Consolidated Financial Statements of

WHITE PINE RESOURCES INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

For The Years Ended

March 31, 2011 and 2010

White Pine

July-28-11

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL REPORTING

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of White Pine Resources Inc. (“**White Pine**”) have been prepared by management in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. Management acknowledges responsibility for the preparation and presentation of the consolidated financial statements, including responsibility for significant accounting judgments and estimates and the choice of accounting principles and methods that are appropriate to White Pine’s circumstances. The significant accounting policies of White Pine are summarized in notes 2 and 3 to the consolidated financial statements.

Management has established systems of internal control over the financial reporting process, which are designed to provide reasonable assurance that relevant and reliable financial information is produced.

Collins Barrow Toronto LLP, White Pine’s independent auditors, conduct an audit of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Their audit includes an examination, on a test basis, of evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. As well, they make an assessment of the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management and they evaluate the overall financial statement presentation.

The Board of Directors is responsible for reviewing and approving the consolidated financial statements and for ensuring that management fulfills its financial reporting responsibilities. An Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling this responsibility. The members of the Audit Committee are independent. The Audit Committee meets with management to review the internal controls over the financial reporting process, the consolidated financial statements and the auditors’ report. The Audit Committee also reviews White Pine's Management’s Discussion and Analysis to ensure that the financial information reported therein is consistent with the information presented in the financial statements. The Audit Committee reports its findings to the Board of Directors for its consideration in approving the consolidated financial statements for issuance to the shareholders.

Management recognizes its responsibility for conducting White Pine’s affairs in compliance with established financial standards, and applicable laws and regulations, and for maintaining proper standards of conduct for its activities.

(Signed) "Robert D. Cudney"	(Signed) "Michael G. Leskovec"
President and Chief Executive Officer	Chief Financial Officer

Collins Barrow
Chartered Accountants

Collins Barrow Toronto LLP
Collins Barrow Place
11 King Street West
Suite 700, Box 27
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 4C7 Canada

T. 416.480.0160
F. 416.480.2646

www.collinsbarrow.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Shareholders of
White Pine Resources Inc.
(A Development Stage Company)

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of White Pine Resources Inc. which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 and the consolidated statements of operations, deficit and cash flows for the years then ended and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements
Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility
Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis of our audit opinion.

Opinion
In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of White Pine Resources Inc. as at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, and its financial performance and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

Collins Barrow Toronto LLP

Licensed Public Accountants
Chartered Accountants
Toronto, Ontario
July 28, 2011

WHITE PINE RESOURCES INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

As at March 31,	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash	$ 886,048	$ 1,034,033
Short-term investment	10,000	10,000
Accounts receivable and prepaid expenses	103,543	265,987
	999,591	1,310,020
Mineral Properties *(note 4)*	4,983,635	4,001,568
Equipment *(note 5)*	11,270	15,685
	$ 5,994,496	$ 5,327,273
LIABILITIES		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	$ 107,909	$ 315,360
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Capital Stock *(note 6(a))*	32,778,950	31,420,502
Warrants *(note 6(b))*	2,295,042	3,777,131
Contributed Surplus *(note 6(c))*	7,316,704	4,749,029
Deficit	(36,504,109)	(34,934,749)
	5,886,587	5,011,913
	$ 5,994,496	$ 5,327,273

Going Concern *(note 1)*

Subsequent Events *(note 12)*

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

On behalf of the Board:

(Signed) "Thomas J. Pladsen" — Director

(Signed) "Kevin O'Connor" — Director

WHITE PINE RESOURCES INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the years ended March 31,	2011	2010	Cumulative since inception December 8, 2006
Expenses			
General and administrative	$ **373,968**	$ 390,296	$ 1,912,298
Stock-based compensation	**311,522**	472,450	4,048,839
Depreciation	**4,415**	6,828	25,028
Write-down of mineral properties	**423,255**	1,618,853	19,414,230
	1,113,160	2,488,427	25,400,395
Other income			
Interest and other revenues	**79,550**	991	436,637
Loss before income taxes	**(1,033,610)**	(2,487,436)	(24,963,758)
Future income tax recovery *(note 7)*	**-**	837,175	2,545,175
Net loss and comprehensive loss for the year	$ **(1,033,610)**	$ (1,650,261)	$ (22,418,583)
Net loss per share *(note 8)*:			
Basic and fully diluted	$ **(0.04)**	$ (0.08)	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF DEFICIT

For the years ended March 31,	2011	2010	Cumulative since inception December 8, 2006
Deficit, beginning of year	$ **(34,934,749)**	$ (32,405,812)	$ **(12,671,100)**
Net loss	**(1,033,610)**	(1,650,261)	**(22,418,583)**
Warrant modification *(note 6(b))*	**(535,750)**	(878,676)	**(1,414,426)**
Deficit, end of year	$ **(36,504,109)**	$ (34,934,749)	$ **(36,504,109)**

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

WHITE PINE RESOURCES INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the years ended March 31,	**2011**	2010	Cumulative since inception December 8, 2006
Cash provided by (used in)			
Operations			
Net loss	**$ (1,033,610)**	$ (1,650,261)	$ (22,418,583)
Items not involving cash:			
Future income tax recovery	**-**	(837,175)	(2,545,175)
Depreciation	**4,415**	6,828	25,028
Stock-based compensation	**311,522**	472,450	4,048,839
Write-down of mineral properties	**423,255**	1,618,853	19,414,230
Change in non-cash working capital:			
Accounts receivable and prepaid expenses	**162,444**	(53,936)	(69,853)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	**52,460**	1,421	2,114,114
	(79,514)	(441,820)	568,600
Financing			
Issuance of common shares	**1,000,000**	290,000	8,081,466
Issuance of flow-through common shares	**-**	2,193,750	9,336,248
Share issue costs	**(15,988)**	(193,108)	(999,893)
Exercise of warrants	**66,500**	35,000	3,108,931
Exercise of brokers warrants	**-**	-	174,375
Exercise of stock options	**-**	-	18,000
Exploration advances received (paid)	**-**	(447,025)	(52,545)
	1,050,512	1,878,617	19,666,582
Investing			
Mineral properties	**(1,118,983)**	(1,626,380)	(21,705,250)
Exploration advances and supplies inventory	**-**	207,048	(482,667)
Purchase of short-term investment	**-**	-	(1,050,000)
Redemption of short-term investment	**-**	-	1,100,000
Equipment purchase	**-**	(1,079)	(36,297)
	(1,118,983)	(1,420,411)	(22,174,214)
Increase (decrease) in cash	**(147,985)**	16,386	(1,939,032)
Cash, beginning of year	**1,034,033**	1,017,647	2,825,080
Cash, end of year	**$ 886,048**	$ 1,034,033	$ 886,048

Supplementary Cash Flow Information *(note 11)*

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND GOING CONCERN BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

White Pine Resources Inc. ("**White Pine**") is a publicly listed Canadian junior resource company with mineral properties in Canada. White Pine is engaged in the identification, acquisition, evaluation and exploration of gold, nickel, copper, zinc, silver and other base metal properties. To date, White Pine has not earned any revenue from operations and is considered to be in the development stage.

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("**Canadian GAAP**") applicable to a going concern, which assumes continuity of operations and realization of assets and settlement of liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future, which is at least, but not limited to, one year from March 31, 2011. However, White Pine is in the development stage and is subject to risks and challenges similar to companies in a comparable stage of development. These risks include the challenges of securing adequate capital in view of exploration, development and operational risks inherent in the mining industry and global economic, prescious and base metal price volatility. As a result of these circumstances, there is no assurance that White Pine's funding initiatives will continue to be successful and these financial statements do not reflect the adjustments to the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the reported expenses and balance sheet classifications that would be necessary were the going concern assumption inappropriate. These adjustments could be material.

The recoverability of the amounts shown for mineral properties is dependent upon the ability of White Pine to obtain the necessary financing to complete exploration and development and upon future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition of the mineral properties. White Pine is dependent on raising funds through the issuance of shares and/or attracting joint venture partners in order to undertake further exploration and development of its mineral properties.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP. A summary of White Pine's significant policies is set out below:

(a) Basis of Consolidation

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of White Pine and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Electrum Slater Resources Corporation, Spiral Mountain Corporation and Tupper Shields Copper Corporation. White Pine's subsidiaries have suspended their exploration and development activities and are inactive.

(b) Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with Canadian GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions, in particular in respect of mineral properties, property, plant and equipment, amortization, warrants and broker warrants, stock-based compensation, accrued liabilities and contingencies that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

(c) Cash

Cash includes balances held with a Canadian chartered bank which are redeemable upon demand without penalty.

(d) Short-term Investment

Short-term investment represents an investment in a guaranteed investment certificate ("**GIC**") with a maturity date of more than ninety days held with a Canadian chartered bank. The GIC is held as a collateral security on White Pine's credit cards.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(e) Mineral Properties

White Pine considers its exploration costs to have the characteristics of property, plant and equipment. As such, White Pine defers all exploration costs, including acquisition costs, field exploration and field supervisory costs relating to specific properties until those properties are brought into production, at which time, they will be amortized on a unit-of-production basis based on proven and probable reserves or until the properties are abandoned, sold or considered to be impaired in value, at which time, an appropriate charge will be made. White Pine reviews the carrying values of its mineral properties on a regular basis by reference to project economics including the timing of the exploration and development work and the work programs and exploration results experienced by White Pine and others. When an assessment is made that the carrying value of a property will not be recovered, then the carrying amount is written down to its fair value. An impairment loss charged to operations is measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds its fair value.

(f) Asset Retirement Obligations

The fair values of asset retirement obligations are recorded as liabilities on a discounted basis when they are incurred. Amounts recorded for the related assets are increased by the amount of these obligations. Over time, the liabilities will be accreted for the change in their present value and the initial capitalized costs will be depleted and amortized over the useful lives of the related assets. White Pine did not have any asset retirement obligations as of March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010.

(g) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost less amortization and consists of computer equipment and furniture. Amortization is provided using the declining balance basis at the following annual rates based on the estimated useful lives of the equipment:

Computer equipment and software	45%
Furnitures and equipment	20%

(h) Flow-through Shares

White Pine finances a portion of its exploration activities through the issue of flow-through shares, which transfer the tax deductibility of exploration expenditures to the investor. Proceeds received on the issue of such shares have been credited to capital stock and the related exploration costs have been charged to mineral properties and deferred exploration expenditures. Resource expenditure deductions for income tax purposes related to exploration and development activities funded by flow-through share arrangements are renounced to investors in accordance with income tax legislation. When these expenditures are renounced, temporary taxable differences created by the renunciations reduce share capital.

(i) Warrants

White Pine accounts for warrants using the fair value method. Under this method, the value of warrants is measured at fair value at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, using the management assumptions disclosed in note 6(b), and recorded as share capital when the warrants are exercised.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(j) Stock-based Compensation

White Pine accounts for stock options and warrants at fair value pursuant to CICA Handbook section 3870, which established standards for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of stock-based compensation and other stock-based payments. Compensation expense for options granted to Directors, officers, employees and consultants are determined based on estimated fair values of the options at the time of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the management assumptions disclosed in note 6(c). The fair value is recorded as an expense over the vesting period of the respective options with a corresponding increase to contributed surplus. Upon exercise of the stock options, consideration paid by the option holder together with the amount previously recognized in contributed surplus is recorded as an increase to share capital. Forfeitures of stock options are recognized as incurred.

(k) Income Taxes

Income taxes are calculated using the asset and liability method of tax accounting. Under this method of tax allocation, future income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Future income tax assets and liabilities are measured using the substantively enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when the temporary differences are likely to reverse. The effect on future income tax assets and liabilities resulting from a change in substantively enacted tax rates is included in income in the year in which the change is enacted or substantively enacted. Future income tax assets, if recorded, will recognize tax benefits only to the extent that, based on available evidence, it is more likely than not they will be realized.

(l) Financial Instruments

All financial instruments have been classified into one of the following categories: held for trading, held to maturity, loans and receivables, available for sale financial assets and other financial liabilities. White Pine has designated its cash and short-term investment as held for trading, which are measured at their fair value with all gains and losses included in the net loss for the year in which they arise. Accounts receivable is classified as loans and receivables and recorded at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are classified as other financial liabilities and recorded at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method. The carrying amount of accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities represents their fair value due to their short-term nature.

Transaction costs are expensed as incurred for all financial instruments and White Pine had no other comprehensive income or loss transactions during the year. Accordingly, a statement of comprehensive income has not been presented.

(m) Per Share Information

Per share information is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted per share information is calculated using the treasury stock method for options and warrants. The treasury stock method assumes that any proceeds obtained upon the exercise of options and warrants be used to purchase common shares at an average market price during the year.

3. CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND FUTURE ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

(a) Changes in Accounting Policies

White Pine has not adopted any new accounting standards during the current year.

(b) Future Accounting Pronouncements

International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”)

The Canadian Accounting Standards Board has confirmed that IFRS will replace current Canadian GAAP for publicly accountable enterprises, including White Pine, effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011.

3. CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND FUTURE ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (continued)

(b) Future Accounting Pronouncements (continued)

Accordingly, White Pine will apply accounting policies consistent with IFRS beginning with the interim financial statements for the quarter ending June 30, 2011. White Pine's 2012 interim and annual financial statements will include comparative 2011 financial statements, adjusted to reflect any changes in accounting policies resulting from the adoption of IFRS.

4. Mineral Properties

Property	**Acquisition costs**	**Exploration**	**Write-downs**	**Balance March 31, 2011**
Money Property	$ 709,688	$ 8,451	$ -	**$ 718,139**
Tender Property	236,563	3,451	-	**240,014**
Lowland	15,854	13,285,298	(9,275,671)	**4,025,481**
Garden Island	-	349,753	(349,752)	**1**
MZ	43,813	323,840	(367,653)	**-**
Luc Bourdon	175,000	1,149,703	(1,324,703)	**-**
	$ 1,180,918	**$ 15,120,496**	**$ (11,317,779)**	**$ 4,983,635**

Property	Acquisition costs	Exploration	Write-downs	Balance March 31, 2010
Lowland	$ 15,854	$ 13,155,607	$ (9,275,671)	$ 3,895,790
Garden Island	-	325,000	(324,999)	1
MZ	39,825	65,952	-	105,777
Luc Bourdon	175,000	1,118,854	(1,293,854)	-
	$ 230,679	$ 14,665,413	$ (10,894,524)	$ 4,001,568

	Money Property	**Tender Property**	**Lowland**	**Garden Island**	**MZ**	**Luc Bourdon**	**Total**
Balance - March 31, 2009	$ -	$ -	$ 2,957,047	$ -	$ -	$ 509,813	$ 3,466,860
Acquisition costs	-	-	-	-	39,825	-	39,825
Exploration	-	-	938,743	325,000	65,952	784,041	2,113,736
Write-downs	-	-	-	(324,999)	-	(1,293,854)	(1,618,853)
Balance - March 31, 2010	-	-	3,895,790	1	105,777	-	4,001,568
Acquisition costs	709,688	236,563	-	-	3,988	-	950,239
Exploration	8,451	3,451	129,691	24,753	257,888	30,849	455,083
Write-downs	-	-	-	(24,753)	(367,653)	(30,849)	(423,255)
Balance - March 31, 2011	**$ 718,139**	**$ 240,014**	**$ 4,025,481**	**$ 1**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ 4,983,635**

4. Mineral Properties (continued)

(a) Money and Tender Properties

On February 14, 2011, White Pine announced the signing of an agreement for the acquisition of an option (the "**Option**") to earn a 100% interest in the properties known as the Money property (the "**Money Property**") and the Tender property (the "**Tender Property**" and collectively with the Money Property, the "**Yukon Properties**") located in the White Gold District, Yukon Territory. A net smelter return royalty ("**NSR**") on each of the Yukon Properties of 2% is payable by White Pine on obtaining 100% interest; White Pine may purchase one-half of the NSR on the Money Property for $2.5 million and one-half of the NSR on the Tender Property for $2.5 million.

In order to acquire its 100% interest in the Money Property, White Pine will be required to:

(i) make cash payments totaling $950,000 in tranches as follows:

- On signing: $300,000 (paid);
- By February 9, 2012: $150,000;
- By February 9, 2013: $150,000;
- By February 9, 2014: $150,000; and
- By February 9, 2015: $200,000.

(ii) issuing an aggregate of 2,750,000 common shares in tranches as follows:

- On signing: 750,000 common shares, valued at $356,250 (issued);
- By February 9, 2012: 500,000 common shares;
- By February 9, 2013: 500,000 common shares;
- By February 9, 2014: 500,000 common shares; and
- By February 9, 2015: 500,000 common shares.

(iii) incurring an aggregate of $1,250,000 in exploration expenditures on the Money Property over a four year period as follows:

- By April 2, 2012: incur $200,000;
- By April 2, 2013: incur $300,000;
- By April 2, 2014: incur $350,000; and
- By April 2, 2015: incur $400,000.

In addition, White Pine will also be required to issue an additional 125,000 common shares upon having incurred aggregate exploration expenditures of a total of $2.5 million on the Money Property, and a further 125,000 common shares upon having incurred aggregate exploration expenditures of $3.75 million on the Money Property, in each case in the event that White Pine has otherwise fully exercised its option with respect to the Money Property.

In order to acquire its 100% interest in the Tender Property, White Pine will be required to:

(i) make cash payments totaling $425,000 in tranches over a four year period as follows:

- On signing: $100,000 (paid);
- By February 9, 2012: $75,000;
- By February 9, 2013: $75,000;
- By February 9, 2014: $75,000; and
- By February 9, 2015: $100,000.

4. Mineral Properties (continued)

(a) Money and Tender Properties (continued)

(ii) issuing an aggregate of 1,250,000 common shares in tranches over a four year period as follows:

- On signing: 250,000 common shares, valued at $118,750 (issued);
- By February 9, 2012: 250,000 common shares;
- By February 9, 2013: 250,000 common shares;
- By February 9, 2014: 250,000 common shares; and
- By February 9, 2015: 250,000 common shares.

(iii) incurring an aggregate of $750,000 in exploration expenditures on the Tender Property over a four year period as follows:

- By April 2, 2012: incur $150,000;
- By April 2, 2013: incur $150,000;
- By April 2, 2014: incur $200,000; and
- By April 2, 2015: incur $250,000.

In addition, White Pine will also be required to issue an additional 125,000 common shares upon having incurred aggregate exploration expenditures of a total of $2.5 million on the Tender Property, and a further 125,000 common shares upon having incurred aggregate exploration expenditures of $3.75 million on the Tender Property, in each case in the event that White Pine has otherwise fully exercised its option with respect to the Tender Property.

In the event that the Option is exercised, White Pine shall be required to make annual advance royalty payments (the "**Advance Royalty Payments**") of $25,000 for each of the Yukon Properties in respect of which the Option is exercised commencing in 2016 until such time as commercial production commences. Any amount paid in respect of such Advance Royalty Payments shall be credited against the NSR payable in respect of either of the Yukon Properties.

In connection with the Option, White Pine paid finder's fees by issuing 150,000 common shares valued at $71,250. The finder's fees were allocated $53,438 as to the Money Property and $17,813 as to the Tender Property.

(b) Lowland

On March 26, 2008 White Pine entered into an option agreement with Metalex Ventures Ltd. ("**Metalex**") and Arctic Star Diamond Corp. ("**Arctic**") pursuant to which White Pine was granted the option to acquire a 50% interest in certain claims of Metalex's and Arctic's James Bay properties located on and around the "Ring of Fire".

Under the terms of the agreement, White Pine has the right to fund a maximum of $20.0 million in expenditures on the Lowland property over a four year period. For each $5.0 million in expenditures, White Pine will acquire a 12.5% interest in the Lowland property, up to a maximum 50% interest.

Subsequent to year end on May 12, 2011, White Pine entered into an amending agreement with Metalex and Arctic whereby White Pine may fund the required $20.0 million in expenditures by way of:

- Meeting cash calls of $5.0 million before May 16, 2009 (incurred)
- Meeting cash calls of $5.0 million before May 15, 2010 (incurred);
- Meeting cash calls of $5.0 million before May 15, 2013 (incurred $3.3 million of cash calls to March 31, 2011); and
- Meeting cash calls of $5.0 million before May 15, 2014

4. Mineral Properties (continued)

(b) Lowland (continued)

Anytime after the first cash call has been met, White Pine may elect to terminate the option agreement;

As at March 31, 2011 White Pine has spent $13.3 million (including $9.3 million written-off during fiscal 2009), thereby earning a 25% interest in the Lowland property.

White Pine may at any time prior to the termination of the option period earn a full 50% interest by making a lump sum payment to Metalex in the amount of $20.0 million minus the amount of expenditures that White Pine has funded to date.

(c) Garden Island

On February 25, 2009, White Pine entered into an agreement (the "**Garden Island Option Agreement**") with TSR Resources Inc. ("**TSR**") where TSR granted White Pine an option to acquire an individual 20% right, title and interest for a resulting aggregate 10% right, title and interest (the "**Interest**") in and to 296 mineral claims located in Piedmont, Courville, Pascalis and Senneville Townships of Quebec, (collectively the "**Garden Island Property**").

TSR and Noront Resources Ltd. ("**Noront**") entered into an option agreement dated July 6, 2007 and amended on July 10, 2008 (the "**Noront Option Agreement**"), pursuant to which Noront has acquired a 50% interest in the Garden Island Property and upon exercise of the Garden Island Option Agreement, the Property shall thereafter be held as 50% by Noront, 40% by TSR and 10% by White Pine.

To exercise the Garden Island Option Agreement and thereby acquire the Interest, White Pine incurred expenses on the Garden Island Property in the aggregate amount of $325,000.

Given subsequent discouraging exploration results, during fiscal 2010 White Pine wrote-down the carrying value of the Garden Island Property to a nominal amount.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, White Pine incurred exploration expenditures of $24,753 on the Garden Island Property which corresponded to its portion of costs related to the wind-up of the project. Since the carrying value was written-down to a nominal amount during fiscal 2010, these costs were charged to the statement of operations as additional write-downs.

(d) MZ (Boston Creek Gold Property)

On December 14, 2009, White Pine announced the signing of an agreement for the acquisition of an option to acquire the MZ Boston Creek Gold Property ("**MZ**") located in the Boston Creek gold mining district south of Kirkland Lake, Ontario. The MZ property, consisting of 608 hectares, could be acquired from two local prospectors for consideration of cash, shares and exploration expenditures based on the following timetable:

To acquire an initial 50% interest in the property:

- On signing: $20,000 cash plus 50,000 White Pine shares, valued at $15,000 (paid);
- By January 1st, 2011: $20,000 cash plus 100,000 White Pine shares, and incur $100,000 in exploration expenditures;
- By January 1st, 2012: $20,000 cash plus 100,000 White Pine shares, and incur $100,000 in exploration expenditures.
- By January 1st, 2013: incur $150,000 in exploration expenditures.

4. Mineral Properties (continued)

(d) MZ (Boston Creek Gold Property) (continued)

At the option of White Pine, to acquire an additional 50% interest in the property:

- By January 1st, 2013: $20,000 cash plus 100,000 White Pine shares;
- By January 1st, 2014: $120,000 cash plus 400,000 White Pine shares, and incur $500,000 in exploration expenditures;
- By December 31, 2014: incur $500,000 in exploration expenditures.

A 2% NSR was retained by the prospectors with the option of 1% being purchased by White Pine for $1 million.

Due to discouraging exploration results, White Pine did not make the installment of its option payment which was due by January 1, 2011 and wrote-off the carrying value of the MZ property during the year ended March 31, 2011.

(e) Luc Bourdon

On September 11, 2008 White Pine and Noront entered into an option agreement with Golden Valley Mines Ltd. (“**Golden Valley**”), providing White Pine and Noront with the option to acquire from Golden Valley an aggregate 70% interest in the Luc Burdon property located in the lowland region of James Bay, Ontario. White Pine and Noront jointly control the option and White Pine has accounted for the option as a joint venture.

In order to satisfy its payments under the agreement, White Pine paid to Golden Valley on September 19, 2008 the amount of $175,000 by paying $25,000 in cash and issuing Golden Valley 34,091 White Pine common shares with a fair value of $4.40 per share.

White Pine and Noront are required to incur aggregate exploration expenditures on the property of at least $5.0 million over a three year period, of which $1.0 million must be expended in the first year.

On March 30, 2011, Golden Valley entered into a transfer, assignment, and assumption agreement pursuant to which Golden Valley transferred the Luc Bourdon Property and the rights and obligations of Golden Valley under the option agreement to Abitibi Royalties Inc. ("**Abitibi**").

Subsequent to year end in June 2011, White Pine and Noront entered into an amending agreement with Abitibi whereby White Pine and Noront received a two-year extension on the exploration expenditure commitment. As result, in order to acquire the aggregate interest of 70% in the Luc Bourdon Property, White Pine and Noront are required to incur aggregate exploration expenditures on the property of at least $5.0 million by October 8, 2013.

As at March 31, 2011 the Joint Venture has spent $2.3 million on exploration. White Pine recorded its 50% proportionate interest in the assets of the joint venture and wrote-off its entire share of the project during fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2011. Details from the joint venture since its creation are as follows:

- Mineral property assets: $2,649,406
- Cash flow from investing activities - mineral property expenditures: $2,499,404

During the year ended March 31, 2011 White Pine incurred $30,849 of exploration expenditures on the Luc Bourdon property. The costs corresponded to camp operations and miscellaneous expenses related to the wind-up of the project. Since the project costs were written-off during fiscal 2010, these costs were charged to the statement of operations as additional write-downs.

During the year ended March 31, 2011, White Pine earned $79,540 in other revenues. In prior periods, White Pine was recording camp rental revenue by reducing exploration expenditures on its Luc Bourdon property. However, since the project costs were written-off during fiscal 2010, White Pine is prospectively recording the camp rental revenue as other revenues charged through the statement of operations.

5. EQUIPMENT

As at March 31, 2011	**Cost**	**Accumulated amortization**	**Net**
Computer equipment	**$ 4,516**	**$ 3,945**	**$ 571**
Computer software	**13,484**	**11,240**	**2,244**
Furniture and equipment	**17,356**	**8,901**	**8,455**
	$ 35,356	**$ 24,086**	**$ 11,270**

As at March 31, 2010	Cost	Accumulated amortization	Net
Computer equipment	$ 4,516	$ 3,479	$ 1,037
Computer software	13,484	9,405	4,079
Furniture and equipment	17,356	6,787	10,569
	$ 35,356	$ 19,671	$ 15,685

6. SHARE CAPITAL

(a) Common Shares

Authorized Capital - Unlimited number of common shares
- Unlimited number of special shares
- 500,000 preference shares

Issued

	Number of shares	**Consideration**
Balance - March 31, 2009	17,592,660	$ 30,013,771
Flow-through Shares ("**FT Shares**") issued, August 2009	4,874,999	2,193,750
Tax effect of FT Shares	-	(805,000)
Issued under private placement, August 2009	725,000	290,000
August 2009 Warrants	-	(65,176)
August 2009 Broker Warrants	-	(71,352)
Share issue costs	-	(193,107)
Exercise of warrants	100,000	35,000
Fair value of warrants transferred to common shares	-	7,616
Issued on acquisition of MZ mineral interest *(note 4(d))*	50,000	15,000
Balance - March 31, 2010	23,342,659	31,420,502
Issued under private placement, December 2010	4,000,000	1,000,000
December 2010 Warrants	-	(298,578)
Share issue costs	-	(15,988)
Exercise of warrants	190,000	66,500
Fair value of warrants transferred to common shares	-	60,264
Issued for acquisition of Money Property *(note 4(a))*	750,000	356,250
Issued for acquisition of Tender Property *(note 4(a))*	250,000	118,750
Finder's fee on acquisition of mineral properties *(note 4(a))*	150,000	71,250
Balance - March 31, 2011	**28,682,659**	**$ 32,778,950**

6. SHARE CAPITAL (continued)

(a) Common Shares (continued)

On August 25, 2009 White Pine completed a private placement order which White Pine issued 4,874,999 flow-through common shares ("**FT Shares**") at a price of $0.45 per FT Share and 725,000 units ("**August 2009 Units**") at a price of $0.40 per August 2009 Unit. Each August 2009 Unit consists of one common share and one-half of one White Pine share purchase warrant, each whole such warrant entitling the holder thereof to acquire one additional White Pine common share for a period of two years at an exercise price of $0.65 ("**August 2009 Warrants**"). In connection with the offering, White Pine paid finder's fees to certain qualified registrants assisting in the offering in the amount of $161,444 representing 6.5% of the gross proceeds raised by such finder, and issued 316,874 broker warrants to such finders representing 6.5% of the aggregate number of FT Shares sold by such finders in the offering. The broker warrants are exercisable at a price of $0.45 per share, for a period of two years following the closing of the offering ("**August 2009 Broker Warrants**").

On December 9, 2010, White Pine completed a private placement of 4,000,000 units ("**December 2010 Units**") at a price of $0.25 per December 2010 Unit. Each December 2010 Unit consists of one common share and one-half of one White Pine share purchase warrant, each whole such warrant entitling the holder thereof to acquire one additional common share for a period of two years at an exercise price of $0.35 ("**December 2010 Warrants**").

(b) Warrants

	Number of warrants	Number of broker warrants	Allocated value
Balance - March 31, 2009	7,166,667	109,417	$ 2,964,543
August 2009 Warrants	362,500	-	65,176
August 2009 Broker Warrants	-	316,874	71,352
Warrants modification	-	-	878,676
Exercise of warrants	(100,000)	-	(7,616)
Expiry of warrants	(50,000)	-	(195,000)
Balance - March 31, 2010	7,379,167	426,291	3,777,131
December 2010 Warrants	2,000,000	-	298,578
Exercise of warrants	(190,000)	-	(60,264)
Warrant modification	-	-	535,750
Expiry of warrants	(841,667)	-	(1,823,570)
Expiry of broker warrants	-	(109,417)	(432,583)
Balance - March 31, 2011	**8,347,500**	**316,874**	**$ 2,295,042**

During the year ended March 31, 2010, 50,000 warrants related to a property option agreement expired unexercised. On April 25, 2010, 841,667 warrants and 109,417 broker warrants relating to an April 25, 2008 private placement expired unexercised.

During the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 the following warrants were issued and valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model parameters listed below (in each case with no dividends):

			Black-Scholes Option Pricing Parameters		
	Number of warrants	Exercise price	Risk-free interest rate	Expected life (Years)	Volatility factor
December 2010 Warrants	2,000,000	$0.35	1.70%	2.0	201%
August 2009 Warrants	362,500	$0.65	1.29%	2.0	141%
August 2009 Broker Warrants	316,874	$0.45	1.29%	2.0	141%

6. SHARE CAPITAL (continued)

(b) Warrants (continued)

On November 19 2009, White Pine extended the term of some of its warrants as follows:

- An aggregate of 4,175,000 Series 2008-II warrants, originally issued in December 2008, exercisable at $0.35 per share until December 23, 2009 ("**Series 2008-II Warrants**"), were extended to December 23, 2010.
- An aggregate of 2,000,000 Series 2009-I warrants, originally issued on January 26, 2009 and exercisable at $0.35 per share until January 26, 2010 ("**Series 2009-I Warrants**"), were extended to January 26, 2011.

White Pine has recorded the incremental difference of $878,676 as a capital transaction based on the fair value of these warrants immediately prior to and after the modification.

The Series 2008-II Warrants and Series 2009-I Warrants were valued prior to extension using the Black-Scholes option pricing model parameters as listed below (in each case with no dividends):

			Black-Scholes Option Pricing Parameters		
	Number of warrants	Exercise price	Risk-free interest rate	Expected life (Years)	Volatility factor
Series 2008-II Warrants	4,175,000	$0.35	0.16%	0.09	95%
Series 2009-I Warrants	2,000,000	$0.35	0.22%	0.19	91%

On November 19, 2009, the date of extension, the Series 2008-II Warrants and Series 2009-I Warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model parameters as listed below (in each case with no dividends):

			Black-Scholes Option Pricing Parameters		
	Number of warrants	Exercise price	Risk-free interest rate	Expected life (Years)	Volatility factor
Series 2008-II Warrants	4,175,000	$0.35	0.47%	1.09	142%
Series 2009-I Warrants	2,000,000	$0.35	0.47%	1.19	150%

On November 17, 2010, White Pine further extended the term of some of its warrants as follows:

- An aggregate of 4,175,000 Series 2008-II Warrants with an expiry date extended to December 23, 2010, were further extended to December 23, 2011.
- An aggregate of 2,000,000 Series 2009-I Warrants with an expiry date extended to January 26, 2011, were further extended to January 26, 2012.

White Pine has recorded the incremental difference of $535,750 as a capital transaction based on the fair value of these warrants immediately prior to and after the modification.

The Series 2008-II Warrants and Series 2009-I Warrants were valued prior to extension using the Black-Scholes option pricing model parameters as listed below (in each case with no dividends).

			Black-Scholes Option Pricing Parameters		
	Number of warrants	Exercise price	Risk-free interest rate	Expected life (Years)	Volatility factor
Series 2008-II Warrants	4,175,000	$0.35	1.43%	0.10	115%
Series 2009-I Warrants	2,000,000	$0.35	1.43%	0.19	115%

6. SHARE CAPITAL (continued)

(b) Warrants (continued)

On November 17, 2010, the date of extension, the Series 2008-II Warrants and Series 2009-I Warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model parameters as listed below (in each case with no dividends):

			Black-Scholes Option Pricing Parameters		
	Number of warrants	Exercise price	Risk-free interest rate	Expected life (Years)	Volatility factor
Series 2008-II Warrants	4,175,000	$0.35	1.43%	1.10	118%
Series 2009-I Warrants	2,000,000	$0.35	1.43%	1.19	118%

A summary of White Pine's outstanding warrants and brokers warrants at March 31, 2011 is presented below:

Issue date	Number of warrants	Number of broker warrants	Exercise price	Expiry date
December 23, 2008	4,185,000	-	$0.35	December 23, 2011
January 26, 2009	1,800,000	-	$0.35	January 26, 2012
August 25, 2009	362,500	-	$0.65	August 25, 2011
August 25, 2009	-	316,874	$0.45	August 25, 2011
December 9, 2010	2,000,000	-	$0.35	December 9, 2012
	8,347,500	316,874		

(c) Contributed Surplus and Stock Options

Contributed Surplus

Balance - March 31, 2009	$ 4,113,754
Stock-based compensation	472,450
Expiry of warrants	195,000
Tax effect on expiry of warrants	(32,175)
Balance - March 31, 2010	4,749,029
Stock-based compensation	311,522
Expiry of warrants	2,256,153
Balance - March 31, 2011	**$ 7,316,704**

6. SHARE CAPITAL (continued)

(c) Contributed Surplus and Stock Options (continued)

White Pine has a stock option plan (the "**Plan**") under which it is authorized to grant stock options to acquire common shares to Directors, officers, employees and consultants. During fiscal 2010, the Plan was converted into a "rolling plan" where the aggregate number of common shares which may be issued and sold under the Plan will not exceed 10% of the aggregate number of common shares issued and outstanding from time to time. The number of common shares which may be reserved for issue to any one individual under the Plan within any one year period shall not exceed 5% of the outstanding issue. The Board of Directors shall determine the exercise price of stock options issued, as applicable, based on the market price. The stock options are non-assignable and may be granted for a term not exceeding five years. Stock options issued under the Plan may vest at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The total number of stock options available for issue under the plan as at March 31, 2011 is 514,266.

Stock Options

	Number of options		Weighted average exercise price
Balance - March 31, 2009	1,519,000	$	3.28
Expired	(20,000)		6.00
Balance - March 31, 2010	1,499,000	$	3.24
Granted	900,000		0.37
Expired	(45,000)		3.49
Balance - March 31, 2011	**2,354,000**	**$**	**2.14**

During the year ended March 31, 2011 the following stock options were issued and valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model parameters listed below (in each case with no dividends):

				Black-Scholes Option Pricing Parameters		
Fiscal 2011	**Number of options**	**Exercise price**	**Grant date stock price**	**Risk-free interest rate**	**Expected life (Years)**	**Volatility factor**
November 25	700,000	$0.36	$0.36	1.84%	5.0	163%
March 1	200,000	$0.41	$0.405	2.31%	5.0	163%
	900,000	$0.37				

The weighted average fair value of options granted during the period was $0.35.

6. SHARE CAPITAL (continued)

(b) Contributed Surplus and Stock Options (continued)

A summary of White Pine's outstanding stock options at March 31, 2011 is presented below:

Issue date	Options outstanding & exercisable	Exercise price	Weighted average remaining life (Years)
April 12, 2006	20,000	$5.00	0.03
May 16, 2006	20,000	$6.70	0.13
November 20, 2006	50,000	$6.00	0.64
April 19, 2007	10,000	$6.00	1.05
November 28, 2007	24,000	$6.00	1.67
June 11, 2008	435,000	$8.00	2.20
July 16, 2008	30,000	$6.00	2.30
February 17, 2009	865,000	$0.35	2.89
November 25, 2010	700,000	$0.36	4.66
March 1, 2011	200,000	$0.41	4.92
	2,354,000	$2.14	3.33

7. INCOME TAXES

The income tax recovery has been calculated as follows:

	2011	2010
Loss before income taxes	**$ (1,033,610)**	$ (2,487,436)
Canadian combined federal and provincial income tax rate	**32.50 %**	33.00 %
Expected income tax recovery at Canadian statutory rates	**$ (335,900)**	$ (815,000)
Share issue costs	**(109,800)**	(110,000)
Stock-based compensation	**101,200**	155,000
Capital gain on expired warrants	**-**	32,175
Write-down of mineral properties	**137,600**	534,200
Amortization	**1,400**	2,300
Change in tax rate and other	**1,240,854**	699,250
Change in valuation allowance	**(1,035,354)**	(1,335,100)
Income tax recovery	**$ -**	$ (837,175)

7. INCOME TAXES (continued)

White Pine's unrecognized future tax assets are comprised of the following temporary differences:

	2011	2010
Mineral properties	**$ 2,921,900**	$ 4,147,700
Losses carried forward	**504,300**	910,200
Share issue costs	**108,500**	189,000
	3,534,700	5,246,900
Valuation allowance	**(3,534,700)**	(5,246,900)
	$ -	$ -

At March 31, 2011, White Pine had unclaimed non-capital losses that expire as follows:

Year of Expiry	
2027	$ 152,000
2028	555,000
2029	678,000
2030	631,000
	$ 2,016,000

8. NET LOSS PER SHARE

Earnings (loss) per share have been calculated using the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Net loss for the year	**$ (1,033,610)**	$ (1,650,261)
Basic and fully diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year	**24,733,905**	21,003,618
Basic and fully diluted net loss per share	**$ (0.04)**	$ (0.08)

Fully diluted weighted average common shares outstanding during the years ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are not reflective of the outstanding stock options, warrants and broker warrants as their exercise would be anti-dilutive in the net loss per share calculation.

9. CAPITAL RISK MANAGEMENT

White Pine's capital consists of common shares, warrants and contributed surplus, which as at March 31, 2011 totaled $42,390,696 (March 31, 2010 - $39,946,662).

When managing capital, White Pine's objective is to ensure the entity continues as a going concern as well as to maintain optimal returns to shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders. Management adjusts the capital structure as necessary in order to support the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties.

9. CAPITAL RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

The properties in which White Pine currently has an interest are in the exploration stage; as such White Pine is dependent on external financing to fund its activities. In order to carry out the planned exploration and pay for administrative costs, White Pine will spend its existing working capital and raise additional amounts as needed. White Pine will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire an interest in additional properties if it feels there is sufficient geologic or economic potential and if it has adequate financial resources to do so.

Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the relative size of White Pine, is appropriate. There were no changes in White Pine's approach to capital management during the year ended March 31, 2011. White Pine is obligated to spend funds raised through flow-through financing on qualifying expenses. At March 31, 2011 $117,293 remained unspent in this respect.

10. PROPERTY AND FINANCIAL RISK FACTORS

(a) Property Risk

As at March 31, 2011, White Pine had interests in the Money Property, Tender Property, Lowland, Garden Island, MZ, and Luc Bourdon properties (the "**Properties**"). Although White Pine has taken steps to verify title to the Properties on which it is conducting exploration and in which it has an interest, in accordance with industry standards for the current stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee White Pine’s title. Property title may be subject to unregistered prior agreements and non-compliance with regulatory requirements.

(b) Financial Risk

White Pine’s activities expose it to a variety of financial risks: credit risk, liquidity risk and market risk (including interest rate and commodity price risk).

Risk management is carried out by White Pine's management team with guidance from the Audit Committee under policies approved by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors also provides regular guidance for overall risk management.

(i) Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss associated with a counterparty’s inability to fulfill its payment obligations. White Pine's credit risk is primarily attributable to cash and short-term investments and accounts receivables. Cash and short-term investments consist of cash on hand and short-term securities with reputable financial institutions. Amounts receivable are in good standing as of March 31, 2011. Management believes that the credit risk concentration with respect to financial instruments included in cash and short-term investments and amounts receivable is minimal.

(ii) Liquidity Risk

White Pine's approach to managing liquidity risk is to ensure that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet liabilities when due. As at March 31, 2011, White Pine has cash and short-term investments balances of $896,048 (March 31, 2010 - $1,044,033) to settle current liabilities of $107,909 (March 31, 2010 - $315,360). Most of White Pine's financial liabilities have contractual maturities of less than 30 days and are subject to normal trade terms.

10. PROPERTY AND FINANCIAL RISK FACTORS (continued)

(iii) Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss that may arise from changes in market factors such as interest rates and the price of commodities.

Interest Rate Risk

White Pine has cash balances and no interest-bearing debt. White Pine's current policy is to invest excess cash in short-term securities and marketable securities which consist of certificates of deposit, banker's acceptances and discount notes ("fixed income"). White Pine's cash balances and short-term investments bear interest at floating rates and as such are subject to interest rate cash flow risk resulting from market fluctuation in interest rates.

Commodity Price Risk

White Pine is exposed to price risk, mainly gold and nickel, with respect to commodity prices which affects the valuation of mineral properties and share price. Commodity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on earnings and economic value due to commodity price movements and volatilities. White Pine closely monitors commodity prices as it relates to precious and base metals to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken.

(c) Sensitivity Analysis

White Pine has designated, for accounting purposes, its cash and short-term investments as held for trading, which are measured at fair value. Accounts receivables are classified for accounting purposes as loans and receivables, which are measured at amortized cost which equals fair market value. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are classified as other financial liabilities, which are measured at amortized cost which also equals fair market value.

As at March 31, 2011, the carrying value of White Pine's financial instruments approximate their fair values.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Non-cash investing and financing activities include the following:

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Shares issued for Money Property *(note 4(a))*	**$ 356,250**	$ -
Shares issued for Tender Property *(note 4(a))*	**118,750**	-
Shares issued as finder's fees for acquisition of Yukon Properties *(note 4(a))*	**71,250**	-
Issued on acquisition of MZ mineral property *(note 4(d))*	**$ -**	$ 15,000

12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

(a) Subsequent to year end on May 12, 2011, White Pine entered into an amending agreement with Metalex and Arctic whereby White Pine received a two-year extension on the expenditure commitments under the terms of the option agreement for the Lowland Property as described in note 4(b).

(b) Subsequent to year end in June 2011, White Pine and Noront entered into an amending agreement whereby White Pine and Noront received a two-year extension on the exploration expenditure commitment under the terms of the agreement for the Luc Bourdon Property. As result, in order to acquire the aggregate interest of 70% in the Luc Bourdon Property, White Pine and Noront are required to incur aggregate exploration expenditures on the property of at least $5.0 million by October 8, 2013 as described in note 4(e).

12. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (continued)

(c) Subsequent to year end, 515,000 stock options with an exercise price of $0.60 and an expiry date of April 26, 2016 were issued to Directors of White Pine; 300,000 stock options with an exercise price of $0.66 and an expiry date of May 10, 2016 were issued to consultants of White Pine; 40,000 stock options with exercise prices of $5.00 and $6.70 and with expiry dates of April 12 and May 16, 2011 expired unexercised; and 350,000 stock options with exercise prices of $6.00 and $8.00 and expiry dates of June 11, 2013 and July 16, 2011 were cancelled without the payment of any consideration therefor.

(d) Subsequent to year end, 600,000 of the Series 2008-II Warrants, 800,000 of the Series 2009-I, and 50,000 of the December 2010 Warrants were exercised.

13. COMPARATIVE FIGURES

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified to conform with the current year's consolidated financial statement presentation.