BERKLEY RESOURCES INC.

CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

Index

Notice of No Auditor Review of Interim Financial Statements Condensed Interim Consolidated Statements of Financial Position Condensed Interim Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss Condensed Interim Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity Condensed Interim Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Notes to the Condensed Interim Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTICE OF NO AUDITOR REVIEW OF INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In accordance with National Instrument 51-102, Part 4, subsection 4.3(3) released by the Canadian Securities Administrators, the Company discloses that its auditors have not reviewed these unaudited interim financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

BERKLEY RESOURCES INC. CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION (unaudited)

	As at September 30, 2011	As at December 31, 2010 (note 17)	As at January 1, 2010 (note 17)
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 898,940	\$ 1,127,719	\$ 839,811
Trade and other receivables	94,498	84,052	321,015
Marketable securities (note 5)	104,723	1,157,016	-
Deposits	64,507	81,009	65,227
Total current assets	1,162,668	2,449,796	1,226,053
Investment (note 6)	2,100,552	400,000	-
Exploration and evaluation properties (note 9)	379,129	379,129	379,129
Petroleum and natural gas interests (note 8)	264,428	197,656	1,968,556
Other property and equipment (note 10)	4,782	8,973	1,207
Total non-current assets	2,748,891	985,758	2,348,892
	\$ 3,911,559	\$ 3,435,554	\$ 3,574,945
LIABILITIES			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 198,278	\$ 383,111	\$ 373,083
Due to related parties	7,930	-	-
Total current liabilities	206,208	383,111	373,083
Decommissioning liability (note 11)	79,681	75,596	176,540
	285,889	458,707	549,623
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Share Capital (note 12)	14,848,154	14,848,154	14,794,482
Non-controlling interest	1,195,844	951,265	-
Contributed Surplus	1,733,013	1,733,013	1,733,013
Deficit	(15,851,893)	(14,555,585)	(13,502,173)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,700,552	-	-
Total shareholders' equity	3,625,670	2,976,847	3,025,322
	\$ 3,911,559	\$ 3,435,554	3,574,945

Going concern (note 1)

BERKLEY RESOURCES INC. CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (unaudited)

		Three months e	nded Sept. 30	Ni	ne months er	ded Sept. 30
		2011	2010		2011	2010
			(note 17)			(note 17)
Oil and gas revenue						
Petroleum and natural gas sales	\$	11,195	118,732	\$	40,822	341,653
Royalty expense	-	(345)	(27,586)		(909)	(79,481)
Net oil and gas revenue		10,850	91,146		39,913	262,172
Oil and gas production expenses						
Operating costs		5,675	39,895		13,994	136,021
Depletion and depreciation		4,607	32,224		14,730	92,483
		10,282	72,119		28,724	228,504
Net oil and gas income		568	19,027		11,189	33,668
General and administrative						
Management fees		117,084	55,500		352,015	156,500
Professional fees		7,770	20,913		88,222	41,945
Consulting fees		61,426	13,034		108,771	44,951
Administrative, office services and premises		7,854	36,741		154,441	85,454
Depreciation (note 10)		350	248		4,267	657
Shareholder information		4,101	195		17,712	2,463
Filing and transfer agent fees		6,186	10,750		6,186	23,543
		204,771	137,381		739,384	355,513
Other (income) and expenses						
Unrealized loss on marketable securities		124,360	-		354,979	-
Interest expense		-	-		-	(1,127)
Other income		(3,493)	-		(31,445)	-
Loss attributed to non-controlling interest		49,687	-		244,579	-
		170,554	-		568,113	(1,127)
Loss for the period		(374,757)	(118,354)	(1,296,308)	(322,972)
Other comprehensive (income) loss					700 550)	
Unrealized gain on investment (note 6) Other comprehensive income for the year			-		1 <u>,700,552)</u> 1,700,552)	-
				(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Total comprehensive income (loss) for the period attributable to common shareholders		(374,757)	(118,354)		404.244	(322,972)
Basic and diluted net loss per share		(0.01)	(0.00)		(0.03)	(0.01)
Weighted average number of shares			i			· · ·
outstanding for the period		46,139,492	45,661,776	46	,139,492	45,472,927

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these condensed interim consolidated financial statements.

BERKLEY RESOURCES INC. CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Contributed surplus	Share Capital	Deficit	Non- controlling interest	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Total
Balance as at January 1, 2011	\$ 1,733,013	14,848,154	\$(14,555,585)	-	-	\$ 2,025,582
Net loss	-	-	(1,296,308)	-	-	(1,296,308)
Unrealized gain on investment	-	-	-	-	1,700,552	1,700,552
Non-controlling interest	-	-	-	1,195,844	-	1,195,844
Balance as at September 30, 2011	\$ 1,733,013	\$ 14,848,154	\$(15,851,893)	\$ 1,195,844	\$ 1,700,552	\$ 3,625,670

	Contributed surplus	Share Capital	Deficit	Total
Balance as at January 1, 2010 (note 17)	\$ 1,733,013	\$ 14,794,482	\$ (13,502,173)	\$ 3,025,322
Issue of share capital	-	53,672	-	53,672
Total comprehensive loss for the period	-	-	(322,972)	(322,972)
Balance as at September 30, 2010 (note 17)	\$ 1,733,013	\$ 14,848,154	\$ (13,825,145)	\$ 2,756,022

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these condensed interim consolidated financial statements.

BERKLEY RESOURCES INC. CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

	Three month	ns en	ded Sept. 30,	Nine months ended Sept. 30,		
	2011		2010	2011	2010	
Cash provided by (used in) from continuing operations						
Operating activities						
Loss for the period	\$ (374,757)	\$	(118,354)	(1,296,308)	(322,972)	
Items not requiring cash in the period						
Depreciation, depletion and accretion	4,957		32,224	18,638	92,483	
Non-controlling interest	49,687		-	244,579	-	
Unrealized loss on investments	124,360		-	354,979	-	
	(195,753)		(86,130)	(678,112)	(230,489)	
Change in non-cash working capital (note 15)	(90,535)		55,889	(170,847)	204,315	
	(286,288)		(30,241)	(848,959)	(26,174)	
Investing activities Proceeds on disposition of oil and gas properties	-		-	-	182,108	
Purchase of fixed assets	-		-	-	(5,702)	
Purchase of oil and gas properties	-		(135,612)	(69,873)	(522,249)	
Proceeds on sale of marketable securities	101,935		-	690,053	-	
	101,935		(135,612)	620,180	(346,843)	
Financing Activities Issuance of shares for settlement of debt	-		_		53,672	
	-		-	-	53,672	
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	(184,353)		(165,583)	(228,779)	(318,345)	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,083,293		687,319	1,127,719	839,811	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 898,940	\$	521,466	898,940	521,466	

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these condensed interim consolidated financial statements.

BERKLEY RESOURCES INC. NOTES TO THE CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Period Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

1. Nature of Operations and Going Concern

Berkley Resources Inc. was created on the amalgamation of Fortune Island Mines Ltd., Kerry Mining Ltd. and Berkley Resources Ltd. under the Company Act (British Columbia) on July 18, 1986. Berkley is in the business of acquisition, exploration, development and production from petroleum and natural gas interests in Alberta, Canada. The address of the registered office is 900, 570 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 3P1.

On July 8, 2010, Berkley acquired a 53% interest in American Uranium Corporation ("AUC"). The results of American Uranium Corporation's operations have been included in these condensed interim consolidated financial statements since that date. American Uranium Corporation is an exploration-stage company engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral property interests in the United States.

These interim consolidated financial statements include both Berkley and AUC (hereinafter together referred to as the "Company" or "Berkley") and have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles applicable to a going concern which assumes that the Company will continue in operation for the foreseeable future and will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of operations.

Adverse conditions and events cast substantial doubt upon the validity of this assumption. The Company has a net loss and comprehensive loss for the nine month period of \$1,296,308 (September 30, 2010 - \$322,972) and accumulated losses of \$15,851,893 (December 31, 2010 - \$14,555,585). As at September 30, 2011, Berkley had working capital of \$876,779 (December 31, 2010 - \$1,991,089).

The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to raise additional capital through the issuance of treasury shares or debt and achieve profitable operations in the future. The management of Berkley have developed a strategy to address this uncertainty, including additional equity and/or debt financing; however, there are no assurances that any such financing can be obtained on favourable terms, if at all.

If the going concern assumption were not appropriate for these condensed interim consolidated financial statements, then adjustments would be necessary in the carrying values of assets and liabilities, reported revenues and expenses, and the statement of financial position classifications used.

The condensed interim consolidated financial statements were authorized for issue on November 28, 2011 by the directors of the Company.

The registered office of Berkley Resources is Suite 900, 570 Granville Street Vancouver, BC V6C 3P1.

2. Basis of Preparation

a) Statement of compliance:

The Company's condensed interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with IAS 34, interim financing reporting ("IAS 34") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

Berkley adopted IFRS in accordance with IFRS 1 First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS 1") with a transition date to IFRS of January 1, 2010. Consequently the comparative figures for 2010 and the Company's statement of financial position as at January 1, 2010 have been restated from accounting principles generally accepted in Canada ("Canadian GAAP") to comply with IFRS.

2. Basis of Preparation (continued)

The condensed interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with Berkley's Canadian GAAP annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the quarterly condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the period ended March 31, 2011. Notes 16 and 17 disclose information on the transition to IFRS effective for the year ended December 31, 2010 that is material to the understanding of these condensed interim consolidated financial statements.

The reconciliations to IFRS from the previously published Canadian GAAP consolidated financial statements are summarized in note 17. In addition, IFRS 1 allows certain exemptions from retrospective application of IFRS in the opening statement of financial position. Where these have been used they are explained in note 16.

b) Basis of measurement:

These condensed interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis, except for the revaluation of certain financial assets and financial liabilities to fair value, including derivative instruments.

c) Functional and presentation currency:

These condensed interim consolidated financial statements are presented in Canadian dollars, which is the Company's functional and reporting currency.

d) Use of estimates and judgments:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that may affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses and disclosures of contingencies and commitments. The estimates and associated assumptions are based on historical experience and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis of making the judgments about carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

The key sources of estimation uncertainty that have a significant risk of causing material adjustment to the amounts recognized in the condensed interim consolidated financial statements are:

• Impairment of non-financial assets

Impairment exists when the carrying value of an asset or cash generating unit exceeds its recoverable amount, which is the higher of its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use. The fair value less costs to sell calculation is based on available data from binding sales transactions in an arm's length transaction of similar assets or observable market prices less incremental costs for disposing of the asset. The value in use calculation is based on a discounted cash flow model. The cash flows are derived from the budget for the next five years and do not include restructuring activities that the Company is not yet committed to or significant future investments that will enhance the asset's performance of the cash generating unit being tested. The recoverable amount is most sensitive to the discount rate used for the discounted cash flow model as well as the expected future cash inflows and the growth rate used for extrapolation purposes.

2. Basis of Preparation (continued)

• Share-based payment transactions

The Company measures the cost of share-based payment transactions with employees by reference to the fair value of the equity instruments. Estimating fair value for share-based payment transactions requires determining the most appropriate valuation model, which is dependent on the terms and conditions of the grant. This estimate also requires determining and making assumptions about the most appropriate inputs to the valuation model including the expected life, volatility and dividend yield of the share option.

• Income taxes

Provisions for taxes are made using the best estimate of the amount expected to be paid based on a qualitative assessment of all relevant factors. The Company reviews the adequacy of these provisions at the end of the reporting period. However, it is possible that at some future date an additional liability could result from audits by taxing authorities. Where the final outcome of these tax-related matters is different from the amounts that were initially recorded, such differences will affect the tax provisions in the period in which such determination is made.

• Useful lives of property and equipment

The Company estimates the useful lives of property and equipment based on the period over which the assets are expected to be available for use. The estimated useful lives of property and equipment are reviewed periodically and are updated if expectations differ from previous estimates due to physical wear and tear, technical or commercial obsolescence and legal or other limits on the use of the relevant assets. In addition, the estimation of the useful lives of property and equipment are based on internal technical evaluation and experience with similar assets. It is possible, however, that future results of operations could be materially affected by changes in the estimates brought about by changes in factors mentioned above. The amounts and timing of recorded expenses for any period would be affected by changes in these factors and circumstances. A reduction in the estimated useful lives of the property and equipment would increase the recorded expenses and decrease the non-current assets.

• Allowance for doubtful debts

The Company makes allowances for doubtful debts based on an assessment of the recoverability of receivables. Allowances are applied to receivables where events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Management specifically analysed historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms when making a judgement to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful debts of receivables. Where the expectation is different from the original estimate, such difference will impact the carrying value of receivables.

• Fair value of financial instruments

The estimated fair value of financial assets and liabilities, by their very nature, are subject to measurement uncertainty. Trade and other receivables are stated after evaluation as to their collectability and an appropriate allowance for doubtful accounts is provided where considered necessary.

• Decommissioning liabilities

Amounts recorded for decommissioning liabilities and the related accretion expense requires the use of estimates with respect to the amount and timing of asset retirements, site remediation and related cash flows.

2. Basis of Preparation (continued)

- Depletion, depreciation, amortization and impairment
- Amounts recorded for depreciation, depletion and amortization and amounts used for impairment calculations are based on estimates of natural gas and liquids reserves. By their nature, the estimates of reserves, including the estimates of future prices, costs, discount rates and the related future cash flows, are subject to measurement uncertainty.

Estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed by management on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimates are revised and any future years affected.

3. Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently to all periods presented in these condensed interim consolidated financial statements, and have been applied consistently by Berkley and its subsidiary.

a) Basis of consolidation

The condensed interim consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Berkley and its subsidiary, AUC. The subsidiary is fully consolidated from the date of acquisition, being the date on which Berkley obtained control, and continues to be consolidated until the date that such control ceases. The financial statements of the subsidiary are prepared for the same reporting period as the parent, using consistent accounting policies. All intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in full upon consolidation.

b) Financial instruments

(i) Non-derivative financial instruments:

Non-derivative financial instruments comprise cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, marketable securities, investment in RepliCel, deposits and trade and other payables. Non-derivative financial instruments are recognized initially at fair value plus, for instruments not at fair value through profit or loss, any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition non-derivative financial instruments are measured as described below.

Cash and cash equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash on hand with original maturities of three months or less that are readily convertible into cash and which are subject to insignificant risk of changes in value. The balances at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and January 1, 2010 consisted entirely of cash.

Loans and receivables:

Loans and receivables are initially recognized at fair value plus transaction costs and subsequently carried at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

A provision for impairment of trade and other receivables is established when there is objective evidence that the Company will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the original terms of the receivables. Significant financial difficulties of the debtor, probability that the debtor will enter bankruptcy or financial reorganization, and default or delinquency in payments are considered indicators that the receivable is impaired. The amount of the provision is the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows, discounted at the original effective interest rate. The carrying amount of the asset is reduced through the use of an allowance account, and the amount of the loss is recognized in the consolidated statement of comprehensive loss. When a trade and other receivables is uncollectible, it is written off against the allowance account for trade and other receivables.

Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss:

An instrument is classified at fair value through profit or loss if it is held for trading or is designated as such upon initial recognition. Financial instruments are designated at fair value through profit or loss if the Company manages such investments and makes purchase and sale decisions based on their fair value in accordance with the Company's risk management and investment strategy. Upon initial recognition, attributable transaction costs are recognized in earnings when incurred. Financial instruments at fair value through profit or loss are measured at fair value, and changes therein are recognized in earnings. The Company has designated cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities at fair value.

Other financial liabilities:

Other financial liabilities include accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and are recognized initially at fair value and subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method.

c) Revenue recognition

Revenue from the sale of petroleum and natural gas is recorded when title passes to an external party and is based on volumes delivered to customers at contractual delivery points, and rates and collectability are reasonably assured. The costs associated with the delivery, including operating and maintenance costs, transportation and production-based royalty expenses, are recognized during the same period in which the related revenue is earned and recorded.

d) Petroleum and natural gas interests, exploration and evaluation and other property and equipment

Petroleum and natural gas interests

Petroleum and natural gas interests (P&NG) are carried at cost, less accumulated depletion, depreciation and accumulated impairment losses. The cost of an item of P&NG consists of the purchase price, any costs directly attributable to bringing the asset into the location and condition necessary for its intended use, a discounted current estimate of the decommissioning costs and borrowing costs for qualifying assets.

Oil and gas capitalized costs are depleted using the unit-of-production method. Depletion is calculated using the ratio of production in the period to the remaining total proved and probable reserves before royalties, taking into account future development costs prior to inflation necessary to bring those reserves into production. These estimates are evaluated and reported on by independent reserve engineers annually. Proven reserves are estimated using independent reserve engineer reports and represent the estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids which geological, geophysical and engineering data demonstrate with a specified degree of certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs and which are considered commercially producible.

Changes in estimates such as quantities of proved and probable reserves that affect unit-of-production calculations are applied on a prospective basis.

An item of P&NG is derecognized upon disposal or is impaired when no future economic benefits are expected to arise from the continued use of the asset. Any gain or loss on disposal of the asset, determined as the difference between the net proceeds and the carrying amount of the asset, is recognized in the statement of comprehensive loss in the period incurred.

The carrying amounts of property and equipment are reviewed each reporting period for impairment when indicators of such impairment exist. If indicators exist, the assets are tested for impairment under IAS 36.

Exploration and evaluation assets

Exploration and evaluation (E&E) assets include land acquisition costs, geological and geophysical costs, exploratory drilling, directly attributable expenses and activities relating to evaluating the technical feasibility and commercial viability of our resources. All other expenditures are recognized in earnings as incurred. Such capitalized oil and natural gas interests generally represent costs incurred in developing proved and/or probable reserves and bringing in or enhancing production from such reserves, and are accumulated on a field or geotechnical area basis. The carrying amount of any replaced or sold component is derecognized. The costs of the day-to-day servicing of property and equipment are recognized in earnings as incurred.

E&E costs are capitalized and are not depleted until such time as the exploration phase is complete and technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting the mineral resource has been demonstrated. Once demonstrated, E&E assets are tested for impairment in accordance with IAS 36 "Impairment of Assets" and transferred to P&NG, and further development costs are capitalized to P&NG. E&E assets are also tested for impairment in accordance suggest that the carrying amount exceeds the recoverable amount. If it is determined that technical feasibility and commercial viability has not been achieved in relation to a property, the resulting loss is included in the consolidated statement of comprehensive loss.

3.

Other property and equipment

Other property and equipment consists of computer equipment and furniture, fixtures and equipment that are amortized at the following rates per annum under the declining balance method:

Computer equipment30%Furniture, fixtures and equipment20%

e) Impairment of assets

Non-financial assets

For the purpose of impairment testing, assets are grouped together into the smallest group of assets that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows of other assets or groups of assets (the "cash-generating unit" or "CGU"). The recoverable amount of an asset or a CGU is the greater of its value in use and its fair value less costs to sell.

In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pretax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset. Value in use is generally computed by reference to the present value of the future cash flows expected to be derived from production of proven and probable reserves.

An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of an asset or its CGU exceeds its estimated recoverable amount. Impairment losses are recognized in earnings. Impairment losses recognized in respect of CGU's are allocated first to reduce the carrying amount of any goodwill allocated to the units and then to reduce the carrying amount of the other assets in the unit (group of units) on a pro rata basis.

Impairment losses recognized in prior periods are assessed at each reporting date for any indications that the loss has decreased or no longer exists. An impairment loss is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the recoverable amount. An impairment loss is reversed only to the extent that the asset's carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined, net of depletion and depreciation or amortization, if no impairment loss had been recognized. A reversal of an impairment loss is recognized immediately in profit or loss.

Financial assets

Financial assets are assessed at each reporting date in order to determine whether objective evidence exists that the assets are impaired as a result of one or more events which have had a negative effect on the estimated future cash flows of the asset.

If there is objective evidence that a financial asset has become impaired, the amount of the impairment loss is calculated as the difference between its carrying amount and the present value of the estimated future cash flows from the asset discounted at its original effective interest rate. Impairment losses are recorded in earnings. If the amount of the impairment loss decreases in a subsequent period and the decrease can be objectively related to an event occurring after the impairment was recognized, the impairment loss is reversed up to the original carrying value of the asset. Any reversal is recognized in earnings.

f) Flow-through shares

Expenditure deductions for income tax purposes related to exploratory activities funded by flow-through equity instruments are renounced to investors in accordance with income tax legislation. The proceeds from issuance are allocated between the offering of shares and the sale of tax benefits. The allocation is made based on the difference between the quoted price of the existing shares and the amount the investor pays for the shares. A liability is recognized for this difference. The liability is reversed when tax benefits are renounced and a deferred tax liability is recognized at that time. Income tax expense is the difference between the amount of the deferred tax liability and the liability recognized on issuance.

g) Income taxes

Income tax expense is comprised of current and deferred tax expenses. Income tax expense is recognized in earnings except to the extent that if the income tax expense related to items recognized directly in equity, the income tax expense would also be recognized in equity.

Current tax is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the period, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years.

Deferred tax is recognized using the liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized in relation to temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes. Deferred tax is measured at the tax rates that are expected to be applied to temporary differences when they reverse, based on the laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting date. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset if there is a legally enforceable right to offset, and they relate to income taxes levied by the same tax authority on the same taxable entity, or on different tax entities, but they intend to settle current tax liabilities and assets on a net basis or their tax assets and liabilities will be realized simultaneously.

A deferred tax asset is recognized to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the temporary difference can be utilized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realized.

h) Earnings (loss) per share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The Company uses the treasury stock method to compute the dilutive effect of options, warrants and similar instruments. Under this method the dilutive effect on earnings per share is calculated presuming the exercise of outstanding options, warrants and similar instruments. It assumes that proceeds received from the exercise of stock options and warrants would be used to repurchase common shares at the average market price during the period. However, the calculation of diluted loss per share excludes the effects of various conversions and exercise of options and warrants that would be anti-dilutive.

i) Share-based payments

The Company uses the Black-Scholes pricing model to estimate the fair value of share-based payments at the grant date. The expense is recognized over the vesting period, which is the period over which all of the specified vesting conditions are satisfied. For awards with graded vesting, the fair value of each tranche is recognized over its respective vesting period.

No expense is recognized for awards that do not ultimately vest, except for equity-settled awards where vesting is conditional upon a market or non-vesting condition, which are treated as vesting irrespective of whether or not the market or non-vesting condition is satisfied, provided that all other performance and/or service conditions are satisfied.

j) Decommissioning liability

The Company's activities give rise to dismantling, decommissioning and site disturbance re-mediation activities. A provision is made for the estimated cost of site restoration and capitalized in the relevant asset category.

Decommissioning liabilities are measured at the present value of management's best estimate of expenditure required to settle the present obligation at the statement of financial position date. Subsequent to the initial measurement, the obligation is adjusted at the end of each period to reflect the passage of time and changes in the estimated future cash flows underlying the obligation. The increase in the provision due to the passage of time is recognized as finance costs whereas increases/decreases due to changes in the estimated future cash flows are capitalized. Actual costs incurred upon settlement of the asset retirement obligations are charged against the provision.

4. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

- (i) IFRS 9, 'Financial Instruments' was issued in November 2009 as the first step in its project to replace IAS 39 'Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement'. IFRS 9 introduces new requirements for classifying and measuring financial assets that must be applied starting January 1, 2013, with early adoption permitted. The IASB intends to expand IFRS 9 during the intervening period to add new requirements for classifying and measuring financial liabilities, de-recognition of financial instruments, impairment and hedge accounting. Berkley has not yet assessed the impact of the standard and has decided not to adopt the standard early.
- (ii) IFRS 10, 'Consolidated Financial Statements' was issued in May 2011 and will supersede the consolidation requirements in SIC-12 'Consolidation – Special Purpose Entities' and IAS 27 'Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements' effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early application permitted. IFRS 10 builds on existing principles by identifying the concept of control as the determining factor in whether an entity should be included within the consolidated financial statements of the parent company. The standard also provides additional guidance to assist in the determination of control where this is difficult to assess. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this standard.
- (iii) IFRS 11, 'Joint Arrangements' was issued in May 2011 and will supersede existing IAS 31, 'Joint Ventures' effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early application permitted. IFRS 11 provides for the accounting of joint arrangements by focusing on the rights and obligations of the arrangement, rather than its legal form (as is currently the case). The standard also eliminates the option to account for jointly controlled entities using the proportionate consolidation method. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this standard.
- (iv) IFRS 12, 'Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities' was issued in May 2011 and is a new and comprehensive standard on disclosure requirements for all forms of interests in other entities, including subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and unconsolidated structured entities. IFRS 12 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013, with earlier application permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this standard.
- (v) IFRS 13, 'Fair Value Measurement' was issued in May 2011 and sets out in a single IFRS a framework for measuring fair value. IFRS 13 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This definition of fair value emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. In addition, IFRS 13 also requires specific disclosures about fair value measurement. IFRS 13 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with earlier application permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this standard.

5. Financial instruments and financial risk management

Fair values

Fair value estimates of financial instruments are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant information about financial markets and specific financial instruments. As these estimates are subjective in nature, involving uncertainties and matters of significant judgement, they cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions can significantly affect estimated fair values. At June 30, 2011, the Company's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, marketable securities, investment in RepliCel and accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The fair values of these financial instruments approximate their carrying values due to their short-term maturity.

Fair value represents the price at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in an orderly market, in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties who are under no compulsion to act.

Berkley classifies the fair value of the financial instruments according to the following hierarchy based on the amount of observable inputs used to value the instrument:

- Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology included quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in
 active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for
 substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 valuations are based on inputs, including
 quoted forward prices for commodities, time value and volatility factors, which can be substantially
 observed or corroborated in the marketplace; and,
- Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are not based on observable market data.

Cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities and investment in RepliCel are recorded based on Level 1 of the fair-value hierarchy. Trade and other receivables and accounts payable are recorded based on Level 3 of the fair-value hierarchy.

The Company's risk management policies are established to identify and analyze the risks faced by the Company, to set appropriate risk limits and controls, and to monitor risks and adherence to market conditions and the Company's activities. The Company has exposure to credit risk, liquidity risk and market risk as a result of its use of financial instruments. This note presents information about the Company's exposure to each of the above risks and the Company's objectives, policies and processes for measuring and managing these risks. Further quantitative disclosures are included throughout these condensed interim consolidated financial statements. The Board of Directors has overall responsibility for the establishment and oversight of the Company's risk management framework. The Board has implemented and monitors compliance with the risk management policies as set out herein:

Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss to the Company if a customer or counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. A substantial portion of the Company's trade and other receivables are with natural gas and liquids marketers and joint venture partners in the oil and gas industry and are subject to normal industry credit risks. As at September 30, 2011, the maximum credit exposure is the carrying amount of the trade and other receivables of \$94,498 (December 31, 2010 – \$84,052, January 1, 2010 – \$321,015). As at September 30, 2011, the Company's receivables consisted of \$89,968 from joint venture partners and other trade receivables (December 31, 2010 - \$72,428, January 1, 2010 - \$305,895) and \$4,530 of revenue receivable from petroleum and natural gas marketers (December 31, 2010 - \$11,624, January 1, 2010 - \$15,120).

5. Financial instruments and financial risk management (continued)

The Company did not provide for any doubtful accounts nor was it required to write-off any receivables during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (December 31, 2010 - \$143,000, January 1, 2010 - \$nil). The Company would only choose to write-off a receivable balance (as opposed to providing an allowance) after all reasonable avenues of collection had been exhausted.

The Company considers its trade and other receivables to be aged as follows:

	September 30,	December 31, 2010		Janu	uary 1, 2010
	2011		(note 17)		(note 17)
Not past due or impaired	\$ 4,530	\$	9,720	\$	215,429
Past due by less than 90 days	12,209		38,640		53,942
Past due by more than 90 days	77,759		35,692		51,644
	\$ 94,498	\$	84,052	\$	321,015

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will incur difficulties meeting its financial obligations as they are due. The Company's approach to managing liquidity is to ensure, as far as possible, that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet its liabilities when due, under both normal and stressed conditions without incurring unacceptable losses or risking harm to the Company's reputation.

The Company's operating cash requirements are continuously monitored by management. As factors impacting cash requirements change, liquidity risks may necessitate the need for the Company to raise capital by issuing equity. The Company also mitigates liquidity risk by maintaining an insurance program to minimize exposure to insurable losses.

Market risk

The significant market risk exposures affecting the financial instruments held by the Company are those related to foreign currency exchange rates and commodity price risk which are explained as follows:

i. Currency risk

Foreign currency exchange rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows will fluctuate as a result of changes in foreign exchange rates. The Company enters into transactions denominated in United States currency for which the related expenses and accounts payable balances are subject to exchange rate fluctuations. As at September 30, 2011, the following items are denominated in United States currency:

	Sept. 30,	December 31,	January 1,
	2011	2010	2010
	CAD\$	CAD\$	CAD\$
Cash and cash equivalents	1,225	792	-
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	-	2,763	-

ii. Commodity price risk

Commodity price risk is the risk that the cash flows and operations of Berkley will fluctuate as a result of changes in commodity prices. Significant changes in commodity prices can also impact Berkley's ability to raise capital or obtain additional debt financing. Commodity prices for crude oil are impacted by world economic events that dictate the levels of supply and demand.

5. Financial instruments and financial risk management (continued)

Berkley's financial performance is closely linked to crude oil and natural gas prices. While Berkley may employ the use of financial instruments in the future to manage these price exposures, it currently does not have enough producing wells to hedge its production, and its crude oil and natural gas liquids are sold into spot markets.

6. Investment

During 2010, Berkley acquired 400,000 common shares of Trichoscience Innovations Inc. ("Trichoscience") at a price of \$1.00 per share. On May 9, 2011 Trichoscience became a wholly-owned subsidiary of RepliCel Life Sciences ("RepliCel"). All outstanding shares of Trichoscience where exchanged for 2.2953 common shares of RepliCel. The common shares are being held in escrow and will be released 15% per quarter beginning January 1, 2012. The investment in RepliCel was measured at the fair value using the Black-Scholes pricing model and the following assumptions:

	<u>September 30, 2011</u>
Risk free interest rate	1.1%
Expected volatility	81%
Expected life (years)	2.13

Once released from escrow, the investment in RepliCel will be valued at its trading price.

7. Capital Management

Berkley defines its capital to include the following:

	Septemb	ber 30, 2011	Decem	nber 31, 2010	January 1, 2010		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	898,940	\$	1,127,719	\$	839,811	
Shareholders' equity	\$	3,625,670	\$	2,976,847	\$	3,025,322	

Berkley's objective is to maintain access to sources of capital with which to finance its operations. Berkley manages its capital structure and makes changes to it in light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying investments. Berkley will balance its overall capital structure through new share issues or by undertaking other activities as deemed appropriate in the specific circumstances. At September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and January 1, 2010, Berkley was not subjected to any externally imposed capital requirements.

8. Petroleum and Natural Gas Interests

Cost or deemed cost	
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 16,016,914
Additions	525,509
Disposal	(1,717,713)
Balance at December 31, 2010	14,824,710
Additions	73,220
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 14,897,930
Depletion and impairment losses	
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 14,048,358
Depletion	147,854
Impairment	430,842
Balance at December 31, 2010	14,627,054
Depletion	6,448
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 14,633,502
Net book value amount	
At September 30, 2011	\$ 264,428
At December 31, 2010	\$ 197,656
At January 1, 2010	\$ 1,968,556

As at December 31, 2010 oil and gas properties were impaired by \$430,842. The impairment resulted from a significant decrease in the reserve volumes allocated to oil and gas properties as at December 31, 2010. The oil and gas properties were written down to fair value less cost to sell. Fair value was determined using a valuation technique that incorporates the estimated future cash flows based on reserve volumes and prices. No additional impairment write-down was required as at September 30, 2011.

9. Exploration and Evaluation Assets

	E&E Assets
Balance at January 1, 2010 Additions	\$ 379,129 -
Balance at December 31, 2010 & September 30, 2011	\$ 379,129

Exploration and evaluation (E&E) assets consist of the Company's exploration projects which are pending the determination of proven or probable reserves.

10. Other Property and Equipment

	Computer	Furniture, fixtures and	Leasehold	
	equipment	equipment	improvements	Total
Cost				
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 13,358	\$ 8,521	\$ -	\$ 21,879
Additions	5,024	679	4,078	9,781
Balance at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011	\$ 18,382	\$ 9,200	\$ 4,078	\$ 31,660
	Computer equipment	Furniture, fixtures and equipment	Leasehold improvements	Total
Depreciation and impairment loss				
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 13,234	\$ 7,438	\$ -	\$ 20,672
Depreciation	785	210	1,020	2,015
Balance at December 31, 2010	14,019	7,648	1,020	22,687
Depreciation	820	313	3,058	4,191
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 14,839	\$ 7,961	\$ 4,078	\$ 26,878
Net book value amounts				
At September 30, 2011			\$	4,782
At December 31, 2010			\$	8,973
At January 1, 2010			\$	1,207

11. Decommissioning Liability

The following table presents the reconciliation of the carrying amount of the obligation associated with the decommissioning of the Company's P&NG assets:

	Sept. 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 75,596	\$ 176,540
Accretion	2,894	5,090
Change in estimates	1,191	6,023
Disposition of working interest	-	(112,057)
Asset retirement obligations, end of period	\$ 79,681	\$ 75,596

Berkley estimates the total undiscounted amount of cash flows required to settle its decommissioning liability is approximately \$236,820 (December 31, 2010 - \$282,472, January 1, 2010 - \$198,832) which will be incurred between 2017 and 2029. The majority of these obligations will be incurred in 2017. An inflation factor of 1.5% has been applied to the estimated asset retirement cost. Risk-free discount rates of 2.83% - 4.04% were used to calculate the fair value of the asset retirement obligations.

12. Share Capital

a) Authorized

Unlimited Class A voting common shares, without par value

b) Issued

	Number of shares	Amount
Balance January 1, 2010	45,066,042	\$14,794,482
Shares issued for debt	1,073,440	53,672
Balance December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011	46,139,482	\$14,848,154

13. Share-based Payments

The Company has an equity-settled stock option plan under which the Board of Directors may grant options to directors, officers, other employees and key consultants. The purpose of the plan is to advance the interests of the Company by encouraging these individuals to acquire shares in the Company and thereby remain associated with, and seek to maximize the value of, the Company.

Under the plan, the number of shares reserved for issuance pursuant to the exercise of all options under the plan may not exceed 10% of the issued and outstanding common shares on a non-diluted basis at any time. The options expire not more than five years from the date of grant, or earlier if the individual ceases to be associated with the Company, and vest over terms determined at the time of grant.

13. Share-based Payments (continued)

.

_

The following tables summarize information about stock options outstanding as at:

	Se	otember 30, 2011	Dece	mber 31, 2010
	Number of shares subject to option	Weighted average exercise price per option	Number of shares subject to option	Weighted average exercise price per option
Balance outstanding, beginning of period	790,000	\$0.56	1,267,500	\$0.69
Activity in the period: Expired	(440,000)	\$0.56	(477,500)	\$0.90
Balance outstanding, end of period	350,000	\$0.55	790,000	\$0.56
Exercisable, end of period	350,000	\$0.55	790,000	\$0.56

A summary of stock options outstanding is as follows:

Number of Shares Remaining Subject to Option at End of Period

Exercise Price Per Share	Expiry Date	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	January 1, 2010
\$0.90	December 23, 2010	-	-	477,500
\$0.56	September 21, 2011	-	440,000	440,000
\$0.55	July 4, 2012	350,000	350,000	350,000
		350,000	790,000	1,267,500

At September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and January 1, 2010 the following share purchase warrants were outstanding:

ts	Number of Warran	Expiry date	Exercise price Range
)0	21,370,00	December 16, 2011	\$0.10

(unaudited)

14. Related Party Transactions

The condensed interim consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of Berkley Resources Ltd. and the subsidiary listed in the following table:

		% equ			
Name	Country of Incorporation	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	January 1, 2010	
American Uranium Corp.	United States of America	53%	53%	-	

Transactions with related parties

As at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and January 1, 2010, the amount of transactions made with parties not at arm's length to the Company not otherwise disclosed consists of the following:

- Directors' fees of \$10,500 (December 31, 2010 \$35,708, January 1, 2010 \$118,012) were payable to a) directors.
- b) During the year \$50,470 in administrative, office services and premises expense were made to a private company owned by public companies having common directors (December 31, 2010 - \$11,974, January 1, 2010 - \$34,296). At year end \$20,558 of this amount was included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

The transactions were in the normal course of operations and agreed to by the related party and the Company.

Compensation of key management personnel

The remuneration of directors and other members of key management personnel during the periods were as follows:

	Thre	e months ended	Nin	e months ended
	Sept. 30, 2011	Sept. 30, 2010	Sept. 30, 2011	Sept. 30, 2010
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Compensation, including bonuses	85,000	55,000	229,000	155,500

15. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

	Thre	e months ended	Nine months ende			
	Sept. 30, 2011	Sept. 30, 2010	Sept. 30, 2011	Sept. 30, 2010		
	\$	\$	\$	\$		
Change in non-cash working capital items:						
Trade and other receivables	22,677	51,105	(10,446)	77,835		
Deposits and other prepaids Accounts payable and accrued	(2,329)	(25,450)	16,502	(24,775)		
liabilities	(114,383)	40,379	(184,833)	279,121		
Due to related parties	3,500	(10,145)	7,930	(127,866)		
Net change in non-cash working capital items	(90,535)	55,889	(170,847)	204,315		

16. IFRS

The effect of Berkley's transition to IFRS, described in note 1, is summarized in this note. In accordance with IFRS 1 "First-time adoption of IFRS", certain disclosures relating to the transition are also provided in this note.

IFRS 1 sets forth guidance for the initial adoption of IFRS. Under IFRS 1 the standards are applied retrospectively at the transitional statement of financial position date with all adjustments to assets and liabilities taken to retained earnings unless certain exemptions are applied.

The Company has applied the following exemptions to its opening statement of financial position dated January 1, 2010:

Oil and gas exemption

In July 2009, the IASB published an amendment to IFRS 1 "Additional Exemptions for First-time Adopters", which introduces a first-time adoption exemption for first-time adopters that accounted under their previous GAAP for exploration and development costs for oil and gas properties in the development or production phases in cost centres that include all properties in a large geographical area (defined as full cost method under Canadian GAAP). Under the exemption, a first-time adopter may elect to measure oil and gas assets at the date of transition to IFRS on a deemed cost basis, but does not permit continued application of the previous GAAP accounting policy. Berkley followed a full cost approach under Canadian GAAP and has elected to use this election to measure oil and gas exploration and production assets at the date of transition to IFRS on a deemed cost basis.

Share-based payments

The Company has elected to apply the exemption under IFRS 2 "Share-based Payments" for retrospective application of IFRS 2 to equity instruments granted before the transition date.

Business combinations

The Company has elected to apply the exemption under IFRS 3 "Business Combinations" for retrospective application of IFRS 3 to business combinations that took place before the transition date.

Decommissioning liabilities

An entity that uses the deemed cost oil and gas exemption under IFRS 1 may also use an additional exemption with respect to decommissioning liabilities on oil and gas properties encompassed by the full cost method under Canadian GAAP. As Berkley has elected to apply the deemed cost oil and gas exemption, Berkley has also elected to apply this exemption and as such, Berkley has re-measured the decommissioning liability as at January 1, 2010 under IAS 37, and has recognized directly into deficit any differences between that amount and the carrying amount of the liabilities at January 1, 2010 as determined by Canadian GAAP.

17. RECONCILIATIONS FROM CANADIAN GAAP TO IFRS

The January 1, 2010 Canadian GAAP statement of financial position has been reconciled to IFRS as follows:

		January 1, 2010					
	Notes		Canadian GAAP		Effect of transition to IFRS		IFRS
ASSETS							
Current assets							
Cash and cash equivalents		\$	839,811	\$	-	\$	839,811
Trade and other receivables			321,015		-		321,015
Deposits and other prepaid expenses			65,227		-		65,227
			1,226,053				1,226,053
Non-current assets							
Other property and equipment			1,207		-		1,207
Exploration and evaluation properties	(a)		-		379,129		379,129
Petroleum and natural gas interests	(b)		3,903,544		(1,934,988)		1,968,556
		\$	5,130,804	\$	(1,555,859)	\$	3,574,945
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY							
Current liabilities							
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		\$	373,084	\$	-	\$	373,084
			373,084		-		373,084
Non-current liabilities							
Decommissioning liability	(C)		144,838		31,702		176,540
			517,922		31,702		549,624
Shareholders' Deficiency							
Share capital	(d)		13,219,091		1,575,390		14,794,482
Contributed surplus			1,733,013		-		1,733,013
Deficit			(10,339,222)		(3,162,952)		(13,502,174)
			4,612,882		(1,587,562)		3,025,321
		\$	5,130,804	\$	(1,555,860)	\$	3,574,945

The Canadian GAAP statement of financial position at September 30, 2010 has been reconciled to IFRS as follows:

	September 30, 2010					
	Notes		Canadian GAAP		Effect of transition to IFRS	IFRS
ASSETS						
Current assets						
Cash and cash equivalents		\$	521,466	\$	-	\$ 521,466
Trade and other receivables			66,067		-	66,067
Deposits and other prepaid expenses			90,002		-	90,002
			677,535			677,535
Non-current assets						
Investment in shares			200,000		-	200,000
Other property and equipment			6,252		-	6,252
Exploration and evaluation properties	(a)		-		379,129	379,129
Petroleum and natural gas interests	(b)		3,875,261		(1,655,529)	2,219,732
		\$	4,759,048	\$	(1,276,400)	\$ 3,482,648
IABILITIES AND EQUITY						
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities			522,128		-	522,128
Due to related parties		\$	24,442	\$	-	\$ 24,422
			546,570		-	546,570
Non-current liabilities						
Decommissioning liability	(C)		138,035		42,021	180,056
			684,605		42,021	726,626
Shareholders' Deficiency						
Share capital	(d)		13,272,763		1,575,391	14,848,154
Contributed surplus			1,733,013		-	1,733,013
Deficit			(10,931,333)		(2,893,812)	(13,825,145)
			4,074,443		(1,318,421)	2,756,022
		\$	4,759,048	\$	(1,276,400)	\$ 3,842,648

The Canadian GAAP statement of financial position at December 31, 2010 has been reconciled to IFRS as follows:

	December 31, 2010						
	Notes		Canadian GAAP		Effect of transition to IFRS		IFRS
ASSETS							
Current assets							
Cash and cash equivalents		\$	1,127,719	\$	-	\$	\$1,127,719
Trade and other receivables			84,052		-		84,052
Deposits and other prepaid expenses			81,009		-		81,009
Marketable securities			1,157,016		-		1,157,016
			2,449,796		-		2,449,796
Non-current assets							
Investment			400,000		-		400,000
Other property and equipment			8,973		-		8,973
Exploration and evaluation properties	(a)		-		379,129		379,129
Petroleum and natural gas interests	(b)		692,949		(495,293)		197,656
		\$	3,551,718	\$	(116,164)	\$	3,435,554
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY							
Current liabilities							
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		\$	383,111	\$	-	\$	383,311
Non-current liabilities							
Decommissioning liability	(C)		60,174		15,422		75,596
			443,285		15,422		458,707
Shareholders' Deficiency							
Share capital	(d)		13,272,763		1,575,391		14,848,154
Non-controlling interest			951,265		-		951,265
Contributed surplus			1,733,013		-		1,733,013
Deficit			(12,848,608)		(1,706,977)		(14,555,585)
			3,108,433		(131,586)		2,976,847
		\$	3,551,718	\$	(116,164)	\$	3,435,554

Explanation of the effect of the transition to IFRS

a) Exploration and evaluation properties

In accordance with IAS 16 "Property, Plant and Equipment", IFRS 6 "Exploration and Evaluation of Mineral Resources" and as a result of the Company using the oil and gas exemption, the Company reallocated costs relating to the exploration and evaluation phase from other property and equipment to exploration and evaluation properties ("E&E properties"). Under Canadian GAAP, capitalized E&E properties were included in other property and equipment on the statement of financial position. While the accounting treatment is unchanged under IFRS other than expensing of pre-acquisition costs, E&E properties and other property and equipment are presented separately in the balance sheet under IFRS. This has resulted in a reclassification from other property and equipment to E&E costs at January 1, 2010, September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010 of \$379,129.

b) Impairment

A condition of using the IFRS 1 exemption discussed in note 1 is that the Company must test the resulting exploration and evaluation and development and production assets for impairment. The Company has conducted the impairment test and this has resulted in certain exploration and evaluation assets being impaired.

The Company wrote-off costs of \$1,555,859 at January 1, 2010 and \$430,842 at December 31, 2010 in relation to these assets which were impaired under IFRS at the date of transition to IFRS.

c) Decommissioning liabilities

Under Canadian GAAP, decommissioning obligations are measured at fair value, incorporating market assumptions and discount rates based on the Company's credit adjusted risk-free rate at the time the obligation arose. Changes in the discount rate did not result in the re-measurement of the entire obligation. Changes in estimates that decreased the liability are discounted using the rate applied upon initial recognition while changes that increase the liability are discounted using the current discount rate. Accretion expense resulting from the increase in the liability due to the passage of time was recorded in depreciation, depletion and accretion expense.

IFRS requires adjustments to the liability to be made each period for changes in the timing or amount of cashflow, changes in discount rates and the accretion of the liability. Estimated future cash flows have been discounted using the risk-free rate. Under IFRS, accretion expense is recorded as a borrowing cost. As described previously, the Company has elected to use the oil and gas exemption and the exemption from full retrospective application of decommissioning liabilities. The Company has re-measured the liabilities relating to resource assets as at January 1, 2010 using the risk-free rate, and this has resulted in an increase to the liability at January 1, 2010, September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010 of \$31,702, \$42,021 and \$15,422 respectively, with a corresponding increase in deficit.

d) Flow-through shares

Flow-through shares are a Canadian tax incentive which is the subject of specific guidance under Canadian GAAP, however there is no specific guidance under IFRS. Under Canadian GAAP, when flow-through shares are issued they are recorded at face value. The related future tax liability is established for the tax effect of the difference between the tax bases and the book bases of the assets when renounced and is recorded as a reduction of share capital.

The Company has adopted a policy under IFRS where the proceeds from the offering are to be allocated between the sale of the shares and the sale of the tax benefit. The allocation is made based on the difference between the quoted market price of the existing shares and the amount an investor pays for the flow-through shares. A liability is established for this difference that is reversed upon renunciation of the tax benefit. The difference between this liability and the deferred tax liability is recorded as an income tax expense. This has resulted in a re-classification between deficit and share capital at January 1, 2010, September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010 of \$1,575,391.

The Company has reviewed all changes required under IFRS and determined that adjustments are required to the prior period statements of operations and the statements of cash flows for amounts recorded for depletion and accretion.

The Canadian GAAP statement of loss and comprehensive loss for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010 has been reconciled to IFRS as follows:

		5 monuns e	naea Se	eptember 30, 2	010	
	Notes	Canadian GAAP	trai	Effect of nsition to IFRS		IFRS
Revenue						
Petroleum and natural gas	\$	118,732	\$	-	\$	118,732
Royalty expense		(27,586)		-	-	(27,586)
		91,146				91,146
Oil and gas production expenses						
Operating costs		39,895		-		39,895
Depletion and depreciation	(a)	106,201		(73,977)		32,224
		146,096		(73,977)		72,119
Operating income	\$	(54,950)	\$	(73,977)	\$	19,027
General and administrative expenses						
Administrative and premises		36,741		-		36,741
Management fees		55,500		-		55,500
Consulting fees		13,034		-		13,034
Professional fees		20,913		-		20,913
Filing and transfer agent fees		10,750		-		10,570
Shareholder information		195		-		195
Amortization		248		-		248
		(137,381)		-		(137,381)
Other Income (Expenses)						
Interest expense		-		-		-
Net loss and comprehensive loss		(192,331)				(118,354)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$	(0.00)	\$		\$	(0.00)
Weighted average number of shares						
outstanding		45,661,776				45,661,776

3 months ended September 30, 2010

	9 months ended September 30, 2010							
	Notes	Canadian GAAP		Effect of transition to IFRS		IFRS		
Revenue								
Petroleum and natural gas Royalty expense	\$	341,653 (79,481)	\$	-	\$	341,653 (51,895)		
		262,172				262,172		
Oil and gas production expenses								
Operating costs		136,021		-		136,021		
Depletion and depreciation	(a)	361,622		(269,139)		92,483		
		497,643		(269,139)		228,504		
Operating income	\$	(235,471)	\$	(269,139)	\$	33,668		
General and administrative expenses								
Administrative and premises		85,454		-		85,454		
Management fees		156,500		-		156,500		
Consulting fees		44,951		-		44,951		
Professional fees		41,945		-		41,945		
Filing and transfer agent fees		23,543		-		23,543		
Shareholder information		2,463		-		2,463		
Amortization		657		-		657		
		(355,513)		-		(355,513)		
Other Income (Expenses)								
Interest expense		(1,127)		-		(1,127)		
Net loss and comprehensive loss		(592,111)				(322,972)		
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$	(0.01)	\$		\$	(0.01)		
Weighted average number of shares								
outstanding		45,472,927				45,472,927		

The Canadian GAAP statement of loss and comprehensive loss for the year ended December 31, 2010 has been reconciled to IFRS as follows:

	For the year ended December 31, 2010						
	Notes		Canadian GAAP		Effect of transition to IFRS		IFRS
Revenue							
Petroleum and natural gas		\$	368,080	\$	-	\$	368,080
Royalty expense			(86,436)		-		(86,436)
			281,644		-		281,644
Oil and gas production expenses			,_				,
Operating costs			139,968		-		139,968
Depletion and depreciation	(a)		260,950		280,782		541,732
		•	400,918		280,782		681,700
Operating income		\$	(119,274)	\$	280,782	\$	(400,056)
General and administrative expenses							
Administrative, office services and premises			221,767		-		221,767
Management fees			408,761		-		408,761
Consulting fees			48,325		-		48,325
Professional fees			117,463		-		117,463
Filing and transfer agent fees			36,399		-		36,399
Shareholder information			1,979		-		1,979
Amortization			2,013		-		2,013
			836,707		-		836,707
Other income (expenses)							
Realized foreign exchange gain			24,444		-		24,444
Bad debt expense			(143,000)		-		(143,000)
Unrealized gain on investments			525,285		-		525,285
Loss on disposal of mineral properties			(980,018)		629,992		(350,026)
Loss on impairment	(b)		(1,113,003)		1,106,764		(6,239)
Gain on corporate acquisition			323,551		-		323,551
			(1,362,741)		1,736,756		374,015
Loss before tax			(2,318,722)		1,455,974		(862,748)
Future income tax expense			42,515		-		42,515
Net loss attributed to non-controlling interest			148,149		-		148,149
Net loss and comprehensive loss			(2,509,386)				(1,053,412)
Basic and diluted loss per share		\$	(0.05)	\$		\$	(0.02)
Neighted average shares outstanding			46,018,904				46,018,904

Explanation of the effect of the transition to IFRS

a) Method of depletion

Canadian GAAP includes specific standards that prescribe the method for the calculation of depletion which does not exist under IFRS. Using full-cost accounting under Canadian GAAP, oil and gas assets are depleted using the unit-of-production method using remaining proved reserves. Under IFRS, the accounting policy for depletion includes proved and probable reserves, as this more accurately reflects the estimate for the usage of the resource assets. This has resulted in a decrease to depreciation and depletion expense of \$73,977 for the period ended September 30, 2010 and a decrease of \$147,854 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

b) Impairment

A condition of using the IFRS 1 exemption is that the Company must test the resulting exploration and evaluation and development and production assets for impairment. The Company has conducted the impairment test and this has result in certain exploration and evaluation assets being impaired.

The Company wrote-off costs of \$430,842 at December 31, 2010 in relation to these assets which were impaired under IFRS at the date of transition to IFRS.

18. Restatement of Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows from Canadian GAAP to IFRS

The restatement from Canadian GAAP to IFRS had no significant effect on the reported cash flows generated by the Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The reconciling items between Canadian GAAP presentation and IFRS have no significant effect on the cash flows generated.