

**CANAMEX RESOURCES CORP.  
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012**

---

## **OVERVIEW**

---

This management discussion and analysis ("MDA"), prepared on April 23, 2013, covers the operations of Canamex Resources Corp. ("Canamex" or the "Company") for the year ended December 31, 2012. All monetary amounts referred to herein are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise stated. The MDA should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012. The accompanying audited consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The financial statements together with this MDA are intended to provide investors with a reasonable basis for assessing the financial performance of the Company.

Additional information related to the Company is available for viewing on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) or the Company website at [www.canamex.us](http://www.canamex.us).

## **FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS**

---

Information contained in this MDA that is not historical fact may be considered forward looking statements regarding future events and Canamex's future results. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts, and projections about the industry in which Canamex operates and the beliefs and assumptions of Canamex's management. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "targets," "goals," "projects," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates," "continues," "may," variations of such words, and similar expressions, are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to projections of Canamex's future financial performance, Canamex's anticipated growth and potentials in its business and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned that these forward-looking statements are only predictions and are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict, including those identified elsewhere herein and Canamex's filings on [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com). Therefore, actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. Canamex undertakes no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

## **DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

---

The Company was incorporated under the laws of Alberta on May 26, 1987. On August 18, 2009, the shareholders approved the continuation of the Company from the Business Corporations Act (Alberta) to the Business Corporations Act (British Columbia), approved the new articles of the Company, and approved a name change of the Company to Canamex Silver Corp. On October 6, 2009 the name change and continuation were completed.

On May 28, 2010, the Company entered into a property option agreement with Provex Resources Inc., in which the Company was granted, subject to acceptance by the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX-V"), an exclusive right and option to acquire up to a 75% interest in certain mineral claims in Nye County, Nevada (the "*Bruner Property*"). This transaction constituted a Change of Business under the TSX-V rules.

On October 18, 2010, the Company received TSX-V approval for all matters in connection with the Bruner Property option agreement and change of business, the Company was reinstated as a Tier 2 mining issuer on the TSX-V and changed its name to Canamex Resources Corp. (TSX-V "CSQ").

On June 30, 2011 the Company entered into an Option Agreement with GMV Minerals Inc. ("*GMV*") on a large area containing nominally 98,000 acres in a highly prospective gold region in, Guyana, South America (the "*Aranka North Property*"). The option is to acquire up to a 100% interest in the Aranka North Property. The agreement was accepted for filing by the TSX-V on August 2, 2011.

## **EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS**

---

### **Bruner Property, Nye County, Nevada, United States**

#### *Option and Joint Venture Agreement*

On May 28, 2010, the Company entered into a property option agreement with Provex Resources Inc., a company with a director in common with the Company at the time, granting an exclusive right and option to acquire up to a 75% interest in the Bruner Property.

To earn a 70% interest in the property, the Company must complete a total of US\$6,000,000 in expenditures on the property in stages over a seven year period, US\$200,000 of which must have been completed within the first year. The required US\$200,000 expenditure was completed during the year ended December 31, 2010, so the Company is under no further obligation if it elects not to proceed with this option. Upon completing all expenditures, the Company can acquire a further 5% undivided interest in the property by producing a bankable feasibility study. The agreement is subject to a 3.5% net smelter return royalty on the production from certain claims.

#### *Property Description*

Comprised of 98 unpatented and 21 patented mining claims covering a total of approximately 2100 acres, the Bruner Property is located in central Nevada, about 45 miles northwest of the Round Mountain Mine which has produced over 10 million ounces of gold over a thirty year period. Historic production at Bruner includes approximately 100,000 ounces at an average grade of 0.56 ounces per ton.

#### *Property Exploration*

Historic work by Morrison-Knudsen, Miramar, Glamis, Newmont, Kennecott and others identified a low-grade resource near the southwest portion of the property. The work by Newmont, Kennecott and Miramar was summarized in a report by John Schilling in 1991. The resource section of the report identifies approximately 383,000 ounces of gold within the July-Duluth area of the project (this is not a NI 43-101 defined resource). Since that time an additional 75 holes have been drilled within and along strike of the historical resource area. There has been no definitive resource report since the 1991 report.

A bulk sample from the historic resource area was taken in April 2012 and delivered to Kappes Cassidy & Associates in Reno, Nevada for column leach test work. Final cyanide column leach results were reported in August and demonstrated +85% gold extraction in 83 days on -3 inch and -3/4 inch crush material sampled from underground within the historic resource area at the Bruner gold project. The very positive metallurgical results support advancing the historic resource area to a level that will allow reporting both the resource and the ultimate project economics under NI-43-101 standards.

Results of the 2011-12 drilling program, which consisted of 2,434 meters (7,985 feet) in 13 angled RC drill holes, were announced on March 8, 2012. The drilling program was designed primarily to test for high-grade gold-silver veins similar to those at Newmont's Midas (Ken Snyder) Mine in northern Nevada. Three holes were drilled at the Penelas East target, and five holes were drilled at the historic Penelas Mine target. Two of the three holes drilled into the Penelas East Vein System intersected +10 g/t (+.292 oz/ton) gold. Highlights included drill hole B-1115 which penetrated a chalcedonic vein at the bottom of the hole.

This vein intercept has a true width of between 7.62-13.72 meters (25-45 feet), depending upon the actual dip of the vein. Within the thick vein intercept, the core of the vein carried a grade of 12.97 grams per tonne (g/t) gold (0.379 oz/ton) across an apparent true width of 4.2 meters (13.7 feet), including 1.4 meters assaying 24.97 g/t (0.729 oz/ton) gold. Gold is not visible. The chalcedonic nature of the vein indicates this intercept is high in the vein system, above the level where higher grades and textures more typical of the interior of an epithermal vein are generally encountered. The intercept in B-1115 has a halo of lower grade material immediately west of and adjacent to the high grade vein intercept between 90-158 meters (295-520 feet) that averaged 0.45 g/t Au (0.013 oz/ton Au). This lower grade halo appears to correlate with a similar low grade zone 60 meters (200 feet) to the north and intersected in drill holes B-

1108 and B-1109 and in historic drill hole BRU-104 that could represent a pitable and leachable deposit by itself.

By analogy with the nearby historic Penelas Mine vein, which produced 100,000 tons grading 0.56 oz/ton gold, high-grade intercepts are expected to lie below these recent drill hole intercepts which are at elevations of 6200-6400 ASL. On May 23, 2012 the Company announced its plan to drill six to eight holes at elevations below 6200 ASL to test the strike extension and the dip extension of the intercept in B-1115 between 30-60 meters (100-200 feet) along strike to the north and to the south and 30-60 meters (100- 200 feet) below the elevation of the intercept in B-1115 to evaluate the potential for continuity along strike and increased potential with depth per the epithermal vein model. The proposed drilling program was also designed to test the low grade zone west of the high-grade vein intercept.

On July 18, 2012 the Company announced what is believed to be the best intercept ever drilled at the Bruner Gold Project. Discovery Drill Hole B-1201 intersected 360 feet grading 0.119 oz/ton (110 meters of 4.08 gpt) Au between 290-650 feet, including 5 feet grading 3.864 oz/ton (1.64 meters of 132.5 gpt) Au between 455-460 feet. In addition, the drill hole intersected 55 feet grading 0.045 opt Au between 180-235 feet located above the thicker and higher-grade 360 foot intercept. The drill intercepts are summarized in the following table:

<b>Hole</b>	<b>From (ft)</b>	<b>To (ft)</b>	<b>Thickness (ft)</b>	<b>Au grade (oz/ton)</b>	<b>Au grade (g/tonne)</b>
B-1201	290	650	360	0.119	4.08
Including:	290	315	25	0.159	5.45
	385	410	25	0.121	4.15
	435	485	50	0.512	17.56
Including:	455	460	5	3.864	132.50

On August 15, 2012 the remaining five holes were announced:

<b>Hole</b>	<b>Interval(ft)</b>	<b>Width(ft)</b>	<b>Au(opt)</b>	<b>Au(gpt)</b>
B-1202	120-140	20	0.037	1.26
	275-290	15	0.033	1.03
	335-390	55	0.015	0.51
	490-570	80	0.017	0.59
B-1203	285-315	30	0.014	0.48
	360-400	40	0.019	0.66
	550-615	65	0.016	0.54
B-1204	320-430	110	0.027	0.94
	465-480	15	0.015	0.53
	585-640	55	0.012	0.40
B-1205	365-375	10	0.016	0.53
	440-455	15	0.010	0.35
	590-605	15	0.015	0.52
B-1206	620-835	215	0.010	0.36
Including	620-660	40	0.032	1.10

Based on the results of Discovery drill Hole B-1201, the Company contracted for the immediate return of both core and RC rigs to Bruner. A single core hole was drilled between August 13 and 21, 2012. The hole intersected two zones of gold mineralization: an upper zone of 52 feet at 188-240 feet grading 0.060 opt (15.85 meters of 2.07 gpt) Au, and a lower zone of 120 feet at 564-684 feet grading 0.066 opt (36.58 meters of 2.27 gpt) Au. The upper interval included a 4-ft. intercept grading 0.537 opt (18.4 gpt) Au and the lower zone included a 4-ft. interval grading 0.983 opt (33.7 gpt) Au.

A reverse circulation drill rig returned to the property in early October 2012 and an additional twelve holes were drilled to try to define the geometry and grade distribution within the discovery area. Canamex completed eleven reverse circulation (“RC”) holes and one core hole at the Penelas East new discovery area during the fourth quarter of 2012. Eleven of the twelve holes intersected significant thicknesses of gold grading +0.34 gpt (+0.01 opt) Au over potentially minable widths along a length of 180 meters of strike, and across widths of up to +100 meters (see following Summary Table).

Significant intercepts of the fall 2012 drilling program include:

<u>Hole ID</u>	<u>From</u> <u>(ft)</u>	<u>To (ft)</u>	<u>Thickness</u> <u>(ft)</u>	<u>Thickness</u> <u>(m)</u>	<u>Au (opt)</u>	<u>Au (gpt)</u>
<b>B-1214</b>	520	715	<b>195</b>	59.45	<b>0.041</b>	1.41
<b>B-1217</b>	60	65	5	1.52	0.796	27.29
	360	485	125	38.11	0.049	1.68
	655	665	<u>10</u>	<u>3.05</u>	<u>0.023</u>	<u>0.79</u>
			<b>140</b>	<b>42.68</b>	<b>0.074</b>	<b>2.53</b>
<b>B-1218</b>	195	220	25	7.62	0.042	1.44
	265	620	<u>355</u>	<u>108.23</u>	<u>0.026</u>	<u>0.89</u>
			<b>380</b>	<b>115.85</b>	<b>0.027</b>	<b>0.93</b>
<b>B-1219</b>	335	350	15	4.57	0.048	1.65
	380	480	100	30.49	0.023	0.79
	545	750	<u>205</u>	<u>62.50</u>	<u>0.024</u>	<u>0.82</u>
			<b>320</b>	<b>97.56</b>	<b>0.025</b>	<b>0.85</b>

All totaled in 2012, twenty holes were drilled into the new Penelas East discovery area, wherein nineteen of the holes hit mineralization with an average overall thickness of 48.2 meters (158 feet) averaging 1.4 gpt (0.041 opt) Au. The gold-bearing zone remains open both to the north of section 540mN and to the south of section 380mN, and these extensions will be the focus of continued drilling currently in progress.

Two historic drill holes immediately north of the area drilled in 2012 intersected 15.2 meters (50 feet) grading 6.14 gpt (0.179 opt) Au in BRU-105 and 29 meters (95 feet) grading 1.95 gpt (0.057 opt) Au in BRU-085, which we now interpret as the upper extension of the Penelas East new discovery area and which we intend to test in 2013 at lower elevations of 6300-6000 feet, which is believed to be the major boiling zone and where the best gold intercepts have been encountered to date.

### **Penelas East New Discovery Area: Interpretation**

Gold and silver mineralization is associated with brecciated and silicified rhyolite porphyry within a large rhyolite porphyry flow-dome complex. Some of the gold-bearing breccias are vertically oriented, some appear to follow flow foliation within the rhyolite, which dips dominantly to the northeast, and some appear to follow faults and structures of various orientations that are associated with northwest-trending normal and strike-slip faults that cross the property. The network of mineralized breccias in general follow a northerly trend defined by an eastern bounding fault which is intruded by late-stage mafic dikes, and parallel to sub-parallel structures that appear to have been preferable hosts for the emplacement of

breccias and gold mineralization. Gold mineralization can be followed from near the surface to depths in excess of 180 meters (~600 feet). All gold-bearing mineralization is oxidized to the penetration depth of the drill holes, which in some holes exceeds +200 meters (~700 vertical feet).

The gold bearing zone appears to be capped by a flow-banded phase of the rhyolite porphyry, which in places is argillized and unmineralized, except along narrow high-angle silicified breccia zones which lead downward into wider breccia zones that are variably mineralized. Outcrops of silicified and brecciated flow-banded rhyolite continue north of the area drilled to date for another several hundred meters, and suggest continuity of mineralization at depth that remains to be tested with additional drilling in 2013. Further to the north widely spaced shallow drilling by Newmont and Miramar intersected gold-bearing stockwork silica and brecciated rhyolite near the bottoms of the shallow holes which suggest the entire mineral system could continue beyond the area of silicified and brecciated outcrops for another several hundred meters. All together this mineral system appears to be over 600 meters long, one hundred meters wide, and intersected over a vertical range of up to 200 meters.

The geologic and assay sections of all drill holes from 2012 drilling program are posted to the website at [www.canamex.us](http://www.canamex.us), along with a 3D model of the mineralization intersected and the target remaining to be tested.

#### Initial Results of the 2013 Drilling Program

Drilling in 2013 on the Penelas East target commenced in mid-March. Results from the first two holes, B-1301 and B-1302 have been received to date. Drill hole B-1301 intersected 300 feet grading 0.090 oz./ton (3.1 gpt) Au between 290-590 feet, including 5 feet grading 3.4 oz./ton (117 gpt) Au and 11.55 oz./ton (396 gpt) Ag between 415-420 feet, in what is believed to be the second best intercept ever drilled on the Bruner property. The intercept in drill hole B-1301 is located approximately 55 meters (180 feet) south of the discovery hole B-1201 reported last year which contained 360 feet grading 0.119 opt Au between 290-650 feet. In addition, the drill hole intersected two thinner and lower grade zones further down the hole at 660-695 feet grading 0.044 oz./ton (1.507 gpt) Au and 750-780 feet grading 0.014 oz./ton (0.474 gpt) Au. Drill holes B-1301 and B-1201 are very similar in character with a high-grade core almost centered on an extensive and robust lower grade halo surrounding the high-grade core. Hole B-1301 is on the southern end of the drilling pattern completed to date at the Penelas East target area and the wide zone of mineralization with a central high-grade core remains open to the south.

Drill hole B-1302 was designed to intersect the mineralized zone 200 feet below that in B-1301 and intersected 55 feet grading 0.046 oz./ton (1.563 gpt) Au from 640-695 feet. At this point we do not know if this intercept is the down-dip equivalent of the thicker high-grade zone encountered in B-1301 or whether the target dips to the east and out of reach of drill hole B-1302.

#### **Aranka North Property, Guyana, South America**

##### *Option and Joint Venture Agreement*

On August 2, 2011, the TSX Venture Exchange (TSX-V) accepted for filing documentation in connection with an option and joint venture agreement dated June 30, 2011, among the Company, Canamex Guyana Inc. (the Company's wholly owned subsidiary), GMV Minerals Inc. and GMV Guyana Resources Inc. (GMV's wholly owned subsidiary), under which Canamex Guyana has an option to acquire a 100 percent interest in the Aranka North Property.

Canamex can acquire the interest in the Aranka North Property by making cash payments to GMV totalling \$520,627 (U.S.) (\$183,348 (US) remains to be paid on or before August 2, 2013) expending \$1-million (U.S.) in exploration work before December 31, 2013 (the exploration expenditure obligation has been met), and issuing a total of 3.75 million shares to GMV in stages as follows: 1.5 million shares upon approval of the transaction by the TSX-V (issued August 3, 2011); 1.25 million shares within 18 months of the approval date (issued February 2013); and one million shares within 36 months of the approval date. In addition, upon exercise of the option, the Company has agreed to pay GMV \$500,000 (U.S.) cash and issue 500,000 shares in the capital stock of the Company to GMV for every 500,000 ounces of gold

contained in measured and indicated resources as referenced in a National Instrument 43-101 qualifying report, up to a maximum of \$2-million (U.S.) and two million shares of Canamex. The agreement is subject to an underlying 2% net smelter return royalty.

#### *Property Description*

Located approximately 140 kilometers northwest of Guyana's capital, Georgetown, the Aranka North Property consists of 98,057 acres (approximately 400 square kilometers) in a region on trend with major gold discoveries by Guyana Goldfields at Aurora and Sulphur Rose/ Aranka and Sandspring Resources at Toroparu. There are recently active alluvial gold mining operations within Canamex's land package.

#### *Property Exploration*

The exploration objective is to discover the sources of bedrock gold which are feeding into the streams and the alluvial gold operations. Management is taking a measured and cost effective approach, starting with high level interpretation and working in stages to the bedrock targets. Drilling is very expensive, so the objective is to eliminate as much risk as possible by defining the targets as clearly as possible before drilling commences.

Canamex purchased airborne geophysical data over the entire Aranka North Property when the property was acquired from GMV Minerals. Initial interpretive work (announced September 13, 2011) on the data identified 15 large, discrete anomalies, all of which have dimensions of two to four kilometers long and one to three kilometers wide, within large shear zones which bear similarities to the shear zones that host some of the major multi-million ounce gold deposits in Guyana (Toroparu, Aurora, Omai) and adjacent Suriname (Rosebel). These identified areas of interest cover 200-225 square kilometers of the 400 square kilometer property, thus reducing the size of the initial area of interest by approximately fifty percent.

Having completed the airborne interpretation, the next step in the exploration process was to design an initial stream sediment sampling program from a total of 85 sample sites to evaluate the gold signatures of the 15 airborne geophysical anomalies. This step was also a high level approach, with a density of roughly one sample per 2.5 square kilometers, covering the entire 200-225 square kilometer areas of interest. On January 17, 2012 the Company reported that four discrete anomalous areas, ranging in size from 10 to 25 square kilometers, were identified by the initial stream sediment sampling program. Gold values ranged up to 647 ppb (0.647 gpt). These results set the stage for a more intense stream sediment sampling program focused on the newly identified 25 square kilometer priority target, based on a sample density of one per 0.3 square kilometers. Results from this program were released on March 22 and April 10, 2012, which included anomalous gold with values ranging up to 12,234 ppb gold (12.234 gpt Au).

After interpretation of the stream sediment sampling program, which identified seven distinct anomalies, the next step was the design of a grid soil sampling program based on 100 meter centers, focused on two key targets: the Camp Anomaly (1.75 square kilometers) and the Ridge Anomaly (14 square kilometers). Eighteen streams drain the Ridge Anomaly, and all of them contain anomalous gold. Importantly, the visible gold from this area is fine-grained and needle-shaped with very sharp edges, suggesting it has not been transported very far.

The initial Camp and Ridge Anomaly grid soil sampling program is complete. At the Camp Anomaly a total of 181 soil samples were collected on 100 meter centers, and were assayed by Acme Analytical Laboratories. The Camp soil anomaly is approximately 1 kilometer long and 200 meters wide was identified at the north end of the grid, trending off the grid to the northeast. This anomaly reflects gold in soil values that exceed the mean plus three standard deviations, and appears to coincide with the sheared contact between metavolcanic rocks and meta-sedimentary rocks. Geologic mapping of the soil sample spoil piles followed in June 2012 to place the anomaly in a geologic context based upon the 100 meter by 100 meter sample density.

The field crew relocated their base camp to the base of the Ridge Anomaly, which is the Company's clear focus in Guyana at this stage, and where the stream sediment anomaly identified at the Ridge Anomaly is 10 times larger and up to 40 times stronger than that which identified the Camp Anomaly. The grid soil

sampling programs on the Camp and Ridge Anomalies should define the bedrock source locations of the gold. A total of 737 soil samples were collected on a 100 meter by 100 meter grid covering roughly 10 square kilometers at the Ridge Anomaly. Samples were not collected where white sand blankets the saprolite soils. There are three areas of anomalous gold within the soil sample grid. The largest is the southern anomaly which has dimensions of 1 km x 2 kms and gold values up to mean plus five standard deviations (+30 ppb Au). The Company acquired two power auger drills that we expect can drill through the white sand that caps a large portion of the Ridge Anomaly and that appears to cover some of the more obvious gold in soil anomalous areas. A power auger drilling program has recently been completed over the heart of the southern gold anomaly on a 100 meter by 50 meter spacing, and we are awaiting results of that deeper soil sampling program before deciding the next course of action on the property. We have reduced the size of the field crew to cover security and care and maintenance of the field camps until we have the results of the power auger drilling program and make a decision on how to move forward on the property.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

---

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded a net loss of \$1,660,708 (2011 - \$962,550) and had a cumulative deficit at December 31, 2012 of \$5,047,094 (2011- \$3,386,386). The Company had no continuing source of operating revenues or related expenditures.

The Company has no present intention of paying dividends on its common shares, as it anticipates that all available funds for the foreseeable planning horizon will be invested to finance its exploration activities.

## SELECTED ANNUAL INFORMATION

---

The Company prepared its consolidated financial statements in accordance with the International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"), for years beginning on or after January 1st, 2011. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"), with comparative figures for year 2011 and 2010. The Company's consolidated financial statements are presented in Canadian dollars, which is also the functional currency of the Company. For more detailed information, refer to the Company's financial statements for the years then ended.

	Years ended December 31		
	2012	2011	2010
	- \$ -	- \$ -	- \$ -
Revenue	-	-	-
Net loss	(1,660,708)	(962,550)	(375,308)
Net loss per share	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.01)
Total assets	8,119,263	3,527,185	630,666

## Year ended December 31, 2012

---

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company had no revenues and had a net loss of \$1,660,708 (2011 - \$962,550). Items of significant variance over the prior year include an increase in professional fees to \$87,467(2011 - \$50,826), and increases in management fees to \$301,500 (2011 - \$149,500), shareholder communications to \$226,811 (2011-\$39,470). The increase in professional fees is mainly due to accrual of audit fees, increase in legal fees, as well as OTCQX application and fees. The increases in management fees and shareholder communication are commensurate with the Company's needs due to the change of business and the Company's acquisition of exploration projects. Share-based payments increased to \$462,845 (2011 - \$206,965) due to granting of stock options during the year.

During the year, the Company expended \$1,154,048 in exploration and evaluation costs on the Bruner Property and \$871,581 on the Aranka North Property. In addition, the Company made a \$177,848 property payment on the Aranka North Property. Total capitalized costs for exploration and evaluation assets were \$3,728,838 at December 31, 2012.

## Year ended December 31, 2011

Comparisons over the prior year have been significantly affected by the Company's change of business, and reinstatement as a tier 2 mining issuer under the trading symbol "CSQ". Expenses in the prior year reflected the Company's efforts to minimize costs while searching for new business to facilitate a return to the TSX-V. In the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company had no revenues and had a net loss of \$962,550 (2010 - \$375,308). Items of significant variance over the prior year include increases in stock-based payment to \$206,965 (2010 - \$87,726), management fees to \$149,500 (2010 - \$6,000), shareholder communication to \$39,470 (2010-\$8,595) and consulting expenses to \$214,601 (2010 - \$57,187). The increases in management fees, professional fees, shareholder communication and consulting are commensurate with the Company's needs due to the change of business and the Company's acquisition of explorations projects in both Nevada and Guyana. Travel expenses of \$113,340 (2010 - \$66,323) increased due to travel requirements supporting operations in multiple jurisdictions. The increase in stock-based payment is a result of extension of certain warrants and options issued to certain directors and consultants of the Company as well as options vesting from prior option grants. Office services increased to \$159,302 (2010 - \$66,767) due to increased need for office services after the change of business and reactivation in October 2010.

During the year, the Company expended \$341,675 (2010-\$259,204) in exploration and evaluation costs on the Bruner Property (resulting in a cumulative total of \$600,879) and \$447,609 (2010- Nil) on the Aranka North Property for a total capitalized cost (including property acquisition costs of \$476,873) of \$1,525,361 at December 31, 2011.

## SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY FINANCIAL RESULTS

The following is a summary of selected financial information compiled from the quarterly interim unaudited financial statements for eight quarters ending December 31, 2012:

	<i>Dec. 31, 2012</i>	<i>Sep. 30, 2012</i>	<i>Jun. 30, 2012</i>	<i>Mar. 31, 2012</i>
Total assets	8,119,263	5,746,560	3,005,957	3,144,318
Working capital	4,144,905	2,355,001	258,368	949,596
Shareholders' equity	7,940,902	5,519,468	2,764,636	3,001,870
Revenue	-	-	-	-
Net loss	(369,535)	(663,855)	(240,758)	(386,560)
Net loss per share	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)

  

	<i>Dec. 31, 2011</i>	<i>Sep. 30, 2011</i>	<i>Jun. 30, 2011</i>	<i>Mar 31 2011</i>
Total assets	3,527,185	1,508,018	1,394,083	1,196,373
Working capital	1,685,814	109,947	820,724	657,904
Shareholders' equity	3,275,151	1,308,062	1,238,657	1,019,189
Revenue	-	-	-	-
Net loss	(288,554)	(290,359)	(189,591)	(194,046)
Net loss per share	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.00)

## Three months ended December 31, 2012

In the three months ended December 31, 2012, the Company had no revenues and had a net loss of \$369,535 (2011 - \$288,554). Items of significant variance over the prior period include increases in management fees to \$102,000 (2011 - \$51,000), and shareholder communication to \$73,296 (2011-\$22,994). The increases in management and shareholder communication are commensurate with the Company's needs due to the change of business and the Company's acquisition of exploration projects.

During the period, the Company expended \$406,800 in exploration and evaluation costs on the Bruner Property and \$228,030 on the Aranka North Property. In addition, the Company made a \$177,848 property payment on the Aranka North Property. Total capitalized costs for exploration and evaluation assets were \$3,728,838 at December 31, 2012.



## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

---

The Company has financed its operations over the last two years through the issuance of units consisting of common shares and warrants. The Company will continue to seek capital through various means which may include the exercise of outstanding warrants and options and the issuance of equity and/or debt.

Net cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$1,379,387 which was used for expenses, increasing accounts receivable, and making payments to creditors. Net cash used in investing activities for expenditures on the Bruner and Aranka North properties was \$2,190,597. Financing activities for the period were \$5,863,614 for a total increase in cash for the year of \$2,277,567. Working capital at December 31, 2012 was \$4,144,905 compared to \$1,685,814 at December 31, 2011.

On November 21, 2012 the Company closed an equity financing pursuant to which Hecla Canada Ltd. ("Hecla"), a wholly-owned Canadian subsidiary of Hecla Mining Company, acquired 14,000,000 common shares of the Company at a price of \$0.18 per share for total proceeds of \$2,520,000 on a private placement basis (the "Financing").

In connection with the above Financing of \$2,520,000, the Company is obligated to incurring exploration costs of no less than 75% of the gross proceeds on the Bruner Property. As of December 31, 2012, the Company has expended \$199,639 of that amount on the Property.

On closing of the Financing, Hecla holds 14.8% of the Company's issued and outstanding common shares. All of the securities issued under the Financing are subject to a statutory hold period which will expire on March 22, 2013 in accordance with applicable Canadian securities laws. However, Hecla has agreed that it will be restricted from selling any of its Company shares for a period of 12 months following closing of the Financing, except as may otherwise be approved by Company or in relation to any takeover bid made by a third party not acting in concert with Hecla.

Management intends to finance operating costs over the next twelve months with cash on hand and through private placements of common shares and/or the exercise of warrants and options. The Company has been successful in the past in raising funds for operations, but there is no assurance that it will be able to continue to do so.

### **Stock options, Warrants & Agent's options**

On January 6, 2012, the Company granted 1,625,000 stock options to officers, directors and consultants. Of these options, 1,362,500 vested immediately and 262,500 vest one year from the date of grant. The options have an exercise price of \$0.105 per share and expire on January 5, 2017. The estimated grant date fair value of these options was \$111,125 of which \$95,375 was expensed immediately and \$15,750 will be expensed over the term to vesting of the underlying options.

On September 25, 2012, the Company granted 3,025,000 stock options to officers, directors and consultants. Of these options, 2,362,500 vested immediately and 662,500 vest one year from the date of grant. The options have an exercise price of \$0.27 per share and expire on September 24, 2017. The estimate grant date fair value of these options was \$410,250 of which \$330,750 was expensed immediately and \$79,500 will be expensed over the term to vesting of the underlying options.

The weighted average grant date fair values of the options granted during the year were estimated based on the following weighted average assumptions: share price at grant date of \$0.16; exercise price of \$0.21; expected life of 3.60 years; expected volatility of 111.9%; risk free interest rate of 1.21% and expected dividend yield rate of 0%.

The Company expenses the grant date fair value of all stock options granted to employees, officers and directors over their respective vesting periods. Options granted to outside consultants and advisors are expensed over the respective vesting periods using the estimated fair value at the time of vesting.

A total of 10,866,000 warrants entitling the holder to acquire one common share until August 10, 2014 at a price of \$0.30 per share were issued on August 10, 2012 as part of the private placement which raised a total of \$2,933,820. A further 457,520 finders' warrants with an exercise price of \$0.40 to August 10, 2013 and \$0.50 to August 10, 2014 were also issued.

## RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

---

### **Related party balances**

The following amounts due to related parties are included in trade payables and accrued liabilities:

	<b>December 31, 2012</b>	<b>December 31, 2011</b>
Directors & companies controlled by directors of the Company	\$ 45,207	\$ 25,500
Officers & companies controlled by officers of the Company	-	11,393
	<b>\$ 45,207</b>	<b>\$ 36,893</b>

These amounts are unsecured, non-interest bearing and have no fixed terms of repayment.

### **Related party transactions**

The Company incurred the following transactions with directors/officers of the Company and companies that are controlled by directors/officers of the Company. The Company has identified these directors/officers as its key management personnel.

	<b>Year ended December 31</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Management fees for the CEO, COO and CFO	\$ 277,500	\$ 125,000
Fees for outside/independent directors	24,000	\$ 24,500
Share-based payment	315,000	81,000
	<b>\$ 616,500</b>	<b>\$ 230,500</b>

The outside directors are Mark Billings, W. Pierce Carson, Herb Duerr\* and Mike Stark.

\*Resigned March 15, 2013

### **Consulting Agreements**

On October 30, 2012, the Company entered into consulting agreements (the "Agreement" or the "Agreements") with Harrison Kramer Corporation (for the services of Robert Kramer) and Greg Hahn Consulting LLC (for the services of Greg Hahn) (collectively the "Consultant" or the "Consultants"). The Agreements each have the following material terms: effective date October 1, 2012; fees for services \$15,000 per month; performance milestone payments ("PMP") representing one-half of one percent of the proceeds of disposition of the Company or its assets in excess of \$25 million; termination by the Company at any time upon payment of 24 months' fees plus any PMP which would have been payable had the Consultant not been terminated for a period of 12 months after the date of such termination (the "Termination Fee"); termination by the Consultant at any time by providing three months' notice; if either Kramer or Hahn die during the term of the Agreement, the Company will pay the surviving spouse or the estate of the deceased, as the case may be, an amount equal to the Termination Fee; and in the event of a change of control, the Company will pay the Termination Fee plus 12 months' fees.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

---

At April 23, 2013:

### **Legal proceedings:**

Management is not aware of any legal proceedings involving the Company.

### **Contingent liabilities:**

Management is not aware of any outstanding contingent liabilities relating to the Company's activities.

### **Outstanding Share Data:**

The Company has 97,056,861 common shares outstanding.

## **CAPITAL DISCLOSURE**

---

The Company manages its capital structure and makes adjustments to it based on the funds available to the Company, in order to support its exploration activities. The Board of Directors does not establish quantitative return on capital criteria for management, but rather relies on the expertise of the Company's management to acquire and sustain exploration projects. Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the relative size of the Company, is reasonable. There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

## **MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

---

The Company's financial statements and the other financial information included in this management report are the responsibility of the Company's management, and have been examined and approved by the Board of Directors. The accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements are prepared by management in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") and include certain amounts based on management's best estimates using careful judgment. The selection of accounting principles and methods is management's responsibility.

Management recognizes its responsibility for conducting the Company's affairs in a manner to comply with the requirements of applicable laws and established financial standards and principles, and for maintaining proper standards of conduct in its activities.

The Board of Directors supervises the financial statements and other financial information through its audit committee, which is comprised of a majority of non-management directors.

This committee's role is to examine the financial statements and recommend that the Board of Directors approve them, to examine the internal control and information protection systems and all other matters relating to the Company's accounting and finances. In order to do so, the audit committee meets annually with the external auditors, with or without the Company's management, to review their respective audit plans and discuss the results of their examination. This committee is responsible for recommending the appointment of the external auditors or the renewal of their engagement.

## **ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

---

### **New accounting standards issued but not yet effective**

Certain new standards, interpretations and amendments to existing standards have been issued by the IASB or the IFRIC that are mandatory for accounting periods beginning after January 1, 2011, or later periods. Some updates that are not applicable or are not consequential to the Company may have been excluded from the list below.

## **New accounting standards effective January 1, 2013**

**IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements** - IFRS 10 requires an entity to consolidate an investee when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. Under existing IFRS, consolidation is required when an entity has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. IFRS 10 replaces SIC-12 *Consolidation - Special Purpose Entities* and parts of IAS 27 *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements*.

**IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements** - IFRS 11 requires a venturer to classify its interest in a joint arrangement as a joint venture or joint operation. Joint ventures will be accounted for using the equity method of accounting whereas for a joint operation the venturer will recognize its share of the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation. Under existing IFRS, entities have the choice to proportionately consolidate or equity account for interests in joint ventures. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 31 *Interests in Joint Ventures* and SIC-13 *Jointly Controlled Entities - Non-monetary Contributions by Venturers*.

**IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities** - IFRS 12 establishes disclosure requirements for interests in other entities, such as joint arrangements, associates, special purpose vehicles and off balance sheet vehicles. The standard carries forward existing disclosures and also introduces significant additional disclosure requirements that address the nature of, and risks associated with, an entity's interests in other entities.

**IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement** - IFRS 13 is a comprehensive standard for fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for use across all IFRS standards. The new standard clarifies that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants, at the measurement date. It also establishes disclosures about fair value measurement. Under existing IFRS, guidance on measuring and disclosing fair value is dispersed among the specific standards requiring fair value measurements and in many cases does not reflect a clear measurement basis or consistent disclosures.

**Amendments to other standards** - In addition, there have been other amendments to existing standards, including IAS 27 *Separate Financial Statements* and IAS 28 *Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures*. IAS 27 addresses accounting for subsidiaries, jointly controlled entities and associates in non-consolidated financial statements. IAS 28 has been amended to include joint ventures in its scope and to address the changes in IFRS 10 to IFRS 13.

**Amendments to IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements** - The IASB has amended IAS 1 to require entities to separate items presented in other comprehensive income ("OCI") into two groups, based on whether or not items may be reclassified into profit or loss in the future. Entities that choose to present OCI items before tax will be required to show the amount of tax related to the two groups separately.

**IFRIC 20 Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine** - IFRIC 20 addresses the accounting for overburden waste removal (stripping) costs in the production phase of a surface mine. Stripping activity may result in two types of benefits: i) inventory produced and ii) improved access to ore that will be mined in the future. Stripping costs associated with inventory production should be accounted for as a current production cost in accordance with IAS 2 Inventories, and those associated with improved access to ore should be accounted for as an addition to, or enhancement of, an existing asset.

Each of the new standards, IFRS 10 to 13, IFRIC 20 and the amendments to other standards, is effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2013 with early adoption permitted. The Company has not yet begun the process of assessing the impact that the new standards will have on its consolidated financial statements or whether to early adopt any of the new requirements.

## **New accounting standards effective January 1, 2015**

**IFRS 9 Financial Instruments** - IFRS 9 was issued in November 2009 and contained requirements for financial assets. This standard addresses classification and measurement of financial assets and replaces the multiple category and measurement models in IAS 39 for debt instruments with a new mixed measurement model having only two categories: Amortized cost and fair value through profit or loss. IFRS 9 also replaces the models for measuring equity instruments and such instruments are either recognized at the fair value through profit or loss or at fair value through other comprehensive income.

Where such equity instruments are measured at fair value through other comprehensive income, dividends are recognized in profit or loss to the extent not clearly representing a return of investment; however, others gains and losses (including impairments) associated with such instruments remain in accumulated other comprehensive income indefinitely.

Requirements for financial liabilities were added in October 2010 and they largely carried forward existing requirements in IAS 39, *Financial Instruments – Recognition and Measurement*, except that fair value changes due to credit risk for liabilities designated at fair value through profit and loss would generally be recorded in other comprehensive income.

IFRS 9 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 2015 with early adoption permitted. The Company has not yet begun the process of assessing the impact that the new and amended standards will have on its consolidated financial statements or whether to early adopt any of the new requirements.

## **RISKS**

---

### **RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS:**

#### ***Exploration Stage Mining Company with No History of Operation***

The Company is in its exploration stage, has very limited operating history, and is subject to all the risks inherent in a new business enterprise. For example, to date we have had no revenues and have relied upon equity financing to fund our operations. The likelihood of success of the Company must be considered in light of the problems, expenses, difficulties, complication, and delays frequently encountered in connection with a new business, and the competitive and regulatory environment in which the Company will operate, such as under-capitalization, personnel limitations, and limited revenue sources.

#### ***Due to Our History of Operating Losses, We are Uncertain That We Will Be Able to Maintain Sufficient Cash to Accomplish Our Business Objectives***

The Company incurred a loss of \$1,660,708 for the year ended December 31, 2012 and had an accumulated deficit of \$5,047,094 at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2012 there was shareholders' equity of \$7,940,902 and working capital of \$4,144,905. There is no assurance that we can generate net income, generate revenues or successfully explore and exploit our properties.

Significant amounts of capital will be required to continue to explore and then develop our exploration projects. The Company is not engaged in any revenue producing activities and does not expect to do so in the near future. Currently the Company's sources of funding consist of the sale of additional equity securities, borrowing funds, or selling a portion of our interests in our assets. There is no assurance that any additional capital that the Company will require will be obtainable on terms acceptable to us, if at all. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delays or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of our projects. Additionally financing, if available, will likely result in substantial dilution to existing stockholders.

#### ***Capital Requirements and Liquidity; Need for Subsequent Funding***

Company management and our board of directors monitor our overall costs and expenses and, if necessary, adjust Company programs and planned expenditures in an attempt to ensure we have sufficient operating capital. We continue to evaluate our costs and planned expenditures for our on-going exploration projects. Although the Company raised in excess of \$5,800,000 during its 2012 fiscal year, including closed financings for gross proceeds of \$2,933,820 on August 10, 2012 and \$2,520,000 on November 21, 2012, the continued exploration and development of its projects will require significant amounts of additional capital. As a result, the Company may need to explore raising additional capital during fiscal 2013 so that it can continue to fully fund its planned operations. The weak US and global economies and the volatile price of gold combined with instability in global financial and capital markets have impacted the availability of funding. If the disruptions in the global financial and capital markets continue, debt or equity financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. Equity

financing, if available, may result in substantial dilution to existing stockholders. If we are unable to fund future operations by way of financing, including public or private offerings of equity or debt securities, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely impacted.

***Disruptions in the Global Financial and Capital Markets May Impact Our Ability to Obtain Financing.***

The global financial and capital markets have experienced on-going volatility and disruption. Although we expect to meet our near term liquidity needs with our working capital on hand, we will continue to need further funding to achieve our business objectives. In the past, the issuance of equity securities has been the major source of capital and liquidity for us. The extraordinary conditions in the global financial and capital markets have currently limited the availability of this funding. If the disruptions in the global financial and capital markets continue, debt or equity financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. If we are unable to fund future operations by way of financing, including public or private offerings of equity or debt securities, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely impacted.

***Our Exploration Activities Require Significant Amounts of Capital that May Not Be Recovered.***

Mineral exploration activities are subject to many risks, including the risk that no commercially productive or extractable resources will be encountered. There can be no assurance that our activities will ultimately lead to an economically feasible project or that we will recover all or any portion of our investment. Mineral exploration often involves unprofitable efforts, including drilling operations that ultimately do not further our exploration efforts, as well as operating and other costs. The cost of minerals exploration is often uncertain and cost overruns are common. Our drilling and exploration operations may be curtailed, delayed or canceled as a result of numerous factors, many of which are beyond our control, including title problems, weather conditions, compliance with governmental requirements and shortages or delays in the delivery of equipment and services.

***Risks Inherent in the Mining Industry***

The Company is subject to all of the risks inherent in the minerals exploration and mining industry and including, without limitation, the following: competition from a large number of companies, many of which are significantly larger than the Company, in the acquisition, exploration, and development of mining properties; the Company might not be able raise enough money to pay the fees, taxes and perform labor necessary to maintain its concessions in good force; exploration for minerals is highly speculative and involves substantial risks, even when conducted on properties known to contain significant quantities of mineralization; our exploration projects may not result in the discovery of commercially mineable deposits of ore; the probability of an individual prospect ever having reserves that meet regulatory requirements is extremely remote, or the properties may not contain any reserves, and any funds spent on exploration may be lost; our operations are subject to a variety of existing laws and regulations relating to exploration and development, permitting procedures, safety precautions, property reclamation, employee health and safety, air quality standards, pollution and other environmental protection controls and the Company may not be able to comply with these regulations and controls; and a large number of factors beyond the control of the Company, including fluctuations in metal prices, inflation, and other economic conditions, will affect the economic feasibility of mining.

**THE BUSINESS OF MINERAL EXPLORATION IS SUBJECT TO MANY RISKS:**

***Fluctuating Price for Metals***

The Company's operations will be greatly influenced by the prices of commodities, including gold, silver, and other metals. These prices fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control, including interest rates, expectations for inflation, speculation, currency values, in particular the strength of the United States dollar, global and regional demand, political and economic conditions and production costs in major metal producing regions of the world.

### ***Title to Our Mineral Properties May be Challenged***

We attempt to confirm the validity of its rights to title to, or contract rights with respect to, each mineral property in which we have a material interest. However, we cannot guarantee that title to our properties will not be challenged. Title insurance generally is not available, and our ability to ensure that we have obtained secure claim to individual mineral properties or mining concessions may be severely constrained. Our mineral properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements, transfers or claims, and title may be affected by, among other things, undetected defects and the actions or inactions of underlying property owners or holders. In addition, we may be unable to operate our properties as permitted or to enforce our rights with respect to our properties.

### ***Risks Inherent With Foreign Operations***

A substantial portion of the Company's operations are currently conducted in Guyana, South America, and as such the operations of the Company are exposed to various levels of political, economic and other risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, terrorism, hostage taking, military repression, expropriation, extreme fluctuations in currency exchange rates, high rates of inflation, labor unrest, the risks of war or civil unrest, expropriation and nationalization, renegotiation or nullification of existing concessions, licenses, permits, approvals and contracts, illegal mining, changes in taxation policies, restrictions on foreign exchange and repatriation, and changing political conditions, currency controls and governmental regulations that favor or require the rewarding of contracts to local contractors or require foreign contractors to employ citizens of, or purchase supplies from, a particular jurisdiction.

Changes, if any, in mining or investment policies or shifts in political attitude in Guyana may adversely affect the operations or potential profitability of the Company. Operations may be affected in varying degrees by government regulations with respect to, but not limited to, restrictions on production, price controls, export controls, currency remittance, income taxes, expropriation of property, foreign investment, maintenance of claims, environmental legislation, land use, land claims of local people, water use and mine safety. Failure to comply strictly with applicable laws, regulations and local practices relating to mineral right applications and tenure, could result in loss, reduction or expropriation of entitlements, or the imposition of additional local or foreign parties as joint venture partners with carried or other interests. The occurrence of these various factors and uncertainties cannot be accurately predicted and could have an adverse effect on the operations of the Company.

### ***Environmental Controls***

Compliance with statutory environmental quality requirements may necessitate significant capital outlays, may materially affect the earning power of the Company, or may cause material changes in the Company's intended activities. Our exploration operations require compliance with local and federal regulations. No assurance can be given that environmental standards imposed by either federal or state governments will not be changed or become more stringent, thereby possibly materially adversely affecting the proposed activities of the Company. In addition, if we are unable to fund fully the cost of remediation of any environmental condition, we may be required to suspend operations or enter into interim compliance measures pending completion of the required remediation.

### ***Shortages of Supplies and Materials***

The mineral industry has experienced from time to time shortages of certain supplies and materials necessary in the exploration for and evaluation of mineral deposits. The prices at which such supplies and materials are available have also greatly increased. Our planned operations would likely be subject to delays due to such shortages and that further price escalations will increase the Company's costs of such supplies and materials. Experience of the Company and of others in the industry is that suppliers are currently often unable to meet contractual obligations for supplies, equipment, materials, and services, and that alternate sources of supply do not exist.

### ***Availability of Outside Engineers and Consultants***

The Company is heavily dependent upon outside engineers and other professionals to complete work on its exploration projects. The mining industry has experienced significant growth over the last several

years and as a result, many engineering and consulting firms have experienced a shortage of qualified engineering personnel. The Company closely monitors its outside consultants through regular meetings and review of resource allocations and project milestones. However, the lack of qualified personnel combined with increased mining projects could result in delays in completing work on our exploration projects or result in higher costs to keep personnel focused on our project.

### ***Operational Hazards; Uninsured Risks***

The Company is subject to risks and hazards, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, the encountering of unusual or unexpected geological formations, cave-ins, flooding, earthquakes and periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions. These occurrences could result in damage to, or destruction of, mineral properties or facilities, personal injury or death, environmental damage, reduced productivity and delays in exploration, asset write-downs, monetary losses and possible legal liability. The Company may not be insured against all losses or liabilities, which may arise from operations, either because such insurance is unavailable or because the Company has elected not to purchase such insurance due to high premium costs or other reasons. The realization of any significant liabilities in connection with our exploration activities as described above could negatively affect our results of operations and the price of our common stock.

### ***Need for Additional Key Personnel; Reliance on Officers and Directors***

The Company relies in large part on the personal efforts of its officers and directors. The success of the Company's proposed business will depend, in part, upon the ability to attract and retain qualified employees. The Company believes that it will be able to attract competent employees, but no assurance can be given that the Company will be successful in this regard. If the Company is unable to engage and retain the necessary personnel, its business would be materially and adversely affected.

## **RISKS RELATING TO OUR COMMON STOCK:**

### ***Our Stock Price Can Be Extremely Volatile***

The trading price of our common stock has been and could continue to be subject to wide fluctuations in response to announcements of our business developments and drill results, progress reports, the metals markets in general, and other events or factors. In addition, stock markets have experienced extreme price volatility in recent years. This volatility has had a substantial effect on the market prices of companies, at times for reasons unrelated to their operating performance. Such broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the price of our common stock.

## **DIRECTORS**

---

Certain directors of the Company are also directors, officers and/or shareholders of other companies. Such associations may give rise to conflicts of interest from time to time. The directors of the Company are required to act in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company and to disclose any interest which they may have in any project opportunity of the Company. If a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the board of directors, any directors in a conflict will disclose their interests and abstain from voting in such matters. In determining whether or not the Company will participate in any project or opportunity, the directors will primarily consider the degree of risk to which the Company may be exposed and its financial position at the time.