

2014

Annual Report



Bird River Resources Inc.

October 2014

Dear Shareholders:

It has been a challenging year for your Company with unsettling global conditions; oil well shut ins and reduced production brought on by overland flooding for the second year in a row along with declining oil prices have occurred. We believe the board and management have made prudent decisions over the course of the year; however, expenses as a public company and in the oil patch have risen faster than revenue. Regrettably, at year end, it was decided that two non producing wells be written down, thereby contributing to an increased loss on the financial statements.

On a more promising note, our most recent drilling investment in well 4-5-2-27W this past September is now pumping about 17 cubes per day (about 107 barrels) with an oil cut of about 35%. The Company has a 5% gross interest in this well. Presently, we are in discussions concerning participation in a new multiple drilling program.

We have to look back at the past to prepare for the future and eliminate anything that may impede Bird River's progress. The Company continues to pursue new financing strategies with minimum dilution.

To keep you informed on the Company's progress, we encourage you to visit our website at www.birdriverresources.com where you will find our latest news releases and a link to our filings on SEDAR.

I would like to thank Bird River's officers, directors, consultants and the Company's shareholders for their continuing support.

Yours sincerely,

Bird River Resources Inc.

nelson Shodine

Per

Nelson Shodine, President & CEO



MAGNUS CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS LLP. ADVISORY . ASSURANCE . TAXATION . TRANSACTIONS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders of Bird River Resources Inc.

Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Bird River Resources Inc., which comprise the consolidated statements of financial position as at July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013 and the consolidated statements of loss and comprehensive loss, changes in equity and cash flows for the years ended July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013 and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Bird River Resources Inc. as at July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013 and its financial performance and its cash flows for the years ended July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

Emphasis of Matter

We draw attention to Note 2(a) to the consolidated financial statements which describes the uncertainty related to Bird River Resources Inc.'s ability to operate as a going concern. Our opinion is not qualified in respect of this matter.

October 17, 2014 Winnipeg, Canada

Magnus Chartered Accountants LLP

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position As at July 31, 2014 and 2013

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

		2014		2013	
Assets					
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents (Note 4)	\$	205,895	\$	217,347	
Trade receivables	Ψ	8,787	Ψ	23,939	
Goods and services tax recoverable		1,066		1,435	
Inventory		19,229		23,234	
Note receivable (Note 5)		35,633		35,386	
Total current assets		270,610		301,341	
Non-current assets:					
Property and equipment (Note 6)		341,907		415,730	
Exploration and evaluation assets (Note 8)		126,977		126,977	
Total non-current assets		468,884		542,707	
Total assets	\$	739,494	\$	844,048	
Liabilities and Equity					
Liabilities:					
Current liabilities:					
Trade payables	\$	26,126	\$	14,772	
Other payables (Note 9)		59,353		43,625	
Total current liabilities		85,479		58,397	
Non-current liabilities:					
Decommissioning obligations (Note 10)		8,669		8,420	
Deferred income taxes (Note 11(c))		-		4,400	
Total non-current liabilities		8,669		12,820	
Total liabilities		94,148		71,217	
Equity:					
Share capital (Note 12)		1,012,247		1,012,247	
Share-based payments reserve		40,349		91,131	
(Deficit)		(407,250)		(330,547)	
Total equity		645,346		772,831	
Going concern of operations (Note 2(a))					
Total liabilities and equity	\$	739,494	\$	844,048	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Approved on behalf of the Board of Directors on October 17, 2014:

Director (signed) "Nelson Shodine"

Director (signed) "Jon Bridgman"

Consolidated Statements of Loss and Comprehensive Loss Years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2014	2013
Revenue:		
Petroleum and natural gas revenue	\$ 76,223	\$ 126,493
Industrial mineral sales	44,287	49,266
	120,510	175,759
Expenses:		
Depletion	31,368	24,246
Depreciation	923	1,213
General and administrative (Note 14)	129,047	141,571
Impairment loss (Note 6)	41,532	-
Production and operating - petroleum and natural gas	22,940	49,181
Production and operating - industrial minerals	33,081	38,327
Share-based payments (Note 13(c))	1,478	6,414
	260,369	260,952
(Loss) from operations	(139,859)	(85,193)
Other income (expenses):		
Accretion expense	(249)	(221)
Interest income	6,745	7,144
	6,496	6,923
(Loss) before income taxes	(133,363)	(78,270)
Income tax (expense) recovery:		
Current income tax recovery	-	1,193
Deferred income tax recovery (expense)	4,400	(4,400)
	4,400	(3,207)
Net (loss) and comprehensive (loss) for the year	\$ (128,963)	\$ (81,477)
Basic and diluted loss per share (Note 12(c))	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity Years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2014	2013
Share capital Balance, beginning and end of year	\$ 1,012,247	\$ 1,012,247
Share-based payments reserve		
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 91,131	\$ 84,717
Share-based payments (Note 13(c))	1,478	6,414
Transfer of expired and forfeited amounts to		
retained earnings	(52,260)	
Balance, end of year	\$ 40,349	\$ 91,131
(Deficit)		
Balance, beginning of year	\$ (330,547)	\$ (249,070)
Net (loss) and comprehensive (loss) for the year	(128,963)	(81,477)
Transfer of expired and forfeited amounts from share- based payments reserve	52,260	
based payments reserve	32,200	<u> </u>
Balance, end of year	\$ (407,250)	\$ (330,547)
Total equity, end of year	\$ 645,346	\$ 772,831

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2014	2013
Operating activities:		
Net (loss) and comprehensive (loss) for the year Adjustments for:	\$ (128,963)	\$ (81,477)
Depletion and depreciation	32,291	25,459
Interest accrued to principal on note receivable	(247)	(12)
Impairment loss	41,532	· -
Share-based payments	1,478	6,414
Accretion expense	249	221
Deferred income tax (recovery) expense	(4,400)	4,400
	(58,060)	(44,995)
Changes in the following:	, ,	,
Trade receivables	15,152	1,498
Goods and services tax recoverable	369	(568)
Income taxes recoverable	-	35,000
Inventory	4,005	(10,322)
Trade payables	11,354	5,772
Other payables	15,728	4,170
	(11,452)	(9,445)
Investing activities:		
Proceeds on note receivable	35,000	35,000
Investment in note receivable	(35,000)	(35,000)
Investment in exploration and evaluation assets	-	(89,400)
	-	(89,400)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(11,452)	(98,845)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	217,347	316,192
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 205,895	\$ 217,347

Supplementary information:

During the year ended July 31, 2014 the company did not pay any interest (2013 - \$Nil) or income taxes (2013 - \$Nil).

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

Nature of operations

Bird River Resources Inc. (the "company") is a publicly listed company incorporated under the laws of Manitoba on March 7, 1958. The mailing and office address of its executive office is: 1059 Selkirk Avenue, Winnipeg MB, R2X 0C2.

The principal business activities include the acquisition and exploration of resource properties with the company's primary focus on petroleum and natural gas properties. The company also engages in secondary activities, from time to time, involving the purchase or acquisition of certain industrial minerals, typically diatomaceous earth and bentonite, for distribution and re-sale or for use in an abandoned water well sealing operation. The company's shares are listed on the Canadian National Stock Exchange.

1. Statement of compliance

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared by management in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

These consolidated financial statements for the year ended July 31, 2014 were reviewed by the Audit Committee and approved and authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on October 17, 2014.

2. Significant accounting policies

(a) Going concern of operations

The going concern assumption implies that the company will continue in operation for the foreseeable future and be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities and commitments in the normal course of operations. There is doubt about the appropriateness of the use of the going concern assumption because the company has experienced ongoing losses and negative cash flows from operations over a number of years.

The company has not yet determined whether all of its exploration and evaluation assets contain reserves that are economically recoverable, and accordingly, the success of any further exploration or development prospects cannot be assured. If the company's exploration and development programs are successful, additional funds may be required, and the company may not have sufficient funds to conduct the exploration required. The primary source of future funds available to the company is through the sale of additional equity capital, which may dilute the interests of existing shareholders.

These consolidated financial statements do not reflect adjustments that would be necessary if the going concern assumption was not appropriate. If the going concern assumption was not appropriate, then adjustments may be necessary to the carrying value of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses.

(b) Basis of consolidation

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the company and its whollyowned subsidiary 2411181 Manitoba Ltd. All significant inter-company transactions have been eliminated on consolidation.

(c) Inventory

Inventory is valued at the lower of cost and net realizable value. The cost of inventory is assigned using the first-in, first-out costing basis. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of operations, less estimated costs of selling. During the current year, the company recognized \$32,720 of inventory as an expense (2013 - \$37,364) and no inventory has been valued at net realizable value during the current or prior year.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(d) Property and equipment

Recognition and measurement

Exploration and evaluation equipment and vehicles are recorded at historical cost less accumulated depreciation and any impairment losses. Residual values, depreciation methods and useful economic lives are reviewed and adjusted as necessary at the end of each reporting period. Cost includes expenditures that are directly attributable to the acquisition of the asset. When components of exploration and evaluation equipment and vehicles have different useful lives, they are accounted for as a separate item of property and equipment.

Petroleum and natural gas properties represent the cost of developing the commercial reserves and bringing them into production. These assets include the exploration and evaluation costs that are reclassified to property and equipment in accordance with the accounting policy for exploration and evaluation assets as described in Note 2(e) to these consolidated financial statements.

Subsequent costs

The cost of replacing a component of an item of property and equipment is recognized in the carrying amount of the item if it is probable that the future economic benefit embodied within the component will flow to the company, and its costs can be reliably measured. The carrying amount of the replaced component is derecognized. The costs of servicing property and equipment are recognized in profit or loss as incurred.

Depletion and depreciation

Exploration and evaluation equipment and vehicles are depreciated based on the cost of the asset less its residual value. Depreciation methods and rates are applied consistently within each asset class except where significant individual assets have been identified which have different depreciation patterns.

Petroleum and natural gas properties are depleted using the unit of production method based on the ratio of production in the year to the related proven and probable reserves, taking into account estimated future development costs necessary to bring those reserves into production.

Depreciation and depletion are recognized in profit or loss. The following rates and methods are used:

	<u>Rate</u>	Method
Exploration and evaluation equipment	20%	Declining balance
Vehicles	30%	Declining balance
Petroleum and natural gas properties	-	Unit of production

Depreciation methods and useful lives are reviewed at each reporting date and adjusted as required.

An item of property and equipment is de-recognized upon disposal or when no future economic benefits are expected to arise from the continued use of the asset. Any gain or loss arising on disposal of the asset, determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount of the asset, is recognized in profit or loss.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(e) Exploration and evaluation expenditures

Costs incurred prior to obtaining the legal right to undertake exploration and evaluation activities on a project are expensed as incurred.

Capitalized costs

Exploration and evaluation expenditures, which include petroleum and natural gas properties and mineral exploration properties, are defined as costs incurred after having obtained the legal right to explore the property and before the technical and commercial viability of extracting resources are demonstrated.

The company follows the full cost method whereby all costs associated with the acquisition, exploration and development of reserves are capitalized in cost centers from the time the company obtains the legal right to undertake exploration and evaluation activities on a project. Such costs include land and lease acquisitions, geological and geophysical expenditures, drilling of productive and non-productive wells, production and gathering equipment and facilities, carrying costs directly related to unproven properties, and corporate costs directly related to the acquisition. Amounts capitalized to these cost centers represent costs to date and are not intended to represent present or future values. The recoverability of the costs is dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable reserves, confirmation of the company's interests in the underlying claims, the ability to obtain necessary financing to complete development and the development of future profitable production from the properties or realization of sufficient proceeds from the disposition of the properties.

If technical feasibility and commercial viability have been established, the carrying amount of the related exploration and evaluation asset is tested for impairment as discussed below. The carrying value, net of any impairment loss, is then reclassified to property and equipment as mineral exploration properties or petroleum and natural gas properties. If the company decides not to continue the exploration and evaluation activity, the accumulated costs are expensed as impairment in the period in which the event occurs.

Impairment test

Exploration and evaluation assets are reviewed for impairment only when facts and circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may exceed the recoverable amount or when technical feasibility and commercial viability have been established. The recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. If the recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is estimated to be less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset or cash-generating unit is reduced to its recoverable amount. An impairment loss is recognized immediately in profit or loss.

When an impairment loss subsequently reverses, excluding impairment losses for exploration and evaluation assets reclassified to property and equipment, the carrying amount of the asset or cash-generating unit is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, so that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset or cash-generating unit in prior years.

(f) Borrowing costs

The company capitalizes borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset as part of the cost of that asset when it is probable that these costs will result in future economic benefits and when they can be reliably measured. A qualifying asset is an asset that necessarily takes a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use or sale. All other borrowing costs are expensed as incurred.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(g) Provisions

General

Provisions are recorded when a present legal or constructive obligation exists as a result of past events where it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation and a reliable estimate of the amount of the obligation can be made. The expense relating to any provision is presented in profit or loss net of any reimbursement.

Decommissioning obligations

The company recognizes the fair value of a liability for decommissioning obligations in the period in which the company is legally or constructively required to remediate, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made, based on an estimated future cash settlement of the decommissioning obligation, discounted at a pre-tax rate that reflects the current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation. The decommissioning obligation is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the associated long-lived asset and a liability is recorded. The decommissioning obligation is depleted on the same basis as the related asset. The liability is adjusted for the accretion of the discounted obligation and any changes in the amount or timing of the underlying future cash flows. Significant judgments and estimates are involved in forming expectations of the amounts and timing of decommissioning obligation cash flows.

(h) Joint arrangements

A portion of the company's exploration activities is conducted jointly with others whereby the company enters into agreements that provide for specified percentage interests in petroleum and natural gas properties and exploration and evaluation assets. These joint arrangements are classified as either a joint operation or a joint venture depending on the rights and obligations of the parties to the arrangement. Joint operations are accounted for by recognizing the company's share of any assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation and joint ventures are accounted for using the equity method.

(i) Loss per share

Diluted loss per share is calculated using the treasury stock method which assumes all common share equivalents, such as options and warrants had been exercised at the beginning of the reporting period of issue and that the funds obtained therefrom were used to purchase common shares of the company at the estimated average trading price of the common shares during the year.

(j) Revenue recognition

Revenue from sales of precious metals and petroleum and natural gas is recognized when the significant risks and rewards of ownership are transferred to the buyer, which is when legal title passes to the buyer and when collection is reasonably assured. This is generally at the time product enters the pipeline or is delivered to the refinery.

Revenue from the sale of industrial minerals is recognized when the goods are shipped and when collection is reasonably assured.

Interest income is recognized on the accrual basis.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(k) Income taxes

Income tax expense comprises current and deferred income tax. Current and deferred income tax are recognized in profit or loss except to the extent that the tax relates to items recognized directly in equity or in other comprehensive income or loss.

(i) Current income tax

Current tax is the expected tax payable or recoverable on the taxable income or loss for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustments to income taxes payable in respect of previous years.

(ii) Deferred income tax

Deferred tax is recognized in respect of temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax is measured at the enacted or substantially enacted tax rates expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in earnings in the period that includes the date of enactment or substantive enactment.

A deferred tax asset is recognized for unused tax losses, tax credits and deductible temporary differences, to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which they can be utilized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realized.

(I) Share-based payments

The company has implemented a stock option plan to allow the company to grant options to directors, officers, employees and service providers. An individual is classified as an employee when the individual is an employee for legal or tax purposes (direct employee) or provides services similar to those performed by a direct employee, including directors and officers of the company. The maximum number of common shares which may be issued pursuant to those granted under the stock option plan are limited to 1,892,000 common shares at a price determined by the Board of Directors. In addition, the number of options issued to any one individual may not exceed 5% of the issued common shares on a yearly basis. For any person providing ongoing services or employed in investor relations activities, the number of options granted may not exceed 2% of the issued common shares on a yearly basis.

The company uses the fair value-based approach to account for share-based payments under its stock option plan. Compensation expense is recognized for these stock options over their vesting period based on their estimated fair values on the date of grant as determined by the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of the options is adjusted by the estimate of the number of options that are expected to vest as a result of non-market conditions. At each balance sheet date, the company revises its estimate of the number of options that are expected to vest.

The fair values of the options issued, if any, are credited to share-based payments reserve in the period they vest. Upon exercise of the share purchase options, consideration paid together with the amount previously recognized in share-based payments reserve is recorded as an increase in share capital. Charges to share purchase options that are forfeited before vesting are reversed from share-based payments reserve. For those share purchase options that expire or are forfeited after vesting, the amount previously recorded in share-based payments reserve is transferred to retained earnings or deficit.

Share-based payments granted to non-employees are measured at the fair value of the goods or services received. In the event the company cannot reasonably estimate the fair value of goods or services received, the transaction is recorded at the estimated value of the share-based payment.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(m) Financial instruments

(i) Non-derivative financial assets

Financial assets are classified into the following categories: financial assets at fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL"), held-to-maturity, available-for-sale financial assets and loans and receivables. The classification depends on the nature and purpose of the financial assets and is determined at the time of initial recognition. All financial assets are recognized on the trade date where the purchase or sale of a financial asset is under a contract whose terms require delivery of the financial asset within the time frame established by the market concerned, and are initially measured at fair value, plus transaction costs, except for those financial assets classified as FVTPL which are initially measured at fair value.

Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss

A financial asset is classified at fair value through profit or loss if it is considered to be held-for-trading or is designated as such upon initial recognition. Financial assets are designated at fair value through profit or loss if the company manages such investments and makes purchase and sale decisions based on their fair value in accordance with the company's risk management or investment strategy. Upon initial recognition, attributable transaction costs are recognized in profit or loss as incurred. Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss are measured at fair value and changes therein are recognized in profit or loss.

Held-to-maturity

A financial asset is classified as held-to-maturity if the asset has fixed or determinable payments and fixed maturities that the company's management has the intention and ability to hold to maturity. These assets are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method. Any changes to the carrying amount of the asset, including any impairment losses, are recognized in other comprehensive income or loss.

Available-for-sale financial assets

Available-for-sale financial assets are non-derivative financial assets that are designated as available-for-sale and that are not classified as loans and receivables, held-to-maturity or financial assets at fair value through profit or loss. Subsequent to initial recognition, they are measured at fair value and changes therein, other than impairment losses, are recognized in other comprehensive income or loss and presented within equity in the fair value reserve. Equity instruments that do not have an active market are recorded at cost. When an investment is derecognized, the cumulative gain or loss in other comprehensive income or loss is transferred to profit or loss.

Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Such assets are recognized initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, loans and receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, less any impairment losses.

De-recognition of financial assets

The company derecognizes a financial asset when the contractual rights to the cash flows from the asset expire, or it transfers the rights to receive the contractual cash flows on the financial asset in a transaction in which substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset are transferred.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(m) Financial instruments (continued)

(ii) Non-derivative financial liabilities

Financial liabilities are classified as either financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss or other financial liabilities.

Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss

A financial liability is classified at fair value through profit or loss if it is considered to be held-for-trading or is designated as such upon initial recognition. Upon initial recognition, attributable transaction costs are recognized in profit or loss as incurred. Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss are measured at fair value and changes therein are recognized in profit or loss.

Other financial liabilities

Other financial liabilities, including borrowings, are initially measured at fair value, net of transaction costs. Other financial liabilities are subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, with interest recognized on an effective yield basis.

De-recognition of financial liabilities

The company derecognizes financial liabilities when the company's obligations are discharged, cancelled or they expire.

(iii) The company's financial instruments consist of the following:

Financial instrument	Classification	Measurement	_
Cash and cash equivalents	FVTPL	Fair value	
Trade receivables	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost	
Goods and services tax recoverable	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost	
Note receivable	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost	
Trade payables	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost	
Other payables	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost	

(n) Impairment of long-lived assets

(i) Financial assets

A financial asset not carried at fair value through profit or loss is assessed at each reporting date to determine whether there is objective evidence that it is impaired. A financial asset is considered to be impaired if objective evidence indicates that one or more events have had a negative effect on estimated future cash flows.

Financial assets measured at amortized cost

An impairment loss in respect of a financial asset measured at amortized cost is calculated as the difference between its carrying amount and the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the original effective interest rate. When a subsequent event causes the amount of impairment loss to decrease, the decrease in impairment loss is reversed through profit or loss.

Financial assets carried at cost

An impairment loss of a financial asset carried at cost, where its fair value cannot be reliably measured, is calculated as the difference between its carrying amount and the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the current market rate of similar financial assets. Such impairment losses are not reversed.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

- (n) Impairment of long-lived assets (continued)
 - (i) Financial assets (continued)

Available-for-sale financial assets

An impairment loss of an available-for-sale financial asset is recognized by transferring the cumulative loss that has been recognized in other comprehensive income or loss, and presented in the fair value reserve in equity, to profit or loss. The cumulative loss that is removed from other comprehensive income or loss and recognized in profit or loss is the difference between acquisition cost, net of any principal repayment and amortization, and the current fair value, less any impairment loss previously recognized in profit or loss.

If, in a subsequent period, the fair value of an impaired available-for-sale debt security increases, the impairment loss is reversed, with the amount of the reversal recognized in profit or loss. If, however, the fair value of an impaired available-for-sale equity security increases, the amount of reversal is recognized in other comprehensive income or loss.

(ii) Non-financial assets

At each reporting date, the company reviews the carrying amounts of its tangible and intangible assets, other than exploration and evaluation assets, to determine whether there is an indication that those assets have been impaired. If any such indication exists, the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated in order to determine the extent of the impairment loss, if any. Where it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the company estimates the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit to which the assets belong.

Exploration and evaluation assets are reviewed for impairment only when facts and circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may exceed the recoverable amount.

The recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. If the recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is estimated to be less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset or cash-generating unit is reduced to its recoverable amount. An impairment loss is recognized immediately in the statement of comprehensive income or loss.

If an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying amount of the asset or cashgenerating unit is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, but so that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset or cash-generating unit in prior years.

(o) Use of estimates and judgments

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires management to make accounting estimates and assumptions requiring judgment in applying the company's accounting policies. These estimates and assumptions may affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant areas requiring the use of management estimates are as follows:

Depletion and valuation of property and equipment

The amounts recorded for depletion of petroleum and natural gas properties and the valuation of petroleum and natural gas properties are based on estimates. These estimates include proven and probable reserves, future production rates, future petroleum and natural gas prices, remaining lives and period of future benefits of the related assets and other relevant assumptions.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(o) Use of estimates and judgments (continued)

The company's reserve estimates are evaluated annually. Assumptions that are valid at the time of reserve estimation may change significantly when new information becomes available. Changes in forward price estimates, production costs, future development costs or recovery rates may change the economic status of reserves and may ultimately result in reserves being restated. Changes in reserve estimates impact the financial results of the company as reserves and estimated future development costs are used to calculate depletion and are also used in impairment calculations.

The determination of cash-generating units, used in assessing impairment, requires judgment in defining the smallest identifiable group of assets that generate cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets. Cash-generating units are determined by similar geological structure, shared infrastructure, geographical proximity, commodity type, similar exposure to market risks and materiality.

The discount rate used to calculate the net present value of cash flows for impairment testing is based on estimates of market conditions, recent asset sales and an approximate industry peer group weighted average cost of capital. Changes in the general economic environment could result in significant changes to these estimates.

Valuation of exploration and evaluation assets

The value of exploration and evaluation assets is dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable reserves which in turn is dependent on future petroleum and natural gas prices, future capital expenditures and environmental and regulatory restrictions.

The decision to transfer assets from exploration and evaluation assets to property and equipment is based on estimated proven and probable reserves which are in part used to determine a project's technical feasibility and commercial viability.

Deferred income taxes

The amounts recorded for deferred income taxes are based on estimates as to the timing of the reversal of temporary differences and tax rates currently substantively enacted. They are also based on estimates of the probability of the company utilizing certain tax pools and assets which, in turn, is dependent on estimates of proven and probable reserves, production rates, future petroleum and natural gas prices and changes in legislation, tax rates and interpretations by taxation authorities. The availability of tax pools is subject to audit and further interpretations by taxation authorities. To the extent assumptions regarding future profitability change, there can be an increase or decrease in the amounts recognized in respect of deferred tax assets as well as the amounts recognized in profit or loss in the period in which the change occurs.

Decommissioning obligations

The company's decommissioning obligations are estimated based on assumptions relating to the company's net ownership interest in all wells and facilities, estimated costs to reclaim and abandon any wells and facilities and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future years using estimated discount rates. Changes to environmental laws and regulations may also impact the amount of the company's decommissioning obligations.

Share-based payments

The amounts recorded relating to the fair value of stock options and warrants issued are based on estimates of the future volatility of the company's share price, market price of the company's shares at grant date, expected lives of the options, expected forfeiture rate, expected dividends and other relevant assumptions.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(p) Recent accounting announcements

The following standards were effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013 therefore have been adopted by the company during the current year. The adoption of these new standards had no significant impact on the presentation and disclosure in the company's consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements

IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements

IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement

IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements (Amended)

IAS 19 Employee Benefits (Amended)

IAS 27 Separate Financial Statements

IAS 28 Investment in Associates and Joint Ventures (Amended)

Standards issued but not yet effective up to the date of issuance of these consolidated financial statements are listed below. This listing is of the standards and interpretations issued, which the company reasonably expects to be applicable at a future date. The company intends to adopt those standards when they become effective. The company does not expect the impact of such changes on its consolidated financial statements to be significant.

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments: Classification and Measurement

IFRS 9 addresses the classification, measurement and recognition of financial assets and liabilities. IFRS 9 was issued in November 2009 and amended in October 2010. It replaces the parts of IAS 39 that relate to the classification and measurement of financial instruments. IFRS 9 requires financial assets to be classified into two measurement categories: those measured at fair value and those measured at amortized cost. The determination is made at initial recognition. The classification depends on the entity's business model for managing its financial instruments and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the instrument. For financial liabilities, the standard retains most of the requirements from IAS 39. The main change is that, in cases where the fair value option is taken for financial liabilities, the part of a fair value change due to an entity's own credit risk is recorded in other comprehensive income or loss rather than in profit or loss, unless this creates an accounting mismatch. This standard currently does not have a mandatory effective date but is available for adoption. An effective date will be added when all phases of the project are complete and a final version of IFRS 9 is issued.

3. Exploration and evaluation expenses

During the year ended July 31, 2014, the company has directly expensed exploration and evaluation costs in the amount of \$Nil (2013- \$Nil).

4. Cash and cash equivalents

	2014	2013
Cash Treasury bills - Money market fund	\$ 18,705 187,190	\$ 11,177 206,170
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 205,895	\$ 217,347

Cash is deposited at an established Canadian financial institution.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

5. Note receivable

At July 31, 2013

At July 31, 2014

The note receivable bears interest at 13%, is due in August 2014 (2013 - due in August 2013), and is secured by a general security agreement.

3. I	Property and equipment						
		e _v	oration and valuation quipment	/ehicles	na	roleum and atural gas properties	Total
(Cost						
	Balance, July 31, 2012 Transfers from exploration	\$	52,333	\$ 11,342		284,990	\$ 348,665
	and evaluation assets Decommissioning obligations		- -	-		162,838 1,930	162,838 1,930
l	Balance, July 31, 2013 Impairment loss	\$	52,333 -	\$ 11,342 -	\$	449,758 (41,532)	\$ 513,433 (41,532)
	Balance, July 31, 2014	\$	52,333	\$ 11,342	\$	408,226	\$ 471,901
	Accumulated depletion and depre	eciatio	on				
I	Balance, July 31, 2012 Depletion and depreciation for	\$	48,617	\$ 9,777	\$	13,850	\$ 72,244
	the year		743	470		24,246	25,459
	Balance, July 31, 2013 Depletion and depreciation for	\$	49,360	\$ 10,247	\$	38,096	\$ 97,703
	the year		594	329		31,368	32,291
	Balance, July 31, 2014	\$	49,954	\$ 10,576	\$	69,464	\$ 129,994
	Carrying value						

At July 31, 2014, the company assessed the recoverability of its investment in petroleum and natural gas properties by performing an impairment test at the cash-generating unit level. The recoverable amount of each cash-generating unit was estimated based on the higher of the value in use and the fair value less costs to sell. The estimated fair value less costs to sell was used and was determined using estimated future cash flows based on estimated reserves, discounted at 10%, with prices as noted below. Based on the impairment test, the carrying amount of the investment in petroleum and natural gas properties was determined to be impaired in the amount of \$41,532 relating to wells HZ 11-26-1-28 and HZ 7-34-1-28. This amount was recognized in profit or loss for the year.

2,973

2,379

\$

\$

\$

\$

1.095

766

411,662

338,762

415,730

341,907

The benchmark and company's forecast prices used in the impairment test calculations for the year ended July 31, 2014 were primarily based on future commodity prices and are as follows:

(Light oil Cdn\$/bbl)
2015	\$89.80
2016	\$88.29
2017	\$86.94
Thereafter, 2% increase for inflation	

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

7. Interests in joint arrangements

The company has entered into a joint operation with Antler River Resources Ltd. and other parties relating to twelve oil wells in southwestern Manitoba (LSD 6-13-7-29, LSD 2-29-2-28, LSD 14-15-8-28, HZ 13-15-8-28, HZ 11-26-1-28, HZ 12-15-8-28, HZ 7-34-1-28, HZ 13-23-1-28, HZ 15-30-1-27, HZ 3-15-8-28, HZ 16-16-7-28 and HZ 3-22-7-28). The company has rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to this joint operation, therefore has recognized its share of the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in these consolidated financial statements. Pursuant to the arrangement, expenditures are limited to costs of surface access, building location, drilling, completing, equipping and operating or abandoning the oil wells. The related expenditures are deferred in the accounts of the company until the technical and commercial viability of extracting resources has been demonstrated. The company has earned an interest equal to 80% of their contribution to the costs of surface access, building location, drilling, completing, equipping and operating or abandoning the oil wells, which represents approximately a 4% interest in the joint operation. As at July 31, 2014, technical and commercial viability of extracting resources has been demonstrated on all twelve oil wells and as a result the amounts previously capitalized to exploration and evaluation assets have been transferred to petroleum and natural gas properties in property and equipment and are being depleted accordingly (see Note 6).

8. Exploration and evaluation assets

(a) The company has capitalized the following amounts:

	2014	2013
Petroleum and natural gas properties: Lease holdings (i)	\$ 126,704	\$ 126,704
Mineral exploration properties (ii)	273	273
	\$ 126,977	\$ 126,977

- (i) The company has invested in eight lease holdings as follows:
 - 1) Northwest guarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term;
 - 2) Southwest quarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term;
 - 3) Northeast quarter 14-4-22, 100% owned with a five-year lease term;
 - 4) Northeast quarter 17-1-27, 25% owned with a three-year lease term;
 - 5) Northeast guarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term;
 - 6) Northeast quarter 30-1-27, 25% owned with a three-year lease term;
 - 7) Northeast quarter 2-3-26, 100% owned with a two-year lease term; and
 - 7) Northeast quarter 2-9-20, 100 % owned with a two-year lease term, an
- 8) Southeast quarter 2-3-26, 100% owned with a two-year lease term.
- (ii) The company holds one Quarry Lease, QL 1530, located 85 kilometers southwest of Winnipeg near Miami, Manitoba. The 8 hectare lease hosts a narrow bed of bentonite.

The company previously held an exploration property known as the Ore Fault property located on the Bird River Greenstone Belt, 125 kilometers northeast of Winnipeg, Manitoba. On August 19, 2008 Marathon PGM acquired the balance of the Ore Fault property consisting of 19 claims which covers 446 hectares. Under the joint arrangement, Marathon had an option to earn 100% of the Ore Fault property once their interest reached 70%. Marathon exercised its option to require the company to sell the remaining 30% interest in the property for a purchase price of \$1,450,000. Bird River Resources Inc. retains a 1% net smelter return ("NSR") royalty on the Ore Fault Property.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

8. Exploration and evaluation assets (continued)

(b) A summary of the exploration and evaluation asset activity for the years ended July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013 is as follows:

	2014	2013
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 126,977	\$ 200,415
Costs incurred during the year	-	89,400
Transfers to property and equipment (i)	-	(162,838)
Balance, end of year	\$ 126,977	\$ 126,977

(i) Transfers to property and equipment

During the year ended July 31, 2013 , the technical and commercial viability of extracting resources was demonstrated for the following three oil wells: HZ 3-15-8-28, HZ 16-16-7-28 and 3-22-7-28. As a result, the company transferred the costs associated with these oil wells to property and equipment. The company assessed the recoverability of its investment by performing an impairment test at the cash-generating unit level prior to transferring the oil wells to property and equipment. The recoverable amount of each cash-generating unit was estimated based on the higher of the value in use and the fair value less cost to sell. The estimated fair value less cost to sell was used and was determined using estimated future cash flows based on estimated reserves, discounted at 10%, with prices as noted below. Based on the impairment test, the carrying amount of the investments was impaired in the amount of \$Nil and \$162,838 was transferred to property and equipment.

The benchmark and company's forecast prices used in the impairment test calculations for the year ended July 31, 2013 were primarily based on future commodity prices and are as follows:

	Light oil
	(Cdn\$/bbl)
2013	\$91.13
2014	\$89.55
2015	\$87.55
Thereafter, 2% increas	e for inflation

9. Other payables

The company's other payables at July 31 are comprised of the following:

	2014	2013
Provincial sales taxes payable Accrued liabilities	\$ 173 59,180	\$ 278 43,347
Total other payables	\$ 59,353	\$ 43,625

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

10. Decommissioning obligations

The company's decommissioning obligations result from its ownership interest in petroleum and natural gas properties. The total provision for decommissioning obligations is estimated based on the company's net ownership interest in all wells and facilities, estimated costs to reclaim and abandon these wells and facilities and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future years. The total estimated undiscounted cash flows required to settle the obligations, before considering salvage, as at July 31, 2014 is approximately \$19,000 (2013 - \$19,000), which has been discounted using a pretax rate of 2.96% (2013 - 2.96%) reflecting the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation. These obligations are to be settled based on the economic lives of the underlying assets, which currently extend up to 25 years (2013 - 25 years) into the future and will be funded from general corporate resources at the time of abandonment.

The company's decommissioning obligations for the year ended July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013 are as follows:

	2014	2013
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 8,420	\$ 6,269
Liability incurred	-	1,930
Accretion	249	221
Balance, end of year	\$ 8,669	\$ 8,420

11. Income taxes

(a) Canadian development and exploration expenditures

As at July 31, 2014, the company has \$123,670 (2013 - \$123,670) of unused Canadian exploration and development expenses and \$397,215 (2013 - \$397,215) of unused Canadian oil and gas property expenses available to offset future taxable income of the company. The tax benefit of these expenses carries forward indefinitely.

(b) Losses

The company has non-capital tax losses available for carry forward to reduce future years' taxable income totaling \$109,987 which expire as follows:

2032 2033	\$ 1,128 50,797
2034	58,062
Total loss carry forwards	\$ 109,987

The company has not recorded in its accounts the potential deferred income tax benefit that may be derived from these tax losses due to the uncertainty that the benefits will be realized.

(c) Income tax (expense) recovery differs from the amount that would be computed by applying the federal and provincial statutory income tax rates of 27% (2013 - 27%). The reasons for the differences are as follows:

	2014	2013
Income tax recovery computed at statutory rates	\$ 36,000	\$ 21,100
Permanent differences Change in unrecognized deferred tax assets	(400) (31,200)	(1,700) (22,607)
	\$ 4,400	\$ (3,207)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

11. Income taxes (continued)

The components of the company's net deferred income tax asset (liability) at July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013 are as follows:

	2014	2013
Deferred income tax assets: Exploration and evaluation assets	\$ 106,400	\$ 106,400
Deferred income tax liability: Property and equipment	(90,900)	(110,800)
Net future income tax asset	15,500	(4,400)
Valuation allowance	(15,500)	
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ -	\$ (4,400)

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

12. Share capital

(a) Authorized

Authorized share capital consists of an unlimited number of common voting shares.

(b) Changes in issued common shares are summarized below:

Year ended July 31, 2014	Number of common shares	Amount
Balance, July 31, 2013 and 2014	10,570,225 \$	1,012,247
Year ended July 31, 2013	Number of common shares	Amount
Balance, July 31, 2012 and 2013	10,570,225 \$	1,012,247

(c) Loss per share

The calculation of basic and diluted loss per share for the years ended July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013 is based on the following losses and number of shares:

	2014	2013
(Loss) for the year	\$ (128,963)	\$ (81,477)
Weighted average number of shares	10,570,225	10,570,225

All of the outstanding stock options were anti-dilutive for the relevant period.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

13. Share-based payments

(a) Outstanding options

The following table summarizes the 800,000 outstanding options as at July 31, 2014:

	Number outstanding	Exercise price	Expiry date
Directors' options (i)	700,000	\$0.10	June 10, 2015
Directors' options	100,000	\$0.10	March 14, 2019

(i) Due to the resignation of directors, in accordance with the company's stock option plan, 200,000 of these options were forfeited on June 30, 2013 and 100,000 were forfeited on December 31, 2013.

(b) Changes in stock options

A summary of the changes in stock option activity for the years ended July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013 is as follows:

_	2014			2013		
_	W	eighted		٧	Veighted	
	average			á	average	
	_	kercise price	Number of options	•	exercise price	Number of options
Outstanding, beginning of year	\$	0.10	800,000	\$	0.10	1,000,000
Granted		0.10	100,000		-	-
Forfeited		0.10	(100,000)		0.10	(200,000)
Outstanding and exercisable,						
end of year	\$	0.10	800,000	\$	0.10	800,000

The options outstanding at the end of the year have an exercise price of \$0.10 per share (2013 - \$0.10 per share) and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 1.33 years (2013 - 1.87 years).

(c) Effects on profit or loss

The total estimated fair value of the options vested and recognized as an expense for the year ending July 31, 2014 is \$1,478 (2013 - \$6,414).

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

14. General and administrative expenses

The general and administrative expenses incurred by the company for the years ended July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013 are as follows:

	2014	2013
Automotive	\$ 9,129	\$ 11,736
Director's fees	10,000	10,000
Management fee	48,000	48,000
Office	5,503	4,219
Professional fees	26,110	32,157
Rent	9,600	9,600
Repairs and maintenance	335	144
Stock transfer and filing fees	16,498	18,139
Telephone	2,697	5,721
Travel	1,175	1,855
	\$ 129,047	\$ 141,571

15. Related party transactions

(a) Key management personnel compensation

The company did not pay employment based remuneration to directors, officers and other members of key management for the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013. However, the company did pay contract based remuneration to directors, officers and other members of key management as disclosed in Note 15 (b).

(b) Other related party transactions

During the year ended July 31, 2014, the company paid management fees in the amount of \$30,000 (2013 - \$30,000) to a director and officer of the company and \$18,000 (2013 - \$18,000) to another director and officer. The company also paid rent in the amount of \$9,600 (2013 - \$9,600) to a director and officer of the company and directors fees in the amount of \$10,000 during the year. These amounts are recorded at the exchange amount, which is the amount agreed upon by the related parties.

As at July 31, 2014, included in trade payables and other payables are amounts owing to directors and officers of the company in the amount of \$39,000 (2013 - \$19,000).

16. Financial instruments

(a) Risk management and hedging activities

In the normal course of operations the company is exposed to various financial risks. Management's close involvement in the operations allows for the identification of risks and variances from expectations. The company does not meaningfully participate in the use of financial instruments to control these risks. The company has no designated hedging transactions. The financial risks and management's risk management objectives and policies are as follows:

(i) Currency risk

The company does not hold any assets or liabilities denominated in a foreign currency therefore is not exposed to currency risk.

(ii) Price risk

The company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity prices of oil and gas. The company monitors commodity prices in order to manage their exposure to these risks. An annual average change of 1% in crude oil prices would affect the reported net income by \$762 (2013 - \$1,265).

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

16. Financial instruments (continued)

(iii) Credit risk

Credit risk refers to the risk that a counterparty will default on its contractual obligations resulting in a financial loss to the company. The company is exposed to credit risk on its financial assets. Cash is held with an established Canadian financial institution and the company's other receivables are from Canadian government entities, from which management believes the risk of loss to be remote. The company does not have any derivatives or similar instruments that mitigate the maximum exposure to credit risk.

The carrying amount of financial assets recorded in the consolidated financial statements of \$251,381 (2013 - \$278,107) represents the maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date.

(iv) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that arises when the maturity of assets and liabilities does not match. Management monitors the company's liquidity by assessing forecast and actual cash flows and by maintaining adequate cash on hand. It is management's opinion that it is unlikely that the company will encounter difficulty in raising funds to meet commitments associated with financial instruments. As at July 31, 2014, the company has working capital in the amount of \$185,131 (2013 - \$242,944).

The contractual maturities of financial liabilities, at July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013, based on the earliest date on which payment can be required, are as follows:

As at July 31, 2014	Total amount		Six month or less		Nore than ix months
Trade payables Other payables	\$ 26,126 59,353	\$	26,126 59,353	\$	- -
	\$ 85,479	\$	85,479	\$	_
As at July 31, 2013	Total amount		Six month or less		More than ix months
As at July 31, 2013 Trade payables Other payables	\$ 	\$		S	

(v) Interest rate risk

The company is not exposed to any meaningful interest rate risk due to the short term nature of its interest generating assets.

(b) Sensitivity analysis

The company has cash and cash equivalents subject to interest rate risk of \$205,895 (2013 - \$217,347). A 1% change in the primary interest rate would affect the reported net income, on an annualized basis, by \$2,059 (2013 - \$2,173).

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

16. Financial instruments (continued)

(c) Fair values, carrying amounts and changes in fair value

The fair values of the company's financial instruments approximate their carrying value due to their short-term nature. Fair value amounts represent point-in-time estimates and may not reflect fair value in the future. The measurements are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and are a matter of judgment. The methods and assumptions used to develop fair value measurements, for those financial instruments where fair value is recognized in the consolidated statement of financial position, have been prioritized into three levels.

Level one includes quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level two includes inputs that are observable other than quoted prices included in level one. Level three includes inputs that are not based on observable market data.

The company's financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy as at July 31, 2014 are as follows:

	Level 1		Lev	el 2	Level 3		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	205,895	\$	-	\$	-	

The company's financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy as at July 31, 2013 are as follows:

	Level 1	Lev	el 2	Lev	/el 3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 217,347	\$	-	\$	-

(d) Collateral

The carrying value of financial assets the company has pledged as collateral is \$Nil (2013 - \$Nil).

17. Capital management

The company considers its capital structure to consist of share capital, stock options and warrants. When managing capital, the company's objective is to ensure the company continues as a going concern as well as to maintain optimal returns to shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders. Management adjusts the capital structure as necessary in order to support the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral and petroleum and natural gas properties. The Board of Directors does not establish quantitative return on capital criteria for management, but rather relies on the expertise of the company's management to sustain future development of the company's operations. As at July 31, 2014, the company had managed capital, being total equity on the consolidated statement of financial position, of \$645,346 (2013 - \$772,831).

A number of the properties in which the company currently has an interest are in the exploration stage. As such the company is dependent on external financing to fund its activities. In order to carry out the planned exploration and pay for administrative expenses, the company will spend its existing working capital and raise additional amounts as needed. The company will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire an interest in additional properties it if feels there is sufficient geologic or economic potential and if it has adequate financial resources to do so.

Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the relative size of the company, is reasonable.

There were no changes in the company's approach to capital management during the current or prior year. The company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE AUDITED ANNUAL PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014

INTRODUCTION

The following management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") of the financial condition and results of operations of Bird River Resources Inc. ("BDR" or the "Company") constitutes management's review of the factors that affected the Company's financial and operating performance for the audited Annual Period ending July 31, 2014. This MD&A was written to comply with the requirements of National Instrument 51-102 - Continuous Disclosure Obligations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements including the notes thereto, and the audited annual consolidated financial statements for the years ended July 31, 2014 and 2013, including the notes thereto, and the MD&A's for these prior periods.

This MD&A was written to comply with the requirements of National Instrument 51-102 - Continuous Disclosure Obligations. All amounts are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise specified. The results for the year then ended are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any future period. The financial statements along with Certifications of Annual and Interim Filings and press releases, are available on the Canadian System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) at www.sedar.com.

The financial statements for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2014 were prepared in accordance with the newly adopted International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") for publicly accountable profit-oriented enterprises. The changeover to IFRS for financial statements for fiscal years commencing on or after January 1st, 2011 represents a change due to the implementation of these new accounting standards. In 2010, the Corporation started an IFRS conversion plan to address the impact of the changes in accounting policies, restatement of comparative periods, internal controls, and any required changes to business processes. As discussed in this Management Discussion and Analysis, these new accounting standards have resulted in reclassifications on the Corporation's statement of financial position and operations and comprehensive loss.

For the purposes of preparing this MD&A, management, in conjunction with the Board of Directors, considers the materiality of information. Information is considered material if: (i) there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable investor would consider it important in making an investment decision; or (ii) it would significantly alter the total mix of information available to investors. Management, in conjunction with the Board of Directors, evaluates materiality with reference to all relevant circumstances, including potential market sensitivity.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This MD&A may contain forward-looking statements that are based on the Company's expectations, estimates and projections regarding its business and the economic environment in which it operates. These statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties that are difficult to control or predict. Examples of some of the specific risks associated with the operations of the Company are set out below under "Risks and Uncertainties". Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements and readers should not place undue reliance on such statements.

GENERAL OVERVIEW

The Company is a junior natural resource exploration company in Canada and is a reporting issuer in the provinces of Ontario and Manitoba with its common shares listed for trading on the Canadian Securities Exchange (CSE) under the trading symbol "BDR" (the exchange was formerly known as the Canadian National Stock

Exchange (or "CNSX"). The Company's Registered and Head offices are located at 1059 Selkirk Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba, R2X 0C2.

The Company has one wholly-owned subsidiary, 2411181 Manitoba Ltd., which holds its interest in the Ore Fault Property. The Company's constating documents do not differ from Canadian corporate legislation with respect to corporate governance principles.

The Company has been engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties since its incorporation in 1958. The Company formerly held an exploration property, known as the Ore Fault Property, located in the Bird River Sill area of Manitoba approximately 125 km northeast of Winnipeg. This property was prospective for base and PGM metals. In 2008, the Company sold its working interest in this property for cash and now retains a 1% net smelter return royalty on this property.

The Company also holds a Quarry Lease near Miami, Manitoba which is approximately 85 km southwest of Winnipeg. The 8-hectare lease hosts a narrow bed of bentonite.

The Company is currently pursuing opportunities in oil and gas business in southwestern Manitoba, mainly through joint ventures with experienced oil and gas exploration operators. In March 2009, the Company entered into an agreement with Antler River Resources to participate in a five percent interest in the drilling of a three oil well drilling program in southwestern Manitoba near the towns of Sinclair and Pearson. The Company has participated in the drilling of 13 oil well joint ventures (JV) with Antler River Resources in southwestern Manitoba near the towns of Sinclair, Pierson and Waskada. As at July 31, 2014 the Company assessed the recoverability of its investment in oil properties by performing an impairment test at the cash generating level, consequently, two wells; HZ 11-26-1-28 and HZ 7-34-1-28 were determined to be impaired and, as result, were written down in amount of \$41,532. Currently there are 10 wells that are producing and one new well drilled mid September 2014 is now on pump and is being tested. All wells were developed in conjunction with Antler River Resources and the wells have been drilled into the Bakken and Spearfish formations. These oil formations are part of the Williston Basin which includes; Saskatchewan, southwestern Manitoba, North Dakota and Montana. The latest wells were drilled into the Bakken formation and are producing light sweet crude.

BDR also holds a total of eight oil leases in southwestern Manitoba with interests ranging from 25% to 100%. The Company's most recent lease acquisition was acquired in August 2012 and comprised 100% interest in a half section at 2-3-26WPM northwest of the Waskada oil field. The Company intends to joint venture drilling on these eight leases in the near future.

The Company's financial performance is dependent on many external factors. The Company expects that any revenues it may earn from its operations in the future will be from the sale of oil and gas. Both prices and markets for metals are volatile, difficult to predict and respond to changes in domestic and international political, social and economic environments. In addition, the availability and cost of funds for exploration, development and production costs are difficult to predict. These circumstances and events could materially affect the financial performance of the Company.

The Company also engages in secondary activities, from time to time, involving the purchase or acquisition of certain industrial minerals – typically diatomaceous earth and bentonite – for distribution and re-sale or, for use in the Company's environmental division's abandoned water well sealing operation. The Company currently generates minimal revenue from these secondary activities. The well sealing service can generally only be conducted during the summer months and adverse weather can limit operations.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

Oil and Gas Activities

In July 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with Antler River Resources Ltd. ("Antler") to earn a 2 ½ % interest in the drilling of two oil wells in southwestern Manitoba. Under the agreement the company was required

to pay \$30,000 representing the company's share of expenses incurred to date. An individual that is a director and shareholder of the Company advanced the Company \$30,000. During September 2007, the Board determined that it was inappropriate to proceed with the transaction with Antler due to cash restraints and to remain focused on its core business of mineral exploration. Consequently, the Company entered into an agreement with the related party who had advanced the \$30,000 to sell the Company's interest in the oil well project in exchange for the forgiveness of the amount advanced by him.

On March 6, 2009, the Company entered into a new joint venture agreement with Antler to invest \$35,000 for a 5% gross interest (4% net) in a three well oil drilling program. The wells are located near the towns of Sinclair and Pierson in southwestern Manitoba. All three wells are now producing. In December 2009 the Company participated in the drilling of a vertical well north east of Sinclair, Manitoba. The well was on pump in January 2010 and all four wells are still in production.

On March 24, 2011, the Company reported the test production results for its fifth horizontal oil well, located at 11-26-1-28W near Pierson. The operator of the well is Atikwa Resources (ATK–TSX-V) ("Atikwa") and the initial production over the first ten days for the well averaged 150 barrels per day. The Company has a 5% gross and 4% net participation in the well. This well is still in production; however the rate of production has declined.

On August 17, 2011, the Company reported that after inclement weather, its wells were now back on pump. The weather and water issues delayed the drilling program for nearly five months. The first well of the planned six well drilling program started one month later. This horizontal well is located on the north half of 15-8-28W1 and completed with a one mile leg. The operator for the well is Antler and the Company has a 5% participation. This well is still in production as at July 31, 2014. The second well is also horizontal and was drilled on the north east quarter of 30-1-27 W1. This well was drilled into the Spearfish formation and initially pumped 200 barrels of fluid a day with an initial 20% oil cut which is expected to increase. The operator of these two wells is Atikwa with a 50% interest. Antler and the Company each have a 25% interest in the lease. Well 15-30-1-27 continues to be in production.

On September 29, 2011, the Company reported its participation in the drilling of a new horizontal oil well located at 12-15-8-28W1 east of Sinclair, Manitoba. This is the first well of a planned six well drilling program. The operator of the well and joint venture partner is Antler. The horizontal well has approximately a one mile leg and was cased all the way. The Company has a 5% gross and 4% net participation in the well. The well continues to be in production.

On October 13, 2011, the Company announced that the drilling portion of a new well northeast of Sinclair, Manitoba at 12-15-8-28W1 was completed. The well was drilled into the Bakken formation at 926 meters with a horizontal leg of 1300 meters. The well was cased for the entire length of the leg and has 27 fracking ports approximately 50 meters apart. The operator of this well is Antler and the Company has a 5% gross interest.

An additional well was drilled at 13-23-1-28W east of Pierson, Manitoba. This was a horizontal well with a 600 meter leg drilled into the Spearfish formation. The operator of this well is Atikwa with a 50% interest and the Company will have 5% gross (4% net). The well is presently not in production due to water problems.

On January 4, 2012, the Company announced the completion of a new Antler horizontal well at 12-15-8-28 northeast of Sinclair, Manitoba. The well was drilled into the Bakken formation at 926 meters with a horizontal leg of 1300 meters and commenced pumping 30 cubes of fluid with a 35% oil cut. This works out to about 65 barrels of oil a day (a cube is about 6.28 US barrels). The Company has a 5% gross interest and a 4% net participation. This well continues to be in production.

On February 16, 2012, the Company reported an update of the last five oil wells drilled and their current production:

1) Well 12-15-8-28HZ drilled into the Bakken Formation with a 1300 meter leg. Production had leveled out at 80 barrels of oil per day.

- 2) Well 11-26-1-28HZ drilled into the Spearfish Formation with a 600 meter leg was producing 40 barrels of oil per day.
- 3) Well 15-30-1-27HZ drilled into the Spearfish Formation with a 600 meter leg was producing 100 barrels per day of fluid, of which 50 barrels is oil.
- 4) Well 7-34-1-28HZ drilled into the Spearfish Formation with a 600 meter leg was producing 130 barrels of oil per day.
- 5) Well 13-23-1-28HZ drilled into the Spearfish Formation with a 1300 meter leg was producing 240 barrels of fluid, of which 15 barrels is oil.

On July 30, 2012, the Company reported with Antler that another double success had been achieved with the drilling and fracking of two new horizontal oil wells. The wells, located east of Sinclair Manitoba at 16-16-7-28 and 3-15-8-28 were each drilled with 600 meter legs and are fully cased. The wells are now producing 75 barrels per day for each well. The operator of the wells is Antler. The Company has 2.5% interest (2% net) in each of the new wells and the Company now has an interest in 11 production wells.

On January 17, 2013 the Company paid an initial advance to Antler River Resources of \$40,000 towards the drilling of a new horizontal well located at 3-22-7-28 in southwestern Manitoba. Bird River Resources Inc. has a 5% gross interest (4% net) in the well. The drilling of the new well commenced in early February and was competed by the end of the month. The drilling of the well was successful, and subsequently went on pump and is now production.

On December 2, 2013: the Company reported that at the 2013 Annual and Special Meeting held on November 28, 2013, Nelson Shodine (President) (President), Jon Bridgman (CFO), Edward Thompson (Secretary Treasurer), Shane Shodine and David Thom were re-elected to the Board of Directors of Bird River Resources Inc. for the coming 2014 fiscal year. The shareholders also re-appointed the auditing firm Magnus Chartered Accountants LLP as the Company's auditors. All other resolutions proposed to shareholders were duly passed.

On March 14, 2014: the Company reported that it had awarded 100,000 incentive stock options to a director of the Company. This award is in compliance with the terms of the Company's Stock Option Plan and all of the options are subject to vesting over an 18-month period. The stock options are exercisable into common shares at \$0.10 per share for a term expiring March 14, 2019.

On September 9, 2014: the Company issued a news release responding to a news release put out by NWest Energy Corp. (NWest) the previous day announcing its intention to make an offer to acquire all of the outstanding common shares of Bird River Resources Inc. in exchange for an equal number of shares of NWest Energy Corp., common shares. Although Nelson Shodine, President, and Ed Thompson, Secretary Treasurer, of BDR did meet with Kevin Aylward, President, and Bill Hamilton, CFO, of NWest the previous week, no understanding was reached and no formal proposal was presented for consideration by BDR's board of directors. Consequently, BDR views their verbal offer as an unsolicited matter as it seemed to provide no benefit whatsoever to BDR's shareholders. BDR's board continues to explore other ways to enhance the Company's value on behalf of its shareholders.

Oil production in south west Manitoba typically shows a decline in production rates from year to year, however, many of the wells produce over 25 years.

Ore Fault Property

On January 12, 2004, the Company acquired 80% of the issued and outstanding shares of 2411181 Manitoba Ltd. from Myriad Resources Inc. which owned the original Ore Fault Property. As consideration, the Company issued 400,000 common shares valued at \$0.05 per share plus a \$3,000 note payable due on January 15, 2005 for total consideration of \$23,000. The Company already owned the other 20% of 2411181 Manitoba Ltd. On March 10, 2006, the Company announced that it was acquiring all the underlying smelter rights to the Ore Fault Property for consideration of 700,000 common shares. The transaction was approved by the shareholders of Myriad on May 19, 2006, subsequently closed and the shares released from escrow on September 5, 2006.

On May 16, 2005, the Company expanded its Ore Fault Property by conditionally acquiring the adjacent 124-hectare Lotus Property comprised of 3-claims in consideration for \$5,000 and 50,000 common shares. The transaction was completed at arm's-length.

On October 11, 2007, the Company signed a binding letter of intent with Marathon PGM Corporation (MAR - TSX) ("Marathon") to create a joint venture to actively explore and earn an interest in the Property. The Property, which includes the Lotus claims, is located in the Bird River Sill area of south eastern Manitoba, adjacent to Gossan Resources' Bird River Sill property, which was also under option to Marathon. This arrangement was approved at an Annual General and Special Meeting of Shareholders held on December 28, 2007.

Under the terms of the joint venture agreement, Marathon had the option to earn a 70% interest in the Property by making cash payments of \$250,000 to the Company and carrying out, as operator, \$600,000 in exploration expenditures on the Property by August 1, 2008. Once Marathon's interest in the Property reaches 70%, Marathon may have required the Company to sell the Company's remaining 30% interest in the Property to Marathon; and the Company may have required Marathon to purchase the remaining 30% interest in the Property for a purchase price of \$1,450,000, payable in cash or common shares of Marathon (at Marathon's option), subject to regulatory approval. The Company would then retain a 1% net smelter return royalty (the "NSR") in all minerals and metals extracted from the property. Marathon also made a firm commitment to conduct \$400,000 in exploration expenditures on the Property and to pay a cumulative aggregate of \$200,000 in cash by May 1, 2008.

On May 2, 2008, the Company was advised by Marathon that as per the option and joint venture agreement, it had spent \$549,002 on or for the benefit of the Property. In addition, Marathon had made payments to the Company in the aggregate amount of \$200,000 thereby fulfilling the terms and conditions of section 3.2 of the option and joint venture agreement. As a result, Marathon had exercised its option to acquire a 50% participation interest in the Property and indicated its intention to fulfill its right to earn a 70% interest in the property by August 1, 2008.

On August 19, 2008, Marathon exercised its option and acquired the remaining 30% of the Ore Fault Property for cash consideration of \$1,450,000 thereby completing the transaction and giving it 100% ownership of the Ore Fault Property. The Company now retains a 1% net smelter return royalty (the "NSR") on all minerals and metals extracted from the property.

Quarry Lease

The Company holds an 8-hectare Quarry Lease that is located 85 km southwest of Winnipeg near Miami, Manitoba. This lease hosts a narrow bed of bentonite that the Company had in the past used in an abandoned water well sealing operation. The Company currently does not generate any revenue from these secondary activities. These activities can generally only be conducted during the summer months and adverse weather can limit operations.

Interests in joint arrangements

The company participates in a joint operation with Antler River Resources Ltd. and other parties relating to eleven oil production wells located in southwestern Manitoba (LSD 6-13-7-29, LSD 2-29-2-28, LSD 14-15-8-28, HZ 13-15-8-28, HZ 13-23-1-28, HZ 15-30-1-27, HZ 3-15-8-28, HZ 16-16-7-28, HZ 3-22-7-28 and HZ 4-5-2-27). The company has rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to this joint operation, therefore has recognized its share of the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in the consolidated financial statements. Pursuant to the arrangement, expenditures are limited to costs of surface access, building location, drilling, completing, equipping and operating or abandoning the oil wells. The related expenditures are deferred in the accounts of the company until the technical and commercial viability of extracting resources has been demonstrated. The company has earned an interest equal to 80% of their contribution to the costs of surface access, building location, drilling, completing, equipping and operating or abandoning the oil wells, which represents, approximately, a 4% interest in the joint operation. As at October 20, 2014, technical and commercial viability of extracting resources has been demonstrated on eleven oil wells and as a result the amounts previously

capitalized to exploration and evaluation assets have been transferred to petroleum and natural gas properties in property and equipment and are being depleted accordingly.

Exploration and evaluation assets

In conjunction with the Company's activities in the natural resource industry, the Company has capitalized the following amounts:

	July 31	July 31
	2014	2013
Petroleum and natural gas properties: Lease holdings (i)	126,704	126,704
Mineral exploration properties (ii)	273	273
• • •	\$126,977	\$126,977

There was no exploration and evaluation asset activity for the year ended July 31, 2014.

- (i) The Company invested in eight lease holdings as follows:
 - 1) Northwest quarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
 - 2) Southwest quarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
 - 3) Northeast quarter 14-4-22, 100% owned with a five-year lease term.
 - 4) Northeast quarter 17-1-27, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
 - 5) Northeast quarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
 - 6) Northeast quarter 30-1-27, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
 - 7) Northeast guarter 2-3-26, 100% owned with a two-year lease term.
 - 8) Southeast quarter 2-3-26, 100% owned with a two-year lease term.
- (ii) The company holds one Quarry Lease, QL 1530, located 85 kilometres southwest of Winnipeg near Miami, Manitoba. The 8 hectare lease hosts a narrow bed of bentonite.

The Company previously held an exploration property known as the Ore Fault property located on the Bird River Greenstone Belt, 125 kilometres northeast of Winnipeg, Manitoba. On August 19, 2008, Marathon PGM acquired the balance of the Ore Fault property consisting of 19 claims which covers 446 hectares. Under the joint venture agreement Marathon had an option to earn 100% of the Ore Fault property once their interest reached 70%. Marathon exercised its option to require the company to sell the remaining 30% interest in the property for a purchase price of \$1,450,000. Bird River Resources Inc. retains a 1% net smelter return ("NSR") royalty on the Ore Fault Property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED ANNUAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following is selected information from the Company's three most recently completed fiscal year-ends:

	Year Ended July 31, 2014	Year Ended July 31, 2013	Year Ended July 31, 2012
ANNUAL INFORMATION	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Total revenue	120,510	175,759	190,525
Net income (loss)	(128,963)	(81,477)	(51,280)
Income (loss) per share - basic and fully-diluted	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Total assets	739,494	844,048	902,618
Long-term liabilities	8,669	12,820	6,269
Dividends declared	-	-	-

SELECTED QUARTERLY INFORMATION

The following is selected financial information for the eight most recent interim periods indicated.

	Net Income (Loss)			Total
	Total Revenue	Total	Per Share	Assets
Quarter Ended	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
July 31, 2014	25,742	(77,610)	(0.01)	739,494
April 30, 2014	27,473	(11,077)	(0.01)	809,809
January 31, 2014	27,832	(23,083)	(0.01)	815,320
October 31, 2013	39,463	(7,193)	(0.01)	841,118
July 31, 2013	39,586	(34,556)	(0.01)	844,048
April 30, 2013	45,764	(11,465)	(0.01)	853,338
January 31, 2013	37,182	(22,309)	(0.01)	847,229
October 31, 2012	53,227	(13,147)	(0.01)	892,240
July 31, 2012	104,755	(18,196)	(0.01)	902,618
April 30, 2012	8,592	3,537	0.01	895,731
January 31, 2012	10,350	(12,563)	(0.01)	892,861

Results of Operations

The net loss and comprehensive loss for the 2014 fourth quarter (3-months) ended July 31, 2014 was \$77,610 as compared to net loss and comprehensive loss of \$34,556 for the 3-months ended July 31, 2013. Revenue for the 3-months period ended July 31, 2014 was \$25,742 compared to \$39,586 in the same period of the prior year. The current quarter revenue includes \$15,002 from producing oil wells compared to \$28,807 in the same period of the prior year. Revenue from industrial mineral sales was \$10,740 for the three months compared to \$10,779 for 2013. Expenses for the period were \$109,604 (2013-\$72,622) an increase of \$36,982 in expenses over the same period last year. The increase in expenses in the quarter is largely attributable to the impairment loss respecting the carrying amount of the oil investment, depletion expense relating to oil production and operations of the joint venture.

BDR has a joint venture agreement with Antler River Resources in oil and gas drilling programs in south west Manitoba for a participation of five percent gross interest on average. Through this joint venture BDR has participated in the drilling of 12 oil wells as of July 31, 2014, however, at the end of the 2014 fiscal year, management concluded that, two wells should be written down, as they no longer produced and as a result, an impairment loss of \$41,532 was recognized. Deferred expenditures include costs of surface access, building location, drilling, completing, equipping and operating or abandoning the oil wells. The cash flows of the joint operations include the expenditures as outlined above as well as the company's proportionate share of the joint venture's revenues and operating expenses. As at July 31, 2014, the Company had capitalized \$126,704 as petroleum and natural gas properties lease holdings and \$273 for mineral exploration properties. In addition, as at July 31, 2014 the Company had capitalized a total of \$338,762 of petroleum and natural gas properties to Property and Equipment net of accumulated depletion and depreciation in the amount of \$69,464. This amount is currently being depleted using the unit of productions method which resulted in \$31,368 of depletion expense for the year. The Company has also recognized a decommissioning liability in the amount of \$8,669 relating to the producing oil wells for the year ended July 31, 2014 (FY 2013 \$8,420). During the three month period ended July 31, 2014, the Company recognized \$15,002 of gross revenues from oil production.

The net loss and comprehensive loss for the 12-months ended July 31, 2014 was \$128,963 as compared to a net loss and comprehensive loss of \$81,477 for the 12-months ended July 31, 2013. The increase of \$47,486 in the net loss for the period is primarily attributable to the decrease in revenue of \$55,249 during the period and the increase in depletion expense and impairment loss. Revenue for the 12 months period ended July 31, 2014 was \$120,510 compared \$175,759 to in same period of the prior year. This decrease in revenue is largely attributable to the decline in revenue from the producing oil wells to \$76,223 compared to \$126,493 in 2013. Expenses for the period were \$260,369 compared to \$260,952 in 2013 which represents a decrease in expense of \$583 over last year. However, the largest single increase in expense during 2014 was the increase in impairment loss of \$41,532 in 2014 (\$Nil in 2013) this was due to the write down of two wells.

The net loss and comprehensive loss for the 12-months ended July 31, 2013 was \$81,477 as compared to a net loss and comprehensive loss of \$51,280 for the 12-months ended July 31, 2012. The increase of \$30,197 in the net loss for the period is primarily attributable to the decrease in revenue of \$14,766 during the period and the increase in G&A and depletion expenses. Revenue for the 12 months period ended July 31, 2013 was \$175,759 compared to \$190,525 in same period of the prior year. This decrease in revenue is largely attributable to the decline in revenue from the producing oil wells to \$126,493 compared to \$141,646 in 2012. Expenses for the period were \$260,952 (2012 - \$288,025) which represents a decrease in expense of \$27,073 over the same period last year. This decrease in expenses for the 2013 fiscal year is largely attributable to the decrease in impairment from \$39,825 in 2012 to \$Nil in 2013 and the decrease oil production expenses.

The net loss and comprehensive loss for the 12-months ended July 31, 2012 was \$51,280 as compared to a net loss and comprehensive loss of \$102,808 for the 12-months ended July 31, 2011. The decrease of \$51,528 in the net loss for the period is primarily attributable to the increase in revenue of \$160,609 during the period. Revenue for the 12 months period ended July 31, 2012 was \$190,525 compared to \$29,916 in same period of the prior year. This increase is largely attributable to the revenue from the producing oil wells in the amount of \$141,646. Expenses for the period were \$288,025 (2011 - \$176,180) which represents an increase of \$111,845 over the same period last year. This increase in expenses for the 2012 fiscal year is largely attributable to depletion of wells, impairment charge relating to deferred oil well costs, and increased activity in the joint venture operations.

Currently, BDR's mineral property portfolio consists of a quarry license providing the right to exploit calcium bentonite beds located near Miami, Manitoba, 85 kilometres southwest of Winnipeg. The Company engages in secondary activities, from time to time, involving the purchase or acquisition of certain industrial minerals, typically diatomaceous earth and bentonite, for distribution and re-sale. Additionally the company also operates a environmental division which distributes various industrial and environmental products i.e, Dexpan and CanDry Absorbents. The environmental division also provides an abandoned water well sealing service the primary client being the Manitoba Government. The well sealing service operates from mid May through to the end of October.

The management and board of directors are continually reviewing the Company's business strategy while monitoring the current market and economic conditions. Additionally management continues to assess new potential resource property acquisitions as they are presented.

Over the past several quarters, oil revenue, administrative and other expenses have varied within a range reflecting the Company's costs associated with oil and gas investments. Additionally, new business development, the well sealing service and related costs in maintaining the Company's listing as a reporting issuer in good standing have remain relatively constant. Management does not foresee any material change in the amounts of these expenditures in the near future.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

At July 31, 2014, the Company had working capital of \$185,131, a decrease of \$31,049 from the previous 3 months period ended April 30, 2014. This decrease was largely due to lower oil revenue and industrial mineral sales during the three month period. The current quarter oil revenue was \$15,002 compared to \$19,422 in the prior quarter. The Company incurs ongoing general operating expenses on a monthly basis relating to the

management of a public reporting issuer, such as office rent, telephone, internet services, stock transfer & filing fees, stock exchange fees and professional fees.

Presently, the Company holds percentage interests (5% gross - 4% net) and (2.5% gross - 2% net) in a total of eleven oil wells of which ten are in production and one has demonstrated viability of extraction oil. The Company also owns 25% to 100% interests in eight oil and gas leases (properties) which are to be drilled in the future. During the fourth quarter of the 2014 fiscal year, the Company had total revenue of \$25,742 of which \$15,002 was from the Company's investment in ten producing oil wells and \$10,740 from industrial mineral sales.

The Company plans to continue to acquire leases with strong potential for oil and gas production. The Company also continues to review business opportunities from, time to time, that have synergy with the Company's existing operations and that may provide stable ongoing cash flow.

The Company's ability to raise funds for future development is largely tied to the North American capital markets and investor interest in resource exploration and development companies. The US financial markets have improved over the past 12 months; however, more recently there is going concerns about the slowing European and Asian economies. Demand by the world's major consumers of raw materials particularly China and India has declined over the past two years however, management expects the strength of the US economy and the historic low interest will contribute to an improving global economy in the coming year. The recent decline of world oil prices to below \$90 per barrel reflects the slowing global economy and the growing supply of US oil as result of new drilling technology in North America. The growing global demand for oil, particularly in emerging markets, will eventually contribute to higher oil prices in the coming years. Notwithstanding the foregoing the Company's strategy will be to continue to make expenditures in oil and gas properties in a fiscally prudent manner.

DECOMMISSIONING OBLIGATIONS

The Company has decommissioning obligations resulting from its ownership interest in petroleum and natural gas properties. The total decommissioning provision is estimated based on the Company's net ownership interest in all wells and facilities, estimated costs to reclaim and abandon these wells and facilities and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future years. The total estimated undiscounted cash flows required to settle the obligations, before considering salvage, as at July 31, 2014 is approximately \$19,000 (2013 - \$19,000), which has been discounted using a pretax rate of 2.96% (2013 – 2.96%) reflecting the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation. These obligations are to be settled based on the economic lives of the underlying assets, which currently extend up to 25 years (2013 – 25 years) into the future and will be funded from general corporate resources at the time of abandonment.

The Company's decommissioning obligations for the year ended July 31, 2014 are as follows:

Balance, beginning of year	\$ 8,420
Liability incurred	Nil
Accretion	249
Balance, end of year July 31, 2014	\$ 8,669

DISCLOSURE OF OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA

The Company's authorized share capital consists of an unlimited number of Common voting shares, of which 10,570,225 were outstanding as at July 31, 2014 and October 17, 2014.

There are currently 800,000 stock options outstanding as of July 31, 2014. All of the options outstanding are exercisable into common shares at 10 cents per share. During the previous fiscal year (2013), due to the resignation of directors 200,000 stock options were forfeited on June 30, 2013 and 100,000 options were forfeited on December 31, 2013 in accordance with the company's stock option plan.

As of July 31, 2014 the Company's incentive stock option plan had granted 800,000 stock options to officers and directors of which 700,000 expire June 10, 2015. The last grant of stock options was 100,000 options approved at a meeting of the Board of Directors on February 26, 2014. This grant of the stock options is exercisable into common shares at 10 cents per share for a term expiring March 14, 2019. The options have a weighted average remaining contractual life of 1.33 years.

On a fully diluted basis there would be 11,370,225 common shares issued and outstanding. There are no warrants presently outstanding.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

The following is a summary of the related party transactions of the Company during the 2014 Fiscal Year ended July 31, 2014. The Company paid management fees of \$30,000 (2013 - \$30,000) to a director and officer of the Company and \$18,000 (2013 - \$18,000) to another director and officer. The Company also paid rent in the amount of \$9,600 (2013 - \$9,600) to a director and officer of the company and directors fees in the amount of \$10,000 during the period. These amounts are recorded at the exchange amount which is the amount agreed upon by both parties.

As at July 31, 2014 included in the accounts payable are amounts owing to directors \$15,000 (2013 \$10,000) and officers of the company in the amount of \$24,000 (2013 - \$14,000). These amounts are unsecured, non-interest bearing with no specified terms of repayment.

FUTURE CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES PER RECENT ACCOUNTING ANNOUNCEMENTS

The following standards were effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013 therefore have been adopted by the company during the current year. The adoption of these new standards had no significant impact on the presentation and disclosure in the company's consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements

IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements

IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement

IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements

IAS 19 Employee Benefits

IAS 27 Separate Financial Statements

IAS 28 Investment in Associates and Joint Ventures

Standards issued but not yet effective up to the date of issuance of these consolidated financial statements are listed below. This listing is of the standards and interpretations issued, which the company reasonably expects to be applicable at a future date. The company intends to adopt those standards when they become effective. The company does not expect the impact of such changes on its consolidated financial statements to be significant.

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments: Classification and Measurement

IFRS 9 addresses the classification, measurement and recognition of financial assets and liabilities. IFRS 9 was issued in November 2009 and amended in October 2010. It replaces the parts of IAS 39 that relate to the classification and measurement of financial instruments. IFRS 9 requires financial assets to be classified into two measurement categories: those measured at fair value and those measured at amortized cost. The determination is made at initial recognition. The classification depends on the entity's business model for managing its financial instruments and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the instrument. For financial liabilities, the standard retains most of the requirements from IAS 39. The main change is that, in cases where the fair value option is taken for financial liabilities, the part of a fair value change due to an entity's own credit risk is recorded in other comprehensive income or loss rather than in profit or loss, unless this creates an accounting mismatch. This

standard currently does not have a mandatory effective date but is available for adoption. An effective date will be added when all phases of the project are complete and a final version of IFRS 9 is issued.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Oil and gas exploration and mineral exploration are speculative ventures. There is no certainty that expenditures on exploration and development will result in the discovery of an economic hydrocarbon reserve. At the present time, the Company holds interests in a small number of producing oil wells. The Company's viability and potential success lie in its ability to develop, exploit and generate revenue out of its resource properties. Revenues, profitability and cash flow from any future resource operations involving the Company will be influenced by oil, gas and /or metal prices and by the relationship of such prices to production costs. Such prices have fluctuated widely and are affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control.

The Company has limited financial resources and there is no assurance that additional funding will be available to it for further exploration and development of its projects or to fulfill its obligations under applicable agreements. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain adequate financing in the future or that the terms of such financing will be favourable. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of the property interests of the Company with the possible dilution or loss of such interests.

The Company is very dependent upon the personal efforts and commitment of its existing management who are not full-time employees of the Company. To the extent that management's services would be unavailable for any reason, the Company's operations could be disrupted. The Company is also reliant upon the services of outside consultants.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

(a) Risk management and hedging activities

In the normal course of operations the Company is exposed to various financial risks. Management's close involvement in the operations allows for the identification of risks and variances from expectations. The Company does not meaningfully participate in the use of financial instruments to control these risks. The Company has no designated hedging transactions. The financial risks and management's risk management objectives and policies are as follows:

Currency risk

The Company does not hold any assets or liabilities denominated in a foreign currency therefore is not exposed to currency risk.

Price risk

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity prices of oil and gas. The Company monitors commodity prices in order to manage their exposure to these risks. An annual average change of 1% in crude oil prices would affect the reported net income by \$762 (2013 - \$1,265).

Credit risk

Credit risk refers to the risk that counterparty will default on its contractual obligations resulting in a financial loss to the company. The Company is exposed to credit risk on its financial assets. Cash is held with an established Canadian bank and the Company's other receivables are from Canadian government entities, from which management believes the risk of loss to be remote. The Company does not have any derivatives or similar instruments that mitigate the maximum exposure to credit risk.

The carrying amount of financial assets recorded in the consolidated financial statements in the amount of \$251,381 (2013 - \$278,107) represents the maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that arises when the maturity of assets and liabilities does not match. Management monitors the Company's liquidity by assessing forecast and actual cash flows and by maintaining adequate cash on hand. It is management's opinion that it is unlikely that the Company will encounter difficulty in raising funds to meet commitments associated with financial instruments. As at July 31, 2014 the Company has working capital in the amount of \$185,131 (2013 - \$242,944).

The contractual maturities of financial liabilities, at July 31, 2014, based on the earliest date on which payment can be required, were as follows:

	Total	Six months	More than
	amount	or less	six months
Trade payables	\$ 26,126	\$ 26,126	\$ -
Other payables	59,353	59,353	
	\$ 85,479	\$ 85,479	\$ -

Interest rate risk

The Company is not exposed to any meaningful interest rate risk due to the short term nature of its interest generating assets.

(b) Sensitivity analysis

The Company has cash and cash equivalents subject to interest rate risk of \$205,895 (2013 - \$217,347). A 1% change in the primary interest rate would affect the reported net income, on an annualized basis, by approximately \$2,059 (2013 - \$2,173).

(c) Fair values, carrying amounts and changes in fair value

The fair values of the Company's financial instruments approximate their carrying value due to their short-term nature. Fair value amounts represent point-in-time estimates and may not reflect fair value in the future. The measurements are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and are a matter of judgment. The methods and assumptions used to develop fair value measurements, for those financial instruments where fair value is recognized in the balance sheet, have been prioritised into three levels:

Level one includes quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level two includes inputs that are observable other than quoted prices included in level one. Level three includes inputs that are not based on observable market data.

The Company's financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy as at July 31, 2014 are as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 205,895	\$ -	\$ -

The Company's financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy as at July 31, 2013 are as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 217,347	\$ -	\$ -

(d) Collateral

The carrying value of financial assets the Company has pledged as collateral is \$Nil (2013 - \$Nil).

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

The Company considers its capital structure to consist of share capital, stock options and warrants. When managing capital, the Company's objective is to ensure the company continues as a going concern as well as to maintain optimal returns to shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders. Management adjusts the capital structure as necessary in order to support the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral and petroleum and natural gas properties. The Board of Directors does not establish quantitative return on capital criteria for management, but rather relies on the expertise of the Company's management to sustain future development of the company's operations. As at July 31, 2014, the Company had managed capital (being total shareholder's equity) of \$645,346 (2013 - \$772,831).

The Company presently has interests in 11 production wells and ongoing exploration and assessment on properties that it intends to drill in the future. As such the Company is dependent on external financing to fund its activities and or joint ventures. In order to carry out the planned exploration and pay for administrative costs, the Company will spend its existing working capital and raise additional amounts as needed. The Company will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire an interest in additional properties it if feels there is sufficient geologic or economic potential and if it has adequate financial resources to do so.

Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the relative size of the Company, is reasonable.

There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the period ended July 31, 2014. The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

DISCLOSURE AND INTERNAL FINANCIAL CONTROLS

Management has established processes, which are in place to provide them sufficient knowledge to support management representations that they have exercised reasonable diligence that (i) the unaudited interim financial statements do not contain any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated or that is necessary to make a statement not misleading in light of the circumstances under which it is made, as of the date of and for the periods presented by the unaudited interim financial statements and that (ii) the unaudited interim financial statements fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company, as of the date of and for the periods presented by the unaudited interim financial statements.

In contrast to the certificate required under Multilateral Instrument 52-109 Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings (MI 52-109), the Company utilizes the Venture Issuer Basic Certificate which does not include representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of disclosure controls and procedures (DC&P) and internal control over financial reporting (ICFR), as defined in MI 52-109. In particular, the certifying officers filing the Certificate are not making any representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of: (a) controls and other procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in securities legislation; and (b) a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the issuer's GAAP.

The Company's certifying officers are responsible for ensuring that processes are in place to provide them with sufficient knowledge to support the representations they are making in this certificate.

Investors should be aware that inherent limitations on the ability of certifying officers of a venture issuer to design and implement on a cost effective basis DC&P and ICFR as defined in MI 52-109 may result in additional risks to the quality, reliability, transparency and timeliness of interim and annual filings and other reports provided under securities legislation.

CORPORATE DIRECTORY

CORPORATE OFFICES: Bird River Resources Inc.

1059 Selkirk Avenue

Winnipeg, Manitoba R2X 0C2

Tel: (204) 589-2848 Fax: (204) 586-6238 Email: shodine@mts.net

Website: www.birdriverresources.com

DIRECTORSNelson ShodineDirector & PresidentAND OFFICERSJon BridgmanDirector & CFO

Edward Thompson Director & Secretary Treasurer

David Thom Director Shane Shodine Director

AUDITOR Magnus Chartered Accountants LLP.

430 – Five Donald Street Winnipeg, Manitoba R3L 2T4

TRANSFER AGENT Computershare

Suite 600, 530-8th Ave SW Calgary, Alberta T2P 3S8

LISTING Canadian Securities Exchange (CSE)

STOCK SYMBOL BDR