the Audit Committee members with additional guidance on fulfilment of their roles and responsibilities on the committee:

6.1 Internal Control

- (a) evaluate whether management is setting the goal of high standards by communicating the importance of internal control and ensuring that all individuals possess an understanding of their roles and responsibilities;
- (b) focus on the extent to which external auditors review computer systems and applications, the security of such systems and applications, and the contingency plan for processing financial information in the event of an IT systems breakdown; and
- (c) gain an understanding of whether internal control recommendations made by external auditors have been implemented by management.

6.2 Financial Reporting

General

- (a) review significant accounting and reporting issues, including recent professional and regulatory pronouncements, and understand their impact on the financial statements; and
- (b) ask management and the external auditors about significant risks and exposures and the plans to minimize such risks; and
- (c) understand industry best practices and the Company's adoption of them.

Annual Financial Statements

- (d) review the annual financial statements and determine whether they are complete and consistent with the information known to committee members, and assess whether the financial statements reflect appropriate accounting principles in light of the jurisdictions in which the Company reports or trades its shares;
- (e) pay attention to complex and/or unusual transactions such as restructuring charges and derivative disclosures;
- (f) focus on judgmental areas such as those involving valuation of assets and liabilities, including, for example, the accounting for and disclosure of loan losses; warranty, professional liability; litigation reserves; and other commitments and contingencies;
- (g) consider management's handling of proposed audit adjustments identified by the external auditors;
- (h) ensure that the external auditors communicate all required matters to the committee.

Interim Financial Statements

- (i) be briefed on how management develops and summarizes interim financial information, the extent to which the external auditors review interim financial information:
- (j) meet with management and the auditors, either telephonically or in person, to review the interim financial statements; and
- (k) to gain insight into the fairness of the interim statements and disclosures, obtain explanations from management on whether:
 - (i) actual financial results for the quarter or interim period varied significantly from budgeted or projected results;
 - (ii) changes in financial ratios and relationships of various balance sheet and operating statement figures in the interim financials statements are consistent with changes in the Company's operations and financing practices;
 - (iii) generally accepted accounting principles have been consistently applied;
 - (iv) there are any actual or proposed changes in accounting or financial reporting practices;
 - (v) there are any significant or unusual events or transactions;
 - (vi) the Company's financial and operating controls are functioning effectively;
 - (vii) the Company has complied with the terms of loan agreements, security indentures or other financial position or results dependent agreement; and
 - (viii) the interim financial statements contain adequate and appropriate disclosures.

6.3 Compliance with Laws and Regulations

- (a) periodically obtain updates from management regarding compliance with this policy and industry "best practices";
- (b) be satisfied that all regulatory compliance matters have been considered in the preparation of the financial statements; and
- (c) review the findings of any examinations by securities regulatory authorities and stock exchanges.

6.4 Other Responsibilities

(a) review, with the Company's counsel, any legal matters that could have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.