



8338-120th Street
Surrey, BC
V3W 3N4
Tel.: (604) 592-6881
Fax: (604) 592-6882

Management's Discussion and Analysis For the year ended July 31, 2012

DATE AND SUBJECT OF REPORT

The following is management's discussion ("MD&A") in respect of the results of operations and financial position of Maxtech Ventures Inc. ("Maxtech" or the "Company") for the year ended July 31, 2012 and should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the same period. The Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended July 31, 2011 were prepared in accordance with Canadian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("Canadian GAAP"). Effective August 1, 2011 the Company adopted International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as required by the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants. In accordance with these requirements the transition date for implementation of IFRS was August 1, 2010. Except as otherwise noted all amounts for prior periods reported in this MD&A have been restated or reclassified to conform to IFRS. The financial statements of the Company are presented in Canadian dollars. Additional information, including the above mentioned financial statements, which contain extensive disclosure of the history and properties of the Company, are available on SEDAR and may be accessed at www.sedar.com

The MD&A has been prepared effective as of November 28, 2012.

BACKGROUND

Maxtech is an exploration stage company that is actively engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral resource properties located in British Columbia, Quebec and internationally. The Company is listed on the TSX Venture Exchange under the symbol MVT.

The Company is in the process of exploring its mineral properties and has not yet determined whether these properties contain mineral reserves that are economically recoverable. The continued operations of the Company and the recoverability of the amounts shown for mineral properties is dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete their development, and upon future profitable production or the realization of proceeds from the disposition of an interest or interests.

Current economic conditions have limited the Company's ability to access financing through equity markets and this has created significant uncertainty as to the Company's ability to fund ongoing operations for the next operating period. Management is actively monitoring the changes in Canadian capital market to ensure the Company can raise adequate funding to meet the Company's long term business goals.

FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION

The information set forth in this MD&A contains statements concerning future results, future performance, intentions, objectives, plans and expectations that are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements. These statements concerning possible or assumed future results of operations of the

Company are preceded by, followed by or include the words 'believes,' 'expects,' 'anticipates,' 'estimates,' 'intends,' 'plans,' 'forecasts,' or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations that involve numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, future mining properties exploration plans including risks associated with the costs of mineral exploration, whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable, the fluctuating nature of metal prices, Canadian and foreign government regulations regarding mining, environmental hazards, environmental protection regulations, and also those identified in the Risks & Uncertainties section. Assumptions relating to the foregoing involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and while many of which underlying the forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove inaccurate. These factors should be considered carefully, and readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The Company does not undertake or assume any obligation to release publicly any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as required by securities law.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE

Corporate Restructuring

On January 15, 2011, the Company and its former wholly owned subsidiary Chimata Gold Corp. ("Chimata"), entered into an arrangement agreement ("Arrangement Agreement") to proceed with a corporate restructuring by way of a statutory plan of arrangement whereby Chimata would acquire all of Maxtech's interest in Guercheville Property in exchange for 33,649,002 common shares of Chimata (the "Chimata Gold Shares"). Details of the Guercheville Property transferred to Chimata are discussed in the section Exploration Update.

The Arrangement Agreement was approved by the Supreme Court of British Columbia on March 25, 2011 and by Maxtech's shareholders on March 17, 2011, and became effective on August 17, 2011. As a result, Chimata was spun off from Maxtech and these Chimata Gold Shares were distributed to Maxtech shareholders, as at the close of business on September 2, 2011, pro-rata based on their relative shareholdings of Maxtech.

Common shares of Chimata began trading on the TSX Ventures Exchange on September 16, 2011.

Exploration Update

Lalitpur District, India

The Company, through its wholly owned Indian subsidiary, Maxtech Resources (Private) Ltd, was granted a Reconnaissance Permit (RP) in March, 2010 covering 212.75 square kilometres in the Lalitpur District, state of Uttar Pradesh (U.P.), India. The RP was granted to explore for iron, gold and platinum group elements, base metals, uranium and diamonds.

In March 2012, the Company relinquished 50% of its acreage position in the RP as per Indian mining laws. The Company then has one year to convert up to 25 sq. km. of the remaining acreage in the RP to a Prospecting License (PL). The PL is the next level of permitting and will allow the Company to perform advanced exploration work on the project for an additional three years.

An initial 5.5 sq. km. PL has now been applied for and is currently awaiting approval of the Director of the Directorate of Geology and Mining in Uttar Pradesh. The area in this PL encompasses the known iron deposit comprised of a Banded Iron Formation (BIF), located within a large airborne magnetic anomaly. The anomaly is approximately 20 kilometres in length, and varying in width between one to six kilometres. Within the anomalous area, crews have also identified three rare earth elements (REE) anomalies. Maxtech will submit additional applications to increase the size of the PL to the maximum allowable acreage, in the first quarter of 2013.

To date, the Company's Indian geological team has undertaken an extensive surface sampling and mapping program, with focus on the area of the initial PL application. Once the PL is granted, the company will have the right to begin drilling and continue with a more in-depth exploration and development program on the project. There are currently in excess of 1,000 samples awaiting assay, which are expected to be processed early in calendar 2013. The information collected from this program will be used to prioritize drill targets for the future.

"The iron formation occurs in three bands up to 120 m in width along a strike length of 4 km. The reserves are potentially 300 million tonnes to a depth of 100 m below the surrounding plain, and the grade is approximately 28% iron with no harmful contaminants", as noted in the report entitled "Preliminary Evaluation of the Girar Iron Deposits, Lalitpur District, Uttar Pradesh, India, for the United Nations Development Programme, Mineral Surveys in Uttar Pradesh", authored by A.T. Griffis, M.A., Ph.D., P.Eng, Watts, Griffis and McOuat Limited, Toronto, Ontario, August 12, 1975. This estimate is based on old drillings, trench sampling and bulk sampling for metallurgical testing carried out at Lakefield Laboratories in Ontario, Canada. This data is categorized as a historical resource estimate under National Instrument 43-101 and has not been sufficiently verified by a qualified person to be classified as a current mineral resource. The Company is not treating this historical estimate as current resource estimate and therefore it should not be relied upon. A modern drill program is required to determine reliability of the historical estimates or to verify the historical estimates as current resources. The historical reserves cannot be compared to current reserves because there is no supporting economic analysis.

The following table is the initial published assay results for the project:

Line	Location	Interval (m)	Samples (Surface)	Length (m)	Fe %
MSL-1	NW of Ram Mandir - Girar West	1	28	40	32.00
MSL-2	South of diamond drill hole #16	2	15	30	27.26
MSL-3	NW of Ram Mandir - Girar West	2 2	12 10	24 20	28.50 27.94
GTR-9	Trench south of drill holes #20 & 25	1.5	20	30	29.09
MSL-A	South of diamond drill hole #16	Random	1		28.04
B		Random	1		31.67
C		Random	1		30.69
D		Random	1		29.17
E		Random	1		15.87
F		Random	1		33.53

In addition, Maxtech is continuing to increase its holdings in the region. The Company currently has four additional Reconnaissance Permit applications pending approval for mineral exploration in the States of Uttar Pradesh, Uttaranchal and Himachal Pradesh:

1. An RP for 4,380 square kilometers to explore for Platinum and all minerals of Platinum, Gold, Iron ore, silver, Nickel, Cobalt, Chromium, Lead, Zinc, Diamond, Copper and other associated minerals in the Lalitpur District, in the State of Uttar Pradesh.
2. An RP for 161 square kilometres to explore for gold, scheelite, tin, copper, lead and zinc on an area that straddles the border of the State of Uttaranchal, U.P., and the State of Himanchal Pradesh. The probable reserve estimated for the deposit by the Geological Survey of India is approximately 390,000 tonnes with an average grade of 4.90% zinc, 2.68% lead and 0.37% copper, as noted in a report entitled Final Report on the Assessment of Basemetal Mineralisation in the Chamri Block Tons Valley Area, Dehradun District, Uttar Pradesh (Field Season 1983-84 to 1989-90), by R.N Srivastava and S.K Mathur, Geologists (Sr.), Economic Geology Division-1, Operation Uttar Pradesh, Geological Survey of India, Northern Region, Lucknow, January 1993. The estimate is based on detailed mapping, sampling and 11 drill holes, spaced about 50m apart.

This data is categorized as a historical resource estimate under National Instrument 43-101 and has not been sufficiently verified by a qualified person to be classified as current mineral resource. Maxtech Ventures is not treating this historical estimate as a current resource estimate and therefore it should not be relied upon. A modern drill program is required to determine reliability of the historical estimates or to verify the historical estimates as current resources. The historical reserves cannot be compared to current reserves because there is no supporting economic analysis.

3. An RP for 296 square kilometres to explore for copper, lead, zinc in the State of Uttaranchal. The Amtiargad deposit has an estimated probable reserve estimated by the Geological Survey of India of 1.41 million tonnes with a grade of 1.65% Pb, and 4.92 Zn, as noted in a report entitled Final Report on Detailed Investigation for Basemetal Mineralisation in Sheora Block, Tons Valley, Dehradun District, Uttar Pradesh, (Field Season 1997-98 and 1998-99), by S. Datta Asstt. Geologist and A.K. Talwar Asstt. Geologist, Project: Mineral Investigation (E.P.), Geological survey of India, Northern Region, Lucknow, March, 2000. The estimate is based on 16 drill holes. This data is categorized as a historical resource estimates under National Instrument 43-101 and has not been sufficiently verified by a qualified person to be classified as current mineral resource. A modern drill program is required to determine reliability of the historical estimates or to verify the historical estimates as current resources. The historical reserves cannot be compared to current reserves because there is no supporting economic analysis. Maxtech Ventures is not treating this historical estimate as current resource estimate and therefore it should not be relied upon. The historical reserves cannot be compared to current reserves because there is no supporting economic analysis.
4. In the Almora district in the State of Uttaranchal an application has been filed for a 1,393.75 square kilometres RP to explore for gold, scheelite, tin, copper, lead and zinc and other minerals.

Thomas R. Tough, P.Eng., Non-Executive Chairman of Maxtech Ventures Inc. is a Qualified Person as defined by National Instrument 43-101, and is responsible for and has reviewed all technical information contained herein.

During the year ended July 31, 2012, the Company incurred \$126,540 deferred exploration cost in connection with the Lalitpur RP.

Ariane & Guercheville, Quebec

The Ariane project consists of 108 claims covering 6,039 ha. The Claims are in good standing and have expiry dates ranging from June 10, 2014 to February 28, 2015.

By an Option agreement dated March 5, 2007, the Company had the opportunity to acquire a 100% interest in two prospective gold properties in the Abitibi region of Quebec for consideration of a cash payment of \$45,000 for each property and by undertaking the drilling of at least three holes on each property. For each property upon which an economic discovery is made, a bonus of \$70,000 in the Company's common shares and a 2% NSR will be issued to the vendor. In addition, the Company could acquire 1% of the NSR for \$1 million.

On October 20, 2010, the Company renegotiated the original agreement whereby the Company acquired a 100% interest of Ariane and Guercheville properties and the inclusion of 93 additional claims added to the Ariane properties and 13 additional claims added to the Guercheville properties.

During the quarter ended October 31, 2011, the Company completed the spin-out of its former subsidiary, Chimata Gold Corp. Upon the completion of the Arrangement Agreement, the Company transferred the Guercheville property to Chimata. The transfer is recorded using the historical carrying values of the Guercheville mineral properties in the accounts of Maxtech at the time of the transfer (\$359,039) and the Company has not recorded gain or loss in connection with this transfer of assets.

Management is currently reviewing the Ariane project and is considering various options to maximize the value of this mineral property.

During the year ended July 31, 2012, the Company incurred \$40,324 deferred exploration cost in

connection with the Ariane properties.

Julia Property, British Columbia

By an Option agreement dated November 30, 2005 and amended September 7, 2006, between the Company and an arm's length's entity (the "Optionor"), the Company acquired a 50% interest, subject to a 2% NSR, of various mineral claims covering areas located in the Atlin Mining Division of British Columbia (the "Julia Property").

The 2,346 ha Julia Property consists of 10 claims located in northwest British Columbia, within the Atlin Mining District. These claims are centred east of Atlin, south of Surprise Lake and to the immediate west of the headwaters of the O'Donnel River.

To date, Maxtech has carried out two work programs on the property, the first in the fall of 2007 and the second in the late summer of 2010. The programs consisted of a MMI soil sampling program and ground magnetic and electromagnetic surveys.

On May 31, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with the Optionor whereby the Company and the Optionor agreed to transfer their interests in the Julia Property to Emerging Minerals (BC) Corp. The Company and the Optionor were each issued 16,500,000 shares of Emerging Minerals. On the same date, the Company and the Optionor agreed that the Company contributed \$210,000 over and above the originally agreed upon expenditures and an additional 2,100,000 shares of Emerging Minerals were issued to the Company in return for these expenditures. As a result, the Company now holds 53% of the Julia property.

The expiry date of all the claims have been extended to October 31, 2017. All the claims were in good standing as of July 31, 2012.

In February, 2012, the Company received a 43-101 report with on the property. The report recommended a two phase exploration program. The budget for Phase I is approximately \$300,000. Phase II is contingent on the results of Phase I MMI and geophysics and the property-wide exploration plan. The budget for Phase II, based on a moderate sized drill program is approximately \$500,000.

Management is currently reviewing the project and is considering various options to maximize the value of this mineral property.

During the year ended July 31, 2012, the Company incurred \$15,185 deferred exploration cost and recovered \$12,105 exploration cost in connection with the Julia Property.

Summary of Exploration and Evaluation Assets

The cost incurred and deferred as at July 31, 2012 for the exploration of the mineral properties is summarized as follows:

	July 31, 2011	Addition (Disposition)	July 31, 2012
	\$	\$	\$
<i>Lalitpur properties, India</i>			
Analysis/assay	-	36,064	36,064
Drafting and mapping	2,445	-	2,445
Geologist and geophysicist	43,368	55,464	98,832
Management	31,103	15,998	47,101
Supplies	32,678	18,444	51,122
Travelling and lodging	18,861	570	19,431
	128,455	126,540	254,995
<i>Ariane properties, Quebec</i>			
Acquisition, renewal & holding	6,378	13,306	19,684

Analysis/assay	-	19,507	19,507
Geological and geophysical	350,790	-	350,790
Mapping	-	7,511	7,511
	357,168	40,324	397,492
<i>Guercheville properties, Quebec</i>			
Acquisition, renewal & holding	8,249	(8,249)	-
Geological and geophysical	350,790	(350,790)	-
	359,039	(359,039)	-
<i>Julia properties, British Columbia</i>			
Acquisition	27,500	-	27,500
Analytical/assays	255,634	-	255,634
NI – 43101 report	-	6,500	6,500
Geologist and geophysical	71,407	(12,105)	59,302
Laboratory testing	-	8,685	8,685
Land administration	4,316	-	4,316
	358,857	3,080	361,937
Total exploration and evaluation assets	1,203,519	(189,095)	1,014,424

SELECTED ANNUAL INFORMATION

The following table summarizes selected financial data for Maxtech for each of the three most recently completed fiscal years. The information set forth below should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated annual financial statements of the same years.

	Year ended July 31, 2012	Year ended July 31, 2011	Year ended July 31, 2010
	\$	\$	\$
	(i)	(i)	(ii)
Total assets	5,714,220	6,126,302	6,331,098
Long term liabilities	-	-	-
Total revenue	-	-	-
Interest income	111,260	36,726	180,227
Stock-based compensation	-	-	-
Administrative expenses	(268,979)	(210,324)	(179,411)
Income (loss) from continued operation	205,280	(176,880)	75,476
Basic and diluted gain (loss) per share	0.01	(0.01)	0.01

(i) Presented in accordance with IFRS

(ii) Presented in accordance with Canadian GAAP

The Company is an exploration stage Company which has not earned revenue from operation and does not expect to earn revenue from operation in the near future.

The fluctuation of the Company's income and loss is mainly related to the amount of interest revenue and administrative expenses incurred in each year plus various incidental gain/loss from disposition of the Company's marketable securities, assets write down and recovery.

Administrative expenses increased steadily from 2010 to 2012 as the Company has increased its business activities.

The Company's \$75,746 income recorded in 2010 was mainly a result of incurring \$179,476 administrative

expenses, \$180,227 interest revenue and \$75,000 loan fee income in connection with a (\$2.5 million principal) promissory note advanced to an arm's length TSX-V listed company.

The Company's (\$176,886) loss in 2011 was mainly a result of incurring \$210,324 administrative expense and \$36,726 interest revenue. Interest revenue decreased from 2010 as the \$2.5 million promissory note receivable discussed in the above had been fully repaid in late 2010. There was no similar note receivable throughout 2011.

During 2012, the Company had \$205,280 income which was mainly a combined result of incurring \$268,979 administrative expense, \$111,260 interest revenue, and \$367,380 recovery. Interest revenue increased in 2012 as the Company advanced \$600,000 to its ex-subsiary, Chimata Gold Corp. and earned interest income (see discussion in "Result of Operation, Year ended July 31, 2012"). In 2008, the Company subscribed 24,160 common shares of Societe Miniere Genevieve-Haiti, S.A. ("SGH") for US\$302,000. The Company did not receive the 24,160 shares from SGH, thus wrote down this investment. On September 7, 2011, the Company received 1,413,000 common shares of Majescor Resources Inc., a public company with shares traded on TSX-V with a fair value of \$367,380, in exchange for the Company's investment in SGH. As a result, a \$367,380 recovery was recorded.

SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

The Company is in the business of exploration and development of resource properties, thus the income and loss is not subject to seasonality.

The Company is an exploration stage Company which has not earned revenue from operation and does not expect to earn revenue from operation in the near future.

The fluctuation of the Company's income and loss is mainly directly related to the amount of exploration work done in each particular quarter plus various incidental gain/loss from disposition of the Company's marketable securities, assets write down and recovery.

Management expects the Company's quarterly results will fluctuate in the future with the amount of actual exploration done and the incidental events that may happen in the future.

The selected quarterly information for the past eight quarters is outlined below.

In thousands of dollars except amounts per share)	2012				2011			
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)	(i)
Cash and cash equivalent	3,594	3,655	3,731	3,862	4,523	4,620	4,703	4,896
Working Capital	4,528	4,154	4,978	4,374	4,740	4,866	5,031	5,239
Total Assets	5,714	5,918	6,081	6,608	6,126	6,205	6,281	6,412
Shareholders' Equity	5,678	5,906	6,067	6,028	6,108	6,185	6,276	6,394
Administrative expenses	79	70	61	59	59	39	82	30
Earnings (loss) from continued operation	60	(53)	(49)	247	(46)	(29)	(80)	(22)
Earnings (loss) per Share	0.00	(0.00)	(0.00)	0.01	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding (000's)	33,649	33,649	33,649	33,649	33,649	33,649	33,649	33,649

(i) Presented in accordance with IFRS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company is an exploration stage company and does not generate operating revenue. The source of

revenue for the year ended July 31, 2012 are mainly interest earned on investment in guarantee investment certificates issued by a major Canadian financial institution (included in the Company's cash equivalent), a recovery of an investment written down in previous year, plus gain from disposition of the Company's marketable securities.

Year ended July 31, 2012 ("Year 2012")

The Company had a net income of \$205,280 (\$0.01 earnings per share) in Year 2012 comparing to the net loss of \$176,880 (\$0.01 loss per share) in the last year.

The net income in Year 2012 was mainly a combined result of incurring \$268,979 administrative expenses (2011 - \$210,481), interest and accretion income totalling \$111,260 (2011 - \$36,726), \$21,986 loss from note receivable impairment (2011 - \$nil), a recovery of long-term investment write off of \$367,380 (2011 - \$ nil), and \$46,500 gain from disposition of marketable securities (2011 - \$nil).

During Year 2012, main components of the administrative expenses are office facilities and administrative services of \$66,427 (2011 - \$67,996); consulting fees of \$90,353 (2011 - \$45,930), professional fees of \$42,525 (2011 - \$41,538). Changes in office facilities and administrative, professional fees were not significant as the core business of the Company has not changed significantly. Consulting fees has increased as the Company has bought in new management in 2012 in order to help the Company looking for business opportunities.

Interest and accretion income recorded in Year 2012 is comprised of interest income of \$28,060 (2011 - \$36,726) and accretion of \$ 82,000 (2011 - \$nil). The Company and Chimata, entered into a loan agreement whereby Maxtech advanced \$600,000 (The "Promissory Note") to Chimata to finance Chimata's operations. The Promissory Note was discounted to \$546,529 at inception and accreted up to \$636,254 on July 31, 2012 with an effective interest rate of 15%. As a result, the Company recorded an accretion income of \$82,000 during the Year 2012. On August 23, 2012, subsequent to the year ended July 31, 2012, Maxtech accepted a repayment of the Promissory Note at \$614,268 (paid). As a result, the Company recorded an impairment loss from note receivable of \$21,986 in 2012 (2011 - \$nil).

The \$367,380 gain recorded in the Year 2012 was in connection with a one-time recovery of write off of long-term investment in the prior year. There was no similar transaction in the comparative period in the last year.

Three months ended July 31, 2012 (the "Fourth Quarter" or "Q4")

The Company incurred a net income of \$40,330 (\$0.00 earnings per share) in the 2012 Fourth Quarter comparing to the net loss of \$45,606 (\$0.00 loss per share) for the same quarter in the last year.

The \$40,330 net income was mainly a combined result of incurring \$78,593 administrative expenses (2011 Q4 - \$58,952), interest income and accretion of \$58,473 (2011 Q4- \$6,873), and \$46,500 gain from disposition of marketable securities (2011 Q4 - \$ nil)

The accretion income is a result of the \$600,000 promissory note issued to Chimata on June 1, 2011. Chimata was an ex-subsiary of Maxtech and was spun out from the Company in September 2012. Before Chimata was spun out, the accretion was eliminated upon consolidation, thus the Company's 2011 consolidated result did not have accretion income. As a result, the accretion and interest recorded in 2012 Fourth Quarter increased.

During 2012 Fourth Quarter, main components of the administrative expenses are office facilities and administrative services of \$27,571 (2011 Q4 - \$15,478); consulting fees of \$29,260 (2011 Q4 - \$16,737), professional fees of \$8,746 (2011 Q4 - \$11,831). Changes in administrative expenses and professional fees are not significant. Increase in consulting fees has been explained in the discussion of the annual result in the above.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Financing of operations has been achieved primarily by equity financing. On July 31, 2012, the Company had \$3,594,303 in cash and cash equivalent (\$4,523,373 – July 31, 2011). The Company has a working capital of \$4,528,671 as at July 31, 2012 (July 31, 2011 - \$4,740,019). Decrease in cash is mainly a combined result of using cash in operating expenditures of \$230,746, \$169,944 cash used in exploration activities, and \$600,000 cash used in providing short-term financing to its ex-subsiary, Chimata Gold Corp.

The Company did not have cash inflows (outflow) in connection with its financing activities during Year 2012. Exploration programs are expected to continue with the funds raised in previous periods.

The Company is in the process of exploring its mineral properties and has not yet determined whether these properties contain mineral reserves that are economically recoverable. Management believes the Company has adequate financial resources to conduct its activities for the next operating period. Management realizes that the Company may need to obtain additional financing to complete their development and achieve the Company's long term objective. While the Company has a history of financing its operation through equity financing in the past, the impacts of uncertainty in the current global capital market provide no guarantees that the Company can do so in the future.

The Company considers its cash and cash equivalent, marketable securities, and common shares as capital. The Company's objectives when managing capital are to safeguard the Company's ability to continue as a going concern in order to pursue the development of its mineral properties and to maintain a flexible capital structure which optimizes the costs of capital at an acceptable risk.

The Company manages the capital structure and makes adjustments to it in light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying assets. To maintain or adjust the capital structure,

the Company may attempt to issue new shares, issue new debt, acquire or dispose of assets or adjust the amount of cash and cash equivalents.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

The Company's related party transactions have been recorded at their exchange amounts, being the amounts agreed to and by the related parties. Amounts due to or from related parties are unsecured, non-interest bearing, and have the same terms as other trade payable and receivables.

Other than the transfer of the Company's exploration and evaluation assets and issuance a \$600,000 promissory note to its ex-subsidiary Chimata (discussed in the Corporate Restructuring subsection), related party transactions of the Company incurred in Year 2012 are as follows:

- a) Management transactions - the Company has not paid or granted any short-term employee benefits, post-employment benefits, other long-term benefits, termination benefits, and share-based payment to the Company's management. The aggregate value of transactions relating to key management and entities over which they have controlled or significant influences are as follows:

- the Company was charged \$20,270 (2011 - \$ 9,950) and \$ 27,500 (2011 - \$ Nil) for the services provided by the Company's CFO and President respectively.

- b) Transactions with directors – Nil.
- c) Other related party transactions – Nil
- d) Balance with related parties – As at July 31, 2012, Maxtech's accounts payable and accrued liabilities included a \$1,575 balance owing to a Company controlled by the CFO (July 31, 2011 - \$1,232) and a \$5,000 (July 31, 2011 - \$nil) balance owing due to the Company's President.

PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS

There are no proposed transactions that will materially affect the performance of the Company.

SHARE DATA

As at the date of this MD&A, the authorized capital of the Company consists of unlimited number of common shares without par value and 33,649,002 common shares issued and outstanding. As at the date of this MD&A the Company does not have outstanding stock options or stock purchase warrants that can be exercisable into common shares of the Company.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Not applicable, the Company is a venture issuer.

CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES INCLUDING INITIAL

ADOPTION Change in accounting policies including initial adoption

The Company's comprehensive IFRS conversion plan addresses changes in accounting policies, restatement of comparative periods, organization, internal controls and any required changes to business processes. To facilitate this process and ensure that the full impact of the conversion is understood and managed reasonably, the Company had external consultants, assisting as needed. The accounting personnel attended training courses on the adoption and implementation of IFRS. Through in-depth training and the reconciliation of historical GAAP financial statements to IFRS, the Company believes that its accounting personnel have attained a thorough understanding of IFRS.

The Company has reviewed its accounting system, its internal controls and its disclosure control processes and believes they do not require significant modification as a result of the conversion of IFRS.

The impact of IFRS transition to the Company's financial statements is available at the Note 15 to the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended July 31, 2012.

New accounting policies not yet adopted

Refer to the Note 3 to the Company audited financial statements for the year ended July 31, 2012.

RISK AND UNCERTAINTIES

Risks of the Company's business include the

following: *Mining Industry*

The exploration for and development of mineral deposits involves significant risks, which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenses may be required to establish ore reserves, to develop metallurgical processes and to construct mining and processing facilities at a particular site. It is impossible to ensure that the current exploration programs planned by the Company will result in a profitable commercial mining operation.

Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, some of which are the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure, as well as metal prices which are highly cyclical and government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

Mining operations generally involve a high degree of risk. The Company's operations are subject to all the hazards and risks normally encountered in the exploration, development and production of ore, including unusual and unexpected geology formations, rock bursts, cave-ins, flooding and other conditions involved in the drilling and removal of material, any of which could result in damage to, or destruction of, mines and other producing facilities, damage to life or property, environmental damage and possible legal liability. Although adequate precautions to minimize risk will be taken, milling operations are subject to hazards such as equipment failure or failure of retaining dams around tailings disposal areas, which may result in environmental pollution and consequent liability.

The Company's mineral exploration activities are directed towards the search, evaluation and development of mineral deposits. There is no certainty that the expenditures to be made by the Company as described herein will result in discoveries of commercial quantities of ore. There is aggressive competition within the mining industry for the discovery and acquisition of properties considered to have commercial potential. The Company will compete with other interests, many of which have greater financial resources than it will have for the opportunity to participate in promising projects. Significant capital investment is required to achieve commercial production from successful exploration efforts.

Government Regulation

The exploration activities of the Company are subject to various federal, provincial and local laws governing prospecting, development, production, taxes, labour standards and occupational health, mine safety, toxic substance and other matters. Exploration activities are also subject to various federal, provincial and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. These laws mandate, among other things, the maintenance of air and water quality standards, and land reclamation. These laws also set forth limitations on the generation, transportation, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous waste. Although the Company's exploration activities are currently carried out in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations, no assurance can be given that new rules and regulations will not be enacted or that existing rules and regulations will not be applied in a manner which could limit or curtail production or development. Amendments to current laws and regulations governing operations and activities of exploration, mining and milling or more stringent implementation thereof could have a substantial adverse impact on the Company.

Permits and Licenses

The exploitation and development of mineral properties may require the Company to obtain regulatory or other permits and licenses from various governmental licensing bodies. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain all necessary permits and licenses that may be required to carry out exploration, development and mining operations on its properties.

Environmental Risks and Hazards

All phases of the Company's mineral exploration operations are subject to environmental regulation in the various jurisdictions in which it operates. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. There is no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, will not adversely affect the Company's operations. Environmental hazards may exist on the properties on which the Company holds interests which are unknown to the Company at present, which have been caused, by previous or existing owners or operators of the properties. The Company may become liable for such environmental hazards caused by previous owners and operators of the properties even where it has attempted to contractually limit its liability.

Government approvals and permits are currently, and may in the future be, required in connection with the Company's operations. To the extent such approvals are required and not obtained; the Company may be curtailed or prohibited from proceeding with planned exploration or development of mineral properties.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions there under, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations.

Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on the Company and cause increases in exploration expenses, capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Production of mineral properties may involve the use of dangerous and hazardous substances such as sodium cyanide. While all steps will be taken to prevent discharges of pollutants into the ground water and the environment, the Company may become subject to liability for hazards that cannot be insured against.

Commodity Prices

The profitability of mining operations is significantly affected by changes in the market price of gold and other minerals. The level of interest rates, the rate of inflation, world supply of these minerals and stability of exchange rates can all cause significant fluctuations in base metal prices. Such external economic factors are in turn influenced by changes in international investment patterns and monetary systems and political developments. The price of gold and other minerals has fluctuated widely in recent years, and future serious price declines could cause continued commercial production to be impracticable. Depending on the price of gold and other minerals, cash flow from mining operations may not be sufficient. Any figures for reserves presented by the Company will be estimates and no assurance can be given that the anticipated tonnages and grades will be achieved or that the indicated level of recovery will be realized. Market fluctuations and the price of gold and other minerals may render reserves uneconomical. Moreover, short-term operating factors relating to the reserves, such as the need for orderly development of the ore bodies or the processing of new or different grades of ore, may cause a mining operation to be unprofitable in any particular accounting period.

Uninsured Risks

The Company carries insurance to protect against certain risks in such amounts as it considers adequate. Risks not insured against include environmental pollution or other hazards against which such corporations cannot insure or against which they may elect not to insure.

Conflicts of Interest

Certain of the directors of the Company also serve as directors and/or officers of other companies involved in natural resource exploration and development. Consequently, there exists the possibility for such directors to be in a position of conflict. Any decision made by such directors involving the Company will be made in accordance with their duties and obligations to deal fairly and in good faith with the Company and such other companies. In addition, such directors will declare, and refrain from voting on, any matter in which such directors may have a conflict of interest.

Land Title

Although the Company has obtained title opinions with respect to certain of its properties, there may still be undetected title defects affecting such properties. Accordingly, such properties may be subject to prior unregistered liens, agreements, transfers or claims, and title may be affected by, among other things, undetected defects which could have a material adverse impact on the Company's operations.

Aboriginal Land Claims

No assurance can be given that aboriginal land claims in British Columbia will not be asserted in the future in which event the Company's operations and title to its properties may potentially be seriously adversely affected.

FINANCIAL AND DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Venture issuers are not required to include representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of disclosure controls and procedures (DC&P) and internal control over financial reporting (ICFR), as defined in National Instrument 52-109 Certification of Disclosure in Issuer's Annual and Interim Filings ("NI 52-109"). In particular, the Company's certifying officers are not making any representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of:

- i) controls and other procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in securities legislation; and
- ii) a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the Company's generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's certifying officers are responsible for ensuring that processes are in place to provide them with sufficient knowledge to support the representations they make. Investors should be aware that inherent limitations on the ability of the Company's certifying officers to design and implement on a cost effective basis DC&P and ICFR as defined in NI 52-109 may result in additional risks to the quality, reliability, transparency and timeliness of interim and annual filings and other reports provided under securities legislation.

OTHER

Additional information relating to the Company's operations and activities can be found by visiting the Company's website at www.maxtechventures.com. You may also access the Company's disclosure documents through the Internet on the Canadian System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) at www.sedar.com.