

TALMORA DIAMOND INC
6 Willowood Court
Toronto, Ontario M2J 2M3
Management's Discussion & Analysis
For the quarter ending March 31, 2015

Date: May 27, 2015

This Management Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements of Talmora Diamond Inc. (the "Company" or "Talmora") for the year ended December 31, 2014.

The Company's reporting currency is the Canadian dollar and all amounts in this MD&A are expressed in Canadian dollars. The Company reports its financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The Company's public filings can be found under the Company's profile on the SEDAR website (www.sedar.com).

The following MD&A may contain forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties which could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those reflected herein. Forward-looking statements are based on the estimates and opinions of management of the Company at the time the statements were made.

IFRS

The Canadian Accounting Standards Board requires publicly accountable enterprises such as the Company to adopt IFRS for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. Accordingly, the Company's annual financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014 have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as published by the International Accounting Standards Board.

Overall Performance

Talmora is a diamond exploration company with one property consisting of 68,784.15 acres of claims on the Horton River, 120 kilometres south of Paulatuk in the Northwest Territories. The property straddles a major linear structure believed favourable for the occurrence of diamondiferous kimberlites. \$2,974,304 has been spent on exploration (including administration) of the property to March 31, 2015.

An airborne magnetic survey has detected anomalies with the characteristics of kimberlite pipes. Till samples taken down-ice of the magnetic anomalies contain 37 times as many kimberlite indicator minerals (KIMs) as till samples taken at random. There is a strong correlation between KIMs and magnetic anomalies. Chemistry of KIMs on the Talmora property match that of the

widespread KIMs with accompanying diamonds found by others within the Cretaceous basin to the west.

Since 2009 management has focused on asset preservation and acquisition by staking of highly prospective new ground adjoining the Company's original claims and staking anomalies within permits due to lapse. \$430,000 raised in 2012 was used to carry out assessment work required on certain claims.

2012 Exploration Program

Part of the 2012 financings was used to sample and test thickness of overburden near magnetic anomalies with a small Packsack drill. The magnetic anomalies in dolomite bedrock have been deeply scoured by ice and are covered by bouldery till, which in turn is overlain by various thicknesses of lake sediment. In addition to sampling with the Packsack drill, surface till samples (77 sites) were collected down-ice of a number of magnetic anomalies and have been examined for kimberlite indicator minerals (KIMs).

The Packsack drill was able to penetrate through the till overburden in three of five holes and ended 0.5 – 1.0m in a rusty dark brown clay. Drill cuttings of the till and clay were submitted for chemical and mineralogical analyses. While the clay cuttings have lost fines and are contaminated by till and perhaps marine sand they show many characteristics of weathered kimberlite including anomalous numbers of locally derived KIMs in one hole.

It remains for the many magnetic anomalies to be tested by a larger drill when the economic situation permits the raising of sufficient funds. A major program costing \$2,000,000 - \$4,000,000 should confirm whether diamondiferous kimberlites are present on the property. Micro-diamond analyses of initial kimberlite samples will determine whether further investigation is warranted in which case an additional budget in the order of \$10,000,000 - \$15,000,000 would be required. A major financing for a drill program must be pursued.

Talmora is dependent on management obtaining financing to continue operations and to fund its exploration property expenses.

Selected Annual Information

As at March 31, 2015, the Company had cash totalling totalling \$13,001 and a working capital deficit of \$1,370. A major financing is required for a drill program in 2015-2016 and to cover future administration costs.

	3 Months ended March 31, 2015 (\$)	Year ended Dec. 31, 2014 (\$)
Cash	13,001	11,457
Working Capital	(1,370)	(21,555)
Mineral Exploration– cum. Total	2,093,681	2,090,825
Total assets	34,854	46,328
Total liabilities	17,940	47,937
Interest Revenues	0	0
Admin. Expenses	16,315	81,475
Professional Fees	647	23,315
Net (Loss)	(21,480)	(126,073)
Net (Loss) Per Share	(0.00)	(0.002)

Factors Causing Variations

The Company's business is diamond exploration and is currently exploring the Horton River area in the Northwest Territories. The work is seasonal. Field work generally utilizes helicopters and/or fixed wing aircraft and is very costly and is carried out over relatively short periods of time. Laboratory analysis for kimberlite indicator minerals (KIMs), analysis of data and preparation of assessment work reports is less costly and is spread over much longer periods of time.

Funding has depended on results and has therefore been of a rollercoaster nature. There is high working capital at the start of an exploration phase, a rapid drop after the field work is complete and a long tailing off as data is analysed and reported.

Results of Operations

Horton River Project, NWT

Talmora has one significant project for which it has raised \$3,120,017 since August 2004 and on which it has expended cumulative expenditures of \$2,093,681 on exploration to March 31, 2015.

Canadian Diamind Limited held 3 prospecting permits on the Horton River, 120 kilometers south of Paulatuk, in the Inuvialuit Settlement Region of the Northwest Territories. Till and stream sampling in 2004 confirmed the presence of anomalous kimberlite indicator minerals.

Prior to the amalgamation with Talmora Diamond Inc., Canadian Diamind Limited applied for additional exploration permits and these were granted on February 1, 2007. At the 2007 year-end Talmora held 12 contiguous permits covering 645,718 acres. The three original permits expired January 31, 2008. However, claims were staked within the permit areas prior to the expiry date. An airborne magnetic survey of the Company's three original permits and one of the adjoining permits awarded in 2007 was completed at the end of June 2007. KIMs in samples subsequently taken down-ice of magnetic anomalies with the characteristics of kimberlite pipes were 37 times more abundant than those in samples collected on a random basis in 2004.

Four new permits (144,868 acres) were granted to Talmora on February 1, 2008. Private placements in June and November 2009 enabled the Company to fly 865 line kilometers of airborne magnetics over potential kimberlite targets and to stake 125 claims (12,860.85 acres) between June 28 and July 13 on ground that came open February 1, 2009. Samples collected at the same time have been analysed for KIMs and added to the database. KIMs on the Talmora property match the widespread KIMs with accompanying diamonds found by others within the Cretaceous basin to the west.

The Talmora property was ready for drilling in 2008 but the global financial crisis made financing difficult. The climate for financing diamond projects seemed to improve in early 2011 and an attempt to raise \$1.2 million in a private placement for a drill program was undertaken. The Greek crisis in 2011 caused many investors to back out after more than half the target amount had been assured. The private placement financing closed at \$400,000 on July 8, 2011 which was used to do some necessary staking and some exploration for assessment work purposes. It is unfortunate that a drill program, when Talmora was ready in 2008, would have satisfied most of the assessment work requirements.

A small private placement financing of \$150,000 for administration and ongoing exploration was closed on April 16, 2012. An attempt to raise \$500,000 for a small drill program in a second private placement financing in 2012 was unsuccessful. The financing closed at \$280,000 on July 24, 2012 and an alternate summer field program was mobilized to use the funds to obtain assessment work credits on certain claims. Part of the 2012 financings was used to sample and test thickness of overburden near magnetic anomalies with a small Packsack drill. Attempts to reach the magnetic targets resulted in three of five holes penetrating the glacial till and ending in dark brown clay. Drill cuttings of the till and clay were submitted for chemical and mineralogical

analyses. In addition to sampling with the Packsack drill surface till samples (77 sites) were collected down-ice of a number of magnetic anomalies and were examined for kimberlite indicator minerals (KIMs).

Regional Diamond Exploration

Published information on neighbouring properties has been reviewed. Assessment work reports of Darnley Bay and Sanatana and the web sites of Sanatana and Diamondex have been especially useful in evaluating the mineral chemistry and the regional distribution of KIMs and how it relates to Talmora.

The mineral chemistry of KIMs in the two large areas sampled by Sanatana and Diamondex west of the Talmora property is remarkably similar. There is very little variation within subareas of the Sanatana property except on their Greenhorn claims southeast of Talmora where they discovered the very diamondiferous Dharma kimberlites. It is unusual for the mineral chemistry of KIMs from so large an area constituting most of the Lena West diamond district to vary so little and it suggests a common and more restricted source area for the KIMs.

The only known primary source of KIMs in the Lena West district are the Darnley Bay kimberlites in the NE corner and the Dharma kimberlites in the SE corner of the district. The mineral chemistry of KIMs from neither of these areas matches that of the KIMs west of Talmora. However, the KIMs on the Talmora property, allowing for the destruction of some silicate KIMs during Eocene “lateritization”, do match those to the west.

Diamondex showed that many of their KIMs were from the base of the Cretaceous sediments and that the primary source was to the east. Most of the Sanatana property also lies within the Cretaceous basin. It is significant that most of the Talmora property is outside the Cretaceous basin.

Geology of Talmora Property

Most of the Talmora property is underlain by limestone of Ordovician age with a thin cover of glacial drift. A slump block of Cretaceous sediment outcrops in the NW part and Cretaceous sediment has been mapped by the Geological Survey of Canada in the SW.

An airborne magnetic survey shows a number of magnetic dyke-like structures that strike NNW across the property. The “dykes” appear to be at a depth of 600-800m and are parallel to and probably the extension of the swarm of “dykes” that cross the Parry Peninsular and cut the “large magnetic anomaly” being explored by Darnley Bay for base metals at Paulatuk 120k to the NNW. The latter “dykes” have a spatial relation to the Darnley Bay kimberlites.

Along one of the “dykes” on the west side of Talmora’s property are 4 strongly magnetic circular structures or “blows” which have model widths of about 700-1300m and appear to be at the same depth as the “dyke”. The “blows” may be related to the “dykes” in the same way that the “large magnetic anomaly” at Paulatuk may be related to the “dykes” at that location. The

“blows” may be the feeder pipes of an intrusive similar to that which is believed to be the cause of the “large magnetic anomaly” at Paulatuk or of an extrusive that has subsequently been eroded.

Darnley Bay Resources has demonstrated that they have relatively shallow (300-500m) gravity anomalies above their deep magnetic anomaly suggesting that the gravity anomalies may be Olympic Dam type (U-Cu-Au) deposits. The magnetic “blows” on the Talmora property may be similarly capped by gravity anomalies indicating Olympic Dam targets and a gravity survey over the “blows” is recommended.

Kimberlite Targets

Anomalies of low magnetic susceptibility are of interest as kimberlite targets. Many of these anomalies coincide with small lakes and are concentrated along the “dykes” especially the “dyke” with the circular “blows”. Some of them were ground truthed in the field program carried out in the later half of August 2007. The field program included staking of the kimberlite targets and sampling of the tills for kimberlite indicator minerals (KIMs) down-ice of the magnetic targets.

The KIMs recovered from samples collected in 2007, are very much more numerous (37 times) than the KIMs recovered from samples collected in 2004, which tested the same general area but were not located with respect to magnetic targets. There is a strong correlation between KIMs and magnetic anomalies.

Ground to the west of the Talmora property came open in February 2009. Ponds with similar characteristics to those with coincident magnetic anomalies and all lying within the same prominent morphostructure (mantle focused circular fracture) were obvious on the immediately adjacent open ground. A two week field program was carried out in June/July 2009. A magnetic profile was flown across each of the characteristic ponds as well as across other less characteristic ponds further west outside the morphostructure. Many of the ponds show coincident magnetic anomalies. Samples were collected down-ice of a few of the ponds and 125 new claims were staked. A report on the work was approved and cash deposits of \$30,447 was refunded. Assessment work credits have been applied to new claims.

After the 2011 financing fell short of what was needed for drilling a limited program of staking within a permit due to lapse on January 31, 2012 was carried out. At the same time samples were collected and spectra of soil, rocks and vegetation recorded as part of the ground truthing of ASTER satellite images that show interesting relations between mineral spectra and ponds coincident with magnetic anomalies. The spectral data submitted as assessment work resulted in the return of certain cash deposits on lapsing permits and provided credits that were applied to claims within those lapsing permits.

\$430,000 from two financings in 2012 again fell short of the \$650,000 required for a small drill program. Following closing of the second financing on July 24, 2012 an alternate summer field program was mobilized to use the funds to obtain assessment work credits on certain claims. Mobilization and servicing of the field crew was by float plane and transport within the property was by ATV.

2012 Exploration Program

A Packsack drill was used to collect till samples and to test the thickness of overburden near five magnetic anomalies with characteristics of kimberlite pipes. The magnetic anomalies in dolomite bedrock have been deeply scoured by ice and are covered by boulder till, which in turn is overlain by various thicknesses of lake sediment. An attempt was made to penetrate the till overburden and reach the kimberlite targets. The Packsack drill is rated for a maximum of 100' and was pushed to its limit. In three cases the hard boulder till was penetrated (28.50', 39.00' & 23.25') and the drill entered a soft clay that could not be cored except for a small piece of clay mixed with dolomite fragments at the till/clay interface in one hole. The clay produced dark brown cuttings in the three holes that reached 30.50', 43.00' & 25.25' respectively. In two cases the hole was abandoned in boulder till at 16.8' and 72'. In addition to sampling with the Packsack drill, surface till samples (77 sites) were collected down-ice of a number of magnetic anomalies and have been examined for kimberlite indicator minerals (KIMs).

Cuttings were collected but there was loss of suspended fines in the return water from the till (mostly dolomite component) and considerably greater loss of fines in the return water from the clay (most of the clay minerals). Drill cutting of the till and clay were submitted for chemical and mineralogical analyses.

Chemical analyses of the till cuttings show a 80-90% loss of Ca & Mg (dolomite) and lesser amounts of Al, Fe, Mn, Ti, K, Na and P (probably chlorite, limonite, mica) compared to the average till in the area. As a result of the loss of fines there was a 45% increase in Si (quartz sand).

Analyses of the clay cuttings show the same relation as the till cuttings to the average till of the area. However, the clay cuttings compared to the till cuttings show slightly higher values for all major elements except Si. The Si is still high in the clay cuttings indicating probable contamination from the overlying till. The clay section had to be re-drilled after each run because of hole collapse.

Of great significance are the elevated values of minor elements in the clay cuttings. There is twice as much Cr and Mo; three times as much Fe, Mn, Ni, Zn, Pb and Sb; ten times as much Cu and Co; fifteen times as much W; and high Ag, As and Sn. All these elements except W are typically high in weathered kimberlite. The high W in the clay cuttings is probably contamination from the drill bits.

A very small piece of clay trapped in the core barrel between fragments of quartz filled and coated vugs in dolomite may be representative of the clay horizon. The composition of the clay is similar to the weathered lateritic alkaline ultramafic rocks at Errabiddy in Australia. However, high SiO₂ (67.4%) in the clay is probably contamination by 1) quartz from vuggy dolomite that trapped it in the core barrel, 2) quartz from the overlying till or 3) quartz from marine sediments that would have filled depressions above weathered kimberlite. . When the Talmora clay is calculated on a quartz-free basis it closely matches analyses of Sierra Leone weathered kimberlites calculated on the same basis. The most striking characteristic of the clay compared to the average <80 mesh till in the area is high Al, low Ca and Mg together with relatively high LOI (loss on ignition), relatively high Ti, Nb, Cr, Li, V, As, Ce, Cs, Ga, Ge, La, Lu, Pr, Rb, Sb, Ta, Th, U and very high Pb. Low Fe and related Mn and Ni are unexpected because there is evidence of laterite weathering in the area. However, the Fe, Mn and Ni values of the clay are similar to those of African kimberlitic calcretes. The dolomite fragments that trapped the clay may have provided a local calcrete environment.

The clay cuttings include very little of the clay. Much of the fine clay has been lost and there has been considerable dilution of the cuttings by coarse sand. Nevertheless, concentrates from the three holes that penetrated till and ended in clay were submitted for kimberlite indicator mineral (KIM) analysis and all contained KIMs. Hole THD-3 contained 2 Mn-ilmenites (or altered ilmenites) including 1 with diamond inclusion composition, hole THD-4 contained 12 Mn-ilmenites (or altered ilmenites) including 6 with diamond inclusion composition, 14 spinels and 1 picro-ilmenite (10.23% MgO; 3.24% Cr₂O₃) and THD-5 contained 3 Mn-ilmenites (or altered ilmenites) and 1 picro-ilmenite (9.73% MgO; 0.39% Cr₂O₃). The chromites lie on a relatively narrow compositional trend line indicating a single population and one grain plots in the Argyle chromite field. THD-4 contained notable galena and THD-5 contained a significant amount of sulphides.

Conclusion

While the clay cuttings have lost fines and are contaminated by till and marine sand they show many characteristics of weathered kimberlite including anomalous numbers of locally derived KIMs in THD-4.

Recommendation

The Company's most prospective magnetic anomalies must be tested with a larger drill. A major program costing \$2,000,000 - \$4,000,000 should confirm whether or not diamondiferous kimberlites are present on the property. Micro-diamond analyses of initial kimberlite samples will determine whether further investigation is warranted in which case an additional budget in the order of \$10,000,000 - \$15,000,000 would be required. A major financing for a drill program must now be pursued.

Talmora is dependent on management obtaining financing to continue operations and to fund its exploration property expenses.

Budget

Staking 75,000 acres @ \$2/acre (contract staker cost)	\$150,000	
Data Processing & planning	100,000	
<i>Drill Program</i>		
Permitting cost	75,000	
Drilling 2500m @ \$250/m	625,000	
Contract labour	135,000	
Camp construction	150,000	
Camp costs – labour & board	130,000	
Fuel	120,000	
Helicopter & fixed-wing – 3 months	560,000	
Accommodation & transport	120,000	
Ground geophysics	150,000	
Caustic laboratory	240,000	
Reports	20,000	
Contingency	175,000	
Total Drilling & Camp		\$2,750,000
<i>Airborne Magnetic Survey</i> - 12,000 line kilometers		
		425,000
<i>Sampling Program</i>		
Transport – samples & personnel	45,000	
Camp costs	15,000	
Helicopter	120,000	
Sample processing & probing	150,000	
Expediting	5,000	
Contingency	40,000	
Total Sampling Program		375,000
<i>Supervision & support</i>		
		500,000
	Total	\$4,050,000

Micro-diamond analysis of any kimberlite discovered will determine whether further investigation is warranted in which case a budget in the order of \$10,000,000 - \$15,000,000 would be required.

Property Commitments

As at December 31, 2014, the Company held 211 claims (68,784.15 acres) in the Horton River area, south of Paulatuk in the Northwest Territories. All eleven permits it held at the end of 2011–lapsed or were allowed to lapse on January 31, 2012. Most of the claims (207 covering 63,619.15 acres) are in the Inuvialuit Settlement Area and 4 of the claims (5,165 acres) are in the adjoining Sahtu Settlement Area. All are on crown land.

The Crown owns both mineral and surface rights to the claim areas, the exploration and exploitation of which is governed by the Canada Mining Regulations. Prospecting permits, claims, mining leases and work permits are dealt with under the Regulations. The Land Settlement Agreements deal with environmental matters, creates environmental agencies and related procedures, and provides the Inuvialuit and Sahtu with equal representation on the agencies. Those who conduct economic activity in the Region need their approval.

Permits require a deposit paid in advance, refundable when equivalent exploration work has been performed, of \$0.10/acre for the first work period, \$0.20/acre for the second work period and \$0.40/acre for the third work period. The first and second work periods are 2 years north of 68°N latitude and 1 year south of 68°N latitude. Areas of interest within the permits may be staked by the permit holder before the expiration of the permits but may not be staked by the permit holder for 1 year after the expiration of the permits.

Claims require assessment work of \$4.00/acre for the first two years and \$2.00/acre for each year thereafter.

A report on the work carried out in 2012 was approved and extended the life of 9 claims to October 11, 2016.

All performance bonds on permits where work had been performed have been refunded and there are none outstanding.

Current expiry dates on the claims are shown below:

Property Units	Size <u>Acres</u>	Record <u>Date</u>	Current <u>Expiry Date</u>
24 Claims	3,822.10	Sept.22, 2011	Sept. 22, 2021
6 Claims	7,644.20	Sept.22, 2011	Sept. 22, 2018
6 Claims	2,530.85	Sept.22, 2011	Sept. 22, 2016
10 Claims	774.75	Aug. 13, 2009	Aug. 13, 2019
115 Claims	12,086.10	Aug. 13, 2009	Aug.13, 2016
12 Claims	6,352.95	Oct. 11, 2007	Oct. 11, 2016
<u>38 Claims</u>	<u>35,573.20</u>	Oct. 11, 2007	Oct. 11, 2017
211	68,784.15		

Contingencies and Commitments

The Company's exploration activities are subject to various federal and provincial laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations are continually changing and generally becoming more restrictive. The Company conducts its operations so as to protect public health and the environment and believes its operations are materially in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. The Company has made, and expects to make in the future, expenditures to comply with such laws and regulations.

On September 16, 2013, the Company closed a private placement financing for 903,789 units, comprised of 526,509 non-flow-through units that were sold at \$0.03 per unit, and 377,280 flow-through units that were sold at \$0.04 per unit, for gross proceeds of \$30,886

The Company agreed to renounce flow-through expenditures in the amount of \$15,091.20 to investors with an effective date of December 31, 2013. As at December 31, 2013, the Company had fulfilled its flow-through expenditure requirement. The Company has agreed to indemnify the subscribers of its flow-through shares for any tax-related consequences that become payable by them, if the Company failed to meet its expenditure commitment.

The grant date fair value of the warrants of \$8,250 or approximately \$0.01 per whole warrant was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected dividend yield of 0%; expected volatility of 173%; risk free interest rate of 1.23%; and a life of one year.

Directors and officers of the Company acquired a total of 320,000 units pursuant to this financing, for gross proceeds of \$12,100. . (Series-11)

On March 21, 2014, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement of 3,307,333 units comprised of 3,180,053 Hard-Dollar Units at \$0.03 per unit and 127,280 Flow-Through Units at \$0.04 per unit, for gross proceeds of \$100,493. Each unit consisted of one common share and one common share purchase warrant. Each whole common share purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire a common share at a price of \$0.05 until March 21, 2015

Directors and officers of the Company acquired a total of 2,750,000 units in the financing pursuant to this financing for gross proceeds of \$83,000. (Series-12)

The grant date fair value of the warrants of \$34,156 or approximately \$0.01 per whole warrant was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected dividend yield of 0%; expected volatility of 201%; risk free interest rate of 0.48%; and a life of one year.

The Company agreed to renounce flow-through expenditures in the amount of \$5,091.20 to investors with an effective date of December 31, 2014. As at December 31, 2014, the Company had fulfilled its flow-through expenditure requirement. The Company has agreed to indemnify the subscribers of its flow-through shares for any tax-related consequences that become payable by them, if the Company failed to meet its expenditure commitment.

Environmental Contingencies

The Company's exploration activities are subject to various laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations are continually changing and generally becoming more restrictive. The Company believes its operations are materially in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. The Company has made, and expects to make in the future, expenditures to comply with such laws and regulations.

Variance to Original Budget of M.Millard (2005)

Budget M. Millard (2005)			Actual R. Davies assessment work reports (2008 & 2009)	
Phase 1 [minimum required to determine whether to continue to phase 2]				
Airborne survey	9000 line k @ \$35	\$315,000	10,196 line k	\$352,258.59
Process 2004 fine fractions	120 @ \$150	\$18,000	117 fine fractions	\$12,267.00
Claim staking	36 claims @ \$1,000	\$36,000	50 claims	\$50,461.83
	Contingency @ 10%	\$36,000		
Exploration sub-total		\$405,000		\$414,987.42
Administration		<u>\$100,000</u>	2007 expenses	<u>\$169,778.00</u>
	Total	\$505,000		\$584,765.42
Phase 2a [assumes encouragement from phase 1]				
Till sampling [follow-up, target evaluation]	200 samples @ \$1000	\$200,000	178 [target evaluation]	\$316,403.30
Stream samples [follow-up]	50 @ \$1500	\$75,000		
Ground magnetic survey	8 targets @ \$6,000	\$48,000	10 anomalies	\$25,130.73
	Contingency @ 20%	\$32,000		
Exploration sub-total		\$355,000		\$341,534.03
Administration		<u>\$100,000</u>	2008 expenses to Dec. 31	<u>\$148,946.00</u>
	Total	\$455,000		\$490,480.03
Phase 2b [assumes continued encouragement]				
Drilling	4 targets @ \$80,000	\$320,000		
	Contingency @ 20%	\$66,000		
Exploration sub-total		\$386,000		
Administration		<u>\$50,000</u>		
	Total	\$436,000		
Exploration Total		\$1,146,000		\$756,521.45
Administration Total		<u>\$250,000</u>		<u>\$318,724.00</u>
Grand Total		\$1,396,000		\$1,075,245

	2009 Field Program on New Ground	
	Staking 125 claims	59,936
	Airborne magnetic survey – 865 line ks	99,525
	Sampling – 51 samples collected	<u>189,665</u>
Exploration sub-total		349,126
Administration Expenses sub-total		<u>111,444</u>
	Total	\$460,570
	2010 Data Evaluation and Reporting	
	Staking	32,581
	Sample sorting and analysis	22,701
	Geophysics	<u>25,277</u>
Exploration sub-total		80,585
Administration Expenses sub-total		<u>118,084</u>
	Total	\$198,669
	2011 Field Program, Evaluation & Reporting	
	Staking	40,678
	ASTER image ground truthing	<u>219,388</u>
Exploration sub-total		260,066
Administration Expenses sub-total		<u>169,533</u>
	Total	\$429,599
	2012 Field Program, Evaluation & Reporting	
Exploration sub-total	Reporting, Packsack drilling, sampling	374,041
Administration Expenses sub-total		<u>100,568</u>
	Total	\$474,609
	2013 Field Program, Evaluation & Reporting	
Exploration sub-total	Reporting, sample sorting/analyses, assessment	95,616
Administration Expenses sub-total		<u>89,880</u>
	Total	\$185,496
	Sub-total to end December 31, 2013 ALL	<u>\$ 2,852,551</u>
	2014 Field Program, Evaluation & Reporting	
Exploration sub-total	Professional Services& licences	21,107
Administration Expenses sub- total		<u>81,475</u>
	Total	\$102,582
	2015 Field Program, Evaluation & Reporting	
Exploration sub-total	Professional Services. analyses & Licences	2,856
Administration Expenses sub- total		<u>16,315</u>
	Total	\$19,171
Grand Total as at March 31, 2015 Program		\$2,974,304

Phase 1 exploration costs were very much on budget with higher airborne survey cost due to higher line kilometers flown and higher staking cost due to greater number of claims staked.

Administration costs in 2007 were higher than budget because of the amalgamation of Talmora Resources Limited and Canadian Diamond Limited.

Administration costs in 2008 were lower than in 2007 but are higher than budget. These costs reflect the real costs of administering the company.

As a result of the financial crisis of 2008 funds were not available for the drilling proposed as Phase 2b. However, funding in 2009 enabled Talmora to fly an airborne magnetic survey over potential kimberlite targets on new ground that came open February 1, 2009 and to stake 125 additional claims. Administration costs were down and at a normal level.

2010 exploration expenses include evaluation and reporting of sampling and geophysical surveys carried out the previous year. Included in staking is a \$28,664 cash deposit required to hold permit 7307 until January 31, 2012. Administration costs in 2010 were again at a normal level.

2011 expenses were essentially to acquire additional claims and to do work not contemplated in the original budget but necessary to maintain the claims in good standing. Administration costs in 2011 reflect the high cost of switching from GAAP to IFRS accounting.

Exploration costs in the first quarter of 2012 are for evaluation and reporting of the 2011 program. Exploration costs in the second, third and fourth quarters of 2012 and for first, second and third quarters of 2013 are part of the cost of the Packsack drill and surface sampling program for assessment work purposes.

2014 expenses during the year were for evaluation and reporting.

2015 expenses at March 31, 2015 were for a late invoice for analyses and administrative professional service.

There are a lot more kimberlite targets than expected and Talmora proposes a more extensive drill program than the small Phase 2b budget above. Until a major drill program is initiated the property will be maintained with less expensive exploration such as sampling and ground geophysics.

Summary of Quarterly Results

(a) Year	2015	2015	2015	2015
(b) Quarter	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31
Cash and cash equivalents				16,570
Working capital				(1,370)
Interest revenue				0
Admin. Expenses				16,315
Exploration and evaluation expenditures				2,856
Cash in (out) flow				1,544
Net (Loss)				(21,480)
Net (Loss) per share				0.00
Total assets				32,854
Total liabilities				17,940

Summary of Quarterly Results

(a) Year	2014	2014	2014	2014
(b) Quarter	December 31	September 30	June 30	March 31
Cash and cash equivalents	11,457	18,715	39,456	73,177
Working capital	(21,555)	22,650	40,285	64,714
Interest revenue	0	0	0	0
Admin. Expenses	12,546	24,069	20,282	24,578
Exploration and evaluation expenditures	11,661	5,550	3,648	248
Cash in (out) flow	(7,258)	(20,741)	(33,721)	22,562
Net (Loss)	(43,068)	(31,796)	(20,092)	(31,117)
Net (Loss) per share	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.004)
Total assets	46,328	52,399	71,695	103,346
Total liabilities	47,937	8,140	8,140	13,700

When comparing the above exploration and evaluation expenditures, the costs in the first quarter of 2015 were for minor exploration charges, same as December 31, 2014, September 30, 2014, June 30, 2014, March 31, 2014, and 2013 quarters.

Administration expenses of \$16,315 for the quarter ended March 31, 2014, were average when compared to all prior quarters as identified in the 2014 chart above.

Finally, the balance sheet indicates a negative balance in the working capital of \$1,370, for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, compared to a negative balance of \$21,555 for the quarter ended December 31, 2014; compared to a positive balance of \$22,650 as of September 30, 2014; \$40,285 at June 30, 2014; \$64,714 at March 31, 2014. (\$6,326), as at December 31, 2013; \$73,906 as at September 30, 2013; \$23,267 as at June 30, 2013; \$79,575 as at March 31, 2013.

Financing

Talmora is dependent on management obtaining financing to continue operations and to fund its exploration property expenses. If such financing is unavailable for any reason, Talmora may become unable to carry out its business plan. Talmora intends to fund all future commitments with cash on hand, or through any other financing alternative it may have available to it at the time in question. As Talmora has no business undertaking, there can be no assurance that it will be profitable. In the interim, Talmora has no source of cash flow to fund its expenditures and its continued existence depends on its ability to raise further financing for working capital as the need may arise. The length of time needed to identify a new business, is indeterminate and the amount of resulting income, if any, is impossible to predict. Talmora does not expect to receive any income in the foreseeable future.

Talmora's success is dependent on the knowledge and expertise of its management and employees and their ability to identify and advance attractive business opportunities.

Other than as discussed herein, Talmora is not aware of any trends, demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that may result in the Talmora's liquidity or capital resources either materially increasing or decreasing at present or in the foreseeable future. Material increases or decreases in Talmora's liquidity and capital resources will be substantially determined by the success or failure of any new proposed business of Talmora and its ability to obtain equity financing.

The continuing global financial uncertainty made a major funding in 2011 difficult. A private placement of \$400,000 that closed July 8, 2011 was insufficient for a drill program but enabled Talmora to stake claims on a permit that was to lapse on January 31, 2012 and to do exploration in order to recover cash deposits on lapsing permits and to obtain credits that will keep certain claims in good standing. A small private placement of \$150,000 to cover administration and exploration in 2012 was completed in April and a second private placement of \$280,000 was completed in July, 2012. The Company will concentrate on maintaining the property in good standing until funding of a major drill program is achieved.

A financing was initiated in August 2013, however, the Company closed the non-brokered private placement financing on September 16, 2013, before its planned completion in order to distribute the results of kimberlite indicator mineral (KIM) analyses received from De Beers Analytical Services, Johannesburg, South Africa. Subscriptions received prior to receipt of sample results amounted to 903,789 Units, comprised of 526,509 Hard Dollar Units that were sold at \$0.03 per Unit and 377,280 Flow-through Units that were sold at \$0.04 per Unit, for gross proceeds of \$30,886. Reopening of the Financing was postponed to early 2014.

On March 21, 2014, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement financing for 3,307,333 units, comprised of 3,180,053 Hard Dollar Units that were sold at \$0.03 per Unit and 127,280 Flow-through Units that were sold at \$0.04 per Unit, for gross proceeds of \$100,493. Parts of the proceeds were used to cover exploration report writing and part administrative charges.

As at March 31, 2015, there are 61,998,801 common shares issued and outstanding.

7,825,333 Common shares subject to issuance are comprised of:

(a) 2,507,333 warrants, and (b) 5,318,000 management incentive options

As at the date of this MD&A details of the common shares subject to issuance were:

a) Warrants:

Warrants Expired

On July 8, 2013, 4,000,000 warrants entitling the holder to acquire one common share per warrant for \$0.10 expired. (Series-7)

On July 24, 2013, 3,420,000 warrants entitling the holder to acquire one common share per warrant for \$0.05 expired. Initially these warrants were comprised of 5,600,000 warrants of which 2,180,000 were exercised. (Series-9)

On April 16, 2014, 1,500,000 warrants entitling the holder to acquire one common share per warrant for \$0.05 expired. (Series-8)

On September 16, 2014, 653,789 warrants entitling the holder to acquire one common share per warrant for \$0.05 expired. (Series-11) Initially these warrants were comprised 903,789 warrants of which 250,000 were exercised. (Series-11)

Warrants Exercised

On July 24, 2013, 1,000,000 warrants were exercised at \$0.05 per share to net the Company \$50,000. (Series-9)

On July 17, 2013, 180,000 warrants were exercised at \$0.05 per share to net the Company \$9,000. (Series-9)

On August 8, 2014, 250,000 warrants were exercised at \$0.05 per share to net the the Company \$12,500. (Series-11.)

On February 19, 2015, 800,000 warrants were exercised at \$0.05 per share to net the Company \$40,000.

Current Warrants

As at March 31, 2015, the following warrants were issued and outstanding:
2,507,333 issued March 21, 2014, entitling the holder to acquire one common share per warrant for \$0.05, expire March 21, 2015. (Series-12)

Series-12 warrants were initially comprised of 3,307,333 warrants of which 800,000 warrants were exercised. (Series-12) were issued pursuant to the private placement on March 21, 2014 with an exercise price of \$0.05 per common share were extended for 12 months to March 21, 2016. All other terms of the warrants remained the same.

The warrants outstanding and exercisable as at March 31, 2015 have a weighted average remaining contractual life of 1 year (December 31, 2014 - 0.22 years).

b) Options:

(Option numbers below include all exercises and forfeits)

<u>Granted</u>		<u>Exercisable Price</u>	<u>Expiry Date</u>
951,000	management incentive options	\$0.05	June 9, 2015.
100,000	management incentive options	\$0.05	May 1, 2016.
914,000	management incentive options	\$0.05	December 16, 2016.
1,890,000	management incentive options	\$0.05	June 29, 2017.
100,000	management incentive options	\$0.05	July 20, 2017.
20,000	management incentive options	\$0.05	November 1, 2017
1,343,000	management incentive options	\$0.05	July 2, 2018

Off-Balance- Sheet Arrangements

The Company does not have any off-balance-sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on its results of operations or financial condition, including, without limitation, such considerations as liquidity, capital expenditures and capital resources that would be considered material to investors.

An analysis of the liquidity of Talmora Diamond Inc. is provided below

Talmora had cash in the amount of \$13,001 for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, compared to \$11,457 as of December 31, 2014 compared to \$18,715 as at September 30, 2014, \$39,456 as at June 30, 2014, \$73,177 at March 31, 2014, \$50,615 at December 31, 2013; \$18,825 at September 30, 2013; \$10,788 as at June 30, 2013, \$70,721 at March 31, 2013. The decrease in cash in the second quarter reflects normal administration and some exploration costs paid during the second quarter as compared to first quarter of 2014 reflects the receipt of funds from March 21, 2014 private placement of \$100,493 and the increase in cash in the fourth quarter of 2013

reflects the receipt of cash deposits from the Mining Recorder and the smaller increase in the third quarter of 2013 reflects the influx of funds from the September 16, 2013 private placement of \$30,886.

As of March 31, 2015, Talmora had a negative working capital position of \$1,370, compared to \$21,555 as of December 31, 2014, compared to a positive balance of \$22,650 as at September 30, 2014, June 30, 2014 - \$40,285; March 31, 2014 - \$64,714; December 31, 2013 - (\$6,326) compared to surpluses for September 30, 2013 - \$73,906; June 30, 2013 - \$23,267; March 31, 2013 - \$79,595.

There was no interest revenue in 2014 (no interest for the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2013). Cash was needed to pay invoices soon after the 2012 financings closed.

From the charts under the Summary of Quarterly Results, the administrative expenses for the quarters on average are normal.

As identified from comparing quarterly cash flows and net losses from the charts under the Summary of Quarterly Results, a small financing will be required for ongoing expenses.

During the year ended December 2013, the Company renounced flow-through expenditures in the amount of \$15,091 with respect to flow-through financing of September 16, 2013. \$15,091 of exploration expenditures were incurred by December 31, 2013. (Series 11).

The Company has agreed to indemnify the subscribers of its flow-through shares for any - tax-related consequences that become payable by them, if the Company fails to meet its expenditure commitment.

The Company agreed to renounce flow-through expenditures in the amount of \$5,091 to investors with an effective date of December 31, 2014 pursuant to private placement financings that occurred during the year 2014. Of this amount, \$3,895 was incurred to June 30, 2014. The remaining balance of \$1,196 was incurred by September 30, 2014.

The Company has agreed to indemnify the subscribers of its flow-through shares for any tax-related consequences that become payable by them, if the Company failed to meet its expenditure commitment. (Series-12.)

Options

The Company has a stock option plan under which officers, directors, employees, and consultants are eligible to receive stock options. The aggregate number of shares to be issued upon exercise of all options granted under the plan may not exceed 10% of the outstanding shares of the Company. Options granted under the plan generally have a term of five years and vest at terms to be determined by the directors at the time of grant. The exercise price of each option is fixed by the board of directors but shall not be less than the price permitted by any stock exchange on which the Company's common shares may be listed which is generally the trading price of the Company's stock at or about the grant date of the options.

A summary of changes in stock options is as follows:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, December 31, 2012	4,025,000	0.05
Granted July 2, 2013	<u>1,343,000</u>	<u>0.05</u>
Balance, December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2014	5,368,000	0.05
Expired March 1, 2015	<u>-50,000</u>	<u>0.05</u>
Balance March 31, 2015	<u><u>5,318,000</u></u>	<u><u>0.05</u></u>

As at March 31, 2015, the following options were issued and outstanding:

Options Granted #	Options Exercisable #	Exercise Price \$	Expiry Date	Remaining Contractual Life (years)	Value \$
951,000	951,000	0.05	June 9, 2015	0.191	5,213
100,000	100,000	0.05	May 1, 2016	1.09	3,719
914,000	914,000	0.05	December 16, 2016	1.71	27,833
1,890,000	1,890,000	0.05	June 29, 2017	2.25	7,587
100,000	100,000	0.05	July 20, 2017	2.31	397
20,000	20,000	0.05	November 1, 2017	2.67	36
1,343,000	1,343,000	0.05	July 2, 2018	3.26	23,397
<u>5,318,000</u>	<u>5,318,000</u>				<u>68,184</u>

The weighted average exercise price of options outstanding and exercisable at March 31, 2015 is \$0.05 (December 31, 2014 - \$0.05.) The options outstanding and exercisable as at March 31, 2015 have a weighted average remaining contractual life of 1.92 years and 1.92 years (2014 - 2.25 - 2.25 years) respectively.

On July 2, 2013, the Company granted stock options to acquire 1,343,000 common shares of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.05 per share, which expire on July 2, 2018. There are no vesting schedules on these options as they vest immediately. (Options No.9)

Related Party Disclosures

Related parties include the Board of Directors, officers and members of close family members and enterprises that are controlled by these individuals as well as certain persons performing similar functions.

In accordance with IAS 24, key management personnel are those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Company directly or indirectly, including any directors (executive and non-executive) of the Company. Related party transactions conducted in the normal course of operations are measured at the transaction amount. Remuneration of directors and key management of the Company was as follows:

	Cumulative – Years ended March 31	
	2015	2014
	\$	\$
Salaries and benefits	10,625	14,364
Share-based payments	-	3

In the year ended March 31, 2015 the total included in the above table was \$10,625 of which \$1,125 (2014 – 188) was included in exploration and evaluation expenditures, with the balance of \$9,600 (2014 – \$14,176) charged to administration expense.

The remuneration of directors and key executives is determined by the remuneration committee having regard to the performance of individuals and market trends.

Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities is NIL as at March 31, 2015 (2014 – Nil.)

Related party private placement subscriptions

On February 19, 2015, a director of the Company exercised 800,000 warrants at \$0.05 per share to net the Company \$40,000. The warrants that were issued pursuant to the private placement on March 21, 2014 with an exercise price of \$0.05 per common share were extended for 12 months to March 21, 2016. All other terms of the warrants remained the same. (Series-12.) .

Equipment acquired through Finance Lease

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company entered into a lease for exploration equipment for a term ending September 30, 2013, with a corporation controlled by a shareholder, who is also an officer of the Company.

	Cost	Depreciation	Net Book Value
	\$	\$	\$
Balance, December 31, 2012	35,913	2,670	33,243
Additions in 2013	-	6,648	(6,648)
Balance, December 2013	35,913	9,3178	26,595
Additions in 2014	-	6,648	(6,648)
Balance, December 31, 2014	35,913	15,967	19,946

As at March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014 and 2013, the finance lease payable balance has been paid off as per the lease agreement.

Basis of Presentation

The statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”) issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (“IASB”) and interpretations issued by the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee (“IFRIC”).

The policies applied in these financial statements are based on IFRS issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2014.

The financial statements have been prepared on the historical cost basis. In addition, these financial statements have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting except for cash flow information.

New Standards and Interpretations not yet adopted

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company adopted a number of new IFRS standards, interpretations, amendments and improvements to existing standards. These included IAS 32, IAS 36, IAS 30 and IFRIC 21. These new standards and changes did not have any material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Certain pronouncements were issued by the IASB or the IFRIC that are mandatory for accounting periods on or after January 1, 2015 or later periods. Many are not applicable or do not have a significant impact to the Company and have been excluded. The following have not yet been adopted and are being evaluated to determine their impact on the Company.

IFRS 9 – Financial Instruments (“IFRS 9”) was issued by the IASB in November 2009 with additions in October 2010 and May 2013 and will replace IAS 39 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement (“IAS 39”). IFRS 9 uses a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortized cost or fair value, replacing the multiple rules in IAS 39. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. Most of the requirements in IAS 39 for classification and measurement of financial liabilities were carried forward unchanged to IFRS 9, except that an entity choosing to measure a financial liability at fair value will present the portion of any change in its fair value due to changes in the entity’s own credit risk in other comprehensive income, rather than within profit or loss. The new standard also requires a single impairment method to be used, replacing the multiple impairment methods in IAS 39. IFRS 9 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018. Earlier adoption is permitted.

IAS 1 – Presentation of Financial Statements (“IAS 1”) was amended in December 2014 in order to clarify, among other things, that information should not be obscured by aggregating or by providing immaterial information, that materiality consideration apply to all parts of the financial statements and that even when a standard requires a specific disclosure, materiality considerations do apply. The amendments are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2016. Earlier adoption permitted.

IAS 24 – Related Party Disclosures (“IAS 24”) was amended to clarify that an entity providing key management services to the reporting entity or the parent of the reporting entity is a related party of the reporting entity. The amendments also require an entity to disclose amounts incurred for key management personnel services provided by a separate management entity. The amendments to IAS 24 are effective for annual periods beginning on or after July 1, 2014.

Significant Accounting Judgments and Estimates

The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual outcomes could differ from these estimates. These financial statements include estimates that, by their nature, are uncertain. The impacts of such estimates are pervasive throughout the financial statements, and may require accounting adjustments based on future occurrences. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimate is revised and future periods if the revision affects both current and future periods. These estimates are based on historical experience, current and future economic conditions and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

Significant assumptions about the future that management has made that could result in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities, in the event that actual results differ from assumptions made, relate to, but are not limited to, the following:

- The inputs used in accounting for share-based payment transactions. Management determines costs for share-based payments using market-based valuation techniques. The fair value of the market-based and performance-based share awards are determined at the date of grant using generally accepted valuation techniques. Assumptions are made and judgment used in applying valuation techniques. These assumptions and judgments include estimating the future volatility of the stock price, expected dividend yield, future employee turnover rates and future employee stock option exercise behaviors and corporate performance. These assumptions are based largely on historical trends and management’s expectations of the future. Such judgments and assumptions are inherently uncertain. Changes in these assumptions affect the fair value estimates.
- Management assumption of no material restoration, rehabilitation and environmental obligations, based on the facts and circumstances that existed during the period. Decommissioning, restoration and similar liabilities are estimated based on the Company’s interpretation of current regulatory requirements, constructive obligations and are measured at fair value. Fair value is determined based on the net present value of estimated future cash expenditures for the settlement of decommissioning, restoration or similar liabilities that may occur upon decommissioning of the mine. Such estimates are subject to change based on changes in laws and regulations and negotiations with regulatory authorities.
- In assessing the probability of realizing income tax assets, management makes estimates related to expectations of future taxable income, applicable tax planning opportunities, expected timing of reversals of existing temporary differences and the likelihood that tax positions taken will be sustained upon examination by applicable tax authorities. In making

its assessments, management gives additional weight to positive and negative evidence that can be objectively verified. Estimates of future taxable income are based on forecasted cash flows from operations and the application of existing tax laws in each jurisdiction. Where applicable tax laws and regulations are either unclear or subject to ongoing varying interpretations, it is reasonably possible that changes in these estimates can occur that materially affect the amounts of income tax assets recognized. Also, future changes in tax laws could limit the Company from realizing the tax benefits from the deferred tax assets. The Company reassesses unrecognized income tax assets at each reporting period.

Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently to all periods presented in the financial statements.

Functional and presentation currency

The Company's presentation and functional currency is the Canadian dollar ("C\$"). The Company does not have any foreign operations. Transactions in currencies other than the functional currency are recorded at the rates of exchange prevailing on the dates of transactions. At each financial position reporting date, monetary assets and liabilities that are denominated in foreign currencies are translated at the rates prevailing at the date when the fair value was determined. Non-monetary items that are measured in terms of historical cost in a foreign currency are not retranslated. Foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from the settlement of such transactions and from the re-measurement of monetary items at period end exchange rates are recognized in the statement of loss and comprehensive loss.

Flow-through shares

The Company finances a portion of its Horton River project exploration and evaluation activities through the issuance of flow-through shares. Under the terms of the flow-through common share issues, the tax attributes of the related expenditures are renounced to investors and deferred income tax expense and income tax liabilities are increased by the estimated income tax benefits renounced by the Company to the investors. On the date of issuance of the flow-through shares, the premium relating to the proceeds received in excess of the fair value of the Company's common shares is allocated to liabilities. The premium liability is reduced during the period of renunciation. The reduction to the premium liability in the period of renunciation is recognized through net loss.

Where the Company has unused tax benefits on loss carry forwards and tax pools in excess of book value available for deduction, the Company offsets the increase in deferred tax liabilities resulting in an offsetting recovery of deferred income taxes being recognized net loss in the reporting period.

Segment reporting

An operating segment is a component of the Company that engages in business activities from which it may earn revenues and incur expenses, including revenues and expenses that relate to transactions with any of the Company's other components. The Company currently operates in one business segment, being the exploration and evaluation of resource properties. All of the Company's assets are located in Canada.

Share-based payment

Equity-settled share-based payments to employees and others providing similar services are measured at the fair value of the equity instruments at the grant date. Details regarding the determination of the fair value of equity-settled share-based transactions are set out in the stock options and share-based payment reserve.

The fair value is measured at the grant date and each tranche is recognized on a graded-vesting basis over the period in which options vest. At the end of each reporting period, the Company revises its estimate of the number of equity instruments expected to vest. The impact of the revision of the original estimates, if any, is recognized in profit or loss such that the cumulative expense reflects the revised estimate, with a corresponding adjustment to the equity-settled employee benefits reserve.

Equity-settled share-based payment transactions with parties other than employees are measured at the fair value of the goods or services received, except where that fair value cannot be estimated reliably, in which case they are measured at the fair value of the equity instruments granted, measured at the date the entity obtains the goods or the counterparty renders the service.

For those options and warrants that expire after vesting, the recorded value is transferred to deficit.

Deferred tax

Deferred tax is recognized on temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and the corresponding tax bases used in the computation of taxable profit. Deferred tax liabilities are generally recognized for all taxable temporary differences. Deferred tax assets are generally recognized for all deductible temporary differences to the extent that it is probable that taxable profits will be available against which those deductible temporary differences can be utilized. Such deferred tax assets and liabilities are not recognized if the temporary difference arises from the initial recognition (other than in a business combination) of assets and liabilities in a transaction that affects neither the taxable profit nor the accounting profit.

The carrying amount of deferred tax assets is reviewed at the end of each reporting period and reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that sufficient taxable profits will be available to allow all or part of the asset to be recovered.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured at the tax rates that are expected to apply in the period in which the liability is settled or the asset realized, based on tax rates (and tax laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the end of the reporting period.

The measurement of deferred tax liabilities and assets reflects the tax consequences that would follow from the manner in which the Company expects, at the end of the reporting period, to recover or settle the carrying amount of its assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset when there is a legally enforceable right to offset current tax assets against current tax liabilities and when they relate to income taxes levied by the same taxation authority and the Company intends to settle its current tax assets and liabilities on a net basis.

Loss per share

The Company presents basic and diluted loss per share data for its common shares, calculated by dividing the loss attributable to common shareholders of the Company by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share is determined by adjusting the loss attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the effects of all warrants and options outstanding that may add to the total number of common shares. The issued and outstanding stock options and warrants were not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share for the periods presented, as their effect would be anti-dilutive.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents in the statement of financial position are comprised of cash at banks, on hand, short-term deposits with an original maturity of three months or less, and guaranteed investment certificates which are readily convertible into a known amount of cash. The Company's cash and cash equivalents are invested with major financial institutions in business accounts and guaranteed investment certificates that are available on demand by the Company for its programs. The Company does not invest in any asset-backed deposits/investments. As at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 the Company did not have any cash equivalents.

Share capital

Common shares are classified as equity. Costs directly attributable to the issue of new shares and warrants are shown in equity as a deduction, net of tax benefits received, if any, from proceeds.

Provisions

A provision is recognized if, as a result of a past event, the Company has a present legal or constructive obligation that can be estimated reliably, and it is probable that an outflow of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation.

The timing of recognition and quantification of the liability requires the application of judgment to existing facts and circumstances, which can be subject to change. A change in estimate of a recognized provision or liability would result in a charge or credit to operations in the period in which the change occurs, with the exception of decommissioning and restoration costs described below.

If the effect of the time value of money is material, provisions are determined by discounting the expected future cash flows at a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money. Where discounting is used, the increase in the provision due to the passage of time referred to as "unwinding of discount" is recognized within finance costs.

Decommissioning and restoration provisions

The Company records the present value of estimated costs of legal and constructive obligations required to restore operating locations in the period in which the obligation is incurred. The nature of these restoration activities includes dismantling and removing structures, rehabilitating mines and tailings dams, dismantling operating facilities, closure of plant and waste sites, and restoration, reclamation and re-vegetation of affected areas.

The obligation generally arises when the asset is installed or the ground / environment is disturbed at the production location. When the liability is initially recognized, the present value of the estimated cost is capitalized by increasing the carrying amount of the related mining assets to the extent that it was incurred prior to the production of related ore. Over time, the discounted liability is increased for the change in present value based on the discount rates that reflect current market assessments and the risks specific to the liability. The periodic unwinding of the discount is recognized in the statement of loss and comprehensive loss as a finance cost.

Additional disturbances or changes in rehabilitation costs will be recognized as additions or charges to the corresponding assets and rehabilitation liability when they occur. For closed sites, changes to estimated costs are recognized immediately in the statement of loss and comprehensive loss.

The Company does not currently have any such significant legal or constructive obligations and therefore no decommissioning liabilities have been recorded as at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Contingent assets are not recognized in the financial statements but they are disclosed by way of note if they are deemed probable.

Contingent liabilities are possible obligations whose existence will only be confirmed by future events not wholly within the control of the Company. Contingent liabilities are recognized in the financial statements unless the possibility of an outflow of economic resources is considered remote, in which case they are disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

Exploration and evaluation expenditures

The Company expenses exploration and evaluation expenditures as incurred. Exploration and evaluation expenditures include acquisition costs of mineral properties, property option payments and evaluation activity.

Once a project has been established as commercially viable and technically feasible, related development expenditures are capitalized. This includes costs incurred in preparing the site for mining operations. Capitalization ceases when the mine is capable of commercial production, with the exception of development costs that give rise to a future benefit.

Equipment

On initial recognition, equipment is valued at cost, being the purchase price and directly attributable cost of acquisition or construction required to bring the asset to the location and condition necessary to be capable of operating in the manner intended by the Company, including appropriate borrowing costs and the estimated present value of any future unavoidable costs of dismantling and removing items.

Equipment is subsequently measured at cost less accumulated depreciation, less any accumulated impairment losses. Depreciation is based on the cost of an asset less its residual value. Depreciation is recognized in profit or loss over the estimated useful life of the exploration equipment on a 20% declining balance basis.

Depreciation methods, useful lives and residual values are reviewed at each financial year end and adjusted if appropriate.

The cost of replacing part of an item of equipment is recognized in the carrying amount of the item if it is probable that the future economic benefits embodied within the part will flow to the Company and its cost can be measured reliably. The carrying amount of the replaced part is derecognized. The costs of the day-to-day servicing of equipment are recognized in profit or loss as incurred.

Subsequent costs are included in the asset's carrying amount or recognized as a separate asset, as appropriate, only when it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the item will flow to the Company and the cost of the item can be measured reliably. All other repairs and maintenance are charged to profit or loss during the financial year in which they are incurred.

Leases

Assets held under finance leases are initially recognized as assets of the Company at their fair value at the inception of the lease or, if lower, at the present value of the minimum lease payments. The corresponding liability is included in the statement of financial position as a finance lease payable.

Lease payments are apportioned between finance expenses and reduction of the lease obligation so as to achieve a constant rate of interest on the remaining balance of the liability. Finance expenses are recognized immediately in profit or loss, unless they are directly attributable to qualifying assets, in which case they are capitalized in accordance with the Company's general policy on borrowing costs. Contingent rentals are recognized as expenses in the periods in which they are incurred.

Operating lease payments are recognized as an expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term, except where another systematic basis is more representative of the time pattern in which economic benefits from the leased asset are consumed.

Financial assets

Financial assets are classified at fair value through profit or loss, loans and receivables, held-to-maturity investments, available-for-sale financial assets, or derivatives. The Company determines the classification of its financial assets at initial recognition. The Company's cash, has been classified as loans and receivables.

Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss are initially recognized at fair value with changes in fair value recorded through net loss and comprehensive loss. Loans and receivables are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. They are classified as current assets or non-current assets based on their maturity date. Loans and receivables are carried at amortized cost less any impairment.

Financial liabilities

Financial liabilities are classified at fair value through profit or loss, other financial liabilities, or as derivatives designated as hedging instruments in an effective hedge, as appropriate. The Company determines the classification of its financial liabilities at initial recognition. The Company has classified its accounts payable and accrued liabilities and finance lease as other financial liabilities.

All financial liabilities are recognised initially at fair value and in the case of other financial liabilities, plus directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, these financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method. The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortized cost of a financial liability and of allocating interest and any transaction costs over the relevant period.

The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments through the expected life of the financial liability or (where appropriate) to the net carrying amount on initial recognition.

Other financial liabilities are de-recognized when the obligations are discharged, cancelled or expired.

Impairment of financial assets

Financial assets are assessed for indicators of impairment at the end of each reporting period. Financial assets are impaired when there is objective evidence that, as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of the financial assets, the estimated future cash flows of the investments have been negatively impacted.

Evidence of impairment could include:

- significant financial difficulty of the issuer or counterparty; or
- default or delinquency in interest or principal payments; or
- the likelihood that the borrower will enter bankruptcy or financial re-organization.

Capital Management

When managing capital, the Company's objective is to ensure the entity continues as a going concern as well as to maintain appropriate returns to shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders. Management adjusts the capital structure as necessary, in order to support the acquisition, exploration and development of its projects. The Board of Directors does not establish criteria for quantitative return on capital for management, but rather relies on the expertise of the Company's management to sustain future development of the business.

The Company considers its capital to be equity, which comprises share capital, warrant reserve and share-based payment reserve. The properties in which the Company currently has an interest are at the exploration stage; as such, the Company is dependent on external financing to fund its activities. In order to carry out the planned project related development activities and pay for exploration and administrative costs, the Company will spend its existing working capital and plans to raise additional funds as needed. The Company will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire an interest in additional properties if it feels there is sufficient geologic or economic potential and if it has adequate financial resources to do so. Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the relative size of the Company, is appropriate. There was no change to the Company's approach to capital management during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. The Company is not subject to any capital requirements imposed by a lending institution or regulatory body, other than of the Canadian Securities Exchange ("CSE") which requires adequate working capital of \$50,000. As of March 31, 2015, the Company may not be compliant with the policies of the CSE. The impact of this violation is not known and is ultimately dependent on the discretion of the CSE.

Financial Instruments and Financial Risk Management

Categories of financial instruments and fair value measurement

The Company defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an arm's length transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When appropriate, the Company adjusts the valuation models to incorporate a measure of credit risk.

The Company classifies its fair value measurements using a fair value hierarchy that reflects the significance of the inputs used in making the measurements. The fair value hierarchy has the following levels:

- Level 1 fair value measurements are those derived from quoted prices (unadjusted) in active market for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 fair value measurements are those derived from inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly (i.e. as prices) or indirectly (i.e. derived from prices).
- Level 3 fair value measurements are those derived from valuation techniques that include inputs for the asset or liability that are not based on observable market data (unobservable inputs). The Company does not have any Level 3 financial instruments.

The Company does not have any financial statements that are carried at fair value.

The carrying values of the Company's financial assets and financial liabilities approximate fair values given their short-term nature.

The Company is exposed to a variety of financial risks: credit risk, liquidity risk, property risk, and market risk, including price risk, interest rate and currency risk, as explained below. Risk management is carried out by the Company's management team with guidance from the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors. There were no changes in the Company's policies and procedures for managing risk during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Liquidity Risk

The Company's approach to managing liquidity risk is to ensure that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet liabilities when due. As at March 31, 2015, the Company had a cash balance of \$13,001, (2014- \$73,177) to settle current liabilities of \$17,940 (2014- \$1 3,700.)

Credit Risk

The Company has no significant concentration of credit risk arising from operations. Cash equivalents, when applicable, consist of guaranteed investment certificates, which will be invested with reputable financial institutions, from which management believes the risk of loss to be remote. Management believes that the credit risk is remote.

Market Risk

(a) Interest Rate Risk

The Company may have cash equivalent balances subject to fluctuations in the prime rate. The Company's current policy is to invest excess cash in investment-grade short-term deposit certificates issued by its banking institutions. The Company periodically monitors the investments it makes and is satisfied with the credit ratings of its banks. Currently, the Company does not hedge against interest rate risk.

(b) Foreign Currency Risk

The Company's functional currency is the Canadian dollar and major purchases are transacted in Canadian dollars. Management believes the foreign exchange risk derived from currency conversions is negligible and therefore does not hedge its foreign exchange risk. The Company does not hold balances in foreign currencies to give rise to exposure to foreign exchange risk.

(c) Price Risk

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to diamond prices. The Company closely monitors diamond prices to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken by the Company. As the Company's mineral properties are in the exploration stage and do not contain any mineral resources or mineral reserves, the Company does not hedge against price risk.

Property Risk

The Company's significant mineral exploration property is the Horton River property. Unless the Company acquires or develops additional significant properties, the Company will be solely dependent upon the Horton River property. If no additional mineral exploration properties are acquired by the Company, any material development affecting the Horton River property could have a material effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Sensitivity Analysis

The Company does not anticipate any material fluctuations as a result of changes in interest or foreign currency rates.

Commitments and Contingencies

Flow-Through

The Company agreed to renounce flow-through expenditures in the amount of \$15,091 to investors with an effective date of December 31, 2013 pursuant to private placement financings that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2013. As at December 31, 2013, the Company had fulfilled its flow-through expenditure requirement. The Company has agreed to indemnify the subscribers of its flow-through shares for any tax-related consequences that become payable by them, if the Company failed to meet its expenditure commitment.

The Company agreed to renounce flow-through expenditures in the amount of \$5,091 to investors with an effective date of December 31, 2014 pursuant to private placement financings that occurred during the year 2014. As at December 31, 2014, the Company had fulfilled its flow-through expenditure requirement. The Company has agreed to indemnify the subscribers of its flow-through shares for any tax-related consequences that become payable by them, if the Company failed to meet its expenditure commitment.

Environmental Contingencies

The Company's exploration activities are subject to various laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations are continually changing and generally becoming more restrictive. The Company believes its operations are materially in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. The Company has made, and expects to make in the future, expenditures to comply with such laws and regulations.

Subsequent Events

No subsequent event occurred since March 31, 2015.