KOKOMO ENTERPRISES INC.

(An Exploration Stage Company)

Condensed Interim Financial Statements Six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Expressed in Canadian Dollars) (Unaudited)

<u>Index</u>	<u>Page</u>
Notice of No Auditor Review	1
Financial Statements	
Condensed Interim Balance Sheets	2
Condensed Interim Statements of Comprehensive Loss	3
Condensed Interim Statements of Shareholders' Equity	4
Condensed Interim Statements of Cash Flow	5
Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements	6 – 20

Notice of No Auditor Review of Condensed Interim Financial Statements

In accordance with National Instrument 51-102 released by the Canadian Securities Administrators, the Company discloses that its auditors have not reviewed these unaudited condensed interim financial statements as at June 30, 2012 and for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

KOKOMO ENTERPRISES INC. (An Exploration Stage Company) Condensed Interim Balance Sheets (Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

		June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)		ember 31, 2011 (Audited)
Assets				
Current				
Cash	\$	677	\$	924
HST receivable		5,217		848
Prepaid expenses		0		1,367
Total Current Assets		5,894		3,139
Mineral Property Interests (note 5)		1		1
Total Assets	\$	5,895	\$	3,140
Current Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	102,838	\$	125,067
Due to related parties (note 7)		174,516		66,922
Total Current Liabilities		277,354		191,989
Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)				
Capital Stock (note 6)		24,117,881		24,117,881
Reserves		10,834		10,834
Deficit		(24,400,174)		(24,317,564)
Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)		(271,459)		(188,849)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)	\$	5,895	\$	3,140

On behalf of the Board:

KOKOMO ENTERPRISES INC. (An Exploration Stage Company) Condensed Interim Statements of Comprehensive Loss (Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

	Three Months Ended June 30				Six Mor Ju		
	2012		2011		2012		2011
Expenses							
Management fees (note 7(a))	\$ 7,500	\$	30,000	\$	32,500	\$	60,000
Legal, accounting and audit	2,218		16,600		2,218		16,600
Salary and benefits	10,113		9,448		23,633		22,364
Office and miscellaneous (note 7(b))	11,170		16,021		17,037		20,521
Regulatory and transfer agent fees	1,495		2,066		2,822		3,457
Rent (note 7(b))	1,300		900		2,200		1,800
Telephone, travel, meals and							
entertainment	348		480		643		1,601
Finance, interest and foreign exchange	706		341		1,010		678
Shareholder communication	547		584		547		584
	35,397		76,440		82,610		127,605
Loss Before Other Items	(35,397)		(76,440)		(82,610)		(127,605)
Net Loss and							
Comprehensive Loss for the Period	(35,397)		(76,440)		(82,610)		(127,605)
Basic and Diluted Loss Per							
Common Share	\$ (0.00)	\$	(0.00)	\$	(0.00)	\$	(0.01)
Weighted Average Number of Common Shares Outstanding	16,575,278		15,391,526		16,575,278		15,391,526

KOKOMO ENTERPRISES INC.

(An Exploration Stage Company)
Condensed Interim Statements of Stockholders' Equity
(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

	Capital	Stock	_	_	Reserves			_	Total
	Common			5					tockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount		Deficit	Warrants		Options	(Deficiency)
Balance, January 1, 2011	13,963,278 \$	23,866,681	\$	(24,066,398)	31,884	\$	201,197	\$	33,364
Net loss for the six months	0	0		(127,605)	0		0		(127,605)
Private placement, net of issuance costs	1,100,000	100,000		0	10,000		0		110,000
Warrants exercised	1,212,000	121,200		0	0		0		121,200
Expiry of options	0	0		201,197	0		(201,197)		0
Balance, June 30, 2011	16,275,278 \$	24,087,881	\$	(23,992,806)	41,884	\$	0	\$	136,959
Net loss for the six months	0	0		(355,808)	0		0		(355,808)
Warrants exercised	300,000	30,000		0	0		0		30,000
Expiry of warrants	0			31,050	(31,050)		0		0
Balance, December 31, 2011	16,575,278	24,117,881		(24,317,564)	10,834		0		(188,849)
Net loss for the six months	0	0		(82,610)	0		0		(82,610)
Balance, June 30, 2012	16,575,278 \$	24,117,881	\$	(24,400,174)	10,834	\$	0	\$	(271,459)

KOKOMO ENTERPRISES INC. (An Exploration Stage Company) Condensed Interim Statements of Cash Flows (Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

		Six Months Ended June 30				
	-	2012		2011		
Operating Activities						
Net Loss	\$	(82,610)	\$	(127,605)		
Change in non-cash working capital						
(note 8)		82,363		19,495		
Cash Provided by (Used in)						
Operating Activities		(247)		(108,110)		
Investing Activities						
Expenditures on mineral property						
interest		0		(32,843)		
Cash Provided by (Used in)						
Investing Activities		0		(32,843)		
Financing Activities						
Issuance of common shares and						
warrants, net of share issue costs		0		110,000		
Exercise of share purchase warrants		0		121,200		
Cash Provided by (Used in)						
Financing Activities		0		231,200		
Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash						
Equivalents		(247)		90,247		
Cash and Cash Equivalents,						
Beginning of Period	\$	924	\$	5,073		
Cash and Cash Equivalents,						
End of Period	\$	677	\$	95,320		

1. NATURE OF BUSINESS

The Company was incorporated on August 24, 1984 in British Columbia, Canada. The principal business of the Company is the acquisition, exploration and, if warranted, the development of natural resource properties.

The common shares of the Company trade on the Canadian National Stock Exchange ("CNSX") under the symbol "KKO", and in the USA, the Company's common shares trade on the OTCQB under the trading symbol "KKOEF". The Cusip number of the Company's common shares is 500323100. The Company's head office is located at 1000 – 1177 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6E 2K3. The Company's registrar and transfer agent is Computershare Investor Services Inc. located at 510 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6C 3B9.

2. GOING CONCERN

These financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles applicable to a "going concern", which assumes that the Company will continue in operation for the foreseeable future and will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of operations.

Several adverse conditions cast substantial doubt on the validity of this assumption. The Company has incurred \$82,610 in operating losses during the past six months (June 30, 2011: \$127,605) and has incurred operating losses over the past two fiscal years (2011- \$483,413; 2010 - \$451,400) and has a deficit of \$24,400,174 as at June 30, 2012 (December 31, 2011: \$24,317,564; December 31, 2010 - \$24,066,398), a working capital deficiency of \$271,460 (December 31, 2011: \$188,850; December 31, 2010: \$117,976), has limited resources, no sources of operating cash flow and no assurances that sufficient funding will be available to continue operations for an extended period of time. The Company is in the exploration stage and, accordingly, has not yet commenced revenue-producing operations.

The application of the going concern concept is dependent upon the Company's ability to satisfy its liabilities as they become due and to obtain the necessary financing to complete the exploration and development of its mineral property interests, the attainment of profitable mining operations or the receipt of proceeds from the disposition of its mineral property interests. Management is actively engaged in the review and due diligence on opportunities of merit in the mining sector and is seeking to raise the necessary capital to meet its funding requirements. There can be no assurance that management's plan will be successful.

If the going concern assumption were not appropriate for these financial statements then adjustments would be necessary in the carrying value of assets and liabilities, the reported expenses and the balance sheet classifications used. Such adjustments could be material.

3. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

(a) Statement of compliance

These statements are prepared in accordance with the International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

These condensed interim consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standard 34 Interim Financial Reporting. They do not include all of the information required for full annual financial statements.

3. BASIS OF PRESENTATION (Continued)

(a) Statement of compliance (continued)

These financial statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis, except for financial instruments classified as available-for-sale ("AFS") and fair value through profit or loss ("FVTPL"), which are measured at fair value. In addition, these financial statements have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting, except for cash flow information.

The significant accounting policies set out in note 4 have been applied consistently to all periods presented. The Company's functional and reporting currency is the Canadian dollar.

(b) Approval of the financial statements

The condensed interim financial statements of Kokomo Enterprises Inc. for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were approved and authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on August 8, 2012.

(c) New accounting pronouncements

All of the new and revised standards described below may be early-adopted.

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments (2009)

IFRS 9 introduces new requirements for classifying and measuring financial assets, as follows:

- Debt instruments meeting both a "business model" test and a "cash flow characteristics" test are measured at amortized cost (the use of fair value is optional in some limited circumstances)
- Investments in equity instruments can be designated as "fair value through other comprehensive income" with only dividends being recognized in profit or loss
- All other instruments (including all derivatives) are measured at fair value with changes recognized in the profit or loss.

The concept of "embedded derivatives" does not apply to financial assets within the scope of the standard and the entire instrument must be classified and measured in accordance with the above guidelines.

This standard is only applicable if it is optionally adopted for annual periods beginning before January 1, 2015. For annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015, the Company must adopt IFRS 9 (2010).

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments (2010)

This is a revised version incorporating revised requirements for the classification and measurement of financial liabilities, and carrying over the existing de-recognition requirements from IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*.

KOKOMO ENTERPRISES INC.

(An Exploration Stage Company)
Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements
Six months ended June 30, 2012
(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

3. BASIS OF PRESENTATION (Continued)

(c) New accounting pronouncements (continued)

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments (2010) (continued)

The revised financial liability provisions maintain the existing amortized cost measurement basis for most liabilities. New requirements apply where an entity chooses to measure a liability at FVTPL; in these cases, the portion of the change in fair value related to changes in the entity's own credit risk is presented in other comprehensive income rather than within profit or loss.

This standard applies to annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015 and supersedes IFRS 9 (2009). However, for annual reporting periods beginning before January 1, 2015, an entity may early-adopt IFRS 9 (2009) instead of applying this standard.

IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement

This IFRS standard defines fair value, provides guidance on how to determine fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. However, IFRS 13 does not change the requirements regarding which items should be measured or disclosed at fair value.

IFRS 13 applies when another IFRS requires or permits fair value measurements or disclosures about fair value measurements (and measurements, such as fair value less costs to sell, based on fair value or disclosures about those measurements). With some exceptions, the standard requires entities to classify these measurements into a "fair value hierarchy" based on the nature of the inputs:

- Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity can access at the measurement date
- Level 2 inputs other than quoted market prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly
- Level 3 unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

Entities are required to make various disclosures depending upon the nature of the fair value measurement (e.g., whether it is recognized in the financial statements or merely disclosed) and the level in which it is classified.

This standard is applicable to annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

Amendments to IFRS 7 Financial Instruments: Disclosures

This standard makes amendments resulting from the IASB's comprehensive review of off balance sheet activities.

The amendments introduce additional disclosures, designed to allow users of financial statements to improve their understanding of transfer transactions of financial assets (for example, securitizations), including understanding the possible effects of any risks that may remain with the entity that transferred the assets. The amendments also require additional disclosures if a disproportionate amount of transfer transactions are undertaken around the end of a reporting period.

This standard applies to annual periods beginning on or after July 1, 2011.

3. BASIS OF PRESENTATION (Continued)

(d) Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant areas requiring the use of estimates and assumptions relate to the review of carrying values and determination of impairment of non-current assets, valuation of share-based payments, recoverability of deferred tax assets, provision for reclamation costs among others. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Key judgments and estimates made by management with respect to those areas noted previously have been disclosed in the notes to the financial statements as appropriate.

4. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Financial instruments

i) Financial assets

The Company classifies its financial assets in the following categories: financial assets at FVTPL, loans and receivables, held-to-maturity and AFS. The classification depends on the purpose for which the financial assets were acquired. Management determines the classification of financial assets at recognition.

Fair value through profit or loss

Financial assets are classified as FVTPL when the financial asset is held-for-trading or it is designated as FVTPL. A financial asset is classified as FVTPL when it has been acquired principally for the purpose of selling in the near future; it is a part of an identified portfolio of financial instruments that the company manages and has an actual pattern of short-term profit-taking or if it is a derivative that is not designated and effective as a hedging instrument. Upon initial recognition, attributable transaction costs are recognized in profit or loss when incurred. Financial instruments at FVTPL are measured at fair value, and changes therein are recognized in profit or loss.

Loans and receivables

Trade receivables, loans and other receivables that have fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market are classified as loans and receivables. Loans and receivables are initially recognized at the transaction value and subsequently carried at amortized cost less impairment losses. The impairment loss on receivables is based on a review of all outstanding amounts at period-end. Bad debts are written off during the year in which they are identified. Interest income is recognized by applying the effective interest rate method.

Held-to-maturity

Held-to-maturity financial assets are recognized on a trade-date basis and are initially measured at fair value using the effective interest rate method.

4. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

- (a) Financial instruments (continued)
 - i) Financial assets (continued)

Available-for-sale

AFS financial assets are non-derivatives that are either designated as AFS or not classified in any of the other financial assets categories. Changes in the fair value of AFS financial assets other than impairment losses are recognized as other comprehensive income and classified as a component of equity.

ii) Financial liabilities

The Company classifies its financial liabilities as FVTPL or other financial liabilities.

Fair value through profit or loss

Financial liabilities classified as FVTPL include financial liabilities held-for-trading and financial liabilities designated upon initial recognition as FVTPL. Fair value changes on financial liabilities classified as FVTPL are recognized in profit or loss

Other financial liabilities

Other financial liabilities are non-derivatives and are recognized initially at fair value, net of transaction costs incurred and are subsequently stated at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method. Any difference between the amounts originally received, net of transaction costs, and the redemption value is recognized in profit and loss over the period to maturity using the effective interest method. Other financial liabilities are classified as current or non-current based on their maturity date.

Derivative financial liabilities

Derivatives, including separated embedded derivatives are classified as held-fortrading and recognized at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in profit or loss unless they are designated as effective hedging instruments.

iii) Effective interest method

The effective interest method calculates the amortized cost of a financial asset and allocates interest income over the corresponding period. The effective interest rate is the rate that discounts estimated future cash receipts over the expected life of the financial asset or, where appropriate, a shorter period, to the net carrying amount on initial recognition.

4. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

- (a) Financial instruments (continued)
 - iv) Fair value hierarchy

IFRS 7 *Financial Instruments: Disclosures* requires classification of fair value measurements using a fair value hierarchy that reflects the significance of inputs used in making the measurements. The levels of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows:

- Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly
- Level 3: Inputs for assets or liabilities that are not based on observable market data.
- (b) Exploration and evaluation assets
 - i) Exploration and evaluation expenditures

Once a license to explore an area has been secured, expenditures on exploration and evaluation activities are capitalized to exploration and classified as a component of mineral properties. Such expenditures include, but are not limited to, exploration license expenditures, leasehold property acquisition costs, evaluation costs, including drilling costs directly attributable to a property, and directly attributable to general and administrative costs. From time to time the Company may acquire or dispose of a mineral property pursuant to the terms of an option agreement. As the options are exercisable entirely at the discretion of the optionee, the amounts payable or receivable are not recorded. Option payments are recorded as property costs or recoveries when the payments are made or received.

Once the technical feasibility and commercial viability of the extraction of mineral resources in an area of interest are demonstrable, exploration and evaluation assets attributable to that area of interest are first tested for impairment and then reclassified to mining property and development assets within property, plant and equipment.

ii) Impairment

Exploration and evaluation assets are assessed for impairment by management when facts and circumstances suggest that the carrying amount exceeds the recoverable amount. When there is little prospect of further work on a property being carried out by the Company or its partners, when a property is abandoned, or when the capitalized costs are no longer considered recoverable, the related property costs are written down to management's estimate of their net recoverable amount.

The recoverability of the carrying amount of mineral properties is dependent on successful development and commercial exploitation or alternatively the sale of the respective areas of interest.

4. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

(b) Exploration and evaluation assets (continued)

iii) Decommissioning liabilities

An obligation to incur decommissioning and site rehabilitation costs occurs when environmental disturbance is caused by exploration, evaluation, development or ongoing production.

Decommissioning and site rehabilitation costs arising from the installation of plant and other site preparation work, discounted to their net present value, are provided when the obligation to incur such costs arises and are capitalized into the cost of the related asset. These costs are charged against operations through depreciation of the asset and unwinding of the discount on the provision.

Depreciation is included in operating costs while the unwinding of the discount is included as a financing cost. Changes in the measurement of a liability relating to the decommissioning or site rehabilitation of plant and other site preparation work are added to, or deducted from, the cost of the related asset.

The costs for the restoration of site damage, which arises during production, are provided at their net present values and charged against operations as extraction progresses.

Changes in the measurement of a liability, which arises during production, are charged against operating profit. The discount rate used to measure the net present value of the obligations is the pre-tax rate that reflects the current market assessment of the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation. To date the Company does not have any decommissioning liabilities.

(c) Income taxes

Income tax expense consisting of current and deferred tax expense is recognized in the statement of comprehensive loss. Current tax expense is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at period-end, adjusted for amendments to tax payable with regard to previous years.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities and the related deferred income tax expense or recovery are recognized for deferred tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted or substantively enacted tax rates expected to apply when the asset is realized or the liability settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that substantive enactment occurs.

A deferred tax asset is recognized to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the asset can be utilized. To the extent that the Company does not consider it probable that a deferred tax asset will be recovered, the deferred tax asset is reduced. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset when there is a legally enforceable right to set off current tax assets against current tax liabilities and when they relate to income taxes levied by the same taxation authority and the Company intends to settle its current tax assets and liabilities on a net basis.

4. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

(d) Share-based payments

The Company grants share options to acquire common shares of the Company to directors, officers, employees and consultants. The fair value of share-based payments to employees is measured at grant date, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, and is recognized over the vesting period for employees using the graded method. Fair value of share-based payments for non-employees is recognized and measured at the date the goods or services are received based on the fair value of the goods or services received. If it is determined that the fair value of goods and services received cannot be reliably measured, the share-based payment is measured at the fair value of the equity instruments issued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

For both employees and non-employees, the fair value of share-based payments is recognized as either an expense or as mineral property interests with a corresponding increase in option reserves. The amount recognized as expense is adjusted to reflect the number of share options expected to vest. Consideration received on the exercise of stock options is recorded in capital stock and the related share-based payment in option reserves is transferred to capital stock. For those options that expire or are forfeited after vesting, the recorded value is transferred to deficit.

(e) Earnings (loss) per share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. The Company uses the treasury stock method for calculating diluted earnings (loss) per share. Under this method the dilutive effect on earnings per share is calculated on the use of the proceeds that could be obtained upon exercise of options, warrants and similar instruments. It assumes that the proceeds of such exercise would be used to purchase common shares at the average market price during the period. However, the calculation of diluted loss per share excludes the effects of various conversions and exercise of options and warrants that would be anti-dilutive.

Shares held in escrow, other than where their release is subject to the passage of time, are not included in the calculation of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding.

(f) Capital stock

Proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants are recorded as capital stock in the amount for which the option or warrant enabled the holder to purchase a share in the Company. Capital stock issued for non-monetary consideration is valued at the closing market price at the date of issuance. The proceeds from the issuance of units are allocated between common shares and warrants based on the residual value method. Under this method, the proceeds are allocated first to capital stock based on the fair value of the common shares at the time the units are priced and any residual value is allocated to the warrants reserve. Consideration received for the exercise of warrants is recorded in capital stock and the related residual value is transferred to capital stock. For those warrants that expire, the recorded value is transferred to deficit.

4. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

(g) Foreign currency translation

Amounts recorded in foreign currency are translated into Canadian dollars as follows:

- i) Monetary assets and liabilities, at the rate of exchange in effect as at the balance sheet date:
- ii) Non-monetary assets and liabilities, at the exchange rates prevailing at the time of the acquisition of the assets or assumption of the liabilities; and
- iii) Revenues and expenses (excluding amortization, which is translated at the same rate as the related asset), at the rate of exchange on the transaction date.

Gains and losses arising from this translation of foreign currency are included in the determination of net income (loss) for the year.

(h) Operating segment

The Company has only one operating segment, the exploration and development of mineral property interests in Canada.

5. MINERAL PROPERTY INTERESTS

	Extra High Property		
Balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 151,077	\$	151,077
Geological, geochemical, trenching and drilling	263		263
Balance, December 31, 2010	151,340		151,340
Impairment of mineral property interests	(151,339)		(151,339)
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 1	\$	1
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$ 1	\$	1

There have been no disposals of mineral properties over the last two years and no amortization has been taken on any capitalized exploration and evaluation expenditures over the past two years.

(a) Extra High Property

As at January 1, 2008, the Company held a 66% interest in the Extra High Property, with the remaining 34% interest being held by Colt Resources Inc. ("Colt"), a company that was formerly related by certain common directors and officers. The property is subject to a 1.5% net smelter returns royalty ("NSR"), 50% of which, or 0.75%, can be purchased at any time by paying \$500,000 to the NSR holder.

5. MINERAL PROPERTY INTERESTS (Continued)

(a) Extra High Property (continued)

On January 21, 2008, the Company entered into an Option Agreement (the "2008 Option Agreement") with Colt whereby Colt was granted the right and option to acquire, in two separate equal tranches, the Company's 66% undivided interest in the property. Colt has exercised the first tranche of the option by making a cash payment of \$250,000 to the Company. As a result, Colt's interest in the Extra High Property increased to 67% and Colt has become the operator of the property.

In order to exercise the second tranche of the option, Colt was required to make a cash payment of \$250,000 on or before December 31, 2008. Colt did not exercise the second tranche of the option. Colt now holds a 67% undivided interest in the Extra High Property and the Company now holds the remaining 33% undivided interest in the Extra High Property. Pursuant to the joint venture, which the Company and Colt have formed, each party shall henceforth contribute its proportionate share of property related expenditures. If any party fails to contribute its share of future property related expenditures, then its interest will be diluted on a straight-line basis. If any party's interest is diluted to less than 10%, then that party's interest in the Extra High Property will be converted to a 0.5% NSR.

Neither the Company nor the operator of the property has incurred any meaningful exploration or evaluation expenditures in recent years with respect to the Extra High Property. Accordingly, the Company has recognized an impairment provision of \$151,339 (2010 - \$nil) to reduce the carrying amount to \$1 as at December 31, 2011. If there is an indication in the future that the impairment loss recognized no longer exists or has decreased, the recoverable amount will be estimated and the carrying value of the property will be increased to its recoverable amount.

Investment in the Extra High Property consists of costs incurred as follows:

		2011		2011 2010			_	umulative to une 30, 2012
Acquisition (property option payments)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	150,000		
Staking	Ψ	0	Ψ	0	Ψ	3,639		
Assessment and miscellaneous Geological, geochemical,		0		0		10,311		
trenching and drilling		0		263		431,160		
Colt property option payments		0		0		(443,770)		
Impairment		(151,339)		0		(151,339)		
	\$	(151,339)	\$	263	\$	1		

(b) Ontario Lithium Properties (Mineral Leases)

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company sold all of its Mineral Leases for gross proceeds of \$54,500. These Mineral Leases were previously written off at the end of fiscal 2000. However, in the event that at a future date the Mineral Leases are placed into commercial production, then the Company is entitled to a 0.50% gross receipts royalty after six months from the date of commencement of commercial production.

5. MINERAL PROPERTY INTERESTS (Continued)

(c) Realization

The Company's investment in and expenditures on the mineral property interest comprise substantially all of the Company's assets. Realization of the Company's investment in the assets is dependent on establishing legal ownership of the property interest, on the attainment of successful commercial production or from the proceeds of its disposal. The recoverability of the amounts shown for the mineral property interest is dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete the development of the property interest, and upon future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition thereof.

(d) Title and environmental

Although the Company has taken steps to verify the title to mineral properties in which it has or had a right to acquire an interest in accordance with industry standards for the current stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee title (whether of the Company or of any underlying vendor(s) from whom the Company may be acquiring its interest). Title to mineral properties may be subject to unregistered prior agreements or transfers, and may also be affected by undetected defects or the rights of indigenous peoples.

Environmental legislation is becoming increasingly stringent and costs and expenses of regulatory compliance are increasing. The impact of new and future environmental legislation on the Company's operations may cause additional expenses and restrictions. If the restrictions adversely affect the scope of exploration and development on the mineral properties, the potential for production on the property may be diminished or negated.

6. CAPITAL STOCK

(a) Authorized

Unlimited number of common and preferred shares without par value of which there are no preferred shares issued.

(b) Issued

During 2011, the Company entered into two Non-Brokered Private Placement Financing Agreements and issued an aggregate of 1,100,000 units for total proceeds of \$110,000 of which \$100,000 was allocated to shares and \$10,000 was allocated to warrants. Each unit consists of one common share and one non-transferable share purchase warrant. The share purchase warrants entitle the holders to purchase one common share at a price of \$0.15 for a period of two years from the closing date. The proceeds were allocated between shares and warrants using the residual value method.

During 2011, a total of 1,512,000 share purchase warrants were exercised at \$0.10 per share for total proceeds to the Company of \$151,200.

6. CAPITAL STOCK (Continued)

(b) Issued (continued)

During 2010, the Company entered into a Non-Brokered Private Placement Financing Agreement and issued an aggregate of 4,500,000 units for total proceeds of \$270,000 of which \$270,000 was allocated to shares and \$nil was allocated to warrants. Each unit consists of one common share and one non-transferable share purchase warrant. The share purchase warrants entitle the holders to purchase one common share at a price of \$0.10 for a period of two years from the closing date. The proceeds were allocated between shares and warrants using the residual value method.

During 2010, a total of 1,043,000 share purchase warrants were exercised at \$0.10 per share for total proceeds to the Company of \$104,300.

(c) Warrants

	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, January 1, 2011	10,782,333	\$ 0.10
Issued	1,100,000	\$ 0.15
Exercised	(1,512,000)	\$ 0.10
Expired	(3,697,000)	\$ 0.10
Balance, December 31, 2011	6,673,333	\$ 0.11
Expired	(1,490,000)	\$0.10
Balance, June 30, 2012	5,183,333	\$0.11

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the following warrants were outstanding and exercisable.

	Е	xercise	Number of	Warrants
Expiry Date		Price	2012	2011
July 3, 2011	\$	0.10	0	2,755,000
July 29, 2011	\$	0.10	0	1,140,000
September 3, 2011	\$	0.10	0	102,000
March 11, 2012	\$	0.10	0	440,000
April 16, 2012	\$	0.10	0	550,000
May 4, 2012	\$	0.10	0	500,000
August 16, 2012	\$	0.10	2,000,000	2,000,000
April 1, 2013	\$	0.15	500,000	500,000
April 15, 2013	\$	0.15	500,000	500,000
May 10, 2013	\$	0.15	100,000	100,000
December 2, 2014	\$	0.10	2,000,000	2,000,000
December 22, 2014	\$	0.10	83,333	83,333
	\$	0.11	5,183,333	10,670,333

6. CAPITAL STOCK (Continued)

(d) Stock options

The Company's 2004 Stock Option Plan provides that the Board of Directors of the Company may grant to directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Company options to acquire up to 20% of the issued and outstanding common shares of the Company calculated from time to time on a rolling basis. The terms of the options are determined at the date of grant.

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price		
Balance January 1, 2011	164,242	\$	1.25	
Expired	(164,242)	\$	1.25	
Granted	120,000	\$	0.15	
Balance, December 31, 2011	120,000	\$	0.15	
Expired	(120,000)	\$	0.15	
Balance, June 30, 2012	0	\$	0.00	

In 2011, the Company granted 120,000 stock options with an exercise price of \$0.15 per share, which are exercisable up to June 1, 2012. In respect to the stock option grant is a cash settlement option, which allows the option holder to receive \$3,250 if the stock options are not exercised by the expiry date. The fair value of the options granted during 2011 has been calculated based on the cash settlement value of \$3,250 and has been included in mineral property evaluation costs. The balance has been reflected as an accrual and is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. On June 1, 2012, the 120,000 stock options expired unexercised. As at June 30, 2012, there are no stock options outstanding.

7. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company shares office space and certain employees with Las Vegas From Home.com Entertainment Inc. ("Las Vegas"), Big Mojo Capital Inc. ("Big Mojo") and Bulldog Explorations Ltd. ("Bulldog"), companies related by common key management personnel. As of May 1, 2012, Las Vegas no longer charges the Company for office space. However, effective May 1, 2012, Las Vegas charges the Company the amount of \$1,250 per month plus applicable taxes for certain office support services provided by Las Vegas.

As of May 1, 2012, Bulldog charges the Company a monthly rent of \$500 plus applicable taxes.

In addition, Big Mojo owes the Company, for a certain expense which the Company paid on behalf of Big Mojo during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

7. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

Related party transactions are measured at the exchange amount and the amounts due to (from) related parties are unsecured, payable on demand and consist of the following:

	,	June 30, 2012	,	June 30, 2011
Payable to directors	\$	158,209	\$	70,000
Office and other expenses charged by Las Vegas		14,949		12,031
Rent charged by Las Vegas		3,024		336
Certain expense paid on behalf of Las Vegas		(100)		0
Rent charged by Bulldog		1,120		0
A certain expense paid on behalf of Big Mojo		(2,686)		0
	\$	174,516	\$	82,367

Related party transactions not otherwise disclosed during the period:

- (a) Pursuant to a Management Services Agreement with Kalpakian Bros. of B.C. Ltd. ("Kalpakian Bros."), the total amount for management fees was \$32,500 during the period ended June 30, 2012 (June 30, 2011 \$60,000). Kalpakian Bros. of B.C. Ltd is owned by Bedo H. Kalpakian and Jacob H. Kalpakian, both of whom are directors and officers of the Company.
- (b) Paid to/from the Company

Las Vegas charged the Company for its share of:

- (i) office expenses of \$7,300 (June 30, 2011 \$7,200)
- (ii) rent of \$1,200 (June 30, 2011 \$1,800).
- (iii) other expense paid on behalf of the Company: \$53 (June 30, 2011: \$10,758).

The Company charged Las Vegas for its share of:

(iv) an expense paid on behalf of Las Vegas of \$2,986 (June 30, 2011: \$2,686)

Bulldog charged the Company for its share of:

(v) rent of \$1,000 (June 30, 2011: \$Nil)

The Company charged Big Mojo for its share of:

(vi) an expense paid on behalf of Big Mojo of \$2,686 (June 30, 2011 - \$nil).

8. CHANGES IN NON-CASH WORKING CAPITAL

	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
HST/Other receivables	\$ (4,369)	\$ 6,115
Prepaid expenses	1,367	0
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(22,229)	(67)
Due to related parties	107,594	13,447
	\$ 82,363	\$ 19,495

9. COMMITMENT

The Company has a management services agreement (the "Management Services Agreement") with Kalpakian Bros. of B.C. Ltd. ("Kalpakian Bros."), a private company owned by two directors of the Company. The Management Services Agreement was renewed on November 1, 2011, which is renewable on an annual basis, and either party may terminate the Management Services Agreement at any time by giving three months' notice in writing to the other party. Previously, the remuneration payable for the services provided by Kalpakian Bros. was \$10,000 plus HST per month. However, in February 2012, the Management Services Agreement was amended whereby the remuneration payable to Kalpakian Bros. for the services provided to the Company was reduced to \$5,000 plus HST per month effective as of March 1, 2012. Subsequently, the Management Services Agreement was further amended whereby the remuneration payable to Kalpakian Bros. has been reduced to \$2,500 plus HST per month as of April 1, 2012.