Lakeside MINERALSINC

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2013

September 25, 2013

Management's discussion and analysis (MD&A) is current to September 25, 2013 and is management's assessment of the operations and the financial results together with future prospects of Lakeside Minerals Inc. ("Lakeside", "Corporation", or the "Company"). This MD&A should be read in conjunction with our unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes for the three and six month periods ending July 31, 2013 and 2012 and our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes for the years ending January 31, 2013 and 2012, prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). All figures are in Canadian dollars unless stated otherwise. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are historical in nature and involves risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees as to Lakeside's future results as there are inherent difficulties in predicting future results. This MD&A includes, but is not limited to, forward looking statements regarding: the potential of the Company's properties to contain economic precious and base metal deposits; the Company's ability to meet its working capital needs for the twelve month period ending January 31, 2014; the plans, costs, timing and capital for future exploration and development of the Company's property interest in Quebec, including the cost and potential impact in complying with existing and proposed laws and regulations. Accordingly, actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forwardlooking statements. The Company has adopted National Instrument 51-102F1 as the guideline in presenting the MD&A.

Description of Business

On December 20, 2011, Grasslands Entertainment Inc., now Lakeside Minerals Inc. completed a reverse take-over ("RTO") with Lakeside Minerals Corp. and the name changed from Grasslands Entertainment Inc. to Lakeside Minerals Inc. The Company is engaged in the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral resource properties in Canada. The Company is in the process of exploring and has not yet determined whether there are economically viable reserves on the properties it has staked or optioned. As such, there is uncertainty with respect to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, dependent upon such events as financing, discovery of reserves, and market demand conditions.

As at September 25, 2013, the members of Company's Management and Board of Directors consisted of:

Mario Justino	President and CEO
Marco Guidi	Chief Financial Officer
Adam Szweras	Secretary
Yannis Banks	Director and Chairman
Andres Tinajero	Director
Richard Cleath	Director
Jean-François Pelland	Director
Jean-Pierre Chauvin	Director
Jeremy Goldman	Director

The technical contents of this MD&A have been reviewed by Mr. Mario Justino M.Sc., P.Geo., a "Qualified Person" as defined in National Instrument 43-101, President and CEO of the Company.

Recent Developments

On August 8, 2013, the Company terminated the option agreement on the original 36 claims of the Disson property.

As of June 11, 2013, the Company and vendors negotiated an amendment to the terms of the option agreement relating to 29 claims of the Launay property. The cash payment of \$25,000 payable on June 1, 2013 has been amended to \$10,000 payable on June 1, 2013 and \$15,000 payable on the earlier of the closing of any equity or convertible debt financing undertaken by the Company or payable on June 1, 2014. In addition, the vendors acknowledge that the work commitments have been fulfilled and the Company's obligation is therein fully discharged.

Financing Developments

On March 25, 2013, the Company entered into shares for debt agreements totalling \$333,639 with arm's length and non-arm's length parties. A total of 5,865,734 units, for gross proceeds of \$293,287 were issued to unrelated parties for settlement of debt, and 807,053 common shares for gross proceeds of \$40,353 were issued to insiders and related parties for outstanding fees. Each unit, priced at \$0.05, consists of one (1) share and one (1) share purchase warrant. Each full warrant entitles the holder to acquire one common share of the Company at a price of \$0.10 per share for a period of five years from the closing date. The term of the warrant is subject to an acceleration right at the option of the Company, provided that the common shares of the Company trade at or above \$0.40 for a full 20 consecutive trading days and the Company has provided warrant holders with 30 days prior written notice of the accelerated warrant exercise date.

About Lakeside Minerals Inc.

Lakeside Minerals Inc. is engaged, through Lakeside Minerals Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, in acquiring, exploring, and developing mineral properties in the mining friendly jurisdiction of Quebec. Currently the Company holds a portfolio of properties with an emphasis on gold.

To July 31, 2013, Lakeside held two main properties, Launay and Disson. On August 8, 2013, the Company terminated the option agreement on the original 36 claims of the Disson property.

The Launay and Disson properties are briefly described below. Quoted historical exploration results are derived from filed assessment reports and governmental databases. The Company or a Qualified Person has not independently verified these results. These results should not be relied upon. Selected highlight results may not be indicative of average grades. Mineralization on properties adjacent to the Company's properties is not indicative of mineralization on the Company's properties.

Launay Property – The property is located some 48 km northeast of Rouyn-Noranda, in Launay, Privat, and Manneville Townships, northwestern Quebec. Through staking, option and purchase agreements, the Company consolidated a large land package over the prospective Macamic deformation zone: a major deformation zone in the Abitibi subprovince (**Figure 1**). The Launay property currently comprises 229 non-contiguous claims that cover a total area of 102.9 sq km:

- 118 claims, 55.0 sq km, were staked and are 100% owned by the Company.
- 29 claims, 11.7 sq km, are under option agreement to the Company to 100% interest, subject to property payments, work commitments and subject to a 2% NSR with buyback of 1% NSR for \$1,000,000.
- 21 claims, 8.7 sq km, are 100% owned by the Company; these claims were purchased from Melkior Resources Inc. for company shares: 15 of these claims are subject to a 2% NSR with buyback of 1% NSR for \$1,000,000; the other 6 claims are subject to a 1% NSR with total buyback for \$500,000.
- 35 claims, 16.0 sq km, are 100% owned by the Company; these claims were purchased from Les Explorations Carat Inc. for cash payments and company shares; claims are subject to a 2% NSR with buyback of 1% NSR for \$1,000,000.
- 11 claims, 4.5 sq km, are 100% owned by the Company; claims were purchased from Jack Stoch Geoconsultant Services Ltd. for company shares, subject to a two percent (2%) GMR; the Company has the option of first refusal to buy back a one percent (1%) GMR.
- 15 claims, 6.7 sq km, are 100% owned by the Company; these claims were purchased from 9219-8845 Québec inc. for company shares; claims are subject to a 2% NSR with buyback of 1% NSR for \$1,000,000.

The Launay property claims straddle a 22 km strike length of the prospective Macamic deformation zone (MDZ), associated splays, or subsidiary faults and include a corridor up to 5 km wide surrounding the MDZ (**Figure 1**).

Past exploration, including trenching, drilling and underground work in the vicinity of the MDZ and Launay property claim blocks has revealed several gold occurrences (**Figure 1**). Known gold occurrences on Launay property claims are scattered over a trend some 17 km long and are closely associated with the MDZ, subsidiary faults, or splays. Mineralization in these gold occurrences is typical of shear zone-related type mineralization.




Figure 1: Simplified geological map of the area surrounding the Launay property. Shown are the Launay property claims: indicated claim blocks are Chavigny, Trojan, Privat, Freegold, Labreteche, and Rochette. Also shown are locations of known gold showings, collar locations of historical drill holes and approximate location of the Macamic Deformation Zone (MDZ). (Source: map from MRNF E-Sigeom Atlas; NTS map sheet 32D10; NAD83 UTM 17N)

LAKESIDE MINERALS INC.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations For the six months ended July 31, 2013




Figure 2: Selected historical drill gold assay highlights on Launay property claims, highlights shown in red, and on adjacent properties, highlights shown in white.

Gold typically occurs associated with disseminated pyrite or as native gold in quartz \pm carbonate \pm tourmaline veins, in highly altered carbonate \pm sericite \pm chlorite \pm fuchsite schists, and in "aplite" dykes.

Host rocks are typically sheared intermediate to mafic volcanic and locally ultramafic rocks. Ankerite, calcite, and quartz alteration and veining is locally extensive within wide zones of shearing. The location and extent of these shear zones remains poorly defined due to limited exploration.

Historical drilling of these scattered gold showings has returned several high grade gold drill intersections. Historical drill highlights from several Launay property blocks are presented in **Figure 2** and **Table 1**.

Year	Launay Block	Туре	ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m) ¹	Au g/t ²
1936	Rochette	DDH	Hole 2	38.50	39.20	0.70	416.67
1945	Trojan	DDH	Hole 5	38.88	38.97	0.09	10.97
1945				55.68	55.85	0.18	40.80
1945	Trojan	DDH	Hole 2	53.34	54.41	1.07	35.64
			includes	53.74	53.89	0.15	235.20
1945	Trojan	DDH	Hole 4	22.86	26.67	3.81	14.95
			includes	23.62	24.38	0.76	41.49
			includes	25.15	25.91	0.76	29.49
1945	Trojan	DDH	Hole 6	53.52	53.61	0.09	47.66
				54.13	54.44	0.30	16.11
1945	Trojan	DDH	Hole 7	65.20	65.26	0.06	14.06
1945	Trojan	DDH	Hole 20	76.96	79.25	2.29	7.09
			includes	77.72	78.49	0.76	17.14
1984	Trojan	DDH	PO-84-3	66.14	67.00	0.85	17.05
1987	Trojan	DDH	PI-87-23	290.80	292.00	1.20	16.04
1986	Trojan	DDH	PL-85-06	363.32	364.85	1.52	12.82
1950	Privat	DDH	Hole 1	89.31	93.42	4.11	10.29
			includes	92.35	93.42	1.07	35.66
2008	Freegold	DDH	FG-08-03	40.30	40.80	0.50	42.41
1949	Freegold	DDH	3			0.98	34.70
2008	Freegold	DDH	FG-08-01	23.82	24.30	0.48	7.68
1949	Freegold	DDH	7			0.46	5.65
1985	Labreteche	DDH	LR-85-2	25.85	26.24	0.40	5.49
1948	Chavigny	DDH	Hole 6	10.36	10.52	0.15	5.19
l - Drill interv	al ; 2 - May include sludg	e assays					
? - Au g/t grad	des are approximate and	were calculated	d based on \$35 per ou	nce or average his	storical gold pric	es; Au oz/ton to g/tonr	ne x 34.2857
listorical res	ults were compiled from t	the SIGEOM date	abase of the MRNF, Qu	uebec. These result	ts have not been	independently verified	by a QP.

Table 1: Selected historical drill hole gold assay highlights on Launay property claims.

In addition to drill hole assay results, historical grab, channel, and bulk sample results are presented below in **Table 2** below.

Year	Launay Block	Туре	ID	Interval (m)	Description	Au g/t ¹
1935	Rochette	Bulk			avg. grade: 23 ton sample	11.90
1935	Rochette	Bulk			avg. grade: 1000 lbs sample	10.63
1935	Rochette	Grab			avg. grade: 16 lbs sample	18.17
1936	Rochette	Channel		0.41		31.89
1936	Rochette	Channel		0.10 m to 0.78 m	avg. grade: 18 channel samples taken along the ore shoot 34 m long	33.86
1936	Rochette	Grab			ore shoot (quartz vein)	2351.02
1936	Rochette	Grab			ore shoot (quartz vein)	102.86
1936	Rochette	Grab			ore shoot (quartz vein)	68.57
1949	Privat	Channel	Trench 3	0.61	quartz vein	99.64
1949	Privat	Channel	Trench 3	1.22	quartz vein	88.82
1949	Privat	Channel	Trench 3	1.52	schist with quartz stringers	77.36
1949	Privat	Channel	Trench 3	0.91	quartz vein	20.29
1949	Privat	Grab			schist with quartz stringers	33.97
1985	Privat	Channel		0.98		64.80
1920's	Labreteche	Grab	Shaft area			273.50
1920's	Labreteche	Grab	Shaft area			185.93
1920's	Labreteche	Grab	Shaft area			130.29
1937	Labreteche	Channel		0.98		64.80
1920's	Labreteche	Grab	Shaft area			66.22
1920's	Labreteche	Grab	Shaft area			6.66
1937	Labreteche	Grab	Trench 5			23.46
1937	Labreteche	Grab	Vein 4			6.90
1937	Labreteche	Grab	Vein 7			6.80
1937	Labreteche	Channel	Trench 2	6.10		1.79
1 - Au g/t grad	les are approximate	e and were cald	culated based or	n \$35 per ounce or avera	ge historical gold prices; Au oz/ton to g/ton	ne x 34.2857
Historical res	ults were compiled f	rom the SIGEO	M database of t	he MRNF, Quebec. These	results have not been independently verified	l by a QP.

Table 2: Selected historical grab, channel and bulk sample gold assay highlights on Launay property claims.

In the summer and fall of 2012, the Company conducted an exploration program on the Trojan block consisting of line cutting, geological mapping, a humus geochemical survey, and ground geophysical surveys: magnetic, VLF-EM, and Induced Polarization/Resistivity. As a follow-up, the Company carried out a drill program on the Trojan block.

Launay Property – Trojan Block Drill Results

In late October to December 2012, Lakeside conducted a thirteen hole, 3981 m, drill program on the Trojan block, Launay property. Gold assay results from the first seven drill holes, LKTR-001 to LKTR-007, are summarized in **Table 3** below.

Table 3: Gold assay result highlights from drill holes LKTR-001 to LKTR-007. "Anomalous" indicates drill intervals with weighted average results greater than 0.10 g/t Au (100 ppb) to greater than 1.0 g/t Au. Drill intervals (core length) greater than 10 m and gold results greater than 1.0 g/t Au are indicated in bold. (*Note: "anomalous" may include intervals with less than 0.10 g/t Au*)

Hole ID		From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)*	Au g/t
LKTR-001	anomalous	78.00	123.00	45.00	0.16
	includes	81.00	84.95	3.95	0.54
	includes	89.00	90.50	1.50	0.55
	includes	116.30	119.00	2.70	0.58
LKTR-002	anomalous	39.00	48.90	9.90	0.51
	includes	43.50	45.00	1.50	1.04
	includes	47.40	48.90	1.50	1.65
LKTR-002	anomalous	64.50	72.00	7.50	0.14
LKTR-002	anomalous	96.00	115.50	19.50	0.16
LKTR-002	anomalous	156.00	165.10	9.10	0.10
LKTR-003	anomalous	72.00	99.00	27.00	0.10
LKTR-003	anomalous	115.50	126.70	11.20	0.29
	includes	121.50	123.00	1.50	1.47
LKTR-003	anomalous	138.00	174.00	36.00	0.12
LKTR-004		90.00	91.50	1.50	1.32
LKTR-004	anomalous	102.00	120.00	18.00	1.65
	includes	104.90	106.50	1.60	4.82
	includes	111.00	112.50	1.50	1.30
	includes	112.50	114.00	1.50	7.08
	includes	114.00	115.50	1.50	2.51
	includes	115.50	117.00	1.50	2.15
LKTR-004		135.00	136.50	1.50	2.52
LKTR-004	anomalous	160.50	216.00	55.50	0.18
LKTR-005		145.50	210.00	64.50	1.23
	includes	163.50	166.50	3.00	10.31
	which includes	163.50	165.00	1.50	17.90
	which includes	165.00	166.50	1.50	2.72
	includes	199.50	201.00	1.50	29.00
LKTR-006		117.20	122.70	5.50	1.24
	includes	117.20	117.90	0.70	1.31
	includes	117.90	118.80	0.90	3.92
	includes	121.50	122.70	1.20	1.06
LKTR-006		159.00	159.50	0.50	1.44
LKTR-006	anomalous	175.50	201.00	25.50	0.25
	includes	184.50	186.00	1.50	2.41
LKTR-006	anomalous	220.50	225.00	4.50	0.81
	includes	220.50	222.00	1.50	1.96
LKTR-007		82.20	83.30	1.10	1.10
LKTR-007	anomalous	129.00	133.00	4.00	0.49
	includes	129.70	130.30	0.60	1.04
LKTR-007	anomalous	184.00	216.00	32.00	0.14
* Core length No	ote: hole inclination -45	degrees			

The drill program was a follow-up to summer and early fall 2012 work carried out on the Trojan block (www.lakesideminerals.com: see Oct. 17, 2012 press release). The purpose of the drill program was to

test the Trojan zone, a series of northwest trending, steeply southwest-dipping to subvertical auriferous zones associated with the Macamic deformation zone.

Historical drilling on the Trojan zone has revealed visible gold in core in several drill holes and numerous anomalous to high grade gold assays in narrow to metre-scale intervals over a northwest trending zone some 120 m wide and at least 600 m long.

Current and historical drilling indicates gold mineralization occurs as free gold or is associated with minor pyrite, typically less than 5%, in zones of quartz, quartz-tourmaline, calcite, and ankerite veinlets in altered carbonate-sericite-chlorite schists, in intermediate volcanic rocks, and in aplite dykes. Locally, altered units are fuchsite-rich. Gold mineralization appears to show a close association with aplite dykes, with fuchsite alteration, and with higher pyrite content.

Drill holes LKTR-001 to LKTR-007 tested a 250 m strike length of the Trojan zone. In the current drill program, contrary to historical drilling, the core was sampled along the entire length of each hole. Drill results indicate **wide anomalous to low grade gold zones over tens of metres** with local **metre-sized high grade gold intersections (Table 3)**.

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)*	avg. Au g/t
LKTR-005	145.50	210.00	64.5	1.23
LKTR-004	160.50	216.00	55.5	0.18
LKTR-001	78.00	123.00	45.0	0.16
LKTR-003	138.00	174.00	36.0	0.12
LKTR-007	184.00	216.00	32.0	0.14
LKTR-003	72.00	99.00	27.0	0.10
LKTR-006	175.50	201.00	25.5	0.25
LKTR-002	96.00	115.50	19.5	0.16
LKTR-004	102.00	120.00	18.0	1.65
LKTR-003	115.50	126.70	11.2	0.29

Anomalous to low grade gold zones range from 0.10 g/t Au to 1.65 g/t Au over 11.2 m to 64.5 m:

Best high grade gold results over metre-sized intersections are from drill holes LKTR-004 and LKTR-005:

Hole ID		From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)*	Au g/t
LKTR-004		102.00	120.00	18.0	1.65
	includes	104.90	106.50	1.6	4.82
	includes	111.00	117.00	6.0	3.26
	which includes	111.00	112.50	1.5	1.30
	which includes	112.50	114.00	1.5	7.08
	which includes	114.00	115.50	1.5	2.51
	which includes	115.50	117.00	1.5	2.15
LKTR-005		145.50	210.00	64.5	1.23
	includes	163.50	166.50	3.0	10.31
	which includes	163.50	165.00	1.5	17.90
	which includes	165.00	166.50	1.5	2.72
	includes	199.50	201.00	1.5	29.00

Hole LKTR-004 includes a 6.0 m intersection, from 111.0 m to 117.0 m with continuous gold mineralization averaging 3.26 g/t Au with a peak value of 7.08 g/t Au.

Hole LKTR-005 shows a 3.0 m intersection, from 163.5 m to 166.5 m, averaging 10.31 g/t Au with a peak value of 17.90 g/t Au.

Although the majority of historical drill holes have not been sampled continuously, often only sparingly, results from holes LKTR-001 to LKTR-007 compare well with available compiled historical drill results on the Trojan block. Review of both historical and recent LKTR -001 to LKTR-007 drill assay composite averages from the Trojan block shows several anomalous to low grade gold zones over core intervals greater than 10 m (**Table 4**): these range in widths from **10.2 m to 64.5 m** and in grades from **0.10 g/t Au to 2.69 g/t Au**.

Table 4: Trojan block composite average anomalous to low grade gold assay result highlights from historical drill holes and from holes LKTR-001 to LKTR-007 for core intervals ≥ 10 m long. "Anomalous" indicates drill intervals with weighted average results greater than 0.10 g/t Au (100 ppb) to greater than 1.0 g/t Au. (*Note: "anomalous" may include intervals with less than 0.10 g/t Au*)

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)*	avg. Au g/t
4-GM00127-B	22.9	46.2	23.3	2.69
PL-85-09-A-GM-43388	5.8	24.7	18.9	1.83
LKTR-004	102.0	120.0	18.0	1.65
13-GM00127-B	55.6	68.7	13.0	1.37
LKTR-005	145.5	210.0	64.5	1.23
PI-87-19-GM-47532	11.1	25.1	14.0	1.23
PL-85-02-GM-43388	120.1	131.7	11.6	1.09
9-GM-11241	94.5	105.2	10.7	0.69
6-GM-11241	61.0	73.2	12.2	0.60
PL-85-09-GM-43388	8.7	18.9	10.2	0.47
PI-87-10-GM-47532	105.5	143.0	37.5	0.43
17-GM00127-B	121.2	139.4	18.3	0.30
LKTR-003	115.5	126.7	11.2	0.29
LKTR-006	175.5	201.0	25.5	0.25
PI-87-24-GM-47532	223.7	239.7	16.0	0.23
ML-08-12-GM-63892	265.0	278.5	13.5	0.22
PI-87-22-GM-47532	223.3	237.7	14.4	0.20
LKTR-004	160.5	216.0	55.5	0.18
PL-85-01-GM-43388	11.4	28.8	17.5	0.17
PL-85-06-GM-43388	159.0	179.1	20.1	0.17
LKTR-002	96.0	115.5	19.5	0.16
LKTR-001	78.0	123.0	45.0	0.16
PO-84-6-GM-42340	76.9	91.4	14.5	0.15
PO-84-6-GM-42340	53.9	68.4	14.5	0.13
PI-87-04-GM-47532	72.1	90.3	18.2	0.12
LKTR-003	138.0	174.0	36.0	0.12
PI-87-07-GM-47532	64.2	101.2	37.0	0.11
LKTR-007	173.5	216.0	42.5	0.11
LKTR-003	72.0	99.0	27.0	0.10

Initial interpretation of the current drill results, in combination with historical drill results, indicates at least three separate, subparallel, higher grade zones on the Trojan zone: that is, the zone in hole

LKTR-004 and the two zones in hole LKTR-005. These zones are along strike and correlate well with peak values from nearby historical drill results.

Interpretation of current and historical drilling, geophysical IP and magnetic surveys, as well as current and historical humus sampling, suggests the following potential for the Trojan block:

- the auriferous zones of the Trojan zone can be traced for at least 600 m and may extend an additional 1500 m to the southeast
- additional clusters of known auriferous zones are located 300 m and 500 m northeast of the Trojan zone
- multiple subparallel, northwest trending, IP anomalies, several with coincident soil gold anomalies, cross the property and remain to be adequately tested by drilling

Launay Property – Geological Potential

The Launay property exhibits extensive km-scale, prospective ground for gold exploration with high potential for discovery (**Figure 3**), including:

22 km of prospective deformation zone

• much of the southeastern portion of the Macamic deformation zone remains unexplored: untested by soil surveys or drilling

17 km trend of known gold showings

- several known gold showings are located along or in proximity to the Macamic deformation zone and are closely associated with zones of mafic volcanic units and ultramafic flows (high magnetic anomalies): only the Trojan zone has been tested extensively by drilling
- showings display significant historical gold results near surface; however, along strike and depth potential remains to be tested
- much of the historical drilling did not target Au soil anomalies or geophysical anomalies
- significant strike length between showings have not been tested by soil surveys or drilling

6 km of gold soil anomalies

• extensive km scale gold in soil anomalies, from historical surveys, associated with zones of prospective mafic volcanic units and ultramafic flows (high magnetic anomalies) remain untested by drilling

LAKESIDE MINERALS INC.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations For the six months ended July 31, 2013




Figure 3: Property wide km-scale gold potential of the Launay property.

Disson Property - Located 22 km northeast of La Sarre, northwestern Quebec, the Disson property consisted of 85 non-contiguous claims that covered a total area of 38.93 sq km. Of the 85 claims, 36 claims, 11.18 sq km, were under option agreement to 100% interest and 49 claims, 27.74 sq km, are 100% owned by the Company. The property is easily accessed via secondary gravel roads off Highway 111.

In order to focus on the Launay property and due to limited financial resources, on August 8, 2013 the Company terminated the option agreement on the original 36 claims of the Disson property and will review the maintenance of the remaining 49 claims, which are 100% owned by Lakeside.

Other Properties – In Quebec, the company also holds the Kipawa property.

Kipawa Property - The property is located 38 km east of the town of Témiscaming, northwestern Quebec, some 170 km south of city of Rouyn-Noranda. The property comprises 27 contiguous claims in one irregularly shaped block covering a total of 15.92 sq km. The property is under option agreement to acquire a 100% interest. As of December 2012, 27 claims remain active with expiry dates in January and February 2014.

The region surrounding and generally north of the Kipawa property has seen extensive geological mapping, geochemical and geophysical surveys. Several REE, Au and U mineral showings have been discovered including the discovery of the Kipawa REE-Y deposit, Zeus property, by Matamec Explorations Inc. located some 5.8 km northeast of Lakeside's Kipawa property.

The Kipawa property claims have seen limited exploration. However, a governmental regional stream sediment geochemical sampling program revealed several samples with anomalous values in Y, Au, Ce, Eu, Sm, Th and U located on the Kipawa property claims and immediately north of the claims.

During the months of April through to November of 2011, an airborne geophysical survey and data compilation was carried out by Lakeside on the Kipawa property claims. Sufficient work has been carried out to maintain the claims in good standing through to January and February 2014. No additional work program is currently planned for the Kipawa property.

Previous Properties – The Company held other properties in Quebec, namely Dufay, 21M16, New Claims, and Quevillon. Changes to the status of these properties are as follows:

Dufay Property – The property was located 30 km west-southwest of Rouyn-Noranda, northwestern Quebec, covered 27.45 sq km and consisted of 53 contiguous claims. In order to concentrate on the advancement of its flagship Launay property, on January 30th, 2013, Management terminated the option agreement on the Dufay property.

21M16 Property - As of September 2011, Management elected not to renew the 21M16 claims. The majority of these claims expired in November 2011 and the remaining claims expired in April 2012.

New Claims Property - On March 31, 2011, the Company terminated the Uranium 22B15 property agreement and forfeited its option to acquire the claims. The Company received from the vendor, for consideration of \$1.00, forty-four (44) claims here referred to as the "New Claims" property, located south of Rouyn-Noranda, Quebec. As of December 2011, Management elected not to renew these claims.

Quevillon Property - As of June 2012, Management elected to terminate the Quevillon property option agreement.

Overall Performance

As at July 31, 2013, the Company had assets of 148,589 (January 31, 2013 - 282,114), liabilities of 474,007 (January 31, 2013 - 803,872) and shareholders' deficiency of 325,418) (January 31, 2013 - 521,758) deficiency). During the six months ended July 31, 2013, the Company incurred a loss of 49,619 (2012 - 616,590).

At July 31, 2013, the Company had a working capital deficiency of \$325,418 (January 31, 2013 - \$521,758 working capital deficiency) and cash of \$31,603 (January 31, 2013 - \$18,026).

The Company is a junior mineral exploration company that has assembled an experienced management team to engage in the acquisition, exploration and development of properties prospective for economic deposits. The Company's financial success will depend on the extent to which it can make discoveries of minerals on its staked or optioned properties and on the economic viability of such discoveries. The development of such properties may take years to complete and the resulting income, if any, is difficult to determine with any certainty. The Company lacks mineral resources and mineral reserves and to date has not produced any revenues. The economic viability of any mineral discovery by the Company will be largely dependent upon factors beyond its control, such as the market value of the commodities produced. The Company remains cautious in case the economic factors that impact the mining industry deteriorate. These factors include uncertainty regarding the prices of commodities, and the availability of equity financing for the purposes of mineral exploration

and development. The prices of commodities have been volatile in recent periods and financial markets have deteriorated to the point where it has become extremely difficult for companies, particularly junior exploration companies, to raise new capital, even though there are signs the situation may be improving. The Company's future performance is largely tied to the development of its mining claims properties and the overall financial markets. Financial markets are likely to continue to be volatile over the balance of calendar 2013, reflecting ongoing concerns about the global economy. Companies worldwide have been affected negatively by these trends. As a result, the Company may have difficulties raising equity financing for the purposes of mineral exploration and development, particularly without excessively diluting the interests of its current shareholders. With continued market volatility expected, the Company's current strategy is to continue its exploration program on the mining claims and to seek out other prospective business opportunities. The Company believes that this focused strategy will enable it to meet the near-term challenges presented by the capital markets while maintaining momentum on key initiatives. These trends may limit the Company's ability to develop and/or further explore its mineral property interests and/or other property interests that it may acquire. Management regularly monitors economic conditions and estimates their impact on the Company's operations and incorporates these estimates into both shortterm operating and longer-term strategic decisions.

Selected Annual Information

	Six months ended July 31, 2013	Year ended January 31, 2013	Year ended January 31, 2012	
Total expenses	\$ (173,357)	\$ (2,018,710)	\$ (2,228,405)	
Other income	123,738	335,975	64,770	
Net loss	(49,619)	(1,682,735)	(2,163,635)	
Loss per share	(0.001)	(0.054)	(0.136)	
Total assets	148,589	 282,114	1,454,212	
Total liabilities	474,007	803,872	701,767	
Shareholders' equity (deficiency)	\$ (325,418)	\$ (521,758)	\$ 752,445	

Summarized selected financial information with respect to Lakeside is as follows:

Three month period ended July 31, 2013 compared to 2012

The Company incurred a net loss of \$61,701 or 0.002 per common share for the three months ended July 31, 2013, compared with a net loss of \$506,932 or \$0.016 per common share for the same period ended July 31, 2012.

Management, consulting fees and salaries totaled \$42,026 during the three months ended July 31, 2013, and primarily consisted of services provided by Foundation Opportunities Inc., for strategic leadership, Cavalry for financial accounting, including CFO services and the President and CEO of the Company. Management and consulting fees totaled \$81,251 for the three months ended July 31, 2012, for the services provided by Foundation Opportunities Inc., Cavalry. Management, consulting

fees and salaries decreased between the two periods, due to re-negotiations of various consulting agreements due to the current economic condition of the Company.

Professional fees, consisting of legal and accounting fees, totaled \$4,711 during the three months ended July 31, 2013, (\$20,858 - 2012). The decrease in professional fees is due to better cost management and lower overall activity given the overall market downturn.

The Company incurred \$11,358 (\$35,637 -2012) in office and general expenses during the three months ended July 31, 2013, which consisted of primarily transfer agent fee's, insurance, travel and entertainment, rent and other miscellaneous costs. The decrease in office and general expenses is due to better cost management and lower overall activity given the overall market downturn.

The Company incurred share based payments for the three months ended July 31, 2013, of 4,500 (816 - 2012) in relation to 740,000 options issued during the six month period ended July 31, 2013. Share based payments are booked based on the valuation of options using the Black-Scholes model.

Total exploration and evaluation costs in the three months ended July 31, 2013 was 15,000 (370,446 - 2012). The evaluation and exploration expenditures for the Company for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

Property:	_	July 31, 2013	 July 31, 2012
Dufay	\$	-	\$ 148,659
Disson		-	68,238
Launay		15,000	153,466
Kipawa		-	83
Quevillon		-	-
Others		-	-
	\$	15,000	\$ 370,446

A total of \$nil interest was earned for the three months ended July 31, 2013 (\$2,076 - 2012) which represents amounts earned on short-term investments and accrued interest on government receivables.

During the three month period ended July 31, 2013, the Company recognized a gain on forgiveness of debt of \$15,894 (2012 - \$nil).

Management expects the level of losses to increase in future periods as development and exploration activities ramp.

Six month period ended July 31, 2013 compared to 2012

The Company incurred a net loss of \$49,619 or 0.001 per common share for the six months ended July 31, 2013, compared with a net loss of \$616,590 or \$0.020 per common share for the same period ended July 31, 2012.

Management, consulting fees and salaries totaled \$117,054 during the six months ended July 31, 2013, and primarily consisted of services provided by Foundation Opportunities Inc., for strategic leadership, Cavalry for financial accounting, including CFO services and the President and CEO of the Company. Management and consulting fees totaled \$159,992 for the six months ended July 31, 2012, for the services provided by Foundation Opportunities Inc., Cavalry, and the President and CEO. Management, consulting fees and salaries decreased between the two periods, due to renegotiations of various consulting agreements due to the current economic condition of the Company.

Professional fees, consisting of legal and accounting fees, totaled \$10,095 during the six months ended July 31, 2013, (\$40,302 - 2012). The decrease in professional fees is due to better cost management and lower overall activity given the overall market downturn.

The Company incurred \$16,929 (\$101,254 -2012) in office and general expenses during the six months ended July 31, 2013, which consisted of primarily transfer agent fee's, insurance, travel and entertainment, rent and other miscellaneous costs. The decrease in office and general expenses is due to better cost management and lower overall activity given the overall market downturn.

The Company incurred share based payments for the six months ended July 31, 2013, of 4,747 (2,294 - 2012) in relation to 740,000 options issued during the six month period ended July 31, 2013 and the vesting of options issued March 23, 2011. Share based payments are booked based on the valuation of options using the Black-Scholes model.

Total exploration and evaluation costs in the six months ended July 31, 2013 was \$24,532 (\$578,030 – 2012). The evaluation and exploration expenditures for the Company for the six months ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

Property:	 July 31, 2013	 July 31, 2012
Dufay	\$ -	\$ 344,430
Disson	-	73,036
Launay	24,532	160,081
Kipawa	-	483
Quevillon	-	-
Others	 -	-
	\$ 24,532	\$ 578,030

A total of \$24 interest was earned for the six months ended July 31, 2013 (\$4,982 - 2012) which represents amounts earned on short-term investments and accrued interest on government receivables.

The Company financed its exploration activities through the issuance of flow-through shares, which transfer the tax deductibility of exploration expenditures to the investors. Proceeds received on the issuance of such shares have been credited to share capital less the premium paid for the sale of tax deductions. To the extent that the Company issued common shares to subscribers on a flow-through basis at a premium to the market value of non-flow-through common shares, any such premium was recorded as a liability on the Company's statement of financial position at the time of subscription. This liability was reduced when renunciation occurred, associated with such flow-through share issuances, with \$20,000 (\$260,300 -2012) premium recognized as income during the six months ended July 31, 2013.

During the six months ended July 31, 2013, the Company recognized a gain on settlement of debt through the issuance of shares of \$87,820 (2012 - \$nil). See note 11 of the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six month periods ended July 31, 2013 and 2012 for further details.

During the six month period ended July 31, 2013, the Company recognized a gain on forgiveness of debt of \$15,894 (2012 - \$nil).

Management expects the level of losses to increase in future periods as development and exploration activities ramp.

Summary of Quarterly Results

		Net income (loss)	Income (Loss) per share
Q2	2014	(61,701)	(0.002)
Q1	2014	12,082	0.000
Q4	2013	(533,751)	(0.018)
Q3	2013	(532,394)	(0.017)
Q2	2013	(506,932)	(0.016)
Q1	2013	(109,658)	(0.003)
Q4	2012	(1,067,254)	(0.049)
Q3	2012	(364,206)	(0.023)

Liquidity and Financial Position

As a junior exploration resource company, the Company has no regular cash flow from operations, and the level of operations is principally a function of availability of capital resources. To July 31, 2013, the principal source of funding has been through the completion of private placements for gross proceeds of \$2,843,750. Going forward, the Company will have to continue to rely on equity or debt financings for its working capital. There is no guarantee that the Company will be able to successfully complete such financings, as market conditions may dictate availability and interest.

At July 31, 2013, total assets decreased by \$133,525 since prior fiscal year end to \$148,589 consisting of \$31,603 of cash, \$116,686 of HST receivable and other receivables and \$300 of prepaid expenses.

Related Party Transactions and Key Management Compensation

The Company and Foundation Opportunities Inc. ("FOI") entered into a financial advisory and consulting agreement on October 15, 2010. FOI is a subsidiary of Foundation Financial Holdings Corp. ("FFHC"). FFHC is an entity in which Adam Szweras is a director and each of Yannis Banks, and Jeremy Goldman, is an officer, director and shareholder. In consideration for services the Company agreed to pay a fee of \$7,000 per month for a period of eighteen months that ended April 2012. The Company and FOI entered into a financial advisory and consulting agreement on April 14, 2012 and the Company agreed to pay a fee of \$5,000 per month for a period of three months. This agreement was further replaced by an agreement in May 2012 where the Company agreed to pay \$5,000 per month for a period of three months after which the contract continues on a month by month basis unless terminated by either party. For the six months ended July 31, 2013, the Company was charged \$15,000 by FOI (2012 - \$34,000). At July 31, 2013 \$40,305 is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in relation to FOI. In addition, Foundation Markets Inc. ("FMI") a subsidiary of FFHC received a cash commission payment of \$nil (2012 - \$14,816) and nil broker warrants (2012 – 113,969) valued at \$nil (2012 - \$4,884) for the placement of the Company's common shares, which are included in share capital and reserve for warrants respectively.

The Company and Cavalry Corporate Solutions Ltd ("Cavalry") entered into a management services agreement on November 1, 2010. The management services agreement includes the services of the Company's Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"). Cavalry is an entity in which FFHC is the sole shareholder. In consideration for services the Company agreed to pay \$4,000 for the first three month period and \$5,000 per month until January 31, 2012. The agreement was amended to \$7,500 per month thereafter. On May 1, 2013, the agreement was further amended to \$5,000 per month. For the

six months ended July 31, 2013, the Company recorded \$37,500 (2012 - \$45,000) for management services provided by Cavalry. At July 31, 2013 \$28,180 is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in relation to Cavalry. These services include CFO services.

During the six months ended July 31, 2013, Fogler Rubinoff LLP ("Fogler") a law firm in which Adam Szweras an officer of the Company is also a partner, provided \$3,215 (2012 - \$30,039) of legal services, which are included in professional fees. As at July 31, 2013, \$89,988 due to Fogler is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The Company also issued nil units (2012 - 384,615) priced at \$nil (2012 - \$0.13) to convert \$nil (2012 - \$50,000) worth of legal fees. Each unit, priced at \$0.13, is comprised of one (1) common share and one (1) common share purchase warrant. Each warrant will entitle the holder to purchase an additional common share of the Company at a price of \$0.20 for 24 months from the date of closing, subject to an acceleration clause.

The Company entered into a general consultancy agreement with Caracle Creek International Consulting Inc. ("CCIC") in which Scott Jobin-Bevans is a director and a significant, but not controlling, shareholder. Scott Jobin Bevans is a former director of the Company. CCIC was engaged to provide a NI 43-101 report and field work on the Dufay mineral property. CCIC provided \$nil (2012 - \$5,242) of consulting services during the six months ended July 31, 2013, which was expensed in exploration and evaluation expenditures.

During the six months ended July 31, 2013, \$46,500 (2012 - \$76,911) was paid to the Chief Executive Officer. As at July 31, 2013, \$43,369 is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

On March 26, 2013, the Company issued 807,053 Shares to insiders and related parties for settlements of outstanding fees as follows: \$20,353 to the Company CEO, \$12,500 to Foundation Opportunities Inc., and \$7,500 to Cavalry Corporate Solutions. No Warrants were issued pursuant to settlements by insiders and related parties.

	Authorized	Outstanding
Voting or Equity securities issued and outstanding	Unlimited Common Shares	40,798,282 common shares
Securities convertible or exercisable into voting or equity		a) Options to acquire up to 2,990,000 common sharesb) 17,463,329 warrants exercisable to acquire common shares of the Company

Disclosure of outstanding share data as of September 25, 2013

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of July 31, 2013, the Company has no off balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Significant accounting policies

Mineral properties

Acquisition and exploration costs, net of incidental revenues, are charged to operations in the period

incurred until such time as it has been determined that a property has economically recoverable reserves, in which case subsequent exploration costs and the costs incurred to develop a property are capitalized into property, plant and equipment ("PPE").

Share based payments

Share based payment transactions

Employees (including directors and senior executives) of the Company receive a portion of their remuneration in the form of share-based payments, whereby employees render services as consideration for equity instruments ("equity-settled transactions").

In situations where equity instruments are issued and some or all of the goods or services received by the entity as consideration cannot be specifically identified, they are measured at fair value of the share-based payment.

Equity settled transactions

The costs of equity-settled transactions with employees are measured by reference to the fair value at the date on which they are granted.

The costs of equity-settled transactions are recognized, together with a corresponding increase in equity, over the period in which the performance and/or service conditions are fulfilled, ending on the date on which the relevant employees become fully entitled to the award ("the vesting date"). The cumulative expense recognized for equity-settled transactions at each reporting date until the vesting date reflects the Company's best estimate of the number of equity instruments that will ultimately vest. The profit or loss charge or credit for a period represents the movement in cumulative expense recognized as at the beginning and end of that period and the corresponding amount is represented in share option reserve.

No expense is recognized for awards that do not ultimately vest, except for awards where vesting is conditional upon a market condition, which are treated as vested irrespective of whether or not the market condition is satisfied provided that all other performance and/or service conditions are satisfied.

Where the terms of an equity-settled award are modified, the minimum expense recognized is the expense as if the terms had not been modified. An additional expense is recognized for any modification which increases the total fair value of the share-based payment arrangement, or is otherwise beneficial to the employee as measured at the date of modification.

Loss per share

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted loss per share assumes conversion, exercise or contingent issuance of securities only when such conversion, exercise or issuance would have a dilutive effect on loss per share. When there is a loss, no potential shares are included in the computation as they are anti-dilutive.

Financial assets

All financial assets are initially recorded at fair value and designated upon inception into one of the following four categories: held to maturity, available for sale, loans and receivables or at fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL").

Financial assets classified as FVTPL are measured at fair value with realized gains and losses recognized through earnings. The Company's cash and cash equivalents are classified as FVTPL.

Financial assets classified as loans and receivables and held to maturity are measured at amortized cost.

Financial assets classified as available for sale are measured at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) except for losses in value that are considered other than temporary. At July 31, 2013 the Company has not classified any financial assets as available for sale, loans and receivables or held to maturity.

Transactions costs associated with FVTPL financial assets are expensed as incurred, while transaction costs associated with all other financial assets are included in the initial carrying amount of the asset.

Financial liabilities

All financial liabilities are initially recorded at fair value and designated upon inception as FVTPL or other financial liabilities.

Financial liabilities classified as other financial liabilities are initially recognized at fair value less directly attributable transaction costs. After initial recognition, other financial liabilities are subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method. The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortized cost of a financial liability and of allocating interest expense over the relevant period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments through the expected life of the financial liability, or, where appropriate, a shorter period. The Company's line of credit, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are classified as other financial liabilities.

Financial liabilities classified as FVTPL include financial liabilities held for trading and financial liabilities designated upon initial recognition as FVTPL. Derivatives, including separated embedded derivatives are also classified as held for trading unless they are designated as effective hedging instruments. Fair value changes on financial liabilities classified as FVTPL are recognized through the statement of comprehensive income. At July 31, 2013 the Company has not classified any financial liabilities as FVTPL.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents in the statement of financial position comprise cash at banks and short term deposits.

Related party transactions

Parties are considered to be related if one party has the ability, directly or indirectly, to control the other party or exercise significant influence over the other party in making financial and operating decisions. Parties are also considered to be related if they are subject to common control or common significant influence, related parties may be individuals or corporate entities. A transaction is considered to be a related party transaction when there is a transfer of resources or obligations between related parties.

Flow-through shares

The Company has financed a portion of its exploration activities through the issuance of flow-through shares, which transfer the tax deductibility of exploration expenditures to the investors. Proceeds

received on the issuance of such shares have been credited to share capital less the premium paid for the sale of tax deductions. To the extent that the Company issues common shares to subscribers on a flow-through basis at a premium to the market value of non flow-through common shares, any such premium is recorded as a liability on the Company's statement of financial position at the time of subscription. This liability is reduced, on a pro-rata basis, as the Company fulfills its expenditure renunciation obligation, when renunciation occurs, associated with such flow-through share issuances, with the premium recognized as income. The Company takes the initial recognition exemption on deferred taxes as it relates to flow-through shares.

Fair value

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, other receivables, and accounts payables and accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to the relative short maturity of these financial instruments. As at July 31, 2013, all financial instruments measured at fair value are considered level 1, consisting of cash and cash equivalents.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss associated with counterparty's inability to fulfill its payment obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to cash and cash equivalents and other receivables. The Company has no significant concentration of credit risk arising from operations. Cash and cash equivalents are held with a reputable Canadian chartered bank which is closely monitored by management. Management believes that the credit risk concentration with respect to financial instruments included in cash and cash equivalents and other receivables is minimal.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not have sufficient cash resources to meet its financial obligations as they come due. The Company's liquidity and operating results may be adversely affected if the Company's access to the capital market is hindered, whether as a result of a downturn in stock market conditions generally or related to matters specific to the Company. The Company generates cash flow primarily from its financing activities. As at July 31, 2013, the Company had a cash and cash equivalents balance of \$31,603 (January 31, 2013 - \$18,026) and current liabilities of \$474,007 (January 31, 2013 - \$803,872). As at July 31, 2013, the Company had a current capital deficiency of \$325,418 (January 31, 2013 - \$521,758 working capital deficiency).

Commodity Price Risk

Commodity price risk could adversely affect the Company. In particular, the Company's future profitability and viability of development depends upon the world market price of precious metals. These metal prices have fluctuated significantly in recent years. There is no assurance that, even as commercial quantities of these metals may be produced in the future, a profitable market will exist for them. As of July 31, 2013, the Company was not a producing entity. As a result, commodity price risk may affect the completion of future equity transactions such as equity offerings and the exercise of stock options and warrants. This may also affect the Company's liquidity and its ability to meet its ongoing obligations.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Internal controls over financial reporting are procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are properly authorized, assets are safeguarded against unauthorized or improper use, and transactions are properly recorded and reported. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance with respect to the reliability of financial reporting and financial statement preparation.

During the three and six month period ended July 31, 2013 there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that all relevant information is gathered and reported to senior management, including the Corporation's President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, on a timely basis so that appropriate decisions can be made regarding public disclosure. As at July 31, 2013 covered by this management's discussion and analysis, management of the Corporation, with the participation of the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as required by Canadian securities laws. Based on that evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this management's discussion and analysis, the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the Corporation's annual filings and interim filings (as such terms are defined under Multilateral Instrument 52-109 Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings) and other reports filed or submitted under Canadian securities laws is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by those laws and that material information is accumulated and communicated to management of the Corporation, including the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This Management's Discussion and Analysis includes "forward-looking statements", within the meaning of applicable securities legislation, which are based on the opinions and estimates of Management and are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those projected in the forward looking statements. Forward-looking statements are often, but not always, identified by the use of words such as "seek", "anticipate", "budget", "plan", "continue", "estimate", "expect", "forecast", "may", "will", "project", "predict", "potential", "targeting", "intend", "could", "might", "should", "believe" and similar words suggesting future outcomes or statements regarding an outlook. Such risks and uncertainties include. but are not limited to, risks associated with the mining industry (including operational risks in exploration development and production; delays or changes in plans with respect to exploration or development projects or capital expenditures; the uncertainty of reserve estimates; the uncertainty of estimates and projections in relation to production, costs and expenses; the uncertainty surrounding the ability of the Company to obtain all permits, consents or authorizations required for its operations and activities; and health safety and environmental risks), the risk of commodity price and foreign exchange rate fluctuations, the ability of Lakeside to fund the capital and operating expenses necessary to achieve the business objectives of Lakeside, the uncertainty associated with commercial negotiations and negotiating with foreign governments and risks associated with international business activities, as well as those risks described in public disclosure documents filed by the Company. Due to the risks, uncertainties and assumptions inherent in forward-looking statements, prospective investors in securities of the Company should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Statements in relation to "reserves" are deemed to be forward-looking statements, as they involve the implied assessment, based on certain estimates and assumptions, that the reserves described can be profitably produced in the future.

Readers are cautioned that the foregoing lists of risks, uncertainties and other factors are not exhaustive. The forward-looking statements contained in this press release are made as of the date hereof and the Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or in any other documents filed with Canadian securities regulatory authorities, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except in accordance with applicable securities laws. The forward-looking statements are expressly qualified by this cautionary statement.

Management's Responsibility for Financial Information

Management is responsible for all information contained in this report. The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and include amounts based on management's informed judgments and estimates. The financial and operating information included in this report is consistent with that contained in the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements in all material aspects.

Management maintains internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that financial information is reliable and accurate and assets are safeguarded.

The Audit Committee has reviewed the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements with management. The Board of Directors has unaudited interim condensed approved the consolidated financial statements on the recommendation of the Audit Committee.

September 25, 2013

Mario Justino Chief Executive Officer