

2013

Annual Report



Bird River Resources Inc.

October 2013

Dear Fellow Shareholders:

2013 was another challenging year for all listed junior resource companies. It is a small solace that Bird River Resources has fared better than most. For all junior resource companies, investors generally showed little interest in their future potential. The high cost of governance is certainly an issue all juniors face.

Now let us move to the positives of Bird River Resources. Your Company has been relentless in its efforts to create shareholder value. All directors are shareholders and are committed to the Company's future development. We continue to look at business opportunities as they arise but none of them have met our criteria so far.

One positive is that Manitoba has one of the most reasonable drilling costs. Currently, the cost is about \$1.4 million to drill a horizontal well. The Company now has an interest in 12 wells of which 10 are producing. In addition, we have invested in a portfolio of eight lease holdings encompassing approximately 1,280 acres. We are optimistic that we will be able to proceed with drilling on these leases over the next year or two. Bird River Resources has an ownership interest ranging from 25% to 100% on these leases. The Company's management has held joint venture discussions with various potential partners and 16 drill sites have been identified on these leases. We expect that Asian demand for energy will maintain an oil price in the \$90 to \$100 range in the foreseeable future.

The Company is contemplating raising capital for drilling by way of equity or debt financing in 2014. We may combine this capital with joint venture participants.

Bird River Resources is a shareholder company and the shareholders still come first. Henry Ford once said, "Coming together is a beginning. Keeping together is progress. Working together is success."

We are "working together." Many thanks to the officers, directors, consultants and to our shareholders of Bird River Resources Inc. for your steadfast support. Increasing shareholder value continues to be our focus in 2014.

Yours sincerely,

Bird River Resources Inc.

Relan Shodine

Per_

Nelson Shodine, President & CEO

1059 Selkirk Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba R2X 0C2 Tel. (204) 589-2848 Fax: (204) 586-6238 www.birdriverresources.com



MAGNUS CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS LLP. ADVISORY . ASSURANCE . TAXATION . TRANSACTIONS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders of Bird River Resources Inc.

Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Bird River Resources Inc., which comprise the consolidated statements of financial position as at July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 and the consolidated statements of loss and comprehensive loss, changes in equity and cash flows for the years ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, these consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Bird River Resources Inc. as at July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 and its financial performance and its cash flows for the years ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

Emphasis of Matter

We draw attention to Note 2(a) to these consolidated financial statements which describes the uncertainty related to Bird River Resources Inc.'s ability to operate as a going concern. Our opinion is not qualified in respect of this matter.

October 30, 2013 Winnipeg, Canada

Magnus

Magnus Chartered Accountants LLP

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2013			2012		
Assets						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 4)	\$	217,347	\$	316,192		
Trade receivables		23,939		25,437		
Goods and services tax recoverable		1,435		867		
Income tax recoverable		-		35,000		
Inventory		23,234		12,912		
Note receivable (Note 5)		35,386		35,374		
Total current assets		301,341		425,782		
Non-current assets:						
Property and equipment (Note 6)		415,730		276,421		
Exploration and evaluation assets (Note 7)		126,977		200,415		
Total non-current assets		542,707		476,836		
Total assets	\$	844,048	\$	902,618		
Liabilities and Equity						
Liabilities:						
Current liabilities:						
Trade payables	\$	14,772	\$	9,000		
Other payables (Note 8)		43,625		39,455		
Total current liabilities		58,397		48,455		
Non-current liabilities:						
Decommissioning obligations (Note 9)		8,420		6,269		
Deferred income taxes (Note 10(c))		4,400		, _		
Total non-current liabilities		12,820		6,269		
Total liabilities		71,217		54,724		
Equity:						
Share capital (Note 11)		1,012,247		1,012,247		
Share-based payments reserve		91,131		84,717		
(Deficit)		(330,547)		(249,070)		
Total equity		772,831		847,894		
Going concern of operations (Note 2(a))		,		- ,		
Total liabilities and equity	\$	844,048	\$	902,618		
	φ	044,040	ψ	302,010		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Approved on behalf of the Board on October 30, 2013:

Director (signed) "Nelson Shodine"

Director (signed) "Jon Bridgman"

Consolidated Statements of Loss and Comprehensive Loss

Years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2013	2012
Revenue:		
Petroleum and natural gas revenue	\$ 126,493	\$ 141,646
Industrial mineral sales	49,266	48,879
	175,759	190,525
Expenses:		
Depletion	24,246	13,850
Depreciation	1,213	1,600
General and administrative (Note 13)	141,571	133,376
Impairment (Note 7(b)(i))	-	39,825
Production and operating - petroleum and natural gas	49,181	50,908
Production and operating - industrial minerals	38,327	36,854
Share-based payments (Note 12(c))	6,414	11,612
	260,952	288,025
(Loss) from operations	(85,193)	(97,500)
Other income and (expenses):		
Accretion expense	(221)	-
Interest income	7,144	7,405
	6,923	7,405
(Loss) before income taxes	(78,270)	(90,095)
Income tax (expense) recovery		
Current income tax recovery	1,193	38,815
Deferred income tax expense	(4,400)	-
	(3,207)	38,815
Net (loss) and comprehensive (loss) for the year	(81,477)	(51,280)
(Deficit), beginning of year	(249,070)	(197,790)
(Deficit), end of year	\$ (330,547)	\$ (249,070)
Basic and diluted loss per share (Note 11(c))	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

Years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2013	2012
Share capital Balance, beginning of year Stock options exercised (Note 11(b))	\$ 1,012,247	\$ 998,457 13,790
Balance, end of year	\$ 1,012,247	\$ 1,012,247
Share-based payments reserve Balance, beginning of year Fair value of stock options exercised (Note 11(b)) Share-based payments (Note 12(c))	\$ 84,717 - 6,414	\$ 76,895 (3,790) 11,612
Balance, end of year	\$ 91,131	\$ 84,717
(Deficit) Balance, beginning of year Net (loss) and comprehensive (loss) for the year	\$ (249,070) (81,477)	\$ (197,790) (51,280)
Balance, end of year	\$ (330,547)	\$ (249,070)
Total equity, end of year	\$ 772,831	\$ 847,894

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

	2013	2012
Operating activities:		
Net loss and comprehensive loss for the year	\$ (81,477)	\$ (51,280)
Adjustments for:		
Depletion and depreciation	25,459	15,450
Interest accrued to principal on note receivable	(12)	(98)
Impairment	-	39,825
Share-based payments	6,414	11,612
Accretion expense	221	-
Deferred income tax expense	4,400	-
	(44,995)	15,509
Changes in the following:		() - - - ()
Trade receivables	1,498	(19,531)
Goods and services tax recoverable	(568)	(640)
Income taxes recoverable	35,000	(2,200)
Inventory	(10,322)	3,297
Trade payables	5,772	(1,376)
Other payables	4,170	2,327
	(9,445)	(2,614)
Investing activities:		
Proceeds on note receivable	35,000	25,000
Investment in note receivable	(35,000)	(35,000)
Investment in exploration and evaluation assets	(89,400)	(255,529)
	(89,400)	(265,529)
Financing activity:		
Proceeds from issuance of common shares, net of		
share issue costs	-	10,000
Change in cash	(98,845)	(258,143)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	 316,192	574,335
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 217,347	\$ 316,192

Supplementary information:

During 2013 the company did not pay any interest (2012 - \$Nil) or income taxes (2012 - \$Nil).

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

Nature of operations

Bird River Resources Inc. (the "company") is a publicly listed company incorporated under the laws of Manitoba on March 7, 1958. The mailing and office address of its executive office is: 1059 Selkirk Avenue, Winnipeg MB, R2X 0C2.

The principal business activities include the acquisition and exploration of resource properties with the company's primary focus on petroleum and natural gas properties. The company also engages in secondary activities, from time to time, involving the purchase or acquisition of certain industrial minerals, typically diatomaceous earth and bentonite, for distribution and re-sale or for use in an abandoned water well sealing operation. The company's shares are listed on the Canadian National Stock Exchange.

1. Statement of compliance

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared by management in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

These consolidated financial statements for the year ended July 31, 2013 were reviewed by the Audit Committee and approved and authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on October 30, 2013.

2. Significant accounting policies

(a) Going concern of operations

The going concern assumption implies that the company will continue in operation for the foreseeable future and be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business. There is doubt about the appropriateness of the use of the going concern assumption because the company has experienced ongoing losses and negative cash flows from operations over a number of years.

The company has not yet determined whether all of its exploration and evaluation assets contain reserves that are economically recoverable, and accordingly, the success of any further exploration or development prospects cannot be assured. If the company's exploration and development programs are successful, additional funds may be required, and the company may not have sufficient funds to conduct the exploration required. The primary source of future funds available to the company is through the sale of additional equity capital, which may dilute the interests of existing shareholders.

These consolidated financial statements do not reflect adjustments that would be necessary if the going concern assumption was not appropriate. If the going concern assumption was not appropriate, then adjustments may be necessary to the carrying value of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses.

(b) Basis of consolidation

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the company and its whollyowned subsidiary 2411181 Manitoba Ltd. All significant inter-company transactions have been eliminated.

(c) Inventory

Inventory is valued at the lower of cost and net realizable value. The cost of inventory is assigned using the first-in, first-out costing formula. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less estimated costs of selling. During the year, the company recognized \$37,364 of inventory as an expense (2012 - \$35,308) and no inventory has been valued at net realizable value during the current or prior year.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(d) Property and equipment

Recognition and measurement

Exploration and evaluation equipment and vehicles are recorded at historical cost less accumulated depreciation and any impairment losses. Residual values, depreciation methods and useful economic lives are reviewed and adjusted as necessary at the end of each reporting period. Cost includes expenditures that are directly attributable to the acquisition of the asset. When components of exploration and evaluation equipment and vehicles have different useful lives, they are accounted for as a separate item of property and equipment.

Petroleum and natural gas properties represent the cost of developing the commercial reserves and bringing them into production. These assets include the exploration and evaluation costs that are reclassified to property and equipment in accordance with the accounting policy for exploration and evaluation assets as described in Note 2(e) to these consolidated financial statements.

Subsequent costs

The cost of replacing a component of an item of property and equipment is recognized in the carrying amount of the item if it is probable that the future economic benefit embodied within the component will flow to the company, and its costs can be reliably measured. The carrying amount of the replaced component is derecognized. The costs of servicing property and equipment are recognized in profit or loss as incurred.

Depletion and depreciation

Exploration and evaluation equipment and vehicles are depreciated based on the cost of the asset less its residual value. Depreciation methods and rates are applied consistently within each asset class except where significant individual assets have been identified which have different depreciation patterns.

Petroleum and natural gas properties are depleted using the unit of production method based on the ratio of production in the year to the related proven and probable reserves, taking into account estimated future development costs necessary to bring those reserves into production.

Depreciation and depletion are recognized in profit or loss. The following rates and methods are used:

	<u>Rate</u>	Method
Exploration and evaluation equipment	20%	Declining balance
Vehicles	30%	Declining balance
Petroleum and natural gas properties	-	Unit of production

In the year of acquisition, depreciation is provided at one-half the declining balance rate. Depreciation methods and useful lives are reviewed at each reporting date and adjusted as required.

An item of property and equipment is de-recognized upon disposal or when no future economic benefits are expected to arise from the continued use of the asset. Any gain or loss arising on disposal of the asset, determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount of the asset, is recognized in profit or loss.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(e) Exploration and evaluation expenditures

Costs incurred prior to obtaining the legal right to undertake exploration and evaluation activities on a project are expensed as incurred.

Capitalized costs

Exploration and evaluation expenditures, which include petroleum and natural gas properties and mineral exploration properties, are defined as costs incurred after having obtained the legal right to explore the property and before the technical and commercial viability of extracting resources are demonstrated.

The company follows the full cost method whereby all costs associated with the acquisition, exploration and development of reserves are capitalized in cost centers from the time the company obtains the legal right to undertake exploration and evaluation activities on a project. Such costs include land and lease acquisitions, geological and geophysical expenditures, drilling of productive and non-productive wells, production and gathering equipment and facilities, carrying costs directly related to unproven properties, and corporate costs directly related to the acquisition. Amounts capitalized to these cost centers represent costs to date and are not intended to represent present or future values. The recoverability of the costs is dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable reserves, confirmation of the company's interests in the underlying claims, the ability to obtain necessary financing to complete development and the development of future profitable production from the properties or realization of sufficient proceeds from the disposition of the properties.

If technical feasibility and commercial viability have been established, the carrying amount of the related exploration and evaluation asset is tested for impairment as discussed below. The carrying value, net of any impairment loss, is then reclassified to property and equipment as mineral exploration properties or petroleum and natural gas properties. If the company decides not to continue the exploration and evaluation activity, the accumulated costs are expensed as impairment in the period in which the event occurs.

Impairment test

Exploration and evaluation assets are reviewed for impairment only when facts and circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may exceed the recoverable amount or when technical feasibility and commercial viability have been established. The recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. If the recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is reduced to be less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset or cash-generating unit is reduced to its recoverable amount. An impairment loss is recognized immediately in profit or loss.

When an impairment loss subsequently reverses, excluding impairment losses for exploration and evaluation assets reclassified to property and equipment, the carrying amount of the asset or cash-generating unit is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, so that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset or cash-generating unit in prior years.

(f) Borrowing costs

The company capitalizes borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset as part of the cost of that asset when it is probable that these costs will result in future economic benefits and when they can be reliably measured. A qualifying asset is an asset that necessarily takes a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use or sale. All other borrowing costs are expensed as incurred.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(g) Provisions

General

Provisions are recorded when a present legal or constructive obligation exists as a result of past events where it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation and a reliable estimate of the amount of the obligation can be made. The expense relating to any provision is presented in profit or loss net of any reimbursement.

Decommissioning obligations

The company recognizes the fair value of a liability for decommissioning obligations in the period in which the company is legally or constructively required to remediate, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made, based on an estimated future cash settlement of the decommissioning obligation, discounted at a pre-tax rate that reflects the current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation. The decommissioning obligation is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the associated long-lived asset and a liability is recorded. The decommissioning obligation is depleted on the same basis as the related asset. The liability is adjusted for the accretion of the discounted obligation and any changes in the amount or timing of the underlying future cash flows. Significant judgments and estimates are involved in forming expectations of the amounts and timing of decommissioning obligation cash flows.

(h) Jointly controlled operations

A portion of the company's exploration activities is conducted jointly with others whereby the company enters into agreements that provide for specified percentage interest in petroleum and natural gas properties and exploration and evaluation assets. The company accounts for its investment in joint ventures using the proportionate consolidation method.

(i) Loss per share

Diluted loss per share is calculated using the treasury stock method which assumes all common share equivalents, such as options and warrants had been exercised at the beginning of the reporting period of issue and that the funds obtained therefrom were used to purchase common shares of the company at the estimated average trading price of the common shares during the year.

(j) Revenue recognition

Revenue from sales of precious metals and petroleum and natural gas is recognized when the significant risks and rewards of ownership are transferred to the buyer, which is when legal title passes to the buyer and when collection is reasonably assured. This is generally at the time product enters the pipeline or is delivered to the refinery.

Revenue from the sale of industrial minerals is recognized when goods are shipped and when collection is reasonably assured.

Interest income is recognized as accrued.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(k) Income taxes

Income tax expense comprises current and deferred income tax. Current tax and deferred tax are recognized in profit or loss except to the extent that the tax relates to items recognized directly in equity or in other comprehensive income.

(i) Current income tax

Current tax is the expected tax payable or recoverable on the taxable income or loss for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustments to income taxes payable in respect of previous years.

(ii) Deferred income tax

Deferred tax is recognized in respect of temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax is measured at the enacted or substantially enacted tax rates expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in earnings in the period that includes the date of enactment or substantive enactment.

A deferred tax asset is recognized for unused tax losses, tax credits and deductible temporary differences, to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which they can be utilized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realized.

(I) Share-based payments

The company has implemented a stock option plan to allow the company to grant options to directors, officers, employees and service providers. An individual is classified as an employee when the individual is an employee for legal or tax purposes (direct employee) or provides services similar to those performed by a direct employee, including directors and officers of the company. The maximum number of common shares which may be issued pursuant to those granted under the stock option plan are limited to 1,892,000 common shares at a price determined by the Board of Directors. In addition, the number of options issued to any one individual may not exceed 5% of the issued common shares on a yearly basis. For any person providing ongoing services or employed in investor relations activities, the number of options granted may not exceed 2% of the issued common shares on a yearly basis.

The company uses the fair value-based approach to account for share-based payments under their stock option plan. Compensation expense is recognized for these stock options over their vesting period based on their estimated fair values on the date of grant as determined by the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of the options is adjusted by the estimate of the number of options that are expected to vest as a result of non-market conditions. At each reporting date, the company revises its estimate of the number of options that are expected to vest.

The fair values of the options issued, if any, are credited to share-based payments reserve in the period they vest. Upon exercise of the share purchase options, consideration paid together with the amount previously recognized in share-based payments reserve is recorded as an increase in share capital. Charges to share purchase options that are forfeited before vesting are reversed from share-based payments reserve. For those share purchase options that expire or are forfeited after vesting, the amount previously recorded in share-based payments reserve is transferred to retained earnings or deficit.

Share-based payments granted to non-employees are measured at the fair value of the goods or services received. In the event the company cannot reasonably estimate the fair value of goods or services received, the transaction is recorded at the estimated value of the share-based payment.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(m) Financial instruments

(i) Non-derivative financial assets

Financial assets are classified into the following categories: financial assets at fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL"), held-to-maturity, available-for-sale financial assets and loans and receivables. The classification depends on the nature and purpose of the financial assets and is determined at the time of initial recognition. All financial assets are recognized on the trade date where the purchase or sale of a financial asset is under a contract whose terms require delivery of the financial asset within the time frame established by the market concerned, and are initially measured at fair value, plus transaction costs, except for those financial assets classified as FVTPL which are initially measured at fair value.

Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss

A financial asset is classified at fair value through profit or loss if it is considered to be heldfor-trading or is designated as such upon initial recognition. Financial assets are designated at fair value through profit or loss if the company manages such investments and makes purchase and sale decisions based on their fair value in accordance with the company's risk management or investment strategy. Upon initial recognition, attributable transaction costs are recognized in profit or loss as incurred. Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss are measured at fair value, and changes therein are recognized in profit or loss.

Held-to-maturity

A financial asset is classified as held-to-maturity if the asset has fixed or determinable payments and fixed maturities that the company's management has the intention and ability to hold to maturity. These assets are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method. Any changes to the carrying amount of the asset, including impairment losses, are recognized in other comprehensive income.

Available-for-sale financial assets

Available-for-sale financial assets are non-derivative financial assets that are designated as available-for-sale and that are not classified as loans and receivables, held-to-maturity or financial assets at fair value through profit or loss. Subsequent to initial recognition, they are measured at fair value and changes therein, other than impairment losses, are recognized in other comprehensive income or loss and presented within equity in the fair value reserve. Equity instruments that do not have an active market are recorded at cost. When an investment is derecognized, the cumulative gain or loss in other comprehensive income or loss.

Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Such assets are recognized initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, loans and receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, less any impairment losses.

De-recognition of financial assets

The company derecognizes a financial asset when the contractual rights to the cash flows from the asset expire, or it transfers the rights to receive the contractual cash flows on the financial asset in a transaction in which substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset are transferred.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

- (m) Financial instruments (continued)
 - (ii) Non-derivative financial liabilities

Financial liabilities are classified as either financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss or other financial liabilities.

Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss

A financial liability is classified at fair value through profit or loss if it is considered to be heldfor-trading or is designated as such upon initial recognition. Upon initial recognition, attributable transaction costs are recognized in profit or loss as incurred. Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss are measured at fair value, and changes therein are recognized in profit or loss.

Other financial liabilities

Other financial liabilities, including borrowings, are initially measured at fair value, net of transaction costs. Other financial liabilities are subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, with interest recognized on an effective yield basis.

De-recognition of financial liabilities

The company de-recognizes financial liabilities when the company's obligations are discharged, cancelled or they expire.

(iii) The company's financial instruments consist of the following:

Financial instrument	Classification	Measurement
Cash and cash equivalents	FVTPL	Fair value
Trade receivables	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost
Goods and services tax recoveral	ole Loans and receivables	Amortized cost
Note receivable	Loans and receivables	Amortized cost
Trade payables	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost
Other payables	Other financial liabilities	Amortized cost

(n) Impairment of long-lived assets

(i) Financial assets

A financial asset not carried at fair value through profit or loss is assessed at each reporting date to determine whether there is objective evidence that it is impaired. A financial asset is considered to be impaired if objective evidence indicates that one or more events have had a negative effect on the estimated future cash flow.

Financial assets measured at amortized cost

An impairment loss in respect of a financial asset measured at amortized cost is calculated as the difference between its carrying amount and the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the original effective interest rate. When a subsequent event causes the amount of impairment loss to decrease, the decrease in impairment loss is reversed through profit or loss.

Financial assets carried at cost

An impairment loss of a financial asset carried at cost, where its fair value cannot be reliably measured, is calculated as the difference between its carrying amount and the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the current market rate of similar financial assets. Such impairment losses are not reversed.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

- (n) Impairment of long-lived assets (continued)
 - (i) Financial assets (continued)

Available-for-sale financial assets

An impairment loss of an available-for-sale financial asset is recognized by transferring the cumulative loss that has been recognized in other comprehensive income or loss, and presented in the fair value reserve in equity, to profit or loss. The cumulative loss that is removed from other comprehensive income or loss and recognized in profit or loss is the difference between acquisition cost, net of any principal repayment and amortization, and the current fair value, less any impairment loss previously recognized in profit or loss.

If, in a subsequent period, the fair value of an impaired available-for-sale financial asset increases, the impairment loss is reversed, with the amount of the reversal recognized in profit or loss. If, however, the fair value of an impaired available-for-sale financial asset increases, the amount of reversal is recognized in other comprehensive income or loss.

(ii) Non-financial assets

At each reporting date, the company reviews the carrying amounts of its tangible and intangible assets, other than exploration and evaluation assets, to determine whether there is an indication that those assets have been impaired. If any such indication exists, the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated in order to determine the extent of the impairment loss, if any. Where it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the company estimates the recoverable amount of the cash generating unit to which the assets belong.

Exploration and evaluation assets are reviewed for impairment only when facts and circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may exceed the recoverable amount.

The recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. If the recoverable amount of an asset or cash-generating unit is estimated to be less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset or cash-generating unit is reduced to its recoverable amount. An impairment loss is recognized immediately in the statement of comprehensive income.

When an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying amount of the asset or cashgenerating unit is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount, but so that the increased carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset or cash-generating unit in prior years.

(o) Use of estimates and judgments

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires management to make accounting estimates and assumptions requiring judgment in applying the company's accounting policies. These estimates and assumptions may affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant areas requiring the use of management estimates are as follows:

Depletion and valuation of property and equipment

The amounts recorded for depletion of petroleum and natural gas properties and the valuation of petroleum and natural gas properties are based on estimates. These estimates include proven and probable reserves, future production rates, future petroleum and natural gas prices, remaining lives and period of future benefits of the related assets and other relevant assumptions.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 (Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(o) Use of estimates and judgments (continued)

The company's reserve estimates are evaluated annually. Assumptions that are valid at the time of reserve estimation may change significantly when new information becomes available. Changes in forward price estimates, production costs, future development costs or recovery rates may change the economic status of reserves and may ultimately result in reserves being restated. Changes in reserve estimates impact the financial results of the company as reserves and estimated future development costs are used to calculate depletion and are also used in impairment calculations.

The determination of cash-generating units, used in assessing impairment, requires judgment in defining the smallest identifiable group of assets that generate cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets. Cash-generating units are determined by similar geological structure, shared infrastructure, geographical proximity, commodity type, similar exposure to market risks and materiality.

The discount rate used to calculate the net present value of cash flows for impairment testing is based on estimates of market conditions, recent asset sales and an approximate industry peer group weighted average cost of capital. Changes in the general economic environment could result in significant changes to these estimates.

Valuation of exploration and evaluation assets

The value of exploration and evaluation assets is dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable reserves which in turn is dependent on future petroleum and natural gas prices, future capital expenditures and environmental and regulatory restrictions.

The decision to transfer assets from exploration and evaluation assets to property and equipment is based on estimated proved and probable reserves which are in part used to determine a project's technical feasibility and commercial viability.

Deferred income taxes

The amounts recorded for deferred income taxes are based on estimates as to the timing of the reversal of temporary differences and tax rates currently substantively enacted. They are also based on estimates of the probability of the company utilizing certain tax pools and assets which, in turn, is dependent on estimates of proved and probable reserves, production rates, future petroleum and natural gas prices and changes in legislation, tax rates and interpretations by taxation authorities. The availability of tax pools is subject to audit and interpretation by taxation authorities. To the extent assumptions regarding future profitability change, there can be an increase or decrease in the amounts recognized in respect of deferred tax assets as well as the amounts recognized in profit or loss in the period in which the change occurs.

Decommissioning obligations

The company's decommissioning obligations are estimated based on assumptions relating to the company's net ownership interest in all wells and facilities, estimated costs to reclaim and abandon any wells and facilities and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future years using estimated discount rates. Changes to environmental laws and regulations may also impact the amount of the company's obligations.

Share based payments

The amounts recorded relating to the fair value of stock options and warrants issued are based on estimates of the future volatility of the company's share price, market price of the company's shares at grant date, expected lives of the options, expected forfeiture rate, expected dividends and other relevant assumptions.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(p) Recent accounting announcements

Standards issued but not yet effective up to the date of issuance of the company's consolidated financial statements are listed below. This listing is of the standards and interpretations issued, which the company reasonably expects to be applicable at a future date. The company intends to adopt those standards when they become effective. The company does not expect the impact of such changes on its consolidated financial statements to be material.

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments: Classification and measurement

IFRS 9, as issued, reflects the first phase of the IASB's work on the replacement of IAS 39 and applies to classification and measurement of financial assets as defined in IAS 39. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015. In subsequent phases, the IASB will address classification and measurement of financial liabilities, hedge accounting and derecognition. The adoption of the first phase of IFRS 9 may have an effect on the classification and measurement of measurement of the company's financial assets.

IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements

IFRS 10 requires an entity to consolidate an investee when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. IFRS 10 replaces SIC-12 *Consolidation - Special Purpose Entities* and parts of IAS 27 *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements*. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements

IFRS 11 requires a venturer to classify its interest in a joint arrangement as a joint venture or a joint operation. Joint ventures will be accounted for using the equity method of accounting whereas for a joint operation the venturer will recognize its share of the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 31 *Interests in Joint Ventures* and SIC-13 *Jointly Controlled Entities - Non-Monetary Contributions by Venturers*. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

IFRS 12 applies to entities that have an interest in a subsidiary, a joint arrangement, an associate or an unconsolidated structured entity. This standard is effective for annual period beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurements

IFRS 13 defines fair value, sets out in a single IFRS standard guidance for measuring fair value and required disclosure about fair value measurements. IFRS 13 applies to all IFRSs that require or permit fair value measurements or disclosures about fair value measurement, except in specified circumstances. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

IAS 19 Employee Benefits (Amended)

The amendments require the recognition of changes in the defined benefit obligation and in plan assets when those changes occur, eliminating the corridor approach and accelerating the recognition of past service costs. The amendment is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

2. Significant accounting policies (continued)

(p) Recent accounting announcements (continued)

IAS 27 Separate Financial Statements (Amended)

IAS 27 was re-issued by the IASB on May 12, 2011 in order to conform to changes as a result of the issuance of IFRS 10, IFRS 11, and IFRS 12. IAS 27 will now only prescribe the accounting and disclosure requirements for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates when an entity prepares separate financial statements as the consolidation guidance will now be included in IFRS 10. The amendment is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

IAS 28 Investment in Associates and Joint Ventures (Amended)

IAS 28 was re-issued by the IASB on May 12, 2011 in order to conform to changes as a result of the issuance of IFRS 10, IFRS 11, and IFRS 12. IAS 28 continues to prescribe the accounting for investments in associates, but is now the only source of guidance describing the application of the equity method. The amended IAS 28 will be applied by all entities that are investors with joint control of, or significant influence over, an investee. The amendment is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

3. Evaluation and exploration expenses

During the year ended July 31, 2013, the company has directly expensed evaluation and exploration costs in the amount of \$Nil (2012- \$Nil).

4. Cash and cash equivalents

	2013	2012
Cash Treasury bills - Money market fund	\$ 11,177 206,170	\$ 21,447 294,745
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 217,347	\$ 316,192

Cash is deposited at an established Canadian financial institution.

5. Note receivable

The note receivable bears interest at 13%, is due in August 2013 (2012 - due in December 2012), and is secured by a general security agreement.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

6. Property and equipment

ю. 	Cost	ev	oration and /aluation quipment		/ehicles	na	roleum and atural gas roperties	Total
	Balance, July 31, 2011 Transfers from exploration	\$	52,333	\$	11,342		-	\$ 63,675
	and evaluation assets Decommissioning obligations		-		-		278,721 6,269	278,721 6,269
	Balance, July 31, 2012 Transfers from exploration and	\$	52,333	\$	11,342	\$	284,990	\$ 348,665
	evaluation assets Decommissioning obligations		-		-		162,838 1,930	162,838 1,930
	Balance, July 31, 2013	\$	52,333	\$	11,342	\$	449,758	\$ 513,433
	Accumulated depletion and depre							
	Balance, July 31, 2011 Depletion and depreciation for the year	\$	47,688 929	\$	9,106 671	\$	- 13,850	\$ 56,794 15,450
	Balance, July 31, 2012 Depletion and depreciation for	\$	48,617	\$	9,777	\$	13,850	\$ 72,244
	the year		743	•	470	•	24,246	 25,459
	Balance, July 31, 2013	\$	49,360	\$	10,247	\$	38,096	\$ 97,703
	Carrying value							
	At July 31, 2012	\$	3,716	\$	1,565	\$	271,140	\$ 276,421
	At July 31, 2013	\$	2,973	\$	1,095	\$	411,662	\$ 415,730

7. Exploration and evaluation assets

(a) The company has capitalized the following amounts:

	2013	2012
Petroleum and natural gas properties: Interest in joint ventures (i) Lease holdings (ii)	\$ <u>-</u> 126,704 126,704	\$ 73,439 <u>126,703</u> 200,142
Mineral exploration properties (iii)	273	273
	\$ 126,977	\$ 200,415

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

7. Exploration and evaluation assets (continued)

- (i) The company has entered into a jointly controlled operation with Antler River Resources Ltd. relating to twelve oil wells (LSD 6-13-7-29, LSD 2-29-2-28, LSD 14-15-8-28, HZ 13-15-8-28, HZ 11-26-1-28, HZ 12-15-8-28, HZ 7-34-1-28, HZ 13-23-1-28, HZ 15-30-1-27, HZ 3-15-8-28, HZ 16-16-7-28 and HZ 3-22-7-28). Expenditures are limited to costs of surface access, building location, drilling, completing, equipping and operating or abandoning the oil wells. The related expenditures are deferred in the accounts of the company until the technical and commercial viability of extracting resources has been demonstrated. The company has earned an interest equal to 80% of their contribution to the costs of surface access, building location, drilling, completing, equipping and operating or abandoning the oil wells, which represents, approximately, a 4% interest in the joint venture operations. As at July 31, 2013, technical and commercial viability of extracting resources has been demonstrated on ten oil wells and as a result the amounts previously capitalized to exploration and evaluation assets have been transferred to petroleum and natural gas properties in property and equipment and are being depleted accordingly (see Note 6).
- (ii) The company has invested in eight lease holdings as follows:
 - 1) Northwest quarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
 - 2) Southwest quarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
 - 3) Northeast quarter 14-4-22, 100% owned with a five-year lease term.
 - 4) Northeast quarter 17-1-27, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
 - 5) Northeast quarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
 - 6) Northeast quarter 30-1-27, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
 - 7) Northeast quarter 2-3-26, 17.5% owned with a two-year lease term.
 - 8) Southeast quarter 2-3-26, 17.5% owned with a two-year lease term.
- (iii) The company holds one Quarry Lease, QL 1530, located 85 kilometers southwest of Winnipeg near Miami, Manitoba. The 8 hectare lease hosts a narrow bed of bentonite.

The company previously held an exploration property known as the Ore Fault property located on the Bird River Greenstone Belt, 125 kilometers northeast of Winnipeg, Manitoba. On August 19, 2008 Marathon PGM acquired the balance of the Ore Fault property consisting of 19 claims which covers 446 hectares. Under the joint venture agreement Marathon had an option to earn 100% of the Ore Fault property once their interest reached 70%. Marathon exercised its option to require the company to sell the remaining 30% interest in the property for a purchase price of \$1,450,000. Bird River Resources Inc. retains a 1% net smelter return ("NSR") royalty on the Ore Fault Property.

(b) A summary of the exploration and evaluation asset activity for the years ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 are as follows:

		2013		2012
Balance, beginning of year	\$	200,415	\$	263.432
Costs incurred during the year	Ψ	89,400	Ψ	255,529
Transfers to property and equipment (i)		(162,838)		(278,721)
Impairment as a result of abandoning certain exploration a	nd			
evaluation assets (i)		-		(39,825)
Balance, end of year	\$	126,977	\$	200,415

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

7. Exploration and evaluation assets (continued)

(i) Transfers to property and equipment

During the year ended July 31, 2013, the technical and commercial viability of extracting resources has been demonstrated for the following three oil wells: HZ 3-15-8-28, HZ 16-16-7-28 and 3-22-7-28. As a result, the company transferred the costs associated with these oil wells to property and equipment.

The company assessed the recoverability of its investment by performing an impairment test at the cash-generating unit level prior to transferring the oil wells to property and equipment. The recoverable amount of each cash-generating unit was estimated based on the higher of the value in use and the fair value less cost to sell. The estimated fair value less cost to sell was used and was determined using estimated future cash flows based on estimated reserves, discounted at 10%, with prices as noted below. Based on the impairment test, the carrying amount of the investments was impaired in the amount of \$Nil as at July 31, 2013 (2012 - \$39,825) and \$162,838 (2012 - \$278,721) was transferred to property and equipment.

The benchmark and company's forecast prices used in the impairment test calculations for the year ended July 31, 2013 were primarily based on future commodity prices and are as follows:

	Light oil <u>(Cdn\$/bbl)</u>
2014	\$92.31
2015	\$90.00
2016	\$90.03
Thereafter, 2% increa	se for inflation

The benchmark and company's forecast prices used in the impairment test calculations for the year ended July 31, 2012 were also primarily based on future commodity prices and were as follows:

	Light oil <u>(Cdn\$/bbl)</u>
2013	\$91.13
2014	\$89.55
2015	\$87.55
Thereafter, 2% increas	e for inflation

8. Other payables

The company's other payables are as follows:

	2013	2012
Provincial sales taxes payable Accrued liabilities	\$ 278 43,347	\$ 382 39,073
Total other payables	\$ 43,625	\$ 39,455

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

9. Decommissioning obligations

The company's decommissioning obligations result from its ownership interest in petroleum and natural gas properties. The total decommissioning provision is estimated based on the company's net ownership interest in all wells and facilities, estimated costs to reclaim and abandon these wells and facilities and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future years. The total estimated undiscounted cash flows required to settle the provisions, before considering salvage, is approximately \$19,000 as at July 31, 2013 (2012 - \$15,000), which has been discounted using a pre-tax rate of 2.96% (2012 - 2.96%) reflecting the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation. These obligations are to be settled based on the economic lives of the underlying assets, which currently extend up to 25 years (2012 - 25 years) into the future and will be funded from general corporate resources at the time of abandonment.

The company's decommissioning obligations for the year ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 are as follows:

	2013				-
Balance, beginning of year Liability incurred Accretion	\$	6,269 1,930 221	\$	- 6,269 -	
Balance, end of year	\$	8,420	\$	6,269	

10. Income taxes

(a) Canadian development and exploration expenditures

As at July 31, 2013, the company had \$123,670 (2012 - \$123,670) of unused Canadian exploration and development expenses and \$397,215 (2012 - \$307,815) of unused Canadian oil and gas property expenses available to offset future taxable income of the company. The tax benefit of these expenses carry forward indefinitely.

(b) Losses

The company has non-capital tax losses available for carry forward to reduce future years' taxable income totaling \$46,397 which expire in 2033.

The company has not recorded in its accounts the potential deferred income tax benefit that may be derived from the tax losses due to the uncertainty that the benefits will be realized.

(c) Income tax recovery differs from the amount that would be computed by applying the federal and provincial statutory income tax rates of 27% (2012 - 27%). The reasons for the differences are as follows:

	2013	2012
Income tax recovery computed at statutory rates	\$ 21,100	\$ 24,300
Permanent differences Change in unrecognized deferred tax assets	(1,700) (22,607)	(3,200) 17,715
	\$ (3,207)	\$ 38,815

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

10. Income taxes (continued)

The components of the company's net deferred income tax asset (liability) at July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 are as follows:

	2013	2012
Deferred income tax assets:		
	106 400	72 200
Exploration and evaluation assets	106,400	 73,200
	106,400	73,200
Deferred income tax liability:		
Property and equipment	110,800	73,200
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ (4,400)	\$ -

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

11. Share capital

(a) Authorized

Authorized share capital consists of an unlimited number of common voting shares.

(b) Changes in issued common shares are summarized below:

Year ended July 31, 2013	Number of common shares	Amount
Balance, July 31, 2012 and 2013	10,570,225 \$	1,012,247
Year ended July 31, 2012	Number of common shares	Amount
Balance, July 31, 2011 Stock options exercised (i)	10,470,225 \$ 100,000	998,457 13,790
Balance, July 31, 2012	10,570,225 \$	1,012,247

(i) On November 21, 2011, 100,000 director's options were exercised at a price of \$0.10 per option. In addition to the gross proceeds of \$10,000, the recorded amount of \$13,790 included the fair market value of the options previously recorded in share-based payments reserve in the amount of \$3,790.

(c) Loss per share

The calculation of basic and diluted loss per share for the years ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 is based on the following losses and number of shares:

	2013	2012
Loss) for the year	\$ (81,477)	\$ (51,280)
Weighted average number of shares	10,570,225	10,539,540

All of the outstanding stock options were anti-dilutive for the relevant period.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

12. Share-based payments

(a) Outstanding options

The following table summarizes the 800,000 outstanding options as at July 31, 2013:

	Number	Exercise	Expiry
	outstanding	price	date
Directors' options (i)	800,000	\$0.10	June 10, 2015 (ii)

(i) On April 9, 2012 the company issued 200,000 incentive stock options to directors and officers of the company. The exercise price is \$0.10 per common share and the options expire on June 10, 2015. These options have a vesting period of 18 months with 1/6 vesting every three months beginning April 1, 2012.

The estimated fair value of the stock options vested during the year in the amount of \$6,414 has been recorded as an expense.

The estimated fair value of stock options granted was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model on the date of grant with the following assumptions:

Expected stock price volatility	85%
Expected option life	3 years
Risk free interest rate	0.98%
Expected dividend yield	-
Stock price at grant	\$0.09
Exercise price	\$0.10

(ii) Due to the resignation of directors, in accordance with the company's stock option plan, 200,000 of these options were forfeited on June 30, 2013 and 100,000 will be forfeited on December 31, 2013.

Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected price volatility. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate. Therefore, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the value of the company's stock options.

(b) Changes in stock options

A summary of the changes in stock option activity for the years ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 is as follows:

		201	3	2012			
		eighted /erage			eighted /erage		
	ex	ercise price	Number of options	e>	kercise price	Number of options	
Outstanding, beginning of year Granted Exercised	\$	0.10	1,000,000 -	\$	0.10 0.10 0.10	900,000 200,000 (100,000)	
Forfeited		0.10	(200,000)		-		
Outstanding and exercisable, end of year	\$	0.10	800,000	\$	0.10	1,000,000	

The options outstanding at the end of the year have an exercise price of \$0.10 per share and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 1.87 years (2012 - 2.86 years).

(c) Effects on profit or loss

The total estimated fair value of the options vested and recognized as an expense for the year ending July 31, 2013 is \$6,414 (2012 - \$11,612).

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

13. General and administrative expenses

The general and administrative expenses incurred by the company for the years ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 are as follows:

	2013	2012
Automotive	\$ 11,736	\$ 8,293
Director's fees	10,000	12,000
Management fee	48,000	46,600
Office	4,219	5,082
Professional fees	32,157	25,406
Rent	9,600	9,600
Repairs and maintenance	144	1,099
Stock transfer and filing fees	18,139	17,492
Telephone	5,721	6,075
Travel	1,855	1,729
	\$ 141,571	\$ 133,376

14. Related party transactions

(a) Key management personnel compensation

The company did not pay employment based remuneration to directors, officers and other members of key management for the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012. However, the company did pay contract based remuneration to directors, officers and other members of key management as disclosed in Note 14 (b).

(b) Other related party transactions

During the year ended July 31, 2013, the company paid management fees in the amount of \$30,000 (2012 - \$30,000) to a director and officer of the company and \$18,000 (2012 - \$16,600) to another director and officer. The company also paid rent in the amount of \$9,600 (2012 - \$9,600) to a director and officer of the company during the year. These amounts are recorded at the exchange amount, which is the amount agreed upon by both parties.

As at July 31, 2013, included in accounts payable are amounts owing to directors and officers of the company in the amount of \$14,000 (2012 - \$9,000).

15. Financial instruments

(a) Risk management and hedging activities

In the normal course of operations the company is exposed to various financial risks. Management's close involvement in the operations allows for the identification of risks and variances from expectations. The company does not meaningfully participate in the use of financial instruments to control these risks. The company has no designated hedging transactions. The financial risks and management's risk management objectives and policies are as follows:

(i) Currency risk

The company does not hold any assets or liabilities denominated in a foreign currency therefore is not exposed to currency risk.

(ii) Price risk

The company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity prices of oil and gas. The company monitors commodity prices in order to manage their exposure to these risks. An annual average change of 1% in crude oil prices would affect the reported net income by \$1,265.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

15. Financial instruments (continued)

(iii) Credit risk

Credit risk refers to the risk that a counterparty will default on its contractual obligations resulting in a financial loss to the company. The company is exposed to credit risk on its financial assets. Cash is held with an established Canadian financial institution and the company's other receivables are from Canadian government entities, from which management believes the risk of loss to be remote. The company does not have any derivatives or similar instruments that mitigate the maximum exposure to credit risk.

The carrying amount of financial assets recorded in the consolidated financial statements of \$278,107 (2012 - \$412,870) represents the maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date.

(iv) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that arises when the maturity of assets and liabilities does not match. Management monitors the company's liquidity by assessing forecast and actual cash flows and by maintaining adequate cash on hand. It is management's opinion that it is unlikely that the company will encounter difficulty in raising funds to meet commitments associated with financial instruments. As at July 31, 2013, the company has working capital in the amount of \$242,944 (2012 - \$377,327).

The contractual maturities of financial liabilities, at July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012, based on the earliest date on which payment can be required, are as follows:

As at July 31, 2013	Total amount	Six month or less	-	More than ix months
Trade payables Other payables	\$ 14,772 43,625	\$ 14,772 43,625	\$	-
	\$ 58,397	\$ 58,397	\$	_
As at July 31, 2012	Total amount	Six month or less	-	More than ix months
As at July 31, 2012 Trade payables Other payables	\$ 	\$ 	-	

(v) Interest rate risk

The company is not exposed to any meaningful interest rate risk due to the short term nature of its interest generating assets.

(b) Sensitivity analysis

The company has cash and cash equivalents subject to interest rate risk of approximately \$217,347 (2012 - \$316,192). A 1% change in the primary interest rate would affect the reported net income, on an annualized basis, by \$2,173 (2012 - \$3,162).

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended July 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in Canadian dollars)

15. Financial instruments (continued)

(c) Fair values, carrying amounts and changes in fair value

The fair values of the company's financial instruments approximate their carrying value due to their short-term nature. Fair value amounts represent point-in-time estimates and may not reflect fair value in the future. The measurements are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and are a matter of judgment. The methods and assumptions used to develop fair value measurements, for those financial instruments where fair value is recognized in the consolidated statement of financial position, have been prioritized into three levels.

Level one includes quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level two includes inputs that are observable other than quoted prices included in level one. Level three includes inputs that are not based on observable market data.

The company's financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy as at July 31, 2013 are as follows:

	Level 1		Le	evel 2	Level 3		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	217,347	\$	-	\$	-	

The company's financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy as at July 31, 2012 are as follows:

	Le	evel 1	Level 2	2	Level 3	3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3	316,192	\$	-	\$	-

(d) Collateral

The carrying value of financial assets the company has pledged as collateral is \$Nil (2012 - \$Nil).

16. Capital management

The company considers its capital structure to consist of share capital, stock options and warrants. When managing capital, the company's objective is to ensure the company continues as a going concern as well as to maintain optimal returns to shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders. Management adjusts the capital structure as necessary in order to support the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral and petroleum and natural gas properties. The Board of Directors does not establish quantitative return on capital criteria for management, but rather relies on the expertise of the company's management to sustain future development of the business. As at July 31, 2013, the company had managed capital (being total Equity) of \$772,831 (2012 - \$847,894).

A number of the properties in which the company currently has an interest are in the exploration stage. As such the company is dependent on external financing to fund its activities. In order to carry out the planned exploration and pay for administrative expenses, the company will spend its existing working capital and raise additional amounts as needed. The company will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire an interest in additional properties it if feels there is sufficient geologic or economic potential and if it has adequate financial resources to do so.

Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the relative size of the company, is reasonable.

There were no changes in the company's approach to capital management during the current or prior year. The company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE AUDITED ANNUAL PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2013

INTRODUCTION

The following management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") of the financial condition and results of operations of Bird River Resources Inc. ("BDR" or the "Company") for the audited Annual Period ending July 31, 2013. The MD&A was prepared as of October 23, 2013 and should be read in conjunction with the related unaudited interim condensed financial statements, including the notes thereto, and the audited annual financial statements for the years ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, including the notes thereto, and the MD&A's for these prior periods.

This MD&A was written to comply with the requirements of National Instrument 51-102 - Continuous Disclosure Obligations. All amounts are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise specified. The results for the period then ended are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any future period. The financial statements along with Certifications of Annual and Interim Filings and press releases, are available on the Canadian System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) at www.sedar.com.

The financial statements for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2013 were prepared in accordance with the newly adopted International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") for publicly accountable profit-oriented enterprises. The changeover to IFRS for financial statements for fiscal years commencing on or after January 1st, 2011 represents a change due to the implementation of these new accounting standards. In 2010, the Corporation started an IFRS conversion plan to address the impact of the changes in accounting policies, restatement of comparative periods, internal controls, and any required changes to business processes. As discussed in this Management Discussion and Analysis, these new accounting standards have resulted in reclassifications on the Corporation's statement of financial position and operations and comprehensive loss.

For the purposes of preparing this MD&A, management, in conjunction with the Board of Directors, considers the materiality of information. Information is considered material if: (i) there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable investor would consider it important in making an investment decision; or (ii) it would significantly alter the total mix of information available to investors. Management, in conjunction with the Board of Directors, evaluates materiality with reference to all relevant circumstances, including potential market sensitivity.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This MD&A may contain forward-looking statements that are based on the Company's expectations, estimates and projections regarding its business and the economic environment in which it operates. These statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties that are difficult to control or predict. Examples of some of the specific risks associated with the operations of the Company are set out below under "Risks and Uncertainties". Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements and readers should not place undue reliance on such statements.

GENERAL OVERVIEW

The Company is a junior natural resource exploration company in Canada and is a reporting issuer in the provinces of Ontario and Manitoba with its common shares listed for trading on the Canadian National Stock

Exchange (or "CNSX") under the trading symbol "BDR". The Company's Registered and Head offices are located at 1059 Selkirk Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba, R2X 0C2.

The Company has one wholly-owned subsidiary, 2411181 Manitoba Ltd., which holds its interest in the Ore Fault Property. The Company's constating documents do not differ from Canadian corporate legislation with respect to corporate governance principles.

The Company has been engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties since its incorporation in 1958. The Company formerly held an exploration property, known as the Ore Fault Property, located in the Bird River Sill area of Manitoba approximately 125 km northeast of Winnipeg. This property was prospective for base and PGM metals. In 2008, the Company sold its working interest in this property for cash and now retains a 1% net smelter return royalty on this property.

The Company also holds a Quarry Lease near Miami, Manitoba which is approximately 85 km southwest of Winnipeg. The 8-hectare lease hosts a narrow bed of bentonite.

The Company is currently pursuing opportunities in oil and gas business in southwestern Manitoba, mainly through joint ventures with experienced oil and gas exploration operators. In March 2009, the Company entered into an agreement with Antler River Resources to participate in a five percent interest in the drilling of a three oil well drilling program in southwestern Manitoba near the towns of Sinclair and Pearson. All three wells are now producing. The Company presently holds joint venture (JV) interests in 12 production oil wells in southwestern Manitoba near the towns of Sinclair, Pierson and Waskada. The wells were developed in conjunction with Antler River Resources of Pierson, Manitoba. The wells have been drilled into the Bakken and Spearfish formations. These oil formations are part of the Williston Basin which includes; Saskatchewan, southwestern Manitoba, North Dakota and Montana. The most recent wells were drilled into the Bakken formation and are producing light sweet crude. BDR holds a total of eight oil leases in southwestern Manitoba with interests ranging from 25% to 100%. The Company's most recent lease acquisition was acquired in August 2012 and comprised 100% interest in a half section at 2-3-26WPM northwest of the Waskada oil field.

The Company's financial performance is dependent on many external factors. The Company expects that any revenues it may earn from its operations in the future will be from the sale of oil and gas. Both prices and markets for metals are volatile, difficult to predict and respond to changes in domestic and international political, social and economic environments. In addition, the availability and cost of funds for exploration, development and production costs are difficult to predict. These circumstances and events could materially affect the financial performance of the Company.

The Company also engages in secondary activities, from time to time, involving the purchase or acquisition of certain industrial minerals – typically diatomaceous earth and bentonite – for distribution and re-sale or, for use in the Company's environmental division's abandoned water well sealing operation. The Company currently generates minimal revenue from these secondary activities. The well sealing service can generally only be conducted during the summer months and adverse weather can limit operations.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

Oil and Gas Activities

In July 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with Antler River Resources Ltd. ("Antler") to earn a 2 ½ % interest in the drilling of two oil wells in southwestern Manitoba. Under the agreement the company was required to pay \$30,000 representing the company's share of expenses incurred to date. An individual that is a director and shareholder of the Company advanced the Company \$30,000. During September 2007, the Board determined that it was inappropriate to proceed with the transaction with Antler due to cash restraints and to remain focused on its core business of mineral exploration. Consequently, the Company entered into an agreement with the related party who had advanced the \$30,000 to sell the Company's interest in the oil well project in exchange for the forgiveness of the amount advanced by him.

On March 6, 2009, the Company entered into a new joint venture agreement with Antler to invest \$35,000 for a 5% gross interest (4% net) in a three well oil drilling program. The wells are located near the towns of Sinclair and Pierson in southwestern Manitoba. All three wells are now producing. In December 2009 the Company participated in the drilling of a vertical well north east of Sinclair, Manitoba. The well was on pump in January 2010 and all four wells are still in production.

On March 24, 2011, the Company reported the test production results for the its fifth horizontal oil well, located at 11-26-1-28W near Pierson. The operator of the well is Atikwa Resources (ATK–TSX-V) ("Atikwa") and the initial production over the first ten days for the well averaged 150 barrels per day. The Company has a 5% gross and 4% net participation in the well. This well is still in production; however the rate of production has declined.

On August 17, 2011, the Company reported that, after a long wet spring in south west Manitoba, the oil wells that had been shut down due to inclement weather were now back on pump. The weather and water issues delayed the drilling program for nearly five months. The first well of the planned six well drilling program was expected to start in mid September. This horizontal well will be located on the north half of 15-8-28W1 and will be completed with a one mile leg. The operator for the well is Antler and the Company will have a 5% participation. This well is still in production as at July 31, 2013. The second well will also be horizontal and is expected to be drilled on the north east quarter of 30-1-27 W1. This well continues in production.

On September 29, 2011, the Company reported that it is participating in the drilling of a new horizontal oil well located at 12-15-8-28W1 east of Sinclair, Manitoba. This is the first well of a planned six well drilling program. The operator of the well and joint venture partner is Antler. Based on the geological data the horizontal well will have approximately a one mile leg and will be cased all the way. Drilling has already started and is expected to be completed by mid October. The Company has a 5% gross and 4% net participation in the well. The well continues to be in production.

On October 13, 2011, the Company announced that the drilling portion of the new well northeast of Sinclair, Manitoba at 12-15-8-28W1 has been completed. The well was drilled into the Bakken formation at 926 meters and has a horizontal leg of 1300 meters. The well has been cased for the entire length of the leg and is awaiting fracking. There will be 27 fracking ports approximately 50 meters apart. The operator of this well is Antler and the Company has a 5% interest. Meanwhile, a drill rig has been moved to 13-23-1-28W east of Pierson, Manitoba. This is a horizontal well with a 600 meter leg drilled into the Spearfish formation. With drilling at 20 to 25 meters an hour the drilling portion is near completion. The operator of this well is Atikwa with a 50% interest and the Company will have 5% participation. Well 13-23-1-28W has been drilled however the well has had production problems and presently not in production due to water problems. The production engineers hope to have the problems resolved by early 2013.

On January 4, 2012, the Company reported that the new Antler horizontal well at 12-15-8-28 northeast of Sinclair, Manitoba is now on pump. The well was drilled into the Bakken formation at 926 meters and has a horizontal leg of 1300 meters and is now pumping 30 cubes of fluid with a 35% oil cut. This works out to about 65 barrels of oil a day (a cube is about 6.28 US barrels). The Company has a 5% gross interest. This well continues to be in production.

The recently completed well at 15-30-1-27 east of Pierson, Manitoba drilled into the Spearfish formation is pumping 200 barrels of fluid a day with an initial 20% oil cut which is expected to increase. The other well at 13-23-1-28 is expected to be put on pump within the next couple of days. The operator of these two wells is Atikwa with a 50% interest. Antler and the Company each have a 25% interest in the lease. Well 15-30-1-27 continues to be in production.

On February 16, 2012, the Company reported an update of the last five oil wells drilled and their current production:

- 1) Well 12-15-8-28HZ drilled into the Bakken Formation with a 1300 meter leg. Production had leveled out at 80 barrels of oil per day.
- 2) Well 11-26-1-28HZ drilled into the Spearfish Formation with a 600 meter leg is producing 40 barrels of oil per day.
- 3) Well 15-30-1-27HZ drilled into the Spearfish Formation with a 600 meter leg was recently put on pump and is producing 100 barrels per day of fluid of which 50 barrels is oil.
- 4) Well 7-34-1-28HZ drilled into the Spearfish Formation with a 600 meter leg is producing 130 barrels of oil per day.
- 5) Well 13-23-1-28HZ drilled into the Spearfish Formation with a 1300 meter leg has just been put on pump and is producing 240 barrels of fluid of which 15 barrels is oil. The oil cut should increase dramatically as the fracking fluid is pumped out.

The above five wells completed the Company's oil well drilling participation for 2011 and plans were subsequently prepared for the 2012 drilling program.

On July 30, 2012, the Company reported with its joint venture partner Antler that another double success had been achieved with the drilling and fracking of two new horizontal oil wells. The wells are located east of Sinclair Manitoba at 16-16-7-28 and 3-15-8-28 and are now on pump. Each well was drilled with 600 metre leg and is fully cased. The wells are now producing 75 barrels per day for each well. The operator of the wells is Antler. The Company has 2.5% interest (2% net) in each of the new wells and the Company now has an interest in 11 production wells.

On November 20, 2012, the Company announced that the board of directors accepted the resignation of Gregory Barrows as a director of the Company. Due to other commitments, Mr. Barrows had decided not to stand for election to the board for the upcoming Annual Meeting to be held on January 17, 2013.

On January 17, 2013 the Company paid an initial advance to Antler River Resources of \$40,000 towards the drilling of a new horizontal well located at 3-22-7-28 in southwestern Manitoba. Bird River Resources Inc. has a 5% gross interest (4% net) in the well. The drilling of the new well commenced in early February and was competed by the end of the month.

January 22, 2013 the Company reported that at the 2012 Annual and Special Meeting held on January 17, 2013, Nelson Shodine (President), Jon Bridgman (CFO), Edward Thompson (Secretary Treasurer), Shane Shodine and David Thom were elected to the Board of Directors for the coming 2013 fiscal year. The shareholders also re-appointed Magnus Chartered Accountants LLP as the Company's auditors.

Oil production in south west Manitoba typically shows a decline in production rates from year to year, however, many of the wells produce over 25 years.

Ore Fault Property

On January 12, 2004, the Company acquired 80% of the issued and outstanding shares of 2411181 Manitoba Ltd. from Myriad Resources Inc. which owned the original Ore Fault Property. As consideration, the Company issued 400,000 common shares valued at \$0.05 per share plus a \$3,000 note payable due on January 15, 2005 for total consideration of \$23,000. The Company already owned the other 20% of 2411181 Manitoba Ltd. On March 10, 2006, the Company announced that it was acquiring all the underlying smelter rights to the Ore Fault Property for consideration of 700,000 common shares. The transaction was approved by the shareholders of Myriad on May 19, 2006, subsequently closed and the shares released from escrow on September 5, 2006.

On May 16, 2005, the Company expanded its Ore Fault Property by conditionally acquiring the adjacent 124hectare Lotus Property comprised of 3-claims in consideration for \$5,000 and 50,000 common shares. The transaction was completed at arm's-length. On October 11, 2007, the Company signed a binding letter of intent with Marathon PGM Corporation (MAR - TSX) ("Marathon") to create a joint venture to actively explore and earn an interest in the Property. The Property, which includes the Lotus claims, is located in the Bird River Sill area of south eastern Manitoba, adjacent to Gossan Resources' Bird River Sill property, which was also under option to Marathon. This arrangement was approved by shareholders at an Annual General and Special Meeting of Shareholders held on December 28, 2007.

Under the terms of the joint venture agreement, Marathon had the option to earn a 70% interest in the Property by making cash payments of \$250,000 to the Company and carrying out, as operator, \$600,000 in exploration expenditures on the Property by August 1, 2008. Once Marathon's interest in the Property reaches 70%, Marathon may require the Company to sell the Company's remaining 30% interest in the Property to Marathon; and the Company may require Marathon to purchase the remaining 30% interest in the Property for a purchase price of \$1,450,000, payable in cash or common shares of Marathon (at Marathon's option), subject to regulatory approval. The Company would then retain a 1% net smelter return royalty (the "NSR") in all minerals and metals extracted from the property. Marathon also made a firm commitment to conduct \$400,000 in exploration expenditures on the Property and to pay a cumulative aggregate of \$200,000 in cash by May 1, 2008.

On May 2, 2008, the Company was advised by Marathon that as per the option and joint venture agreement, it had spent \$549,002 on or for the benefit of the Property. In addition, Marathon had made payments to the Company in the aggregate amount of \$200,000 thereby fulfilling the terms and conditions of section 3.2 of the option and joint venture agreement. As a result, Marathon had exercised its option to acquire a 50% participation interest in the Property and indicated its intention to fulfill its right to earn a 70% interest in the property by August 1, 2008.

On August 19, 2008, Marathon exercised its option and acquired the remaining 30% of the Ore Fault Property for cash consideration of \$1,450,000 thereby completing the transaction and giving it 100% ownership of the Ore Fault Property. The Company now retains a 1% net smelter return royalty (the "NSR") on all minerals and metals extracted from the property.

Lakeshore Property

In the summer of 2007, the Company was granted two exploration permits by the Manitoba Government. The property permits totaled 10,338 hectares located on the eastern and western shores of Lake Winnipegosis about 300 kilometres northwest of Winnipeg. The property known as the Lakeshore Property had no work conducted on it during the following year. In August 2008, the leases expired and the Company decided not to renew the leases due to weak metal prices and the world financial crisis.

Quarry Lease

The Company holds an 8-hectare Quarry Lease that is located 85 km southwest of Winnipeg near Miami, Manitoba. This lease hosts a narrow bed of bentonite that the Company had in the past used in an abandoned water well sealing operation. The Company currently does not generate any revenue from these secondary activities. These activities can generally only be conducted during the summer months and adverse weather can limit operations.

Exploration and evaluation assets

In conjunction with the Company's activities in the natural resource industry, the Company has capitalized the following amounts:

	July 31 2013	July 31 2012
Petroleum and natural gas properties:		
Interest in joint venture (i)	\$ -	\$ 73,439
Lease holdings (ii)	126,704	126,703
-	126,704	200,142
Mineral exploration properties (iii)	273	273
	<u>\$126,977</u>	\$200,415

A summary of the exploration and evaluation asset activity for the years ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 are as follows:

	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of year	\$200,415	\$263,432
Costs incurred during the year	89,400	255,529
Transfer to property and equipment (i)	(162,838)	(278,721)
Impairment as a result of abandoning certain explore	ration and	
evaluation assets (i)	-	(39,825)
Balance, end of year	\$126,977	\$200,415

(i) The company has entered into a jointly controlled operation with Antler River Resources Ltd. The joint operation has no liabilities or revenues and the assets are limited to twelve oil wells (LSD 6-13-7-29, LSD 2-29-28, LSD 14-15-8-28, HZ 13-15-8-28, HZ 11-26-1-28, HZ 12-15-8-28, HZ 7-34-1-28, HZ 13-23-1-28, HZ 15-30-1-27, HZ 3-15-8-28, HZ 16-16-7-28 and HZ 3-22-7-28). Expenditures are limited to costs of surface access, building location, drilling, completing, equipping and operating or abandoning the oil wells. The related expenditures are deferred in the accounts of the company has earned an interest equal to 80% of their contribution to the costs of surface access, building location, drilling, completing, approximately, a 4% interest in the joint venture operations. As of April 30, 2013 technical and commercial viability of extracting resources has demonstrated on eleven oil wells and as a result the amounts previously capitalized to exploration and evaluation assets have been transferred to petroleum and natural gas properties in "property and equipment" (iv) above) and are being depleted accordingly.

(ii) The company has invested in eight lease holdings as follows:

- 1) Northwest quarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
- 2) Southwest quarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
- 3) Northeast quarter 14-4-22, 100% owned with a five-year lease term.
- 4) Northeast quarter 17-1-27, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
- 5) Northeast quarter 23-1-28, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
- 6) Northeast quarter 30-1-27, 25% owned with a three-year lease term.
- 7) Northeast quarter 2-3-26, 100% owned with a two-year lease term.
- 8) Southeast quarter 2-3-26, 100% owned with a two-year lease term.

(iii) The company holds one Quarry Lease, QL - 1530, located 85 kilometres southwest of Winnipeg near Miami, Manitoba. The 8 hectare lease hosts a narrow bed of bentonite.

The company previously held an exploration property known as the Ore Fault property located on the Bird River Greenstone Belt, 125 kilometres northeast of Winnipeg, Manitoba. On August 19, 2008 Marathon PGM acquired the balance of the Ore Fault property consisting of 19 claims which covers 446 hectares. Under the joint venture agreement Marathon had an option to earn 100% of the Ore Fault property once their interest reached 70%. Marathon exercised its option to require the company to sell the remaining 30% interest in the property for a purchase price of \$1,450,000. Bird River Resources Inc. retains a 1% net smelter return ("NSR") royalty on the Ore Fault Property.

(iv) Transfer to property and equipment - Impairment test

During the year ended July 31, 2013, the technical and commercial viability of extracting resources has been demonstrated for the following three oil wells: HZ 3-15-8-28 and HZ 16-16-7-28 and 3-22-7-28. As a result, the company transferred the costs associated with these oil wells to property and equipment. Prior to the transfer, the company assessed the recoverability of its investment by performing an impairment test at the cash-generating unit level. The recoverable amount of each cash-generating unit was estimated based on the higher of the value in use and the fair value less cost to sell. The estimated fair value less cost to sell was used and was determined using estimated future cash flows based on estimated reserves, discounted at 10%, with prices as noted below. Based on the impairment test, the carrying amount of the investments was impaired in the amount of \$nil as at July 31, 2013 (2012 - \$39,8251) and \$162,838 (2012 - \$278,721) was transferred to property and equipment.

The benchmark and company's forecast prices used in the impairment test calculations for the year ended July 31, 2013 were primarily based on future commodity prices and are as follows:

	Light oil
	(Cdn\$/bbl)
2014	92.31
2015	90.00
2016	90.03
Thereafter, 2% increase for inflation	

SUMMARY OF SELECTED ANNUAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following is selected information from the Company's three most recently completed fiscal year-ends:

	Year Ended July 31, 2013	Year Ended July 31, 2012	Year Ended July 31, 2011
ANNUAL INFORMATION	(\$)(1)	(\$)(1)	(\$) (1)
Total revenue	175,759	190,525	29,916
Net income (loss)	(81,477)	(51,280)	(102,808)
Income (loss) per share - basic and fully-diluted	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Total assets	844,048	902,618	925,066
Long-term liabilities	12,820	6,269	-
Dividends declared	-	-	-

(1) Calculated pursuant to IFRS

(2) Calculated pursuant to GAAP

SELECTED QUARTERLY INFORMATION

The following tables show selected financial information related to the Company for the ten most recent interim periods indicated.

	Net Income (Loss)			Total
	Total Revenue	Total	Per Share	Assets
Quarter Ended	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
July 31, 2013	39,586	(34,556)	(0.01)	844,048
April 30, 2013	45,764	(11,465)	(0.01)	853,338
January 31, 2013	37,182	(22,309)	(0.01)	847,229
October 31, 2012	53,227	(13,147)	(0.01)	892,240
July 31, 2012	104,755	(18,196)	(0.01)	902,618
April 30, 2012	8,592	3,537	0.01	895,731
January 31, 2012	10,350	(12,563)	(0.01)	892,861
October 31, 2011	17,126	(24,058)	(0.01)	896,781
July 31, 2011	8,884	(18,360)	(0.01)	925,066
April 30, 2011	7,614	(27,636)	(0.01)	942,990
January 31, 2011	7,617	(30,489)	(0.01)	928,674

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The net loss and comprehensive loss for the 2013 fourth quarter (3-months) ended July 31, 2013 was \$34,556 as compared to net loss and comprehensive loss of \$53,196 for the 3-months ended July 31, 2012. Revenue for the 3-months period ended July 31, 2013 was \$39,586 compared to \$104,755 in the same period of the prior year. The current quarter revenue includes \$28,807 from producing oil wells compared to \$91,944 in the same period of the prior year. Revenue from industrial mineral sales was 10,779 for the three months compared to \$12,811 for 2012. Expenses for the period were \$72,622 (2012-\$160,376) a decrease of \$87,754 in expenses over the same period last year. The decrease in expenses in the quarter is largely attributable to the nil impairment charge respecting the carrying amount of the oil investment and the decline in expenses relating to oil production and operations of the joint venture.

BDR has a joint venture agreement with Antler River Resources in oil and gas drilling programs in south west Manitoba for a participation of five percent gross interest on average. Through this joint venture BDR has participated in 12 oil wells as of July 31, 2013. Deferred expenditures include costs of surface access, building location, drilling, completing, equipping and operating or abandoning the oil wells. The cash flows of the joint operations include the expenditures as outlined above as well as the company's proportionate share of the joint venture's revenues and operating expenses. As at July 31, 2013, the Company had capitalized \$nil (2012 \$73,439) for an interest in a new well joint venture and \$126,704 as lease holdings and \$273 for mineral exploration properties. In addition, the Company transferred \$162,838 previously capitalized to exploration and evaluation assets to property and equipment as a result of certain wells becoming productive. This amount is currently being depleted using the unit of productions method which resulted in \$6,179 of depletion expense for the 4th quarter three month period ended July 31, 2013. The Company has also recognized a decommissioning liability in the amount of \$8,420 relating to these producing oil wells for the year ending July 31, 2013 (2012 \$6,269). During the three month period ended July 31, 2013, the Company recognized \$28,807 of gross revenues from oil production.

The net loss and comprehensive loss for the 12-months ended July 31, 2013 was \$81,477 as compared to a net loss and comprehensive loss of \$51,280 for the 12-months ended July 31, 2012. The increase of \$30,197 in the net loss for the period is primarily attributable to the decrease in revenue of \$14,766 during the period and the increase in G&A and depletion expenses. Revenue for the 12 months period ended July 31, 2013 was \$175,759 compared to \$190,525 in same period of the prior year. This decrease in revenue is largely attributable to the decline in revenue from the producing oil wells to \$126,493 compared to \$141,646 in 2012. Expenses for the

period were \$260,952 (2012 - \$288,025) which represents a decrease in expense of \$27,073 over the same period last year. This decrease in expenses for the 2013 fiscal year is largely attributable to the decrease in impairment from \$39,825 in 2012 to \$Nil in 2013 and the decrease oil production expenses.

The net loss and comprehensive loss for the 12-months ended July 31, 2012 was \$51,280 as compared to a net loss and comprehensive loss of \$102,808 for the 12-months ended July 31, 2011. The decrease of \$51,528 in the net loss for the period is primarily attributable to the increase in revenue of \$160,609 during the period. Revenue for the 12 months period ended July 31, 2012 was \$190,525 compared to \$29,916 in same period of the prior year. This increase is largely attributable to the revenue from the producing oil wells in the amount of \$141,646. Expenses for the period were \$288,025 (2011 - \$176,180) which represents an increase of \$111,845 over the same period last year. This increase in expenses for the 2012 fiscal year is largely attributable to depletion of wells, impairment charge relating to deferred oil well costs, and increased activity in the joint venture operations.

The net loss and comprehensive loss for the 12-months ended July 31, 2011 was \$102,808 as compared to a net loss and comprehensive loss of \$84,788 for the 12-months ended July 31, 2010. The increase of \$18,020 in the net loss for the period is primarily attributable to the decrease in revenue of \$15,201 during the period. Revenue for the 12 months period ended July 31, 2011 was \$29,916 compared to \$45,117 in same period of the prior year. In the fiscal 2011 the gross profit was \$10,458 an increase from the 2010 gross profit of \$6,726. Expenses for the period were \$156,722 (2010 - \$135,970) an increase of \$20,752 over the same period last year. This increase in expenses for the 2011 fiscal year is largely attributable to the increase in director's fees of \$5,000 and the increase in stock based compensation of \$16.045.

Currently, BDR's mineral property portfolio consists of a quarry license providing the right to exploit calcium bentonite beds located near Miami, Manitoba, 85 kilometres southwest of Winnipeg. The Company engages in secondary activities, from time to time, involving the purchase or acquisition of certain industrial minerals, typically diatomaceous earth and bentonite, for distribution and re-sale. Additionally the company also operates a environmental division which distributes a various industrial and environmental products i.e, Dexpan and CanDry Absorbents. The environmental division also provides an abandoned water well sealing service the primary client being the Manitoba Government. The well sealing service operates from mid May through to the end of October.

The management and board of directors are continually reviewing the Company's business strategy while monitoring the current market and economic conditions. Additionally management continues to assess new potential resource property acquisitions as they are presented.

Over the past several quarters, administrative expenses have varied within a range reflecting the Company's costs associated with oil and gas investments, new business development, the well sealing service and related costs in maintaining the Company's listing as a reporting issuer in good standing. Management does not foresee any material change in the amounts of these expenditures in the near future.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

At July 31, 2013, the Company had working capital of \$242,944, a decrease of \$71,409 over the previous 3 months period ended April 30, 2013. This decrease was largely due to an investment in a new well drilled in February 2013 and expenses relating to 2013 Annual General Shareholders Meeting held this past January. The Company incurs ongoing general operating expenses on a monthly basis relating to the management of a public reporting issuer, such as office rent, telephone, internet services, stock transfer & filing fees, stock exchange fees and professional fees.

Presently BDR holds percentage interests (5% gross - 4% net) and (2.5% gross - 2% net) in a total of twelve oil wells of which ten are in production. The Company also owns 25% to 100% interests in eight oil and gas leases (properties) which are to be drilled in the future. During the fourth quarter of the 2013 Fiscal Year, the Company had total revenue of \$39,586 of which \$28,807 was from the Company's investment in twelve oil wells.

BDR will continue to acquire leases with strong potential for oil and gas production. The Company continues to review business opportunities from, time to time, that have synergy with the Company's existing operations and that may provide stable ongoing cash flow.

The Company's ability to raise funds for future development is largely tied to the North American capital markets and investor interest in resource exploration and development companies. The US financial markets have improved over the past 12 months; however, there continues to be ongoing concern about the European economy and its effect on international financial markets. Demand by the world's major consumers of raw materials China and India has declined over the past two year however, as the global economy recovers demand will gradually improve. Historic low interest rates are also a major factor in the growing recovery of the global economy. World oil prices remain firm and the demand for crude oil particularly in Asia continues to grow. Notwithstanding the foregoing the Company's strategy will be to continue to make expenditures in oil and gas properties in a fiscally prudent manner.

DECOMMISSIONING OBLIGATIONS

The Company has decommissioning obligations result from its ownership interest in petroleum and natural gas properties. The total decommissioning provision is estimated based on the Company's net ownership interest in all wells and facilities, estimated costs to reclaim and abandon these wells and facilities and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future years. The total estimated undiscounted cash flows required to settle the provisions, before considering salvage, is approximately \$19,000 as at July 31, 2013 (2012 - \$15,000), which has been discounted using a pretax rate of 2.96% reflecting the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation. These obligations are to be settled based on the economic lives of the underlying assets, which currently extend up to 25 years into the future and will be funded from general corporate resources at the time of abandonment.

	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 6,269	-
Liability incurred	1,930	6,269
Accretion	221	-
Balance, end of year	\$ 8,420	\$ 6,26

The Company's decommissioning obligations for the year ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012 are as follows:

DISCLOSURE OF OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA AS AT JULY 31, 2013

The Company is authorized to issue an unlimited number of Common voting shares, of which 10,570,225 were outstanding as at July 31, 2013.

The Company's incentive stock option plan has granted 1,000,000 stock options to officers and directors. The last grant was 200,000 options approved at a meeting of the Board of Directors on April 9, 2012. The stock options are exercisable into common shares at 10 cents per share for a term expiring June 10, 2015. The options have a weighted average remaining contractual life of 2 years. As a result of the resignation of directors, in accordance with the company's stock option plan, 200,000 of these options were forfeited on June 30, 2013 and 100,000 of these options will be forfeited on December 31, 2013.

On a fully diluted basis there would be 11,370,225 common shares issued and outstanding. There are no warrants presently outstanding.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

The following is a summary of the related party transactions of the Company during the 2013 Fiscal Year ended July 31, 2013. These amounts are recorded at the exchange amount which is the amount agreed upon by both parties. During the year ended July 31, 2013, the Company paid management fees of \$30,000 (2012 - \$30,000) to a director and officer of the Company and \$18,000 (2012 - \$16,600) to another director and officer. The Company also paid rent in the amount of \$9,600 (2012 - \$9,600) to a director and officer during the year.

As at July 31, 2013 included in the accounts payable are amounts owing to directors and officer of the company in the amount of \$14,000 (2012 - \$9,400). These amounts are unsecured, non-interest bearing with no specified terms of repayment.

FUTURE CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES AS PER RECENT ACCOUNTING ANNOUNCEMENTS

Standards issued but not yet effective up to the date of issuance of the Company's financial statements are listed below. This listing is of the standards and interpretations issued, which the Company reasonably expects to be applicable at a future date. The Company intends to adopt those standards when they become effective. The Company does not expect the impact of such changes on the financial statements to be material.

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments: Classification and measurement

IFRS 9, as issued, reflects the first phase of the International Accounting Standards Board's ("IASB's") work on the replacement of IAS 39 and applies to classification and measurement of financial assets as defined in IAS 39. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1st, 2015. In subsequent phases, the IASB will address classification and measurement of financial liabilities, hedge accounting and de-recognition. The adoption of the first phase of IFRS 9 may have an effect on the classification and measurement of the company's financial assets.

IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements

IFRS 10 requires an entity to consolidate an investee when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. IFRS 10 replaces SIC-12 Consolidation - *Special Purpose Entities* and parts of IAS 27 *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements*. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1st, 2013.

IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements

IFRS 11 requires a venturer to classify its interest in a joint arrangement as a joint venture operation. Joint ventures will be accounted for using the equity method of accounting whereas for a joint operation the venturer will recognize its share of the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 31 *Interests in Joint Ventures* and SIC-13 *Jointly Controlled Entities - Non-Monetary Contributions by Venturers*. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1st, 2013.

IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

IFRS 12 applies to entities that have an interest in a subsidiary, a joint arrangement, an associate or an unconsolidated structured entity. This standard is effective for annual period beginning on or after January 1st, 2013.

IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurements

IFRS 13 defines fair value, sets out in a single IFRS framework for measuring value and requires disclosure about fair value measurements. IFRS 13 applies to IFRSs that require or permit fair value measurements or disclosures

about fair value measurement, except in specified circumstances. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1st, 2013.

IAS 19 Employee Benefits (Amended)

The amendments require the recognition of changes in the defined benefit obligation and in plan assets when those changes occur, eliminating the corridor approach and accelerating the recognition of past service costs. The amendment is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1st, 2013.

IAS 27 Separate Financial Statements (Amended)

IAS 27 was re-issued by the IASB on May 12th, 2011 in order to conform to changes as a result of the issuance of IFRS 10, IFRS 11, and IFRS 12. IAS 27 will now only prescribe the accounting and disclosure requirements for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates when an entity prepares separate financial statements as the consolidation guidance will now be included in IFRS 10. The amendment is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1st, 2013.

IAS 28 Investment in Associates and Joint Ventures (Amended)

IAS 28 was re-issued by the IASB on May 12^{th} , 2011 in order to conform to changes as a result of the issuance of IFRS 10, IFRS 11, and IFRS 12. IAS 28 continues to prescribe the accounting for investments in associates, but is now the only source of guidance describing the application of the equity method. The amended IAS 28 will be applied by all entities that are investors with joint control of, or significant influence over, an investee. The amendment is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1st, 2013.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Oil and gas exploration and mineral exploration are speculative ventures. There is no certainty that expenditures on exploration and development will result in the discovery of an economic hydrocarbon reserve. At the present time, the Company holds interests in small number of producing oil wells. The Company's viability and potential success lie in its ability to develop, exploit and generate revenue out of its resource properties. Revenues, profitability and cash flow from any future resource operations involving the Company will be influenced by oil, gas and /or metal prices and by the relationship of such prices to production costs. Such prices have fluctuated widely and are affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control.

The Company has limited financial resources and there is no assurance that additional funding will be available to it for further exploration and development of its projects or to fulfill its obligations under applicable agreements. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain adequate financing in the future or that the terms of such financing will be favourable. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of the property interests of the Company with the possible dilution or loss of such interests.

Bird River Resources is very dependent upon the personal efforts and commitment of its existing management who are not full-time employees of the Company. To the extent that management's services would be unavailable for any reason, the Company's operations could be disrupted. The Company is also reliant upon the services of outside consultants.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

(a) Risk management and hedging activities

In the normal course of operations the Company is exposed to various financial risks. Management's close involvement in the operations allows for the identification of risks and variances from expectations. The Company does not meaningfully participate in the use of financial instruments to control these risks. The Company has no

designated hedging transactions. The financial risks and management's risk management objectives and policies are as follows:

Currency risk

The company does not hold any assets or liabilities denominated in a foreign currency therefore is not exposed to currency risk.

Price risk

The company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity prices of oil and gas. The company monitors commodity prices in order to manage their exposure to these risks. An annual average change of 1% in crude oil prices would affect the reported net income by \$1,265.

Credit risk

Credit risk refers to the risk that counterparty will default on its contractual obligations resulting in a financial loss to the entity. The company is exposed to credit risk on its financial assets. Cash is held with an established Canadian bank and the company's other receivables are from Canadian government entities, from which management believes the risk of loss to be remote. The company does not have any derivatives or similar instruments that mitigate the maximum exposure to credit risk.

The carrying amount of financial assets recorded in the financial statements in the amount of \$278,107 (2012 - \$412,870) represents the maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that arises when the maturity of assets and liabilities does not match. Management monitors the company's liquidity by assessing forecast and actual cash flows and by maintaining adequate cash on hand. It is management's opinion that it is unlikely that the company will encounter difficulty in raising funds to meet commitments associated with financial instruments. As at July 31, 2013 the Company has working capital in the amount of \$242,944 (2012 - \$377,327).

The contractual maturities of financial liabilities, at July 31, 2013, based on the earliest date on which payment can be required, were as follows:

	Total	Six months	More than
	amount	or less	six months
Trade payables	\$ 14,772	\$ 14,772	\$ -
Other payables	43,625	43,625	_
× •	\$ 58,397	\$ 58,397	\$ -

Interest rate risk

The Company is not exposed to any meaningful interest rate risk due to the short term nature of its interest generating assets.

(b) Sensitivity analysis

The Company has cash and cash equivalents subject to interest rate risk of approximately \$217,347 (2012 \$316,192). A 1% change in the primary interest rate would affect the reported net income, on an annualized basis, by \$2,173 (2012 - \$3,162).

(c) Fair values, carrying amounts and changes in fair value

The fair values of the Company's financial instruments approximate their carrying value due to their short-term nature. Fair value amounts represent point-in-time estimates and may not reflect fair value in the future. The measurements are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and are a matter of judgment. The methods and assumptions used to develop fair value measurements, for those financial instruments where fair value is recognized in the balance sheet, have been prioritised into three levels:

Level one includes quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level two includes inputs that are observable other than quoted prices included in level one. Level three includes inputs that are not based on observable market data.

The Company's financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy as at July 31, 2013 is as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 217,347	\$ -	\$ -

The company's financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy as at July 31, 2012 is as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 316,192	\$ -	\$ -

(d) Collateral

The carrying value of financial assets the Company has pledged as collateral is \$Nil (2012 - \$Nil).

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

The Company considers its capital structure to consist of share capital, stock options and warrants. When managing capital, the Company's objective is to ensure that it will have sufficient financial capacity to fund its current obligations and pursue exploration opportunities as they arise as well as maintain optimal returns to shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders. Management regularly monitors its available working capital and as necessary, adjusts to changing economic circumstances in order to support the acquisition, exploration and development of resource properties. The Board of Directors does not establish quantitative return on capital criteria for management, but rather relies on the expertise of the Company's management to sustain future development of the business. As at July 31, 2013, the Company had managed capital (being total shareholder's equity) of \$772,831 (July 31, 2012 - \$847,894).

The Company presently has interests in 12 production wells and ongoing exploration and assessment on properties that it intends to drill in the future. As such the Company is dependent on external financing to fund its activities and or joint ventures. In order to carry out the planned exploration and pay for administrative costs, the Company will spend its existing working capital and raise additional amounts as needed. The Company will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire an interest in additional properties it if feels there is sufficient geologic or economic potential and if it has adequate financial resources to do so.

Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the relative size of the Company, is reasonable.

There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the current or prior year. The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

DISCLOSURE AND INTERNAL FINANCIAL CONTROLS

Management has established processes, which are in place to provide them sufficient knowledge to support management representations that they have exercised reasonable diligence that (i) the unaudited interim financial statements do not contain any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated or that is necessary to make a statement not misleading in light of the circumstances under which it is made, as of the date of and for the periods presented by the unaudited interim financial statements and that (ii) the unaudited interim financial statements fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company, as of the date of and for the periods presented by the unaudited interim financial statements.

In contrast to the certificate required under Multilateral Instrument 52-109 Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings (MI 52-109), the Company utilizes the Venture Issuer Basic Certificate which does not include representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of disclosure controls and procedures (DC&P) and internal control over financial reporting (ICFR), as defined in MI 52-109. In particular, the certifying officers filing the Certificate are not making any representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of: (a) controls and other procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in securities legislation; and (b) a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the issuer's GAAP.

The Company's certifying officers are responsible for ensuring that processes are in place to provide them with sufficient knowledge to support the representations they are making in this certificate.

Investors should be aware that inherent limitations on the ability of certifying officers of a venture issuer to design and implement on a cost effective basis DC&P and ICFR as defined in MI 52-109 may result in additional risks to the quality, reliability, transparency and timeliness of interim and annual filings and other reports provided under securities legislation.