



The following discussion and analysis of the operations, results and financial position of Deer Horn Metals Inc. (formerly Golden Odyssey Mining Inc.) (the "Company") for the nine months ended April 30, 2013 should be read in conjunction with the unaudited financial statements for the nine months ended April 30, 2013 and the audited financial statements ended July 31, 2012.

This Management Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") is dated August 1, 2013 and discloses specified information up to that date. The Company is classified as a "venture issuer" for the purposes of National Instrument 51-102. The Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") in Canada. Unless otherwise cited, references to dollar amounts are in Canadian dollars.

We recommend that readers consult the "Cautionary Statement" on the last page of this report.

Description of Business

The Company was incorporated under the Canada Business Corporations Act on April 16, 2004 under the name "Golden Odyssey Mining Inc." On January 20, 2011, shareholders of the Company approved a name change and it commenced trading as Deer Horn Metals Inc. on January 27, 2011. The Company's strategy is to identify, acquire, explore and develop precious and base metal deposits amenable to low production costs and high operating margins, focusing on properties with low initial entry costs.

Overall Performance and Outlook

Since the year ended July 31, 2008, the Company underwent a significant change in management and direction. The Company no longer focuses on gold properties in the state of Nevada and, effective July 31, 2008, relinquished all property interests in the state of Nevada and closed its Reno, Nevada-based office. During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2012, the Company dissolved its wholly-owned subsidiary, Golden Odyssey Exploration Inc., a company incorporated in the State of Nevada, United States of America. The Company relocated its head-office to Delta, British Columbia, Canada and focused on opportunities in Canada to acquire interests in precious and base metal properties. The Company entered into an option agreement to acquire an interest in the Deer Horn property, located in British Columbia, Canada, in August 2009. The company continues to seek and evaluate opportunities to participate in similar projects.

Company Activity

During the nine months ended January 31, 2013, the Company completed a non-brokered private placement of 5,700,000 units for aggregate proceeds of \$285,000. The Company also incurred \$320,813 in exploration expenditures on its Deerhorn property during the nine months ended April 30, 2013.

Deer Horn

In August 2009, the Company entered into an option agreement with a company related by virtue of common directors, to acquire an initial 50% interest in the Deer Horn property, located in north western British Columbia.

To acquire its interest, the Company is required to incur \$5,000,000 in exploration expenditures as follows:

- 1) Incur \$400,000 by August 12, 2010 (incurred)
- 2) Incur an additional \$1,100,000 by August 12, 2011 (incurred)



3) Incur an additional \$1,500,000 by August 12, 2012 (incurred)

4) Incur an additional \$2,000,000 by August 12, 2013 (incurred)

As at the date of this MD&A, the Company has incurred the required \$5,000,000 in exploration expenditures to earn an initial 50% interest in the property. The Company may acquire an additional 25% interest by incurring all costs required to bring the property to commercial production.

The Company's 2011 work program included 60 holes totalling 2,500 meters of drilling and 1,000 meters of trenching, including 6 holes totalling 650 meters of drilling and 1,000 meters of trenching focusing on the historical tungsten showing.

The Company encountered mineralization in all 49 holes drilled in the 2011 exploration program and near-surface mineralization was extended to a distance of at least 875 meters and is open in three directions. The Company intends to infill drill as part of its next phase of work on the property in order to potentially add further resources to the existing estimates.

In April 2012, the Company announced an updated mineral resource estimate, prepared in compliance with NI 43-101. It showed increased tonnage and significantly higher resources of gold and silver, and an initial resource for tellurium. The revised estimate, authored by R.A. Lane, P.Geo. and G.H. Giroux, P.Eng., both independent consultants and Qualified Persons as defined by NI 43-101, included 414,000 tonnes averaging 5.12g/t Au, 157.5g/t Ag and 160ppm Te classed as Indicated and an additional 197,000 tonnes averaging 5.04g/t Au, 146.5g/t Ag and 137ppm Te classed as Inferred.

The updated mineral resource estimate was calculated for mineralized zones at a 1g/t Au cut-off and is based on historical diamond drill holes completed from 1944 to 1990, 35 drill holes completed by the Company in 2009 and a further 29 drill holes completed in 2011. The deposit contains 68,000 ounces of gold, 2.1 million ounces of silver and 66,000 kg of tellurium in the indicated category and 32,000 ounces of gold, 930,000 ounces of silver and 27,000 kg of tellurium in the inferred category. an Indicated tellurium (Te) resource was estimated with about ½ the data used to estimate the Indicated Au and Ag. Te represents a minor component of the projects economics. The reader should be aware that the confidence in the Indicated Te estimate is lower than the confidence in Indicated Au and Ag.

In May 2012, the Company announced that it had received initial documents relating to an airborne survey carried out to test the remaining unexplored areas of the property. R.A. Lane, P.Geo., the Company's Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101, subsequently identified a number of targets for further detailed investigation, notably the gold-silver-tellurium vein system and, the tungsten zone.

In October 2012, the Company completed a 10-day prospecting program to evaluate several anomalies identified during an assessment of the airborne magnetic and radiometric survey data. The fieldwork resulted in several new discoveries, including previously-unknown copper showings, the westerly extension of the gold-silver-tellurium vein system, and sheelite-bearing (tungsten) strata consistent with the historical descriptions of the Harrison Scheelite mineral occurrence.

In March 2013, the Company announced results of a Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA") for the property. The PEA was revised on July 26, 2013. The amended and restated PEA, prepared in accordance with NI 43-101, is available in the Company's disclosure record located on SEDAR (www.sedar.com). It is estimated that the project will carry a 14 year mine life with a 2.4 year payback.

On May 3, 2013, the Company announced that, under the terms of an option agreement, it had fulfilled its obligation to incur \$5,000,000 in expenditures to earn a 50% interest in the Deer Horn property.



Qualified Person – R.A. Lane is the Qualified Person as defined by National Instrument 43-101 responsible for the technical data reported in this Amended MD&A document.

Summary

Following the Company's earn-in on its 50% interest in the Deer Horn property, the Company's primary focus is to undertake further exploration drilling to potentially upgrade the inferred resource contained in the 14 year mine plan to the indicated or measured categories. The Company is planning to proceed with further in-fill and step-out drilling, engineering and environmental programs to advance the project to a preliminary feasibility stage.

Results of Operations

Three months ended April 30, 2013 compared with the three months ended April 30, 2012.

General and administrative expenses totalled \$212,859 for the three months ended April 30, 2013 compared with \$384,299 in 2012. The decrease of \$171,440 is the result of decreases in amounts recorded for exploration expenses of \$74,980, office expenses of \$6,341, professional fees of \$13,803, salaries and management fees of \$109,612 and travel of \$8,677, however; the decreases were offset slightly by increases in amounts recorded for investor relations and shareholder information of \$18,739, regulatory filing fees of \$4,335, rent of \$1,848 and share-based payments of \$17,051.

The decrease in general and administrative expenses is due mainly to decreased salaries, management and consulting fees and decreased exploration expenses. Decreases in other categories are due to reduced levels of overall corporate activity.

Exploration Expenses

The Company incurred \$19,815 in exploration expenses during the three months' ended April 30, 2013 compared with \$94,795 in 2012.

Income for the Period

The Company did not report any income for the three months' ended April 30, 2013 or 2012.

Nine months ended April 30, 2013 compared with the nine months ended April 30, 2012.

General and administrative expenses totalled \$833,878 for the nine months ended April 30, 2013 compared with \$2,695,317 in 2012. The decrease of \$1,861,439 is the result of decreases in amounts recorded for exploration expenditures of \$1,397,016, investor relations and shareholder information of \$66,908, office of \$2,499, professional fees of \$53,093, rent of \$2,420, salaries and management fees of \$226,839, share-based payments of \$113,321 and travel of \$9,155, however; the Company did record an increase in regulatory and filing fees of \$9,812.

The decrease in general and administrative expenses is due mainly to a reduction in exploration activity in the current year. In addition, IFRS transitioning and related expenses increased professional and management fees in the nine months ended April 30, 2012 whereas no such expenditures were incurred



in the nine months ended April 30, 2013. The Company also taken cost reduction measures in other general and administrative expenses, including reductions in salaries and management and consulting fees in the current year.

Exploration Expenses

The Company incurred \$320,813 in exploration expenses during the nine months' ended April 30, 2013 compared with \$1,717,829 in 2012.

The Company completed an extensive diamond drill program in the nine months' ended April 30, 2012 whereas the Company's focus for the same period in 2013 was activity related to a preliminary economic assessment on its Deerhorn property, thereby incurring the remaining expenditures required to earn its 50% option on the property.

Income for the Period

The Company did not report any income for the nine months' ended April 30, 2013 or 2012.

Summary of Quarterly Results

Quarter Ended	2013 Apr. 30 Q2 \$	2013 Jan. 31 Q2 \$	2012 Oct. 31 Q1 \$	2012 July 31 Q4 \$	2012 April 30 Q3 \$	2012 Jan. 31 Q2 \$	2011 Oct. 31 Q1 \$	2011 July 31 Q4 \$
Revenues	-	-	-	5,339	-	-	-	-
G&A Expenses	212,859	244,102	376,917	303,572	384,299	481,627	1,829,197	864,049
Option Benefits	17,051	-	-	399	-	117,860	12,512	(8,037)
Net Loss								
- per share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.02)	(0.01)
- per share (diluted)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.01)	-
Total Assets	136,192	291,530	489,083	601,340	547,936	803,936	945,189	2,688,056
Liabilities (Long Term)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cash Dividends	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Working Capital (Deficiency)	(98,060)	97,476	341,306	442,900	230,806	514,755	596,829	2,391,275
Share Capital:								
Authorized	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited
Outstanding	104,353,166	104,353,166	104,353,166	98,653,166	95,609,166	95,609,166	95,609,166	95,609,166



AMENDED MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
For the nine months ended April 30, 2013

Warrants	19,764,000	19,764,000	19,764,000	17,104,000	14,060,000	35,655,000	38,201,271	38,201,271
Options	9,075,000	8,075,000	9,075,000	9,075,000	9,075,000	9,200,000	8,370,000	8,370,000

Liquidity

At April 30, 2013 the Company had current assets of \$45,548, of which \$25,779 was comprised of cash. Current liabilities totalled \$143,608 and consisted of trade payables and related party payables.

Total working capital as at April 30, 2013 was a deficiency of \$98,060. The Company continues to assess funding opportunities to address its ongoing financial obligations and for exploration programs on its property.

Capital Resources

The Company plans to continue its participation in the Deerhorn project discussed above. The Company expects to finance expenditures on these projects through the sale of common shares by way of equity financings, and through the exercise of warrants and stock options.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Related Party Transactions

Amounts owing to related parties consists of \$5,600 for consulting fees paid or accrued to a company controlled by a Director (2012 - \$Nil).

Exploration expenses of \$199,037 (2012 - \$1,598,534) were paid or accrued to a company related by virtue of a former (common) director, Scott Gifford.

Related Party Transactions - Key Management Compensation

During the period, \$166,500 (2012 - \$252,500) was paid or accrued to a company controlled by the President, Tyrone Docherty, for services as director and officer of the Company, \$30,000 (2012 - \$30,000) was paid or accrued to a company controlled by a Director, Tony Fogarassy, for consulting services and \$15,000 (2012 - \$15,000) was paid or accrued to a company controlled by an Officer, Pamela Saulnier for services as an officer of the Company.

New standards, amendments and interpretations not yet effective:

The following IFRS standards have been recently issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB"). The Company is assessing the impact of these new standards, but does not expect them to have a significant effect on the financial statements.

Consolidation

IFRS 10 requires an entity to consolidate investee when it's exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. Under existing IFRS, consolidation is required when an entity has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. IFRS 10 replaces

SIC-12 “Consolidation-Special Purpose Entities” and parts of IAS 27 “Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements”. The standard is required to be adopted for periods beginning January 1, 2013. The Company does not expect the standard to have a material impact on its financial statements.

Joint Arrangements

IFRS 11 “Joint Arrangements”, requires a venturer to classify its interest in a joint arrangement as a joint venture or a joint operation. Joint ventures will be accounted for using the equity method of accounting whereas for a joint operation, the venturer will recognize its share of the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation. Under existing IFRS, entities have the choice to proportionately consolidate or equity account for interests in joint ventures. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 31, “Interests in Joint Ventures”, and SIC-13, “Jointly Controlled Entities – Non-monetary Contributions by Venturers”. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. Entities early adopting this standard must also adopt the other standards included in the ‘suite of five’ standards on consolidation, joint arrangements and disclosures: IFRS 10, “Consolidated Financial Statements”, IFRS 12, “Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities”, IAS 27 (2011), “Separate Financial Statements” and IAS 28 (2011), “Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures”. The Company does not expect the standard to have a material impact on its financial statements.

Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

IFRS 12, “Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities”, establishes disclosure requirements for interests in other entities, such as joint arrangements, associates, special purpose vehicles and off balance sheet vehicles. The standard carries forward existing disclosures and also introduces significant additional disclosure requirements that address the nature of, and risks associated with, an entity’s interests in other entities. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. Entities early adopting this standard must also adopt the other standards included in the ‘suite of five’ standards on consolidation, joint arrangements and disclosures: IFRS 10, “Consolidated Financial Statements”, IFRS 11, “Joint Arrangements”, IAS 27 (2011), “Separate Financial Statements” and IAS 28 (2011), “Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures”. The Company does not expect the standard to have a material impact on its financial statements.

Joint Ventures

The IASB issued Exposure Draft 9 – Joint Arrangements (“ED-9”) in September 2007. ED-9 proposed to eliminate the Company’s choice to proportionately consolidate jointly controlled entities and required such entities to be accounted for using the equity method. During the second quarter of 2009, the IASB commenced re-deliberations of ED-9 and now proposes to establish a principles-based approach to the accounting for joint arrangements which focuses on the nature, extent and financial effects of the activities that an entity carries out through joint arrangements and its contractual rights and obligations to assets and liabilities, respectively, of the joint arrangements. The standard is required to be adopted for periods beginning January 1, 2013. Earlier application is permitted. The Company does not expect the standard to have a material impact on its financial statements.

Fair Value Measurement

IFRS 13 is a comprehensive standard for fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for use across all IFRS standards. The new standard clarifies that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants, at the measurement date. It also establishes disclosures about fair value measurement. Under existing IFRS, guidance on measuring and disclosing fair value is dispersed among the specific standards requiring fair value measurements and in many cases does not reflect a clear measurement basis or consistent disclosures. The Company does not expect the standard to have a material impact on its financial statements.

Financial instruments

The IASB intends to replace IAS 39 – Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement (“IAS 39”) in its entirety with IFRS 9 – Financial Instruments (“IFRS 9”) in three main phases. IFRS 9 will be the new standard for the financial reporting of financial instruments that is principles-based and less complex than IAS 39, and is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015, with earlier adoption permitted. The Company has not early-adopted the standard and is currently assessing the impact it will have on the financial statements.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISK MANAGEMENT

Classification of financial instruments

Financial instruments measured at fair value are classified into one of three levels using a fair value hierarchy that reflects the significance of the inputs used in making the measurements. The fair value (“FV”) hierarchy has the following levels:

Level 1- quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2- inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly (ie. as prices) or indirectly (ie. derived from prices); and

Level 3- inputs for the asset or liability that are not based on observable market data (unobservable inputs).

The Company’s financial instruments consist of cash, receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

Fair values

Fair value estimates of financial instruments are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant information about financial markets and specific financial instruments. As these estimates are subjective in nature, involving uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, they cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions can significantly affect estimated fair values.

The fair value of cash is measured at Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying value of receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair value because of the short term nature of these instruments.

Financial instrument risk exposure and risk management

The Board of Directors has overall responsibility for the establishment and oversight of the Company’s risk management framework. The Company considers the fluctuations of financial markets and seeks to minimize potential adverse effects on financial performance. The Company is exposed in varying degrees to a variety of financial instrument related risks. The Board approves and monitors the risk management process.

Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of a financial loss to the Company if a counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligation. The Company’s exposure to credit risk includes cash and receivables. The Company reduces its credit risk by maintaining its bank accounts at large international financial institutions. The Company’s receivables consist primarily of tax receivables due from federal government



agencies. The maximum exposure to credit risk is equal to the fair value or carrying value of the financial assets.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its obligations as they become due. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on management's ability to raise required funding through future equity issuances. The Company manages its liquidity risk by forecasting cash flows from operations and anticipating any investing and financing activities. Management and the Board of Directors are actively involved in the review, planning and approval of significant expenditures and commitments.

Interest rate risk

The Company has cash balances. The Company's current policy is to invest excess cash in investment grade short-term demand deposit certificates issued by its banking institutions. The company periodically monitors the investments it makes and is satisfied with the credit rating of its banks. The Company is exposed to interest rate risk. The Company's bank account earns interest income at variable rates. The fair value of its portfolio is relatively unaffected by changes in short-term interest rates. The Company's future interest income is exposed to short-term rates.

Commodity price risk

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity and equity prices. Equity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on the Company's earnings due to movements in individual equity prices or general movements in the level of the stock market. Commodity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on earnings and economic value due to commodity price movements and volatilities. The Company closely monitors commodity prices of gold and other precious and base metals, individual equity movements, and the stock market to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken by the Company.

OTHER MD&A REQUIREMENTS

Additional Disclosure for Venture Issuers without Significant Revenue:

As the Company has not had revenue from operations in either of its last two financial years, the following is a breakdown of the material costs incurred:

	Nine months ended Jan. 31, 2013	Nine months ended Jan. 31, 2012
General and Administration Expenses	<u>\$833,878</u>	<u>\$2,695,317</u>

Disclosure of Outstanding Share Data

The Company's authorized share capital consists of unlimited common shares without par value.

As at July 31, 2012, the Company had 98,653,166 issued and outstanding common shares and as at August 1, 2013, the Company had 104,353,166 issued and outstanding common shares.



The following is a summary of stock options outstanding as at July 31, 2012 and August ●, 2013:

Expiry Date	Exercise Price Per Share	Number of Shares Remaining Subject to Options (July 31, 2012)	Number of Shares Remaining Subject to Options (August ●, 2013)
May 29, 2013	\$0.10	175,000	-
March 9, 2014	\$0.10	500,000	500,000
July 14, 2014	\$0.22	500,000	500,000
August 28, 2014	\$0.22	850,000	850,000
May 21, 2015	\$0.10	2,500,000	2,500,000
October 8, 2015	\$0.10	300,000	300,000
March 16, 2016	\$0.25	2,750,000	2,750,000
June 24, 2016	\$0.25	500,000	500,000
October 6, 2016	\$0.18	1,000,000	-
March 25, 2018	\$0.10	-	1,000,000
Total		9,075,000	8,900,000

The following is a summary of share purchase warrants outstanding as at July 31, 2012 and August ●, 2013:

Expiry Date	Exercise Price Per Share	Number of Shares Remaining Subject to Warrants (July 31, 2012)	Number of Shares Remaining Subject to Warrants (August ●, 2013)
Sept. 28, 2013	\$0.10	14,060,000	14,060,000
June 20, 2014	\$0.14 - \$0.17	3,044,000	3,044,000
Oct. 9, 2015	\$0.10	-	2,660,000
Total		17,104,000	19,764,000

Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

The Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of the Company are responsible for designing internal controls over financial reporting, or causing them to be designed under their supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with IFRS. The Company assessed the design of the internal controls over financial reporting as at April 30, 2013 and concluded that there are material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting, which are as follows:

- a) Due to the limited number of staff resources, the Company believes there are instances where a lack of segregation of duties exist to provide effective controls; and
- b) Due to the limited number of staff resources, the Company may not have the necessary in-house knowledge to address complex accounting and tax issues that may arise.

The weaknesses and their related risks are not uncommon in a company the size of the Company because of limitations in size and number of staff. The Company believes it has taken steps to mitigate these risks by hiring additional personnel, consulting outside advisors and involving the Audit Committee and Board of Directors in reviews and consultations where necessary. However, these weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting could result in a more than remote likelihood that a material

misstatement would not be prevented or detected. The Company believes that it must take additional steps to further mitigate these risks by consulting outside advisors on a more regular and timely basis.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the nine months ended April 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

Additional Information

Additional information relating to the Company is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Cautionary Statement

This MD&A is based on a review of the Company's operations, financial position and plans for the future based on facts and circumstances as of August 9, 2013. Except for historical information or statements of fact relating to the Company, this document contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities regulations. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove to be accurate, and future events and actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations are disclosed in the Company's documents filed from time to time via SEDAR with the Canadian regulatory agencies to whose policies we are bound. Forward-looking statements are based on the estimates and opinions of management on the date the statements are made, and we do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements should conditions or our estimates or opinions change. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause the Company's actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievement expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.